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The President

Memorandum of February 10, 1992

Delegation of Functions Related to Payment to the United Nations and Its Specialized Agencies of United States Assessments and Arrears

Memorandum for the Secretary of State

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including section 301 of title 3 of the United States Code and sections 102 and 162 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-138) (the Act), I hereby delegate to you the functions vested in me by sections 102(a)(3) and 162(b) and (d) of the Act, relating to payment to the United Nations and its specialized agencies of United States assessments and arrears. These functions may be further redelegated within the Department of State.

The functions delegated by this memorandum shall be exercised in coordination with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget and the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs.

You are authorized and directed to publish this memorandum in the Federal Register.

THE WHITE HOUSE,

[Signatures]
Memorandum of February 10, 1992

Delegation of Authority Regarding the Report to the Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe on Implementation of Helsinki Final Act Commitments

Memorandum for the Honorable James A. Baker, III the Secretary of State

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution and laws of the United States of America, including section 301 of title 3 of the United States Code, I hereby delegate to you the functions vested in me by section 5 of Public Law 94-304 (22 U.S.C. 3005). The authority delegated by this memorandum may be further delegated within the Department of State.

You are authorized and directed to publish this memorandum in the Federal Register.

THE WHITE HOUSE,

[Signature]

[FR Doc. 92-3779
Filed 2-12-92; 4:27 pm]
Billing code 3195-01-M
This section of the Federal Register contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first Federal Register issue of each week.

OFFICE OF GOVERNMENT ETHICS

5 CFR Part 2636

RIN 3209-AA13

Regulation Requiring Confidential Reporting of Payments to Charitable Organizations in Lieu of Honoraria; Additional Deferral of Effective Date

AGENCY: Office of Government Ethics.

ACTION: Additional deferral of effective date of interim rule provision.

SUMMARY: The Office of Government Ethics (OGE) is again further deferring the effective date of its interim rule provision for the executive branch on reporting regulation until the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act, as well as standard form approval for it from the General Services Administration (GSA).

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective February 14, 1992, the effective date of 5 CFR 2636.205 is further deferred until the form to actually collect the information required under that section is approved by OMB. When that approval is received (as well as standard form approval from GSA), the Office of Government Ethics will publish a document in the Federal Register announcing the effective date of 5 CFR 2636.205.

ADDRESSES: Any comments or questions should be sent to William E. Gressman, Office of Government Ethics, suite 500, 1201 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20005–3917.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gressman, OGE, at the address above, telephone (202)/FTS 523–5757, FAX (202)/FTS 523–6325.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Government Ethics published 5 CFR 2636.205, the confidential reporting provision for payments to charitable organizations in lieu of honoraria, as an interim rule in the Federal Register on January 17, 1991, and initially provided for an effective date for it of May 15, 1991. The remainder of that interim regulation, 5 CFR part 2638, entitled “Limitations on Outside Employment and Prohibition of Honoraria; Confidential Reporting of Payments to Charities in Lieu of Honoraria;” was effective January 1, 1991 (see 56 FR 1721–1730) and continues in effect (as revised effective January 1, 1992 at 56 FR 601–602 (Jan. 8, 1992)). On May 10, 1991 and October 11, 1991, OGE deferred the effective date of § 2636.205 until October 15, 1991 and February 18, 1992 respectively (see 56 FR 21589 and 53139). In order to allow more time to adopt a new reporting form, As noted below, OGE now needs still more time to complete that process.

The Office of Government Ethics continues to work on a draft confidential standard reporting form to collect from covered executive branch officials the information specified in 5 CFR 2636.205 and the underlying section of the Ethics in Government Act of 1978 as amended, 5 U.S.C. appendix, 102(a)(1)(A). Because the new form will collect information from some members of the public (termines who file after leaving the Government) as well as current Federal employees, it must be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 as amended, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35 (the § 2636.205 regulation itself was approved thereunder by OMB on April 10, 1991). In addition, since the form will be a standard form, OGE will also submit it to the General Services Administration for its review and approval in accordance with standard form clearance procedures.

The Office of Government Ethics needs additional time to finally finish preparing the new reporting form, to submit it to OMB and GSA for approval, and to allow for an appropriate public comment period before final issuance of the form.

Therefore, OGE has determined to further defer the effective date of the 5 CFR 2636.205 reporting regulation until the necessary OMB and GSA approvals are obtained for the implementing form.


Stephen D. Potts, Director, Office of Government Ethics.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 91-CE-62-AD; Amendment 39-8159; AD 92-03-04]

Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace (BAe) Limited Jetstream Model 3201 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to BAe Limited Jetstream Model 3201 airplanes. This action requires a cold work expansion process of the bolt holes in the wing spar webs. Fatigue cracks have been found around the periphery of the three fuel tank access panels of the wing spar webs between Wing Station (WS) 36 and WS 63. The actions specified by the AD are intended to prevent fatigue failure of the wing structure on the affected airplanes.


ADDRESSES: Service information that is applicable to this AD may be obtained from British Aerospace, Manager Product Support, Commercial Aircraft Limited, Airlines Division, Prestwick Airport, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW Scotland; Telephone (44–292) 799686; Facsimile (44–292) 79703; or British Aerospace, Inc., Librarian, Box 17414, Dulles International Airport, Washington, DC, 20041; Telephone (703) 435–9100; Facsimile (703) 435–2628. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Raymond A. Storer, Program Officer, Brussels Aircraft Certification Office, Europe, Africa, Middle East Office, FAA, c/o American Embassy, 1000 Brussels, Belgium; Telephone 322 513 300 extension 2710 or Mr. John P. Dow, Sr., Project Officer, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; Telephone (816) 420-6932; Facsimile (816) 420-2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to include an AD that is applicable to certain British Aerospace (BAe) Limited Jetstream Model 3201 airplanes was published in the Federal Register on September 30, 1991 (56 FR 49439). The action proposed a cold work expansion process of the 7/8 inch diameter bolt holes around the periphery of the three fuel tank access panels on the wing main spar webs between Wing Station (WS) 36 and WS 83 in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of BAe Service Bulletin (SB) 57-JM 8160, dated June 19, 1991. Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. One comment was received in favor of the proposed rule and no comments were received on the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

Since the referenced NPRM was issued, the manufacturer (BAe) has revised BAe SB 57-JM 8160. This revision incorporates minor editorial corrections and does not increase the scope of work, cost, or effectiveness that was originally proposed. The FAA has determined that the proposed action should be done in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions in BAe SB 57-JM 8160, Revision No. 1, dated August 23, 1991, or BAe SB 57-JM 8160, dated June 19, 1991. The AD has been changed accordingly.

The NPRM referenced serial numbers 1 through 922 as the airplanes affected by the proposed action. According to FAA records, there are no BAe limoted Jetstream Model 3201 airplanes prior to serial number 790. Therefore, the effectiveness of this AD action is being refiled to reflect serial numbers 790 through 922. This change does not change the number of airplanes affected by this AD.

After careful review, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for the revision to the service information described above, the clarification in the serial number effectivity, and minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections, the revision to the service information, and the serial number clarification will not change the meaning of the AD nor add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

It is estimated that 89 airplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 70 workhours per airplane to accomplish the required action, and that the average labor cost is approximately $55 per hour. Parts will be provided by the manufacturer at no cost to the operator. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be $342,650. The FAA anticipates that the compliance schedule will allow sufficient scheduling flexibility so that operators could accomplish the actions during regularly scheduled maintenance, which could reduce the cost per airplane/operator for this action.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have substantial federalism implications warranting the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new AD:

92-03-04. British Aerospace, Limited: Amendment 39-8159; Docket No. 91-CE-63-AD.

Applicability: Jetstream Model 3201 Airplanes (serial numbers 790 through 922), certificated in any category.

Compliance: Upon the accumulation of 6,000 landings, or within the next 1,000 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, unless already accomplished.

Note: If no record of landings is maintained, hours time-in-service (TIS) may be used with one hour TIS equal to two landings. For example, 100 hours TIS is equal to 200 landings.

To prevent fatigue failure of the wing structure, accomplish the following:

(a) Modify the 7/8 inch diameter bolt holes around the periphery of the three fuel tank access panels on the wing main spar webs between Wing Station (WS) 36 and WS 83 in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of BAe Service Bulletin 57-JM 8160, dated August 23, 1991.

(b) If the modification required by paragraph (a) of this AD has been accomplished in accordance with the original issue of BAe Service Bulletin 57-JM 8160, dated June 19, 1991, then no further action is required by this AD.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Brussels Aircraft Certification Office, Europe, Africa, Middle East office, FAA, c/o American Embassy, 1000 Brussels, Belgium. The request should be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Brussels Aircraft Certification Office.

(e) The modification required by this AD shall be done in accordance with British Aerospace Service Bulletin 57-JM 8160, Revision 1, dated August 23, 1991, or British Aerospace Service Bulletin 57-JM 8160, dated June 19, 1991. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from British Aerospace, Manager Product Support, Commercial Aircraft Limited, Airplanes Division, Prestwick, Ayrshire, KA9 2RW Scotland; or British Aerospace, Inc., Librarian, Box 17414, Dulles International Airport, Washington, DC 20041.
AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES; BRITISH AEROSPACE VISCOUNT MODEL 744, 745D, AND 810 SERIES AIRPLANES

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all British Aerospace Viscount Model 744, 745D, and 810 series airplanes.

ACTION: Final rule.


The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 23, 1992.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information may be obtained from British Aerospace, PLC, Librarian for Service Bulletins, P.O. Box 17414, Dulles International Airport, Washington, DC 20041-0141. This information may be examined at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., room 6401, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. William Schroeder, Standardization Branch. ANM-113; telephone (206) 227-2148. Mailing address: FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to include a new airworthiness directive, applicable to all British Aerospace Viscount Model 744, 745D, and 810 series airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on June 24, 1991 (56 FR 28728). That action proposed to require repetitive visual inspections to detect corrosion on elevator balance weights and elevator leading edge members, and repair or replacement, if necessary. Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the single comment received.

The commenter objected to the proposed 4-year inspection interval, and stated that it was too long an interval in which to detect corrosion in a timely manner. The commenter requested that the FAA revise the rule to require an additional inspection for corrosion of the elevator balance weights within two years after the initial inspection to ensure an adequate level of safety in the interim. The FAA does not concur. The oldest of the affected airplanes has been in service for approximately 36 years without evidence of corrosion of the elevator balance weights and associated structure to the point of catastrophic failure. The FAA has determined that the actions required by the proposal, including the initial inspection of balance weights and their mounting structure for corrosion, removal of any corrosion found, reapplication of corrosion protection treatment, and renewal of any significantly corroded mounting structure, will ensure freedom from any catastrophic corrosion in this area for four years. In addition, the requirements of this AD do not preclude operators from performing inspections of the areas addressed as a matter of general maintenance of the airplane; such inspections may possibly be conducted at intervals shorter than those for the inspections required by this rule.

After careful review of the available data, including the comment noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

It is estimated that 29 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 100 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor cost will be $55 per work hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be $159,500.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a “major rule” under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (49 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

PART 39—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:


Applicability: All Viscount Model 744, 745D, and 810 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless previously accomplished.

To prevent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 180 days after the effective date of this AD, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 4 years, perform a visual inspection of the elevators to detect corrosion of the mild steel balance weights and of the forward face of the leading edge members in accordance with British Aerospace Preliminary Technical Leaflet (PTL) No. 324 (for Viscount Model 744 and 745D series airplanes), Issue 1, or PTL No. 193 (for Viscount Model 810 series airplanes).
Applicable PTL. If corrosion exceeds the flight, repair in accordance with the applicable PTL of the leading edge members, prior to further flight, repair in accordance with the applicable PTL. If corrosion exceeds the limits specified in the PTL, prior to further flight, replace the members in accordance with the PTL.

An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-13, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

Note: The request should be forwarded through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.187 and 21.198 to operate aircraft in order to comply with the requirements of this AD.

The incorporation and repair requirements shall be in accordance with British Aerospace Preliminary Technical Leaflet (PTL) No. 324 (for Viscount Model 744 and 745D series airplanes) issued February 10, 1990; or PTL No. 193 (for Viscount Model 810 series airplanes) issued February 10, 1990; as applicable. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from British Aerospace, P.L.C., Librarian for Service bulletins, P.O. Box 17414, Dulles International Airport, Washington, DC 20041-0414. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., Room 4041, Washington, DC.

This amendment (39-8122), AD 91-22-01, becomes effective March 23, 1992.


Jim Devany,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 92-3820 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-19-M

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 91-NM-224-AD; Amendment 39-8119; AD 91-22-52]

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Industrie Model A310 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action publishes in the Federal Register an amendment adopting Airworthiness Directive (AD) 91-22-52, which was made effective previously as to all known U.S. owners and operators of Airbus Industrie Model A310 series airplanes by individual telegrams. This AD requires inspections to detect cracks in the area of the shock absorber attachment at the top of the barrel, and repair, if necessary; and a measurements of the gap between the barrel and the shock absorber attachment, and corrective action, if necessary. This amendment is prompted by a recent report of the rupture of the aft hinge arm of the left main landing (MLG) barrel. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent the collapse of the MLG.

DATES: Effective March 2, 1992, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by telegraphic AD 91-22-52, issued October 24, 1991, which contained this amendment.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 2, 1992.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, Airbus Support Division, Avenue Didier Daurat, 31700 Blagnac, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., Room 4041, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Greg Holt, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (206) 227-2140; fax (206) 227-1320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 24, 1991, the FAA issued telegraphic AD 91-22-52 to Airbus Industrie Model A310 series airplanes, which requires certain inspections and necessary repairs of the shock absorber attachment at the top of the barrel. That action was prompted by a report from the French Direction Général de l’Aviation Civile (DGAC), the airworthiness authority of France, which indicated that the aft hinge arm of the left main landing gear (MLG) barrel on an Airbus Model A310 series airplane had ruptured. Preliminary metallurgical investigation indicated that the rupture was due to stress corrosion. Further review of the available data indicated that excess space between the nut at the top of the shock absorber and the barrel may lead to cracking of the sealant and could result in corrosion. Corrosion at the top of the barrel/shock absorber area could lead to crack initiation, and subsequent rupturing of the MLG barrel aft hinge attachment. This condition, if not corrected, could result in the collapse of the MLG.

Airbus Industrie issued All Operators Telex (AOT) 32-04, Revision 1, dated October 22, 1991, which describes procedures to inspect the MLG barrel top area to detect cracks, and repair, if necessary; and to measure the gap between the barrel and the shock absorber attachment, and to perform the necessary corrective action. The DGAC classified this AOT as mandatory and issued French Airworthiness Directive CN 91-234-127(B) addressing this subject.

This airplane model is manufactured in France and type certificated in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations and the applicable bilateral agreement. The FAA examined the findings of the French DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action was still necessary for products of this type design certificated for operation in the United States.

Since the unsafe condition described is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the FAA issued telegraphic AD 91-22-52 to prevent the collapse of the MLG. The AD requires inspections of the MLG to detect cracks in the area of the shock absorber attachment at the top of the barrel, and repair, if necessary; and a measurement of the gap between the barrel and the shock absorber attachment, and corrective action, if necessary. The required actions are to be accomplished in accordance with the AOT previously described.

Since it was found that immediate corrective action was required, notice and public procedure thereon were impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and good cause existed to make the AD effective immediately by individual telegrams issued on October 24, 1991, to all known U.S. owners and operators of Airbus Industrie Model A310 series airplanes. These conditions still exist, and the AD is hereby published in the Federal Register as an amendment to § 39.13 of part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) to make it effective as to all persons.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance
with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation and that it is not considered to be major under Executive Order 12291. It is impracticable for the agency to follow the procedures of Executive Order 12291 with respect to this rule since the rule must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 20, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety. Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

PART 39—AMENDED

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]
2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:


Applicability: Model A310 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent the collapse of the main landing gear (MLG), accomplish the following:

(a) Within 2 years after installation of a new or overhauled MLG, or within 8 days after the effective date of this amendment, whichever occurs later, perform a visual inspection in the area of the shock absorber attachment at the top of the barrel to detect cracks, in accordance with Airbus Industrie All Operators Telex 32–04, Revision 1, dated October 22, 1991.

(b) Upon the accumulation of 4 years on a new or overhauled MLG (time running from installation on the airplane), repeat the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 8 days.

(c) If any crack is found as a result of the inspection(s) required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this AD, prior to further flight, repair in a manner approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

(d) Within 2 years after installation of a new or overhauled MLG, or within 8 days after the effective date of this amendment, whichever occurs later, perform a measurement of the gap between the barrel and the shock absorber attachment, in accordance with Airbus Industrie All Operators Telex 32–04, Revision 1, dated October 22, 1991.

1. If the gap measurement is equal to or less than 2 mm, prior to further flight, apply corrosion inhibitor and reapply rubber sealant, in accordance with Airbus Industrie All Operators Telex 32–04, Revision 1, dated October 22, 1991.

2. If the gap measurement exceeds 2 mm, within 16 days after performing the gap measurement, perform a gap recovery procedure in accordance with Messier Bugatti Gap Recovery Procedure DC/VA/38 No. 20064, dated October 21, 1991. Repeat the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 2 days until the gap recovery procedure is accomplished.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113. FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Note: The request should be forwarded through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(g) The inspection and measurement requirements of this AD shall be done in accordance with Airbus Industrie All Operators Telex 32–04, Revision 1, dated October 22, 1991. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, Airbus Support Division, Avenue Didier Daurat, 31700 Blagnac, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., room 6401, Washington, DC.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Steven C. Fox, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S; telephone (206) 227–2777. Mailing address: FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., room 6401, Washington, DC.

Summarizing: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 747 series airplanes, which requires repetitive inspections for cracking of the doorstop support fittings at the forward edge of the number 5 main entry door, and replacement, if necessary. This amendment is prompted by recent reports of cracks in certain doorstop fittings at the forward edge of number 5 main entry doors. This condition, if not corrected, could allow the door to open during flight, resulting in sudden decompression of the airplane.


The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 23, 1992.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124. This information may be examined at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., room 6401, Washington, DC.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to include an airworthiness directive, applicable to certain Boeing Model 747 series airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on July 19, 1991 (56 FR 33214).
That action proposed to require repetitive inspections for cracking of the doorstop support fittings at the forward edge of the number 5 main entry door; replacement, if necessary; and eventual installation of a terminating modification.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

One commenter concurred with the proposed rule and supported its adoption.

Several commenters, including the manufacturer, the Air Transport Association (ATA) of America, and the Boeing 747 Structures Working Group (SWG), considered that the proposed mandatory replacement of all 7079 aluminum fittings within 4 years was unnecessary and requested that it be deleted from the rule. These commenters contend that the subject fittings, which are made of 7079 aluminum, have been installed and subject to corrosion stresses for more than 20 years, and most have not cracked. The fittings that have cracked most likely did so due to stress corrosion, since 7079 aluminum material has a poor stress corrosion resistance. These commenters contend that the inspection program mandated by this AD action will systematically remove all cracked fittings and replace them with fittings made of 7075-T73 aluminum (thereby constituting a terminating action for the repetitive inspections).

The repetitive inspections would also preclude the possible situation in which several adjacent fittings would be cracked at one time. After reconsideration, the FAA concurs with the commenters. The FAA considers that the initial inspection required by this AD action will eliminate those fittings that have already cracked; the repetitive inspections and "on condition" replacement requirements will establish a continuing acceptable level of safety. Additionally, the inspection area is easily accessible, cracking is easily detectable, and the consequences of a cracked fitting are not likely to be catastrophic. The final rule has been revised to delete the proposed requirement to replace all of the fittings within 4 years.

Several commenters requested that the proposed rule be revised to allow the continued operation of the airplane with some small known cracks in the doorstop fittings, as is specified in the manufacturer's service bulletin. The FAA does not concur. These small unrepaired cracks must be repaired, rather than deferred until a convenient maintenance interval, because they provide a direct source for continued cracking due to stress corrosion. Since the crack growth rate of the 7079 aluminum material cannot be reliably predicted when subjected to additional corrosion, the deferral of repair of known cracks does not provide an acceptable level of safety.

Two commenters requested that the proposed 500 flight cycle compliance time for the initial inspection be increased to 1,000 flight cycles so that the initial inspections could be scheduled during routine maintenance. The FAA does not concur. Since the Notice was issued, an operator has reported finding cracking on eight out of nine fittings on a single door. Therefore, the initial inspection interval defined in the proposal is considered appropriate to detect cracking in a timely manner and maintain an acceptable level of safety.

Several commenters requested that the FAA not propose mandatory structural modification (i.e., the proposed replacement requirements) to older airplanes without recommendations from the appropriate Structures Working Group (SWG), which is part of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC). The FAA does not concur. The FAA must proceed as the impact on safety dictates. Also, members of the SWG's are able to provide comments concerning a proposal, as are all segments of the public. This comment aside, the FAA has considered and concurred with the SWG's recommendations to delete the proposed mandatory replacement requirement, as explained previously.

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the change previously described. The FAA has determined that this change will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the rule.

There are approximately 113 Model 747 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. It is estimated that 78 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 20 manhours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor cost will be $55 per manhour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be $85,800.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have significant federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

PART 39—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

92-02-01. Boeing: Amendment 39-8137. Docket No. 91-NM-103-AD.

Applicability: Model 747 series airplanes, line number 001 through 113, certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless previously accomplished.

To prevent sudden decompression of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, unless previously accomplished within the last 1,500 flight cycles, perform an initial high frequency eddy current inspection of the doorstop support fittings at the forward edge of the number 5 main entry doors, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2305, dated December 20, 1990, to determine material type. If not known, and accomplish the following prior to further flight:

(1) For doorstop support fittings which have been determined to be made of 7079-


T73 aluminum. No further action is required under the inspection requirements of this AD.

(2) For doorstop support fittings which have been determined to be made of 7079-T6 aluminum: Visually inspect the fittings for cracking in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2320, dated December 20, 1990. Repeat this inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2,000 flight cycles.

(b) If cracking is found as the result of the inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD, prior to flight replace the cracked doorstop support fittings with doorstop support fittings made of 7075-T73 aluminum, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2320, dated December 20, 1990. Continue to require any remaining doorstop support fittings made of 7079-T6 material in accordance with paragraph (a)(2) of this AD.

(c) Replacement of all doorstop fittings made of 7079-T6 material is in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2320, dated December 20, 1990, constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of this AD.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Service (ACO).

(f) The inspection and replacement shall be done in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-53A2320, dated December 20, 1990. This incorporation by reference was made of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 1. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., room 8401, Washington, DC.

(g) This amendment (39-9137), AD 92-02-01, becomes effective March 23, 1992.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 23, 1991.

Darrell M. Pederson,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES; AIRBUS INDUSTRIE MODEL A320 SERIES AIRPLANES

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Industrie Model A320 series airplanes. This action requires inspection of the flexible control cables on the overwing emergency escape slides to deploy. The required actions are prompted by reports of the overwing emergency escape slides failing to deploy during functional testing. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent failure of the overwing emergency escape slides to deploy; failure of the slide to deploy would compromise use of the exit during an emergency.


The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 2, 1992.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before April 14, 1992.


The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, Airbus Support Division, Avenue Didier Daurat, 31700 Blagnac, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1001 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., room 8401, Washington, DC.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Direction Générale de l’Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority of France, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Airbus Industrie Model A320 series airplanes. The French DGAC advises that, during functional testing, the left-hand (LH) and right-hand (RH) overwing emergency escape slides failed to deploy on a Model A320 series airplane. Investigation revealed that during production, both the LH and RH actuating cables were incorrectly installed; i.e., the cables were disconnected at the cable joint brackets forward of the inflation bottles. After reconnection of the cable joints, the functional test was successfully completed. Subsequent investigation of this operator’s fleet has revealed that on another Model A320 series airplane only the RH overwing slide failed to deploy. On airplanes having manufacturer’s serial numbers 176 and subsequent, the manufacturer has conducted an additional check to ensure that the actuating cables are correctly installed.

If the cable is disconnected, the inflation gas bottle cannot be activated and the overwing emergency escape slide will not deploy; failure of the slide to deploy would compromise use of the exit during an emergency.

Airbus Industrie has issued All Operator Telex 25–02, dated May 16, 1991, which describes procedures for inspection and reconnection, if necessary, of the flexible control cables of the right-hand and left-hand overwing emergency escape slides. The French DGAC has classified this AOT as mandatory and has issued Airworthiness Directive 91–153–016(B) in order to assure the airworthiness of these airplanes in France.

This airplane model is manufactured in France and type certified for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to a bilateral airworthiness agreement, the French DGAC has kept the FAA totally informed of the above situation. The FAA has examined the findings of the French DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certified for operation in the United States.

Since the unsafe condition described is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, this AD is being issued to prevent failure of the overwing emergency escape slides to deploy. This AD requires inspection and reconnection, if necessary, of the flexible control cables on the right-hand and left-hand overwing emergency escape slides. The required actions are to be accomplished in accordance with the AOT previously described.

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for public comment are impractical and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.
Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption "ADDRESSES." All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter’s ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of the AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to AD [Docket Number] are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule. AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES; SAAB-SCANIA MODELS SF-340A AND SAAB 340B SERIES AIRPLANES

Airworthiness Directives; SAAB-Scania Models SF-340A and SAAB 340B Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to Model SAAB-Scania Models SF-340A and SAAB 340B series airplanes, which currently requires replacement of certain life-limited components associated with the main landing gear (MLG) and nose landing gear (NLD) in accordance with revised life limits. This amendment requires replacement of additional life-limited components. This action is prompted by an analysis in which the life limits of additional landing gear components were recalculated in order to compensate for operation of the SAAB Model 340 series airplanes at higher weights than those used to establish the life limits during airplane certification. The actions specified by the AD are intended to prevent reduced structural capability of the MLG and NLD.

DATES: Effective March 16, 1992

PART 39—(AMENDED)

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

92-02-14. Airbus Industrie: Amendment 92-02-14; Docket 91-NM-255-AD.

Applicability: Model A320 series airplanes through manufacturer's serial number 175, inclusive, certified in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the overwing emergency escape slides, to comply with the following:

(a) Within 50 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD, perform an inspection of the flexible control cables on the right-hand and left-hand overwing emergency escape slides to ensure that the flexible control assembly on each overwing escape slide is correctly installed, in accordance with Airbus Industrie All Operator Telex (AOT) 25-02, dated May 16, 1991.

(1) If each flexible control assembly is correctly installed, no further action is required.

(2) If a flexible control assembly is incorrectly installed, prior to further flight, reconnect the assembly, in accordance with the AOT.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. The request shall be forwarded through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.97 and 21.199 to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) The inspections and reconnections of the flexible control assemblies and release cable assemblies required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Airbus Industrie All Operator Telex 25-02, dated May 16, 1991. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, Airbus Support Division, Avenue Didier Daurat, 31700 Blagnac, France. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., room 8401, Washington, DC.

(e) This amendment 59-8150, AD 92-02-14, becomes effective March 2, 1992.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on December 30, 1991.

James V. Devany,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[Docket No. 91-NM-177-AD; Amendment 39-3165; AD 92-03-08]

Airworthiness Directives; SAAB-Scania Models SF-340A and SAAB 340B Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to Model SAAB-Scania Models SF-340A and SAAB 340B series airplanes, which currently requires replacement of certain life-limited components associated with the main landing gear (MLG) and nose landing gear (NLD) in accordance with revised life limits. This amendment requires replacement of additional life-limited components. This action is prompted by an analysis in which the life limits of additional landing gear components were recalculated in order to compensate for operation of the SAAB Model 340 series airplanes at higher weights than those used to establish the life limits during airplane certification. The actions specified by the AD are intended to prevent reduced structural capability of the MLG and NLD.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 18, 1992.

**ADDITIONS:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from SAAB-Scania AB, Product Support, S-581 88, Linköping, Sweden. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street NW., room 4001, Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Mark Quam, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, telephone (206) 227-2145. Mailing address: FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4059.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A proposal to amend part 39 of Federal Aviation Regulations by superseding AD 91-07-02, Amendment 39-6932, which is applicable to certain Model SAAB-Scania Models SF-340A and SAAB 340B series airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on October 11, 1991 (56 FR 51351). The action proposed to require replacement of additional life-limited components specified in two additional service bulletin attachments not referenced in the existing AD. Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the single comment received. The commenter supports the proposed rule.

After careful review of the available data, including the comment noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed. It is estimated that 121 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 48 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is $55 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately $4,700 per airplane. Based on figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be $688,140.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDITIONS.**

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air Transportation, Aircraft, Aviation Safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

**Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegate to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

**PART 39—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(8); and 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Amendment 39-6932, and by adding the following new airworthiness directive:


Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously. To ensure proper operation of the nose landing gear (NLG) and main landing gear (MLG), accomplish the following:

(a) Remove the NLG and MLG components identified in the attachments (listed below) to SAAB Service Bulletin 340-32-006, Revision 1, dated October 17, 1990, and replace them with serviceable components prior to the accumulation of the number of landings listed in the "Fatigue-Life Flights" column of the applicable "Life Limited Parts List," or within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Thereafter, replace these components with serviceable components at intervals not to exceed the number of landings listed in the "Fatigue-Life Limits" column of the applicable "Life Limited Parts List."

**SAAB SERVICE BULLETIN 340-32-006**

**ATTACHMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A precision hydraulics service bulletin No.</th>
<th>Date issued</th>
<th>Attachment No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIR83530-32-07 ..........................</td>
<td>January 1990</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR83570-32-04 ..........................</td>
<td>January 1990</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR83752-32-01 ..........................</td>
<td>January 1990</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR84306-32-07 ..........................</td>
<td>January 1990</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR84350-32-01 ..........................</td>
<td>January 1990</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR93022-32-18 ..........................</td>
<td>August 1990</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Remove the NLG and MLG components identified in the attachments (listed below) to SAAB Service Bulletin 340-32-006, Revision 1, dated October 17, 1990, and replace them with serviceable components prior to the accumulation of the number of landings listed in the "Fatigue-Life Flights" column of the applicable "Life Limited Parts List," or within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Thereafter, replace these components with serviceable components at intervals not to exceed the number of landings listed in the "Fatigue-Life Limits" column of the applicable "Life Limited Parts List."

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, AMN-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Note: The request should be forwarded through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, AMN-113.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate airplanes to a base in order to comply with the requirements of this AD.

(e) The modifications required by this AD shall be done in accordance with SAAB-Scania Service Bulletin 340-32-006, Revision 1, dated October 17, 1990, and Attachments 1 through 6, which incorporate the following list of effective pages:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title Page—Revision 1 ..........................</td>
<td>October 17, 1991.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY: This action publishes in the Federal Register and makes effective to all persons an amendment adopting Airworthiness Directive (AD) 91-18-12, that was previously issued as a Priority Letter AD. This AD requires removal from service of certain modified pistons, and inspections of the propeller to engine propeller-flange area and rear crankshaft counterweight system. This AD is needed to prevent separation of the propeller from the aircraft and loss of the aircraft.

DATES: Effective February 14, 1992, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Priority Letter AD 91-18-12, issued August 9, 1991, which contained this amendment.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of February 14, 1992.

ADDRESS: The applicable service information may be obtained from Wytownia Sprzetu Komunikacyjnego "PZL-RZESZOW", 35-078 Rzeszow, Poland. This information may be examined at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, room 311, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 9, 1991, the FAA issued Priority Letter AD 91-18-12 applicable to certain PZL-3S second series engines. The AD requires removal from service of certain modified pistons, inspection of the propeller to engine propeller-flange attachment bolts, inspection of the engine propeller-flange retaining nut, and inspection of the rear crankshaft counterweight system. There have been two reported occurrences of propeller to engine propeller-flange attachment bolts found to be cracked or failed. The FAA has determined that propeller to engine propeller-flange attachment bolt failure can be caused by increased vibratory stresses induced by the installation of pistons which have been modified and assembled with certain compression ring, scraper ring, and oil control ring components. This modification may result in excessive distress of the crankshaft rear counterweight system, and loss of propeller-flange retention nut torque. This condition, if not corrected, can result in separation of the propeller from the aircraft and loss of the aircraft.

Since this condition could result in separation of the propeller from the aircraft and loss of the aircraft, immediate corrective action is required. Therefore, safety in air transportation requires adoption of this amendment without prior notice and public comment. In addition, since this condition may still exist, there is a need to require the inspection of the affected engines as soon as possible. Therefore, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days. This AD, which was previously made effective upon receipt of individual letters issued to all known U.S. owners and operators of the affected engines, is hereby published in the Federal Register as an amendment to § 39.13 of part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to make it effective to all persons.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12261, it is determined that this final rule does not have significant federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation and that it is not considered to be major under Executive Order 12291. It is impracticable for the agency to follow the procedures of Executive Order 12291 with respect to this rule since the rule must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (49 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the rule docket (otherwise, an evaluation is not required). A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, reference, and Safety.
Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends 14 CFR part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) as follows:

PART 39—AMENDED

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:


§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):


Applicability: Wytwornia Sprzetu Komunikacyjnego “PZL-RZESZOW” PZL-3S Second Series piston engines installed on but not limited to Grumman AG CAT aircraft.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless previously accomplished.

To prevent separation of the propeller and loss of the aircraft, accomplish the following:

(a) Remove from service prior to further flight, piston which have been modified and assembled with compression ring. Part Number [P/N] JRS 123421, scavenger ring, P/N JRS 123423, and oil control ring, P/N JRS 123424.

(b) Within the next 10 hours in service after the effective date of the AD, remove, clean, and visually inspect using 10x magnification the propeller to engine propeller-flange attachment bolts for evidence of cracking or failure, and perform the following:

(1) For engines with bolts found to be cracked or broken, replace with new bolts and disassemble and visually inspect for distress of the rear crankshaft, rear counterweight and rear counterweight pins.

(i) If any distress is found in the rear crankshaft, rear counterweight, or rear counterweight pins, replace distressed parts with new parts.

(ii) Distress is defined as any evidence of wear, galling, pitting, or scoring, and includes discoloration (blue color) of the counterweight.

(2) For engines with bolts found not to be cracked, inspect the engine propeller-flange retaining nut for looseness and perform the following:

(i) Retorque the propeller-flange retaining nut if found loose in accordance with Section 3.3.4 of the PZL-3S Service Instructions, revised March 1984.

(ii) Replace all propeller to engine propeller-flange attachment bolts with new bolts.

(c) Thereafter, re-inspect the engine propeller-flange retaining nut for looseness in accordance with paragraph b(2) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 100 hours in service since last inspection.

(d) Aircraft may be ferried in accordance with the provisions of FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to a base where the AD can be accomplished.

(e) Upon submission of substantiating data by an owner or operator through an FAA Inspector (maintenance, avionics, or operations, as appropriate), an alternate method of compliance with the requirements of this AD or adjustments to the compliance times specified in this AD may be approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803-5230.

(f) The inspection shall be done in accordance with the following Wytwornia Sprzetu Komunikacyjnego “PZL-RZESZOW” document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document No.</th>
<th>Page No.</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engine Servicing Instructions</td>
<td>3-11</td>
<td>Revised</td>
<td>February 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 3.3.4</td>
<td>3-12</td>
<td>Revised</td>
<td>March 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-13</td>
<td>Revised</td>
<td>March 1984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total pages: 3.

This incorporation was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. All persons affected by this directive who have not already received the appropriate service information from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to Wytwornia Sprzetu Komunikacyjnego “PZL-RZESZOW”, 35-078 Rzeszow, Poland.

This information may be examined at the FAA, New England Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, room 311, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 1100 L Street, NW., room 8401, Washington DC.

This amendment [39-8120, AD 91-18-12] becomes effective February 14, 1992, to all persons, except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by Priority Letter AD 91-18-12, issued August 9, 1991, which contained this amendment.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on December 18, 1991.

Jack A. Sain,
Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 92-3013 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 91-ASW-29; Amdt. 39-8151; AD 92-02-15]

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Company (MDHC) (Hughes) Model 369 Series Helicopters

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to MDHC Model 369 Series helicopters, which currently requires a one-time dye penetrant and tap test inspection as well as repetitive preflight checks of certain tail rotor blades for abrasion strip separation. This amendment retains the prescribed daily preflight visual checks but adds periodic visual inspections of tail rotor blade abrasion strips, and adds the 369 (YOH-6A) model number and several new blade part numbers to the AD. This amendment is prompted by recent reports of tail rotor abrasion strip separation which, if not corrected, could result in loss of tail rotor control and subsequent loss of the helicopter.

DATES: Effective March 10, 1992.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received by March 30, 1992.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 10, 1992.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information notices may be obtained from MDHC Technical Publications, Building 543/D214, McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Company, 5000 E. McDowell Road, Mesa, Arizona 85205-0977, telephone (602) 691-6484, or may be examined in the Rules Docket, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, FAA, 4400 Blue Mound Road, room 158, Building 3B, Fort Worth, Texas.

Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Attention: Rules Docket No. 91-ASW-29, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0007, or deliver in triplicate to room 158, Bldg. 3B, at the above address.

Comments must be marked: Docket No. 91-ASW-28. Comments may be inspected at the above location between the hours of 9 a.m. and 3 p.m. Monday through Friday, except holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Sol Davis, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-123L, Northwest Mountain Region, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3229 E. Spring Street, Long Beach, California 90805-2425; telephone (213) 988-5238; fax (213) 988-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 20, 1985, the FAA issued Airworthiness Directive (AD) 85-18-02, Amendment 39-5127 (30 FR 30990, September 11, 1985), to require a one-
time dye penetrant and tap test inspection as well as repetitive preflight visual checks of certain tail rotor blades for abrasion strip separation on McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Company (MDHC) Model 369A, D, E, H, HE, HM, and HS helicopters. The FAA amended Amendment 39–5127 to include helicopter Models 369F and FF and additional tail rotor blade part numbers by issuing Amendment 39–5403 (51 FR 44438, December 9, 1986).

After issuing Amendment 39–5403, the FAA determined that further action is required as a result of a recent incident in Canada where a tail rotor blade abrasion strip came off causing a severe unbalance vibration and subsequent separation of the tail rotor gear box from the helicopter.

Since the unsafe condition described is likely to exist or develop on other rotorcraft of the same type designs, this AD supersedes AD 85–16–02, Amendment 39–5127 (50 FR 30990, September 11, 1985) as amended by Amendment 39–5403 (51 FR 44438, December 9, 1986). This AD retains the daily preflight visual checks and adds periodic visual inspections (10X magnification) of specified tail rotor blades with abrasion strips which do not have certain rivets installed. If bond failure of the abrasion strips is suspected, further inspection is required by the AD. The AD also requires installation of two rivets for the abrasion strips, after which no further AD inspections are required. Additional tail rotor blades and helicopter models are affected by this new AD. This AD mandates compliance with certain MDHC Service Information Notices.

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and thus was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption "ADDRESSES." All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA–public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this final rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 91–ASW–29." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12081, it is determined that this final rule will not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation and that it is not considered to be major under Executive Order 12291. It is impracticable for the agency to follow the procedures of Executive Order 12291 with respect to this rule since the rule must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (49 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

PART 39—AMENDED

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(e), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Amendment 39–5127 (50 FR 36990, September 11, 1985), AD 85–16–02, as amended by Amendment 39–5403 (51 FR 44438, December 9, 1986), and by adding the following new airworthiness directive:


Note: The addition of a suffix (such as the letters "M" or "M–STC") to a dash number does not exempt that dash number from compliance with this AD.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless already accomplished.

To prevent possible loss of tail rotor control due to loss of a tail rotor abrasion strip, accomplish the following:

(a) Before the first flight of each day after the effective date of this AD, until two rivets are installed in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD, accomplish the following:

(1) Check each tail rotor blade abrasion strip visually for any evidence of bond failure along the entire abrasion strip/airfoil bond line.

(2) If debonding is confirmed, remove the blade from service and replace with a serviceable blade prior to further flight.

(c) Within 25 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless
previously accomplished within the last 100 hours' time in service, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 100 hours' time in service from the last inspection, until in compliance with paragraph (e) of this AD, accomplish the following:

(1) Conduct a visual inspection (using a 10X magnifying glass) of the tail rotor blade abrasion strip/airfoil bond lines for debonding, without removing the blade from the helicopter.

(2) If abrasion strip debonding is suspected but cannot be confirmed by the inspection of paragraph (c)(1), remove the blade and perform dye penetrant and tap test inspections in accordance with the applicable helicopter maintenance manual to assure that the abrasion strip is secure.

(3) If debonding has occurred, remove the blade from service and replace with a serviceable blade prior to further flight.

(d) Record compliance with paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this AD in accordance with FAR § 91.417(a)(2)(v) after each check and blade from service and replace with a compliance with paragraph (c)(1), remove the blade and

(e) Within 300 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, for tail rotor blades with an abrasion strip but without failsafe rivets for the abrasion strip, install tail rotor blade assemblies required by this AD in accordance with part II of McDonnell Douglas Helicopter Company Service Information Notice No. HN-232, DN-179, EN-70, and FN-57, dated September 27, 1991, part I, paragraph (b), pertains to these inspections.

This amendment adopts the rates and factors periodically to reflect changes in financial and annuity markets. This amendment adopts the rates and factors applicable to plans that terminate on or after March 1, 1992, which will remain in effect until the PBGC issues new interest rates and factors.

Effective Date: March 1, 1992.


These are not toll-free numbers.

The rates and factors currently in use have been in effect since February 1, 1992. This amendment adds to Appendix B a new set of interest rates and factors for valuing benefits in plans that terminate on or after March 1, 1992, which set reflects an increase of ¾ percent in the immediate interest rate from 6¼ percent to 6½ percent.

Generally, the interest rates and factors will be in effect for at least one month. However, any published rates and factors will remain in effect until such time as the PBGC publishes another amendment changing them. Any change in the rates normally will be published in the Federal Register by the 15th of the month preceding the effective date of the new rates or as close to that date as circumstances permit.

The PBGC has determined that notice and public comment on this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This finding is based on the need to determine and issue new interest rates and factors promptly so that the rates can reflect, as accurately as possible, current market conditions.

Because of the need to provide immediate guidance for the valuation of benefits in plans that will terminate on or after March 1, 1992, and because no adjustment by ongoing plans is required by this amendment, the PBGC finds that good cause exists for making the rates set forth in this amendment effective less than 30 days after publication.

The PBGC has determined that this is not a major rule under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12291, because it will not result in an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more, a major increase in costs for consumers or individual industries, or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, or innovation.

List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 2619

Employee benefit plans, Pension insurance, Pensions.
In consideration of the foregoing, part 2619 of chapter XXVI, title 29, Code of Federal Regulations, is hereby amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 2619 continues to read as follows:

2. Rate Set 96 of appendix B is revised and Rate Set 97 of appendix B is added to read as follows. The introductory text is republished for the convenience of the reader and remains unchanged.

Appendix B—Interest Rates and Quantities Used to Value Immediate and Deferred Annuities

In the table that follows, the immediate annuity rate is used to value immediate annuities, to compute the quantity "Cy" for deferred annuities and to value both portions of a refund annuity. An interest rate of 5% shall be used to value death benefits other than the decreasing term insurance portion of a refund annuity. For deferred annuities, k1, k2, k3, n1, and n2 are defined in § 2619.45.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate set</th>
<th>For plans with a valuation date</th>
<th>Immediate annuity rate (percent)</th>
<th>Deferred annuities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On or after and before</td>
<td>k1, k2, k3, n1, n2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>2-1-92</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>3-1-92</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PBGC publishes a new entry in the table for the following month, whether or not the rates are changing. This amendment adds to the table the rate series for the month of March 1992.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 1, 1992.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah C. Murphy, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel (22500), Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 2020 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20006; 202-778-8839 for TTY and TDD. (These are not toll-free numbers.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PBGC finds that notice of and public comment on this amendment would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and that there is good cause for making this amendment effective immediately. These findings are based on the need to have the interest rates in this amendment reflect market conditions that are as nearly current as possible and the need to issue the interest rates promptly so that they are available to the public before the beginning of the period to which they apply. (See 5 U.S.C. 553(b) and (d).) Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this amendment, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply (5 U.S.C. 601(c)).

The PBGC has also determined that this amendment is not a "major rule" within the meaning of Executive Order 12911 because it will not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; create a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, or geographic regions; or have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, or innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 2676

Employee benefit plans and Pensions.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 2676 of Subchapter H of Chapter XXVI of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

PART 2676—VALUATION OF PLAN BENEFITS AND PLAN ASSETS FOLLOWING MASS WITHDRAWAL

1. The authority citation for part 2676 continues to read as follows:
   Authority: 29 U.S.C. 1302(b)(3), 1309(c)(1)(D), and 1441(b)(1).

2. In § 2676.15, paragraph (c) is amended by adding to the end of the table of interest rates therein the following new entry:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>§ 2676.15 Interest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* · · · · ·</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Interest Rates.
For valuation dates occurring in the month:

\[ \begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
 & h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & h_4 & h_5 & h_6 & h_7 & h_8 & h_9 & h_{10} & h_{11} & h_{12} \\
 March 1992. & 0.0675 & 0.06625 & 0.0650 & 0.06375 & 0.0625 & 0.06125 & 0.06125 & 0.06125 & 0.06125 & 0.06 & 0.06 & 0.06 & 0.05875 \\
\end{array} \]

Issued at Washington, D.C., on this 10th day of February 1992.

James B. Lockhart III,
Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 92-3591 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7708-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Office of the Secretary
32 CFR Part 155
DoD Directive 5220.6

Defense Industrial Personnel Security Clearance Program

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document revises 32 CFR part 155 to update policy, responsibilities, and procedures of the Defense Industrial Personnel Security Clearance Review Program. The revision incorporates adjudication policies set forth in DoD 5200.2-R, "Department of Defense Industrial Personnel Security Program", January 1987 (32 CFR part 154); reinstates reversal authority for Appeal Board; amends reimbursement procedures; provides for adverse action upon issuance of Administrative Judge decision rather than upon appellate decisions; provides for open hearings; and various other changes such as role of Director.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. L. Schachter, telephone (703) 696-4598.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 11, 1991 (56 FR 10215), the Department of Defense published a proposed rule requesting comments by April 10, 1991. Comments were received and selected changes incorporated. This action is not a major rule as defined by Executive Order 12291. The rule will not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; result in a major increase in the cost or prices for consumers, industries, state or local governments; or adversely affect competition, employment, investment, or innovation. The rule is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Therefore, no Regulatory Flexibility Analysis was prepared.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 155

§ 155.1 Purpose.
This part updates policy, responsibilities, and procedures of the Defense Industrial Personnel Security Clearance Review Program implementing E.O. 10865.

§ 155.2 Applicability and scope.
This part applies to cases in which:
(a) Applies to cases that the Defense Industrial Security Clearance Office (DISCO) forwards to the Directorate for Industrial Security Clearance Review (DISCR) for action under this part to determine whether it is clearly consistent with the national interest to grant or continue a security clearance for the applicant.
(b) Applies to cases that the DISCO forwards to the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence (ASDCI) for action to determine whether it is clearly consistent with the national interest to grant or continue a security clearance for the applicant.
(c) Applies to cases that the DISCO forwards to the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence (ASD(CI)) for action to determine whether it is clearly consistent with the national interest to grant or continue a security clearance for the applicant. (d) Applies to cases in which:
(1) A security clearance is withdrawn because the applicant no longer has a need for access to classified information;
(2) An interim security clearance is withdrawn by the DISCO during an investigation; or
(3) A security clearance is withdrawn for administrative reasons that are without prejudice as to a later determination of whether the grant or continuance of the applicant's security clearance would be clearly consistent with the national interest.
(d) Applies to cases for access to sensitive compartmented information or a special access program.

§ 155.3 Definitions.
(a) Applicant. Any U.S. citizen who holds or requires a security clearance or
§ 155.4 Policy.

It is DoD policy that:

(a) All proceedings provided for by this part shall be conducted in a fair and impartial manner.

(b) A clearance decision reflects the basis for an ultimate finding as to whether it is clearly consistent with the national interest to grant or continue a security clearance for the applicant.

(c) Except as otherwise provided for by E.O. 10865 or this part, a final unfavorable clearance decision shall not be made without first providing the applicant with:

1. Notice of specific reasons for the proposed action.

2. An opportunity to respond to the reasons.

3. Notice of the right to a hearing and the opportunity to cross-examine persons providing information adverse to the applicant.

4. Opportunity to present evidence on his or her own behalf, or to be represented by counsel or personal representative.

5. Written notice of final clearance decisions.


(d) Actions pursuant to this part shall cease upon termination of the applicant's need for access to classified information except in those cases in which:

1. A hearing has commenced;

2. A clearance decision has been issued; or

3. The applicant's security clearance was suspended and the applicant provided a written request that the case continue.

§ 155.5 Responsibilities.

(a) The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence shall:

1. Establish investigative policy and adjudicative standards and oversee their application.

2. Coordinate with the General Counsel of the Department of Defense (GC, DoD) on policy affecting clearance decisions.

3. Issue clarifying guidance and instructions as needed.

(b) The General Counsel of the Department of Defense shall:

1. Establish guidance and provide oversight as to legal sufficiency of procedures and standards established by this part.

2. Establish the organization and composition of the DISCR.

3. Designate a civilian attorney to be the Director, DISCR.

4. Issue clarifying guidance and instructions as needed.

5. Administer the program established by this part.

6. Issue invitational travel orders in appropriate cases to persons to appear and testify who have provided oral or written statements adverse to the applicant relating to a controverted issue.

7. Designate attorneys to be Department Counsels assigned to the DISCR to represent the Government's interest in cases and related matters within the applicability and scope of this part.

8. Designate attorneys to be Administrative Judges assigned to the DISCR.

9. Designate attorneys to be Administrative Judge members of the DISCR Appeal Board.

10. Provide for supervision of attorneys and other personnel assigned or attached to the DISCR.

11. Develop and implement policy established or coordinated with the GC, DoD in accordance with this part.

12. Establish and maintain qualitative and quantitative standards for all work by DISCR employees arising within the applicability and scope of this part.

13. Ensure that the Administrative Judges and Appeal Board members have the requisite independence to render fair and impartial decisions consistent with DoD policy.

14. Provide training, clarify policy, or initiate personnel actions, as appropriate, to ensure that all DISCR decisions are made in accordance with policy, procedures, and standards established by this part.

15. Provide for maintenance and control of all DISCR records.

16. Take actions as provided for in § 155.6(b), and the additional procedural guidance in appendix A to this part.

17. Establish and maintain procedures for timely assignment and completion of cases.

18. Issue guidance and instructions, as needed, to fulfill the foregoing responsibilities.

19. Designate the Director, DISCR, to implement paragraphs (b)(5) through (b)(18) of this section, under general guidance of the GC, DoD.

(c) The Heads of the DoD Components shall provide (from resources available to the designated DoD Component) financing, personnel, personnel spaces, office facilities, and related administrative support required by the DISCR.

(d) The ASD(C3I) shall ensure that cases within the scope and applicability of this part are referred promptly to the DISCR, as required, and that clearance decisions by the DISCR are acted upon without delay.

§ 155.6 Procedures.

(a) Applicants shall be investigated in accordance with the standards in 32 CFR part 154.

(b) An applicant is required to give, and to authorize others to give, full, frank, and truthful answers to relevant and material questions needed by the DISCR to reach a clearance decision and to otherwise comply with the procedures authorized by this part. The applicant may elect on constitutional or other grounds not to comply; but refusal or failure to furnish or authorize the providing of relevant and material information or otherwise cooperate at any stage in the investigation or adjudicative process may prevent the DISCR from making a clearance decision. If an applicant fails or refuses to:
(1) Provide relevant and material information or to authorize others to provide such information; or
(2) Proceed in a timely or orderly fashion in accordance with this part; or
(3) Follow directions of an Administrative Judge or the Appeal Board; then the Director, DISCR, or designee, may revoke any security clearance held by the applicant and continue case processing. Requests for resumption of case processing and reinstatement of a security clearance may be approved by the Director, DISCR, only upon a showing of good cause. If the request is denied, in whole or in part, the decision is final and bars reapplication for a security clearance for 1 year from the date of the revocation.

(c) Each clearance decision must be a fair and impartial common sense determination based upon consideration of all the relevant and material information and the pertinent criteria in 32 CFR part 154 and adjudication policy in appendix H to 32 CFR part 154, including as appropriate:

(1) Nature and seriousness of the conduct and surrounding circumstances.
(2) Frequency and recency of the conduct.
(3) Age of the applicant.
(4) Motivation of the applicant, and the extent to which the conduct was negligent, willful, voluntary, or undertaken with knowledge of the consequences involved.
(5) Absence or presence of rehabilitation.
(6) Probability that the circumstances or conduct will continue or recur in the future.
(d) Whenever there is a reasonable basis for concluding that an applicant’s continued access to classified information poses an imminent threat to the national interest, any security clearance held by the applicant may be suspended by the ASD(C/II), with the concurrence of the GC or DoD, pending a final clearance decision. This suspension may be rescinded by the same authorities upon presentation of additional information that conclusively demonstrates that an imminent threat to the national interest no longer exists. Procedures in appendix A to this part shall be expedited whenever an applicant’s security clearance has been suspended pursuant to this section.
(e) Nothing contained in this part shall limit or affect the responsibility and powers of the Secretary of Defense or the head of another Department or Agency to deny or revoke a security clearance when the security of the nation so requires. Such authority may not be delegated and may be exercised only when the Secretary of Defense or the head of another Department or Agency determines that the hearing procedures and other provisions of this part cannot be invoked consistent with the national security. Such a determination shall be conclusive.
(f) Additional procedural guidance is in appendix A to this part.

Appendix A to Part 155—Additional Procedural Guidance

1. When the DISCO cannot affirmatively find that it is clearly consistent with the national interest to grant or continue a security clearance for an applicant, the case will be promptly referred to the DISCR.
2. Upon referral, the DISCR shall make a prompt determination whether to grant or continue a security clearance, issue a statement of reasons (SOR) as to why it is not clearly consistent with the national interest to do so, or take interim actions, including but not limited to:
   a. Direct further investigation.
   b. Propose written interrogatories to the applicant or other persons with relevant information.
   c. Requiring the applicant to undergo a medical evaluation by a DoD Psychiatric Consultant.
   d. Interviewing the applicant.
3. An unfavorable clearance decision shall not be made unless the applicant has been provided with a written SOR that shall be as detailed and comprehensive as the national security permits. A letter of instruction with the SOR shall explain the application or Department Counsel may request a hearing. It shall also explain the possible adverse consequences for failure to respond to the SOR within the prescribed time frame.
4. The applicant must submit a detailed written answer to the SOR under oath or affirmation that shall admit or deny each listed allegation. A general denial or other similar answer is insufficient. To be entitled to a hearing, the applicant must specifically request a hearing in his or her answer. The answer must be received by the DISCR within 20 days from receipt of the SOR. Requests for an extension of time to file an answer may be submitted to the Director, DISCR, or designee, who in turn may grant the extension only upon a showing of good cause.
5. If the applicant does not file a timely and responsive answer to the SOR, the Director, DISCR, or designee, may continue processing the case, deny issuance of the requested security clearance, and direct the DISCO to revoke any security clearance held by the applicant.
6. Should review of the applicant’s answer to the SOR indicate that allegations are unfounded, or evidence is insufficient for further processing, Department Counsel shall take such action as appropriate under the circumstances, including but not limited to withdrawal of the SOR and transmittal to the Director for notification of the DISCO for appropriate action.
7. If the applicant has not requested a hearing with his or her answer to the SOR and Department Counsel has not requested a hearing within 20 days of receipt of the applicant’s answer, the case shall be assigned to an Administrative Judge for a clearance decision based on the written record. Department Counsel shall provide the applicant with a copy of all relevant and material information that could be adduced at a hearing. The applicant shall have 30 days from receipt of the information in which to submit a documentary response setting forth objections, rebuttal, extenuation, mitigation, or explanation, as appropriate.
8. If a hearing is requested by the applicant or Department Counsel, the case shall be assigned to an Administrative Judge or Department Counsel, in that order, to conduct the hearing, unless otherwise specified by the Director, DISCR, or designee. The applicant shall appear in person with or without counsel or a personal representative at a time and place designated by the Administrative Judge.

The Administrative Judge may require a prehearing conference.
9. The Administrative Judge may rule on questions of procedure, discovery, and evidence and shall conduct all proceedings in a fair, timely, and orderly manner.
10. Discovery by the applicant is limited to non-privileged documents and materials subject to control by the DISCR. Discovery by Department Counsel after issuance of an SOR may
be granted by the Administrative Judge only upon a showing of good cause.

12. A hearing shall be open except when the applicant requests that it be closed, or when the Administrative Judge determines that there is a need to protect classified information or there is other good cause for keeping the proceeding closed. No inference shall be drawn as to the merits of a case on the basis of a request that the hearing be closed.

13. As far in advance as practical, Department Counsel and the applicant shall serve one another with a copy of any pleading, proposed documentary evidence, or other written communication to be submitted to the Administrative Judge.

14. Department Counsel is responsible for presenting witnesses and other evidence to establish facts alleged in the SOR that have been controverted.

15. The applicant is responsible for presenting witnesses and other evidence to rebut, explain, extenuate, or mitigate facts admitted by the applicant or proven by Department Counsel, and has the ultimate burden of persuasion as to obtaining a favorable clearance decision.

16. Witnesses shall be subject to cross-examination.

17. The SOR may be amended at the hearing by the Administrative Judge on his or her own motion, or upon motion by Department Counsel or the applicant, so as to render it in conformity with the evidence admitted or for other good cause. When such amendments are made, the Administrative Judge may grant either party's request for such additional time as the Administrative Judge may deem appropriate for further preparation or other good cause.

18. The Administrative Judge hearing the case shall notify the applicant and all witnesses testifying that 18 U.S.C. 1001 is applicable.

19. The Federal Rules of Evidence (28 U.S.C. 101 et seq.) shall serve as a guide. Relevant and material evidence may be received subject to rebuttal, and technical rules of evidence may be relaxed, except as otherwise provided herein, to permit the development of a full and complete record.

20. Official records or evidence compiled or created in the regular course of business, other than DoD personnel background reports of investigation (ROI), may be received and considered by the Administrative Judge without authenticating witnesses, provided that such information has been furnished by an investigative agency pursuant to its responsibilities in connection with assisting the Secretary of Defense, or the Department or Agency head concerned, to safeguard classified information within industry under to E.O. 10865. An ROI may be received with an authenticating witness provided it is otherwise admissible under the Federal Rules of Evidence (28 U.S.C. 101 et seq.).

21. Records that cannot be inspected by the applicant because they are classified may be received and considered by the Administrative Judge, provided the GC DoD has:
   a. Made a preliminary determination that such evidence appears to be relevant and material.
   b. Determined that failure to receive and consider such evidence would be substantially harmful to the national security.

22. A written or oral statement adverse to the applicant on a controverted issue may be received and considered by the Administrative Judge without affording an opportunity to cross-examine the person making the statement orally, or in writing when justified by the circumstances, only in either of the following circumstances:
   a. If the head of the Department or Agency supplying the statement certifies that the person who furnished the information is a confidential informant who has been engaged in obtaining intelligence information for the Government and that disclosure of his or her identity would be substantially harmful to the national interest; or
   b. If the GC DoD has determined that the statement concerned appears to be relevant, material, and reliable; failure to receive and consider the statement would be substantially harmful to the national security; and the person who furnished the information cannot appear to testify due to the following:
      (1) Death, severe illness, or similar cause, in which case the identity of the person and the information to be considered shall be made available to the applicant; or
      (2) Some other cause determined by the Secretary of Defense, or when appropriate by the Department or Agency head, to be good and sufficient.

23. Whenever evidence is received under item 21. or 22., the applicant shall be furnished with as comprehensive and detailed a summary of the information as the national security permits. The Administrative Judge and Appeal Board may make a clearance decision either favorable or unfavorable to the applicant based on such evidence after giving appropriate consideration to the fact that the applicant did not have an opportunity to confront such evidence, but any final determination adverse to the applicant shall be made only by the Secretary of Defense, or the Department or Agency head, based on a personal review of the case record.

24. A verbatim transcript shall be made of the hearing. The applicant shall be furnished one copy of the transcript, less the exhibits, without cost.

25. The Administrative Judge shall make a written clearance decision in a timely manner setting forth pertinent findings of fact, policies, and conclusions as to the allegations in the SOR, and whether it is clearly consistent with the national interest to grant or continue a security clearance for the applicant. The applicant and Department Counsel shall each be provided a copy of the clearance decision. In cases in which evidence is received under items 21. and 22., the Administrative Judge's written clearance decision may require deletions in the interest of national security.

26. If the Administrative Judge decides that it is clearly consistent with the national interest for the applicant to be granted or to retain a security clearance, the DISCO shall be so notified by the Director, DISCR, or designee, when the clearance decision becomes final in accordance with item 30., below.

27. If the Administrative Judge decides that it is not clearly consistent with the national interest for the applicant to be granted or to retain a security clearance, the Director, DISCR, or designee, shall expeditiously notify the DISCO, which shall in turn notify the applicant's employer of the denial or revocation of the applicant's security clearance. The letter forwarding the Administrative Judge's clearance decision to the applicant shall advise the applicant that these actions are being taken, and that the applicant may appeal the Administrative Judge's clearance decision.

28. The applicant or Department Counsel may appeal the Administrative Judge's clearance decision by filing a written notice of appeal with the Appeal Board within 15 days after the date of the Administrative Judge's clearance decision. A notice of appeal received after 15 days from the date of the clearance decision shall not be accepted by the Appeal Board, or designated Board Member, except for good cause. A notice of cross appeal may be filed with the Appeal Board within 10 days of receipt of the notice of appeal. An untimely cross appeal shall not be accepted by the Appeal Board, or designated Board Member, except for good cause.

29. Upon receipt of a notice of appeal, the Appeal Board shall provide the case record. No new evidence shall be
received or considered by the Appeal Board.

30. After filing a timely notice of appeal, a written appeal brief must be received by the Appeal Board within 45 days from the date of the Administrative Judge's clearance decision. The appeal brief must state the specific issue or issues being raised, and cite specific portions of the case record supporting any alleged error. A written reply brief, if any, must be filed within 20 days from receipt of the appeal brief. A copy of any brief filed must be served upon the applicant or Department Counsel, as appropriate.

31. Requests for extension of time for submission of briefs may be submitted to the Appeal Board or designated Board Member.

A copy of any request for extension of time must be served on the opposing party at the time of submission. The Appeal Board, or designated Board Member, shall be responsible for controlling the Appeal Board's docket, and may enter an order dismissing an appeal in an appropriate case or vacate such an order upon a showing of good cause.

32. The Appeal Board shall address the material issues raised by the parties to determine whether harmful error occurred. Its scope of review shall be to determine whether or not:

a. The Administrative Judge's findings of fact are supported by such relevant evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a conclusion in light of all the contrary evidence in the same record. In making this review, the Appeal Board shall give deference to the credibility determinations of the Administrative Judge;

b. The Administrative Judge adhered to the procedures required by E.O. 10865 and this part; or

c. The Administrative Judge's rulings or conclusions are arbitrary, capricious, or contrary to law.

33. The Appeal Board shall issue a written clearance decision addressing the material issues raised on appeal. The Appeal Board shall have authority to:

a. Affirm the decision of the Administrative Judge;

b. Remand the case to an Administrative Judge to correct identified error. If the case is remanded, the Appeal Board shall specify the action to be taken on remand; or

c. Reverse the decision of the Administrative Judge if correction of identified error mandates such action. A copy of the Appeal Board's written clearance decision shall be provided to the parties. In cases in which evidence was received under items 21. and 22., the Appeal Board's clearance decision may require deletions in the interest of national security.

35. Upon remand, the case file shall be assigned to an Administrative Judge for correction of error(s) in accordance with the Appeal Board's clearance decision. The assigned Administrative Judge shall make a new clearance decision in the case after correcting the error(s) identified by the Appeal Board. The Administrative Judge's clearance decision after remand shall be provided to the parties. The clearance decision after remand may be appealed pursuant to items 28. to 35.

38. A clearance decision shall be considered final when:

a. A security clearance is granted or continued pursuant to item 2; b. No timely notice of appeal is filed; c. No timely appeal brief is filed after a notice of appeal has been filed; d. The appeal has been withdrawn; e. When the Appeal Board affirms or reverses an Administrative Judge's clearance decision; or f. When a decision has been made by the Secretary of Defense, or the Department or Agency head, under item 23.

The Director, DISCR, or designee, shall notify the DISCO of all final clearance decisions.

37. An applicant whose security clearance has been finally denied or revoked by the DISCR is barred from reapplication for 1 year from the date of the initial unfavorable clearance decision.

38. A reapplication for a security clearance must be made initially by the applicant's employer to the DISCO and is subject to the same processing requirements as those for a new security clearance application. The applicant shall thereafter be advised he is responsible for providing the Director, DISCR, with a copy of any adverse clearance decision together with evidence that circumstances or conditions previously found against the applicant have been rectified or sufficiently mitigated to warrant reconsideration.

39. If the Director, DISCR, determines that reconsideration is warranted, the case shall be subject to this part for making a clearance decision.

40. If the Director, DISCR, determines that reconsideration is not warranted, the DISCR shall notify the applicant of this decision. Such a decision is final and bars further reapplication for an additional one year period from the date of the decision rejecting the application.

41. Nothing in this part is intended to give an applicant reapplying for a security clearance any greater rights than those applicable to any other applicant under this part.

42. An applicant may file a written petition, under oath or affirmation, for reimbursement of loss of earnings resulting from the suspension, revocation, or denial of his or her security clearance. The petition for reimbursement must include as an attachment the favorable clearance decision and documentation supporting the reimbursement claim. The Director, DISCR, or designee, may in his or her discretion require additional information from the petitioner.

44. Reimbursement is authorized only if the applicant demonstrates by clear and convincing evidence to the Director, DISCR, that all of the following conditions are met:

a. The suspension, denial, or revocation was the primary cause of the claimed pecuniary loss; and

b. The suspension, denial, or revocation was due to gross negligence of the Department of Defense at the time the action was taken, and not in any way by the applicant's failure or refusal to cooperate.

45. The amount of reimbursement shall not exceed the difference between the earnings of the applicant at the time of the suspension, revocation, or denial and the applicant's interim earnings, and further shall be subject to reasonable efforts on the part of the applicant to mitigate any loss of earnings. No reimbursement shall be allowed for any period of undue delay resulting from the applicant's acts or failure to act. Reimbursement is not authorized for loss of merit raises and general increases, loss of employment opportunities, counsel's fees, or other costs relating to proceedings under this part.

46. Claims approved by the Director, DISCR, shall be forwarded to the Department or Agency concerned for payment. Any payment made in response to a claim for reimbursement shall be in full satisfaction of any further claim against the United States or any Federal Department or Agency, or any of its officers or employees.

L. M. Bynum, Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 92-3163 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110-01-M

32 CFR Parts 286, 287, 290, 291, 292, 295, and 299

Freedom of Information Act Program

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.

ACTION: Technical amendment.

SUMMARY: This makes administrative changes within Chapter 1 of Title 32 of the Code of Federal Regulations for ease of use.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATIONCONTACT: L. M. Bynum, Correspondence and Directives Directorate, Washington Headquarters Services, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1155, telephone 703-695-1111.

Accordingly, under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 131, the following parts in chapter I, subchapter P are transferred into subchapter I: 32 CFR parts 286, 287, 290, 291, 292, 295, and 299.


L. M. Bynum, Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.
[FR Doc. 92-3163 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3110-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 60 and 61 [FRL-4106-3]

Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources; National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants Supplemental Delegation of Authority to Knox County

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Delegation of authority.

SUMMARY: On October 11, 1991, the Knox County Department of Air Pollution Control in the State of Tennessee, requested delegation of authority for implementation and enforcement of several standards in 40 CFR part 60, New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), and 40 CFR part 61, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS). EPA's review of Knox County's laws, rules and regulations showed them to be adequate for the implementation and enforcement of these federal standards. EPA has granted the delegation as requested.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of the delegation of authority is November 13, 1991.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the material submitted by Knox County may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV, Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, Customs House, 4th Floor, Nashville, Tennessee 37243-1531.

Knox County Department of Air Pollution, City-County Building, room 459, 400 West Main Avenue, Knoxville, Tennessee 37932.

Effective immediately, all requests, applications, reports and other correspondence required pursuant to the newly delegated standards should not be submitted to the Region IV office, but should instead be submitted to Terry C. Harris, Director, Knox County Department of Air Pollution at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Fischer, Air Programs Branch, EPA Region IV, at the above address and telephone number (404) 347-2894 or (FTS) 257-2894.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 301 of the Clean Air Act as amended November 15, 1980, authorizes the Administrator to delegate authority to implement and enforce the standards set out in 40 CFR part 60, New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), and 40 CFR part 61, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS).

After a thorough review of the categories requested for delegation, the Regional Administrator determined that such delegation was appropriate for these source categories with the conditions set forth in the original delegation letter of May 20, 1977 and subsequent letters of December 13, 1985; March 3, 1986; July 1, 1986; June 1, 1986; May 18, 1989; December 14, 1990; October 29, 1990, and in EPA issued guidance, including a May 20, 1986, letter from EPA to the state and local agencies.

EPA, hereby, delegated its authority for 40 CFR part 60 and 40 CFR part 61 for the following subparts [the revised standards are designated by "(R)"]:

40 CFR Part 60
Subpart D—Fossil Fuel Steam Fired Generators for which Construction is Commenced After August 17, 1971 (R)
Subpart Da—Electric Utility Steam Generating Units for which Construction is Commenced September 18, 1978 (R)
Subpart B—Incinorators (R)
Subpart F—Portland Cement Plants (R)
Subpart G—Nitric Acid Plants (R)
Subpart H—Sulfuric Acid Plants (R)
Subpart I—Asphalt Concrete Plants (R)
Subpart L—Petroleum Refineries (R), except § 60.105(a)(13)(iii) and § 60.106(e)(12)
Subpart M—Secondary Lead Smelters (R)
Subpart N—Iron and Steel Plants (R)
Subpart O—Sewage Treatment Plants (R), except § 60.153(e)
Subpart P—Primary Copper Smelters (R)
Subpart Q—Primary Zinc Smelters (R)
Subpart R—Primary Lead Smelters (R)
Subpart S—Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants (R)
Subpart T—Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Wet Process Phosphoric Acid Plants (R)
Subpart U—Phosphate Fertilizer Industry—Super Phosphoric Acid Plants (R)
Subpart V—Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Diammonium Phosphate Plants (R)
Subpart W—Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: Triple Superphosphate Plants (R)
Subpart X—Phosphate Fertilizer Industry: General Triple Superphosphate Storage Facilities (R)
Subpart Y—Coal Preparation Plants (R)
Subpart Z—Ferrosilicon Production Facilities (R)
Subpart AA—Steel Plants: Production Facilities (R)
Subpart BB—Kraft Pulp Mills (R)
Subpart CC—Class Manufacturing Plants (R)
Subpart DD—Cement Elevators (R)
Subpart EE—Stationary Gas Turbines, except § 60.334(b)(2), § 60.335(e)(1)
Subpart FF—Lime Manufacturing Plants (R)
Subpart PP—Ammonium Sulfate Manufacture (R)
Subpart KK—Lead Acid Battery Manufacturing Plants (R)
Subpart NH—Phosphate Rock Plants (R)
Subpart UL—Asphalt Processing and Asphalt Roofing Manufacture (R), except § 60.474(g)
Subpart LL—Metallic Mineral Processing Plants (R)
Subpart UV—Equipment Leaks of VOC in the Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry (R), except § 60.482-1(c)(2), § 60.484
Subpart XX—Bulk Gasoline Terminals (R)
Subpart MI—On Shore Natural Gas Processing SOE Emissions (R)
Subpart OO—Non-metallic Mineral Processing Plants (R)
Subpart NA—Secondary Emissions from Basic Oxygen Process Steelmaking Facilities (R)
Subpart AA—Steel Plants: Electric Arc Furnaces and Argon-Oxygen.
The EPA hereby notifies the public that it has delegated the authority over certain NSPS and NESHAP subparts to Knox County in the State of Tennessee.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

This notice is issued under the authority of sections 101, 110, 111, 112, and 303 of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7401, 7410, 7412, 7413, and 7601).
Four studies have been conducted with acetamide that have demonstrated a possible carcinogenic effect. Although none of the four studies meet current standards for carcinogenicity testing, the studies collectively demonstrate that, at least under certain conditions, long-term dietary administration of acetamide at high doses is associated with the occurrence of liver tumors in rats. Based on the four acetamide studies, the Agency in 1985 classified acetamide as a possible human carcinogen (Group C) and conducted a quantitative risk assessment for the cotton and soybean uses proposed for thiodicarb. These studies are described in detail in the Federal Register of July 3, 1985 (50 FR 27452 and 27463) in which the Agency proposed to establish tolerances for use of thiodicarb on cotton and soybeans. In the same Federal Register notice, it was also tentatively concluded that acetamide naturally occurs in milk and eggs. Additional follow-up analyses confirmed these findings. In a limited number of untreated samples, acetamide levels in milk averaged 170 ppb (0.17 ppm). These untreated background values are far in excess of those maximum expected values of acetamide estimated from thiodicarb, i.e., milk 0.3 ppb (0.0003 ppm) and eggs 0.07 ppb (0.0007 ppm), and it was concluded that the ubiquitous nature of acetamide may confound its regulation. A final rule establishing tolerances for cotton and soybeans was published in the Federal Register of October 10, 1985 (50 FR 41341 and 41349).

The Agency has since reevaluated the toxicity of acetamide. While the Agency believes that the previous classification of acetamide as a Group C carcinogen is appropriate, it has been determined that the acetamide studies are not suitable for quantitative risk assessment because of the deficiencies in the individuals studies. These deficiencies include a small number of test animals, lack of a definitive dose-response relationship, extremely high exposure rates, questionable quality of test animals, and administration of oxytetracycline to test animals in one study which might have adversely influenced the test results. In addition, the toxicology data base for thiodicarb includes two valid oncogenicity studies that were negative for oncogenic effects. However, because the data base for acetamide is incomplete to fully address its carcinogenic potential and to determine whether there may be a species-related difference in conversion of syn-methomyl to anti-methomyl and resultant excretion as acetonitrile or metabolic hydrolysis to acetamide, the Agency is requiring the following studies/information:

1. Metabolism study (with the parent chemical) in an appropriate species (primate) and information on whether there is a species-specific metabolic conversion of thiodicarb to acetamide.
2. Substantiation of the isomeric form of the registered product.
3. Studies designed to identify and measure (as the glucuronide or other conjugate) the N-hydroxy acetamide metabolite. A conditional registration and a tolerance with expiration date are being issued requiring these studies. Once these studies have been submitted and evaluated, the Agency may require additional toxicity studies.

On the basis of available studies on acetamide and the chronic carcinogenicity studies for thiodicarb, the Agency has concluded that the human risk posed by the use of thiodicarb on broccoli, cabbage, and cauliflower does not raise significant risk concerns. Based on the 2-year rat feeding study with a NOEL of 30 mg/kg/day and using an uncertainty factor of 100, the reference dose (RfD) for humans is 0.03 mg/kg body weight/day. The theoretical maximum residue contribution (TMRC) for this chemical utilizes 41.7 percent of the RfD. The current action will contribute 0.0125 mg/kg/day of residue to the human diet utilizing an additional 9.8 percent of the RfD. This results in a total utilization of 45.5 percent of the RfD.

The nature of the residue in plants is considered to be adequately understood to support these tolerance requests. Adequate analytical methods involving gas chromatography with a flame photometric detector selective for sulfur-containing compounds and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry are available for enforcement purposes. The methodology has been published in the Food and Drug Administration's Pesticide Analytical Manual (PAM) II. There are no livestock feed items associated with this petition; there are no problems of secondary residues in meat, milk, poultry, and eggs.

Based on the above information, the Agency has concluded that the proposed tolerance for residues of the pesticide in or on leafy vegetables would protect the public health. Therefore, the tolerance is established as set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, within 30 days after publication of this document in the Federal Register, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk at the address given above. Such objections should specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must include a statement of the factual issue(s) on which a hearing is requested and the requestor's contentions on each such issue. A request for a hearing will be granted if the Administrator determines that the material submitted shows the following: there is a genuine and substantial issue of fact; there is a reasonable possibility that available evidence identified by the requestor would, if established, resolve one or more of such issues in favor of the requestor, taking into account uncontested claims or facts to the contrary; and resolution of the factual issue(s) in the manner sought by the requestor would be adequate to justify the action requested.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-354, 94 Stat. 1144, 5 U.S.C. 601-612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new tolerances or raising tolerance levels or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the Federal Register of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Administrative practice and procedure. Agricultural commodities. Pesticides and pests. Recording and recordkeeping requirements.


Susan H. Wayland,
Acting Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR part 180 is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:


2. In § 180.407, by adding new paragraph (c), to read as follows:

§ 180.407 Thiodicarb; tolerances for residue.

(c) A tolerance to expire on August 15, 1994 is established for the combined residues of the insecticide thiodicarb [dimethyl N,N'-(thiobis [[methylimino] carbonyloxy]) bis[ethanimidothioate]] and its metabolite methomyl [S-methyl...
N-[methylcarbamoyl]loxythioacetimidate in or on the following raw agricultural commodities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Parts per million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broccoli</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabbage</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauliflower</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of Report and Order

1. On June 8, 1990, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit vacated and remanded three Commission decisions in the Computer III proceeding. In response to the Court's decision, the Commission issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (55 FR 34032, 8/21/90) in this proceeding to consider reinstating certain Computer III decisions that were not challenged in the briefs before the Court. On December 17, 1990, the Commission released a Report and Order (56 FR 965, 1/10/91) that adopted the three narrowly focused proposals recommended in the Notice. In addition, the Commission found that no further action was needed as to the regulatory classification of protocol processing.

2. BellSouth Corporation (BellSouth) filed a petition for partial reconsideration of the Report and Order, requesting that the Commission expand its scope of this proceeding to revisit its long-standing classification of protocol processing as an enhanced service. BellSouth argued that the record of intervening technological, market, and regulatory changes developed in the Computer III proceeding, as well as unspecified subsequent developments, rendered the current classification contrary to the public interest.

3. The Commission determined that its classification of protocol processing was not affected by the Ninth Circuit opinion. In addition, the Commission found that BellSouth provided no factual justification to support revisiting the classification of protocol processing. Thus, the Commission denied BellSouth's petition for partial reconsideration.

Ordering Clauses

1. It is hereby Ordered, That pursuant to Sections 1, 4(i), 4(j), 201, 202, and 205 of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151, 154(i), 154(j), 201, 202, 205, the Petition for Partial Reconnaissance filed by BellSouth Corporation is denied.

Federal Communications Commission.

Donna R. Searcy, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92–3530 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 91–321; RM–7833]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Port St. Joe, FL

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document substitutes Channel 228C2 for Channel 228C3 at Port St. Joe, Florida, and modifies the license for Station WMTO(FM), to specify operation on Channel 228C2, at the request of WMTO Limited Partnership. See 56 FR 57606, November 13, 1991. Channel 228C2 can be allotted to Port St. Joe in compliance with the minimum distance separation requirements of the Commission's Rules at the petitioner's specified site 7.7 kilometers (.48 miles) southeast of the community, in order to avoid a short-spacing to a construction permit for Station WRJ(MFM), Channel 229C1, Genova, Alabama. The coordinates are North Latitude 29°45’17” and West Longitude 85°15’26”. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy J. Walls, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634–6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 91–321, adopted January 29, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452–1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.
47 CFR Part 73

Radio Broadcasting Services; Brunswick, GA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document allots Channel 261A to Brunswick, Georgia, as the community's third local FM service at the request of Robert L. Yontz. See 56 FR 57607, November 13, 1991. Channel 261A can be allotted to Brunswick in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction. The coordinates for Channel 261A at Brunswick are North Latitude 31-10-00 and West Longitude 81-23-48. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy J. Walls, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 452-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 91–320, adopted January 28, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452–1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Georgia, is amended by adding Channel 261A at Brunswick. Federal Communications Commission.

Michael C. Ruger,
Assistant Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 92–3532 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

47 CFR Part 73

Radio Broadcasting Services; Blakely, GA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document substitutes Channel 226C3 for Channel 226A at Blakely, Georgia, and modifies the license for Station WDKZ(FM) to specify operation on the higher class channel, at the request of Hirsch Broadcasting, Inc. See 56 FR 57606, November 13, 1991. Channel 226C3 can be allotted to Blakely in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 16.6 kilometers (10.3 miles) southwest of the community. The coordinates are North Latitude 31-17-00 and West Longitude 85-04-00. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy J. Walls, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 452-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 91–319, adopted January 28, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452–1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Hawaii, is amended by adding Channel 238C1 at Honolulu. Federal Communications Commission.

Michael C. Ruger,
Assistant Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 92–3531 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

47 CFR Part 73

Radio Broadcasting Services; Honolulu, HI

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document substitutes Channel 238C for Channel 238C1 at Honolulu, Hawaii, and modifies the license for Station KAIRM(FM) to specify operation on the higher class channel, at the request of Christian Broadcasting Association. See 56 FR 50842, October 9, 1991. Channel 238C can be allotted to Honolulu in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 28.0 kilometers (17.4 miles) west to accommodate petitioner's desired transmitter site. The coordinates are North Latitude 21–23–42 and West Longitude 158–05–55. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy J. Walls, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634–6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 91–285, adopted January 30, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452–1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Hawaii, is amended by removing Channel 238C1 at Honolulu. Federal Communications Commission.

Michael C. Ruger,
Assistant Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 92–3533 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–M
47 CFR Part 73

Radio Broadcasting Services; Oakdale and Campti, LA

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission, at the request of Campti Broadcasting Company (RM-7660), allots Channel 253C3 to Campti, Louisiana. Channel 253C3 can be allotted to Campti in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with the site restriction of 19.9 kilometers (12.3 miles) west to accommodate Campti Broadcasting's desired site. The coordinates for Channel 253C3 at Campti are North Latitude 31-55-28 and West Longitude 93-19-25. The proposal filed by Oakdale Limited Partnership (RM-7250), see 55 FR 51133, December 12, 1990, requesting the substitution of Channel 254C1 for Channel 254C2 at Oakdale, Louisiana, is denied. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pamela Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 90-594, adopted January 31, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452-1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Michigan, is amended by adding Channel 250A, Muskegon Heights.

Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


Federal Communications Commission.
Michael C. Ruger,
Assistant Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.
[FR Doc. 92-3353 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 0712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73

Radio Broadcasting Services; Muskegon Heights, MI

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document substitutes Channel 269B1 for Channel 269A at Muskegon Heights, Michigan, and modifies the license for Station WQWQ-FM in response to a petition filed by Pathfinder Communications Corporation. See 56 FR 58530, November 20, 1991. Canadian concurrence has been obtained for this allotment at coordinates 43-16-39 and 86-29-00. With this action, this proceeding is terminated.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathleen Scheuerle, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634–6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 91-337, adopted January 31, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractors, Downtown Copy Center, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 452–1422.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


§ 73.202 [Amended]

2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Michigan, is amended by removing Channel 269A, Muskegon and adding Channel 269B1, Muskegon Heights.

Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Victoria M. McCauley, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 834-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 90-101, adopted January 27, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 313). 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452-1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

The Commission, at the request of Cen-Tex Media, Inc., licensee of Station KSTV-FM, Stephenville, Texas, substitutes Channel 289C for Channel 289C1 at Stephenville, Texas; reallocates Channel 289C to Decatur, Texas, and modifies the license of Station KSTV-FM to specify operation on the higher powered channel at the reallocated community. See 55 FR 109931, March 16, 1990. This action grants petitioner's alternate proposal for reallocation of Channel 289C at Decatur rather than the originally proposed community of Benbrook. This action also denies a counterproposal filed by William L. Cook for the allotment of Channel 229C1 at Lone Grove, Oklahoma, and dismisses a counterproposal filed by Lake Country Communications, Inc. and expression of interest filed by Cook for the allotment of Channel 229C1 at Healdton, withdrawn by Lake Country. Channel 289C can be allotted at Decatur, Texas, without a site restriction. The coordinates for Channel 289C at Decatur are 32-57-30 and 96-00-15. This action also requires the substitution of Channel 229C2 for 289C2 at Healdton, Oklahoma, and the modification of the license of Station KICM(FM) at Healdton, accordingly, the substitution of Channel 273A for Channel 288A at Wichita Falls, Texas, and the modification of the construction permit of unbuilt Station KQXC(FM) at Wichita Falls, accordingly, and the substitution of Channel 269A for vacant Channel 229A at Jacksboro, Texas. The coordinates for Channel 229C2 at Healdton are 34-02-27 and 97-20-00. Coordinates for Channel 273A at Wichita Falls are 33-53-50 and 98-32-33. Coordinates for Channel 269A at Jacksboro are 33-13-06 and 98-00-40.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73
Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—AMENDED
1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

§ 73.202 [Amended]
2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by removing Channel 289C1 at Stephenville and adding 289C at Decatur, and by removing Channel 288A and adding Channel 273A at Wichita Falls, and by removing Channel 228A and adding Channel 229A at Jacksboro.
3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Oklahoma, is amended by removing Channel 289C2 and adding Channel 229C2 at Healdton.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Victoria M. McCauley, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 834-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 90-101, adopted January 27, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 313). 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452-1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

The Commission, at the request of Cen-Tex Media, Inc., licensee of Station KSTV-FM, Stephenville, Texas, substitutes Channel 289C for Channel 289C1 at Stephenville, Texas; reallocates Channel 289C to Decatur, Texas, and modifies the license of Station KSTV-FM to specify operation on the higher powered channel at the reallocated community. See 55 FR 109931, March 16, 1990. This action grants petitioner's alternate proposal for reallocation of Channel 289C at Decatur rather than the originally proposed community of Benbrook. This action also denies a counterproposal filed by William L. Cook for the allotment of Channel 229C1 at Lone Grove, Oklahoma, and dismisses a counterproposal filed by Lake Country Communications, Inc. and expression of interest filed by Cook for the allotment of Channel 229C1 at Healdton, withdrawn by Lake Country. Channel 289C can be allotted at Decatur, Texas, without a site restriction. The coordinates for Channel 289C at Decatur are 32-57-30 and 96-00-15. This action also requires the substitution of Channel 229C2 for 289C2 at Healdton, Oklahoma, and the modification of the license of Station KICM(FM) at Healdton, accordingly, the substitution of Channel 273A for Channel 288A at Wichita Falls, Texas, and the modification of the construction permit of unbuilt Station KQXC(FM) at Wichita Falls, accordingly, and the substitution of Channel 269A for vacant Channel 229A at Jacksboro, Texas. The coordinates for Channel 229C2 at Healdton are 34-02-27 and 97-20-00. Coordinates for Channel 273A at Wichita Falls are 33-53-50 and 98-32-33. Coordinates for Channel 269A at Jacksboro are 33-13-06 and 98-00-40.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73
Radio broadcasting.

PART 73—AMENDED
1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

§ 73.202 [Amended]
2. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Texas, is amended by removing Channel 289C1 at Stephenville and adding 289C at Decatur, and by removing Channel 288A and adding Channel 273A at Wichita Falls, and by removing Channel 228A and adding Channel 229A at Jacksboro.
3. Section 73.202(b), the Table of FM Allotments under Oklahoma, is amended by removing Channel 289C2 and adding Channel 229C2 at Healdton.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Victoria M. McCauley, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 834-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 90-101, adopted January 27, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 313). 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452-1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

The Commission, at the request of Cen-Tex Media, Inc., licensee of Station KSTV-FM, Stephenville, Texas, substitutes Channel 289C for Channel 289C1 at Stephenville, Texas; reallocates Channel 289C to Decatur, Texas, and modifies the license of Station KSTV-FM to specify operation on the higher powered channel at the reallocated community. See 55 FR 109931, March 16, 1990. This action grants petitioner's alternate proposal for reallocation of Channel 289C at Decatur rather than the originally proposed community of Benbrook. This action also denies a counterproposal filed by William L. Cook for the allotment of Channel 229C1 at Lone Grove, Oklahoma, and dismisses a counterproposal filed by Lake Country Communications, Inc. and expression of interest filed by Cook for the allotment of Channel 229C1 at Healdton, withdrawn by Lake Country. Channel 289C can be allotted at Decatur, Texas, without a site restriction. The coordinates for Channel 289C at Decatur are 32-57-30 and 96-00-15. This action also requires the substitution of Channel 229C2 for 289C2 at Healdton, Oklahoma, and the modification of the license of Station KICM(FM) at Healdton, accordingly, the substitution of Channel 273A for Channel 288A at Wichita Falls, Texas, and the modification of the construction permit of unbuilt Station KQXC(FM) at Wichita Falls, accordingly, and the substitution of Channel 269A for vacant Channel 229A at Jacksboro, Texas. The coordinates for Channel 229C2 at Healdton are 34-02-27 and 97-20-00. Coordinates for Channel 273A at Wichita Falls are 33-53-50 and 98-32-33. Coordinates for Channel 269A at Jacksboro are 33-13-06 and 98-00-40.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73
Radio broadcasting.
Proposed Rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Parts 5, 101, and 105
[Docket No. 91N-03841]

RIN 0905-AD08

Food Labeling: Nutrient Content Claims, General Principles, Petitions, Definition of Terms; Submission of Reporting Requirements to OMB

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for its review the collection of information requirements of the proposed rule that would provide for petitions regarding nutrient content claims, synonyms for those claims, and implied nutrient content claims in brand names.

DATES: Written comments by February 25, 1992.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, rm. 443-1751, Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857, or to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, rm. 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Desk Officer for FDA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth J. Campbell, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF-312), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-485-0229.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of November 27, 1991 (56 FR 60421), FDA published a proposed rule setting forth general principles and procedures to govern the use of nutrient content claims and definitions for specific nutrient content claims (hereinafter referred to as the proposed rule). FDA also proposed procedures by which a person may petition FDA to revise these regulations (nutrient content claims petitions), to provide for the use of new or similar descriptive terms (synonym petitions), or to provide for the use of implied claims in brand names (brand name petitions).

I. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980

The proposed rule contains collection of information requirements that are subject to review by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3507). Therefore, in accordance with 5 CFR 1320, the title, description, and respondent descriptions of the proposed collection of information requirements are shown below with an estimate of the annual collection of information burden. Included in the estimate is the amount of time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering necessary information, and completion and submission of petitions.

Title


Description

The proposed rule provides the procedures for the submission of petitions to the agency. The information included in these petitions will be reviewed by the agency, and a decision will be made in accordance with the criteria specified in the proposed rule.

The Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990 (the 1990 amendments) added section 403(r)(4) (21 U.S.C. 403(r)(4)) to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act). This section provides that any person may petition the Secretary to make nutrient content claims that are not specifically provided for in FDA's regulations. It describes the procedures for petitions that seek to define additional nutrient content claims, to establish synonyms, and to use an implied nutrient content claim in a brand name.

Nutrient Content Claim Petitions

Section 403(r)(4)(A)(ii) of the act grants the right to petition FDA to issue a regulation to define a nutrient content claim that has not been defined in the regulations under section 403(r)(2)(A)(i) of the act. The statute requires that such a petition include an explanation of the reasons why the claim that is the subject of the petition meets the requirements of section 403(r) of the act and a summary of the scientific data that support those reasons. Proposed § 101.69(m) sets forth the proposed data requirements specific to descriptor petitions.

Synonym Petitions

Section 403(r)(4)(A)(i) of the act grants the right to petition the FDA for permission to use terms in a nutrient content claim that are consistent (i.e., synonymous) with terms defined in regulations issued under section 403(r)(2)(A)(i) of the act. The petition requirements in proposed § 101.69(n) are those that FDA believes are necessary to demonstrate that use of the proposed synonym is not misleading and consistent with the purpose of the 1990 amendments.

Brand-Name Petitions

Section 403(r)(4)(A)(ii) of the act grants the right to petition FDA for permission to use an implied claim in a brand name that is consistent with terms defined by the Secretary under section 403(r)(2)(A)(i) of the act. Proposed § 101.69(o)(1) sets forth the proposed data requirements specific to brand-name petitions. These requirements are, in FDA's opinion, those necessary for the petition to demonstrate that use of the proposed implied claim is not misleading and is consistent with the purpose of the 1990 amendments.

Description of Respondents

Persons and businesses, including small businesses.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Annual number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual frequency</th>
<th>Average burden per response</th>
<th>Annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101.69(m)(1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.69(n)(1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.69(o)(1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FDA has submitted copies of the proposed rule to OMB for its review of these reporting requirements.

II. Comments

Interested persons should send their comments regarding these estimated burdens, including suggestions for reducing these burdens, to the addressees given above. Interested persons may, on or before February 25, 1992, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments regarding this proposal. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. Monday through Friday.


Michael R. Taylor,
Deputy Commissioner for Policy.


II. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980

The proposed rule contains collection of information requirements of the proposed rule that would provide for petitions requesting the agency to issue a regulation authorizing a health claim on a substance-disease relationship.

DATES: Written comments by February 25, 1992.

ADDRESS: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, rm. 1-23, 122420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857, 301-443-1751 and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, rm. 1235, New Executive Office Bldg., Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Desk Officer for FDA.


Supplementary Information: The Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990 (the 1990 amendments) added section 403(r)(4)(A)(ii) to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act). This section of the act grants any person the right to petition FDA to issue a regulation authorizing a health claim on a substance-disease relationship. In the Federal Register of November 27, 1991 (56 FR 60537), FDA published a proposed rule amending the food labeling regulations (21 CFR Part 101) to, among other things, provide for these petitions (hereinafter referred to as the proposed rule).

I. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980

The proposed rule contains collection of information requirements that are subject to review by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501). Therefore, in accordance with 5 CFR 1320, the title, description, and respondent descriptions of the proposed collection of information requirements are shown below with an estimate of the annual collection and information burden. Included in the estimate is the amount of time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering necessary information, and completion and submission of petition.

Title: 21 CFR 101.70—Food Labeling: General Requirements for Health Claims for Food.

Description: Section 403(r)(4)(A)(ii) of the act grants any person the right to petition the agency to issue a regulation authorizing a health claim on a substance-disease relationship. The agency is proposing to establish § 101.70 as the general procedural regulation for health claims. Proposed § 101.70.

Paragraphs [a] through [d] address general issues and requirements such as the incorporation of various types of information into the petition and standard FDA requirements pertaining to clinical and nonclinical studies submitted to the agency for review. Section 101.70(f) sets forth the proposed format for a health claim petition. It specifies the types of data and other requirements that the agency believes are necessary to provide for an efficient review and to demonstrate that the proposed substance-disease relationship complies with the requirements established under the 1990 amendments.

Description of Respondents: Persons and businesses, including small businesses.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Annual number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual frequency</th>
<th>Average burden per response</th>
<th>Annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101.70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDA has submitted copies of this proposed rule to OMB for its review of this reporting requirement.

II. Comments

Interested persons should send their comments regarding these estimated burdens, including suggestions for reducing these burdens, to the addressees given above. Interested persons may, on or before February 25, 1992, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments regarding this proposal. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m. Monday through Friday.


Michael R. Taylor,
Deputy Commissioner for Policy.
21 CFR Part 100

[Docket No. 91N-0038]  
RIN 0905-AD08

State Petitions Requesting Exemption From Federal Preemption; Submission of Reporting Requirements to OMB

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), for its review, the collection of information requirements of the proposed rule that would provide for petitions requesting exemption from Federal preemption for certain State or local food standards and other labeling requirements that are preempted under the provisions of the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990 (the 1990 amendments).

DATES: Written comments by February 25, 1992.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-485-0229.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of November 27, 1991 (56 FR 60522), FDA published a proposed rule that would amend its general regulations (21 CFR part 100) to provide for petitions requesting exemption from preemption for certain State or local food standards and other labeling requirements that are preempted under the provisions of the 1990 amendments (hereinafter referred to as the proposed rule). The proposed rule sets out the procedures for the submission, and for agency review, of these petitions and the information that the petitioner should supply.

I. Paperwork Reduction Act

The proposed rule contains collection of information requirements that are subject to review by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3507). Therefore, in accordance with 5 CFR part 1320, the title, description, and respondent descriptions of the proposed collection of information requirements are shown below with an estimate of the annual collection of information burden. Included in the estimate is the amount of time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering necessary information, and completion and submission of petitions.

Title: 21 CFR 100.1—State Petitions Requesting Exemption from Federal Preemption.

Description: The 1990 amendments provided that States may petition FDA for exemption from State requirements from the Federal preemption accorded therein. The proposed amendments to the regulations in 21 CFR part 100 add procedural requirements and provisions for petitions requesting exemption from preemption for certain State or local food standards and labeling requirements that were preempted under the provisions of the 1990 amendments. Proposed § 100.1(d) sets forth the information the petitioner is required to supply.

Description of Respondents: States.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Annual number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual frequency</th>
<th>Average burden per response</th>
<th>Annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101.1(d)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDA has submitted copies of the proposed rule to OMB for its review of this reporting requirement.

II. Comments

States should send their comments regarding these estimated burdens, including suggestions for reducing these burdens, to the addressees given above.

Interested persons may, on or before February 25, 1992, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments regarding this proposal. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.


Michael R. Taylor,  
Deputy Commissioner for Policy.

BILING CODE: 4105-01-48

21 CFR Part 100

[Docket No. 91N-0034]  
RIN 0905-AD08

State Enforcement Provisions of the Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of 1990; Submission of Reporting Procedures to OMB.

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), for its review, the reporting procedures of the proposed rule on State enforcement of certain requirements of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act). Under the act, the State must provide 30 days notice of its intent to act and comply with other procedural requirements before taking enforcement action.

DATES: Written comments by February 25, 1992.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug
Administration, rm. 1–23, 12420
Parklawn Drive, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–443–1751 and to the Office of
Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, rm. 3208, New Executive Office
Bldg., Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Desk Officer for FDA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Janice F. Oliver, Center for Food Safety
and Applied Nutrition (HFF–310), Food
and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW.,

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
Nutrition Labeling and Education Act of
1990 (the 1990 amendments) amended
the act to authorize a State to bring in
Federal court in its own name and
within its jurisdiction proceedings for
the civil enforcement, or to restrain
violations of, certain sections of the act
provided that the State has given notice
to FDA of its intent to act 30 days before
commencing proceedings. In the Federal
Register of November 27, 1991 (56 FR
60534), FDA published a proposed rule
that would amend its general
regulations (21 CFR part 100) to provide
the States with instructions on how to
give the requisite 30–day notice. The
proposed regulation sets out the
information that a State should supply.

I. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980

The proposed rule contains reporting
procedures that are subject to review by
OMB under the Paperwork Reduction
Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3507). Therefore,
in accordance with 5 CFR 1320, the title,
description, and respondent descriptions
of the proposed reporting procedures are
shown below with an estimate of the
annual reporting and recordkeeping
burden. Included in the estimate is the
amount of time for reviewing
instructions, searching existing data
sources, gathering necessary
information, and completion and
submission of correspondence to FDA.

The proposed amendments set forth
the regulations in 21 CFR part 100 to
provide the states with instructions on
how to give the requisite 30–day notice.
The proposed regulation sets out the
information that a state should supply.

Title: 21 CFR 100.2—State
Enforcement Provisions of the Nutrition
Labeling and Education Act of 1990.

Description: The 1990 amendments
provide for State enforcement of certain
requirements of the act so long as the
State provides 30 days notice of its
intent to act and complies with other
procedural requirements before taking
any such enforcement action. Proposed
§ 100.2 provides the States with
instructions on how to give the requisite
30–day notice.

Description of Respondents: States.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Annual number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual frequency</th>
<th>Average burden per response</th>
<th>Annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDA has submitted copies of this
proposed rule to OMB for its review of
the reporting procedures.

II. Comments

States should send their comments
regarding these estimated burdens,
including suggestions for reducing these
burdens, to the addresses given above.

Interested persons may, on or before
February 25, 1992, submit to the Dockets
Management Branch (address above)
written comments regarding this
proposal. Two copies of any comments
are to be submitted, except that
individuals may submit one copy.
Comments are to be identified with the
docket number found in brackets in the
heading of this document. Received
comments may be seen in the office
between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday
through Friday.


Michael R. Taylor,
Deputy Commissioner for Policy.
[FR Doc. 92–3523 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Youngmee K. Park, Center for Food
Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFF–265),
Food and Drug Administration, 200 C
Street SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–
485–0089.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the
Federal Register of November 27, 1991
(56 FR 60394), FDA published a
proposed rule that would amend its food
labeling regulations (21 CFR part 101) to,
among other things, establish reference
amounts of food customarily consumed
per eating occasion for 131 food product
categories and to provide criteria for
determining label serving size from the
reference amounts (hereinafter referred
to as the proposed rule). In the proposed
rule, FDA also proposed a petition
process to add or to amend a reference
amount to or to establish a new
subcategory if a reference amount for a
product category does not apply to a
particular product.

I. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980

The proposed rule contains collection
of information requirements that are
subject to review by OMB under the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44
U.S.C. 3507). Therefore, in accordance
with 5 CFR 1320, the title, description,
and respondent descriptions of the
proposed collection of information

21 CFR Part 101

[Docket No. 90N–0165]

RIN 0905–AD08

Food Labeling: Serving Sizes;
Submission of Reporting
Requirements to OMB

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.
HHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug
Administration (FDA) is announcing
that it has submitted to the Office of
Management and Budget (OMB), for its
review, the collection of information
requirements of the proposed rule that
would provide for petitions to add to or
amend a standard serving size.

DATES: Written comments by February

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments
to the Dockets Management Branch
(HFA–305), Food and Drug
Administration, rm. 1–23, 12420
Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857, 301–
443–1751 and to the Office of
Information and Regulatory Affairs,
OMB, rm. 3208, New Executive Office
Building, Washington, DC 20503, Attn:
Desk Officer for FDA.
requirements are shown below with an estimate of the annual collection of information burden. Included in the estimate is the amount of time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering necessary information, and completion and submission of petitions.

Title
21 CFR 101.12—Food Labeling; Serving Sizes

Description
The proposed rule provides the procedure and format for the submission of petitions to the agency. Proposed § 101.12(h) describes the information needed by FDA to evaluate a need for the change or addition requested in the petition and to determine the appropriate reference amount for the petitioned food if the change or addition is judged as needed.

The information included in these petitions will be reviewed by the agency and a decision will be made in accordance with the criteria specified in the proposed rule.

Description of Respondents
Businesses, including small businesses.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Annual number of respondents</th>
<th>Annual frequency</th>
<th>Average burden per response</th>
<th>Annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101.12(h)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDA has submitted copies of the proposed rule to OMB for its review of this reporting requirement.

II. Comments
Interested persons should send their comments regarding these estimated burdens, including suggestions for reducing these burdens, to the addresses given above.

Interested persons may, on or before February 25, 1992, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments regarding this proposal. Two copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy.

Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Michael R. Taylor,
Deputy Commissioner for Policy.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Internal Revenue Service
26 CFR Part 1
[IA-54-90]
RIN 1545-A099
Settlement Funds
AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.
ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document contains proposed regulations relating to the tax treatment of transfers to certain escrow accounts, settlement funds, and other similar funds, the taxation of income earned by these funds, and the tax treatment of distributions made by these funds. Changes to the applicable law were made by the Tax Reform Act of 1986 and the Technical and Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1988. The regulations affect certain escrow accounts, settlement funds, and other similar funds, taxpayers who make payments to these funds, and taxpayers who receive payments from these funds. The regulations are necessary to provide these taxpayers with guidance needed to comply with these changes.

DATES: Written comments must be received by April 14, 1992. Requests to appear and outlines of oral comments to be presented at the hearing scheduled for 8 a.m., May 27, 1992, must be received by May 6, 1992. See the notice of hearing published elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Send comments, requests for and outlines to be presented at the public hearing to: Internal Revenue Service, P.O. Box 7604, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, DC 20044, Attn: CC:CORP:T:R [IA-54-90], Room 5228.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda M. Kroening of the Office of Assistant Chief Counsel (Income Tax & Accounting), telephone (202) 377-7976 (not a toll-free call).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Paperwork Reduction Act

The collections of information contained in this notice of proposed rulemaking have been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3504(h)). Comments on the collection of information should be sent to the Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Department of the Treasury, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Washington, DC 20503, with copies to the Internal Revenue Service, Attn: IRS Reports Clearance Officer, T:FP, Washington, DC 20224.

The collections of information in these proposed regulations are in §§ 1.468B-1(g), 1.468B-3(e), and 1.468B-5(c). This information is required by the Internal Revenue Service to assure that transfers and qualified settlement funds do not take inconsistent positions with respect to transfers made to qualified settlement funds. The respondents will be qualified settlement funds and transferors to qualified settlement funds.

The following estimates are an approximation of the average time expected to be necessary for a collection of information. They are based on such information as is available to the Internal Revenue Service. Individual respondents may require greater or lesser time, depending on their particular circumstances.

The estimated total annual reporting burden is 1,459 hours.

The estimated annual burden per respondent varies from 30 minutes to 1 hour and 45 minutes, depending on individual circumstances, with an estimated average of 45 minutes.

The estimated number of respondents is 2,000.

The estimated annual frequency of responses is 1.

Background


Explanations of Statutory Provisions

Section 468B(a) through (d) provides rules for the taxation of designated settlement funds and for taxpayers that make qualified payments to such funds. Section 468B(d)(2) defines a designated settlement fund as any fund—

1. That is established pursuant to a court order and that completely extinguishes the taxpayer's tort liability
with respect to certain specified types of claims;
2. With respect to which no amounts may be transferred other than in the form of qualified payments;
3. That is administered by persons a majority of whom are independent of the taxpayer;
4. That is established for the principal purpose of resolving and satisfying present and future claims against the taxpayer (or a related person or formerly related persons) arising out of personal injury, death, or property damage;
5. Under the terms of which the taxpayer (or a related person) may not hold any beneficial interest in the income or corpus of the fund; and
6. With respect to which an election is made under section 468B by the taxpayer.

Section 468B(d)(1) generally defines a qualified payment as any money or property transferred by a taxpayer to a designated settlement fund. A qualified payment does not include, however, any amount that may be transferred by a designated settlement fund to the taxpayer (or a related person), or any transfer of stock or debt of a taxpayer (or a related person).

Section 468B(a) provides that, for purposes of section 461(h), economic performance is deemed to occur as a qualified payment is made by the taxpayer to a designated settlement fund. Section 468B(c) denies a deduction, however, for any qualified payment of any amounts received from the settlement of any insurance claim to the extent such amounts are excluded from the gross income of the taxpayer.

Section 468B(b)(1) provides that the gross income of a designated settlement fund is taxed at the maximum trust rate under section 1(e) in effect for the taxable year. Section 468B(b)(2) states that a designated settlement fund is not allowed any deductions from gross income other than administrative costs and other incidental expenses that would be deductible in determining the taxable income of a corporation and that are incurred in connection with the operation of the fund. These costs and expenses include state and local taxes, and legal, accounting, and actuarial expenses.

Section 468B(b)(3) provides that a qualified payment is not treated as income of a designated settlement fund. In addition, the designated settlement fund's basis in any property received as a qualified payment is the fair market value of that property on the date of payment. Finally, a designated settlement fund is treated as the owner of all cash or other property received as a qualified payment (including any income earned on such property).

Section 468B(b)(4) states that the tax on the gross income of a designated settlement fund is in lieu of any other taxation under subtitle A of income from the assets of the fund. Thus, for example, the gross income of a designated settlement fund is not subject to the alternative minimum tax. Finally, section 468B(b)(5) provides that, for purposes of the provisions of subtitle F of the Internal Revenue Code, a designated settlement fund is treated as a corporation and any tax imposed by section 468B(b)(1) is treated as a tax imposed by section 11.

Section 468B(e) states that section 468B (other than subsection (g)) does not apply with respect to any liability of a taxpayer arising under a workers' compensation act or any contested liability (within the meaning of section 461(f)) of a taxpayer.

Section 468B(g) provides that "[n]othing in any provision of law shall be construed as providing that an escrow account, settlement fund, or similar fund is not subject to current income tax." In addition, section 468B(g) states that "[t]he Secretary shall prescribe regulations providing for the taxation of any such account or fund whether as a grantor trust or otherwise." Section 468B(g) was enacted, in part, to reverse the finding in Rev. Rule. 71-119, 1971-1 Cumulative Bulletin 165. (Some taxpayers argued that Rev. Rul. 71-119 was authority for avoiding the current taxation of income earned on the assets of an escrow account, settlement fund, or other similar fund.) See H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 841, 99th Cong., 2d Sess. II-645 n. 2 (1968). Thus, Rev. Rul. 71-119 cannot be relied on as authority that the income of an escrow account, settlement fund, or other similar fund is not subject to current taxation.

The provisions applicable to designated settlement funds are generally effective after July 18, 1984, under rules applicable to section 461(h).

Section 468B(g) is effective for funds established after August 16, 1986.

Explanation of Regulatory Provisions

Overview

Under the authority of section 468B(g), these proposed regulations provide a single set of operative rules for the taxation of designated settlement funds and certain funds, accounts, or trusts called qualified settlement funds. The definition of a qualified settlement fund provided in these regulations is broader than the definition of a designated settlement fund provided by section 468B(d)(2). Rules are also provided for the taxation of the income of a fund prior to the date it satisfies the requirements of a qualified settlement fund.

In addition to the rules for the taxation of fund income, the proposed regulations provide rules applicable to the transfers and claims of designated and qualified settlement funds. For example, the regulations provide rules for determining if and when economic performance occurs with respect to a transfer to a fund.

Finally, the proposed regulations provide effective dates and provide transition rules for funds, accounts, and trusts established on or after the effective dates of section 468B and before the general effective date of the regulations.

Qualified Settlement Funds

The proposed regulations provide rules that treat certain funds, accounts, or trusts as separate taxable entities called qualified funds. These requirements must be satisfied to qualify as a qualified settlement fund. A qualified settlement fund is a fund, account, or trust:

1. That is established pursuant to an order of, or is approved by, a governmental authority;
2. That is established to resolve or satisfy certain specified claims arising from an event, or series of events, that has occurred; and
3. That is a trust under applicable state law, or the assets of which are segregated from other assets of the transferor (or related person).

The proposed regulations define a transferor as a person that transfers (or on behalf of whom an insurer or other person transfers) money or property to a qualified settlement fund to resolve and satisfy claims against that person.

The proposed regulations provide that the governmental authority that orders or approves the establishment of a fund, account, or trust can be the United States, any state (including the District of Columbia), territory, possession, or political subdivision thereof, or any agency or instrumentality (including a court of law) of any of the foregoing. A court of law includes a United States federal bankruptcy court. The regulations provide that a fund, account, or trust is "ordered by" or "approved by" a governmental authority when the authority provides its initial or preliminary order or approval, even if that order or approval may be subject to review or revision. Except as provided under the relation-back election described below, the regulations do not treat a fund, account, or trust as a
qualified settlement fund any earlier than the date the order or approval is granted even if the order or approval is retroactively effective. A relation-back election is provided that generally allows a fund, account, or trust that meets the governmental order or approval requirement subsequent to the time it meets the other two requirements of a qualified settlement fund to be treated retroactively as a qualified settlement fund as of the date those other two requirements are met. However, if the governmental order or approval is granted in a calendar year subsequent to the one in which the other two requirements are met, the fund, account, or trust is retroactively treated as a qualified settlement fund only as of January 1 of that subsequent calendar year. All the transferors and the administrator must jointly make the relation-back election. If the transferors do not jointly make the relation-back election, it cannot be used by any of the transferors or the qualified settlement fund.

The proposed regulations provide that the types of claims that can be resolved or satisfied by a qualified settlement fund are claims that give rise to liabilities under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, or that arise out of a tort, breach of contract, or violation of law. In addition, the regulations provide that additional types of claims may be designated by the Commissioner in a revenue ruling or revenue procedure. The Internal Revenue Service invites comments regarding the extent to which qualified settlement funds should be available for the claims of general creditors and security holders in bankruptcy. Comments are invited regarding whether claims for breach of contract should include claims of general creditors and security holders in bankruptcy.

Under the proposed regulations, a qualified settlement fund cannot be used to resolve or satisfy claims that give rise to certain regularly recurring liabilities: Liabilities arising under a workers compensation act; liabilities to refund the purchase price of, or to repair or replace, products regularly sold in the ordinary course of the transferor's business; liabilities arising under a self-insured health plan; and other liabilities designated by the Commissioner in a revenue ruling or revenue procedure. The Internal Revenue Service invites comments regarding other types of liabilities that are recurring in nature and that should be excluded from the qualified settlement fund rules. If a fund, account, or trust is established to resolve or satisfy claims for which a qualified settlement fund may be used, but the fund does not yet have a governmental order or approval, or the assets of the fund are not yet segregated, the assets of the fund are deemed to be owned by the transferor. On the date all the requirements of a qualified settlement fund are satisfied, or deemed satisfied under the relation-back election, the regulations provide that the transferor is deemed to make a transfer of the assets of the fund, account, or trust to a qualified settlement fund.

Finally, the proposed regulations clarify that if a fund, account, or trust that is a qualified settlement fund could be classified as a trust for federal income tax purposes, it is classified as a qualified settlement fund for all purposes of the Code. Similarly, if a fund, account, or trust organized as a trust under applicable state law is a qualified settlement fund, it may be classified as an association or a partnership for federal income tax purposes, it is classified as a qualified settlement fund for all purposes of the Code. In addition, if a fund, account, or trust established pursuant to the requirements of § 1.461-2(c)(1) (relating to contested liabilities) could be classified as a qualified settlement fund, it is classified as a qualified settlement fund for all purposes of the Code.

**Taxation of Qualified Settlement Funds**

The proposed regulations provide that the modified gross income of a qualified settlement fund is subject to tax, for each taxable year, at the maximum trust rate in effect under section 1(e) for that year. The modified gross income of a qualified settlement fund is defined as the gross income of the fund (within the meaning of section 61) computed with modifications specified in the proposed regulations. The first modification is that amounts transferred to a qualified settlement fund to resolve or satisfy a liability for which the fund was established are excluded from the gross income of the fund. The proposed regulations clarify, however, that dividends on stock of the transferor (or a related person) that is held by the fund are not excluded from the gross income of the fund. Similarly, interest on debt of the transferor (or a related person) that is held by the fund, or payments in compensation for late or delayed transfers, are not excluded from the gross income of the fund. The second modification allows a qualified settlement fund a deduction for administrative costs and other incidental expenses of the fund that would be deductible in computing the taxable income of a corporation under chapter 1 of the Code. These costs and expenses include state and local taxes, legal, accounting, and actuarial expenses relating to the operation of the fund, and expenses arising from the notification of claimants and the processing of their claims. Thus, for example, if a qualified settlement fund pays the claimants' attorney fees, the fund is not allowed a deduction for that payment because those legal fees do not relate to the operation of the fund.

The third modification allows a qualified settlement fund a deduction for a loss sustained in connection with the sale, exchange, or worthlessness of property held by the fund. A deduction is allowed, however, only to the extent it would be deductible in computing the taxable income of a corporation under section 165(f) or 165(g), and 1211(a) and 1212(a).

The fourth modification allows a qualified settlement fund a deduction for a net operating loss to the extent the loss would be deductible under section 172(a) in computing the taxable income of a corporation. The regulations define a qualified settlement fund net operating loss as the excess of the administrative costs and other incidental expenses, and losses sustained by the fund from the sale, exchange, or worthlessness of property over the gross income of a fund. The proposed regulations deny a deduction to a qualified settlement fund for any distributions by the fund to, or on behalf of, a transferor or claimant. The proposed regulations provide that a qualified settlement fund's initial basis in the property it receives from a transferor (or from an insurer or other person on behalf of a transferor) is the fair market value of that property on the date the property is transferred to the fund. If a qualified settlement fund distributes property, the distribution is treated as a sale or exchange of that property for purposes of section 1001(a). In computing a qualified settlement fund's gain or loss from a distribution, the fund is deemed to realize an amount equal to the fair market value of the property on the date the property is distributed.

The proposed regulations provide that the tax imposed on the modified gross income of a qualified settlement fund is in lieu of any other tax that could be imposed under subtitle A on the income of a fund. Thus, for example, the alternative minimum tax, the accumulated earnings tax, the personal holding company tax, and the maximum capital gains rate will not be imposed on
the income of a qualified settlement fund. However, a qualified settlement fund is subject to taxes that are not imposed on income, such as the tax on the transfer of property to foreign entities under section 1491. Taxpayers should note that the regulations include the reference to section 1491 only as an example of taxes other than income taxes that a qualified settlement fund might be subject to. The regulations are not intended to address foreign tax issues that might involve qualified settlement funds. However, the Internal Revenue Service invites comments regarding potential foreign tax issues.

Taxpayers should note that the "in lieu of any other tax" provisions applies solely with respect to a tax that is imposed on a qualified settlement fund. This provision, therefore, has no effect on the taxability of a distribution to a claimant.

The proposed regulations provide that the tax imposed on the modified gross income of a qualified settlement fund cannot be reduced or offset by any credits against tax. The proposed regulations provide that a qualified settlement fund must use the calendar year and an accrual method of accounting.

The Internal Revenue Service invites comments regarding whether a qualified settlement fund should be taxable on its modified gross income if the transferor is exempt from tax (e.g., a municipality or section 501(c)(3) organization) and the distributions to claimants will not be includible in the claimants' gross income (e.g., a distribution for damages that is excluded under section 104(a)(2)).

Administrative Treatment of a Qualified Settlement Fund

The proposed regulations provide that, for purposes of the rules under subtitle F, a qualified settlement fund is treated as a corporation and any tax imposed on the income of the fund is treated as a tax imposed by section 11. Subtitle F generally provides rules for information and income tax returns, the time and place for the payment of tax, and the assessment and collection of tax.

In applying the rules of subtitle F, the proposed regulations state that the administrator of a qualified settlement fund must obtain an employer identification number for the fund. The administrator must also file an income tax return with respect to the tax imposed on the income of a qualified settlement fund for each taxable year of the fund regardless of whether the fund has any gross income. The return must be filed on or before March 15 following the close of the taxable year unless the fund is granted an extension of time for filing. All payments of tax (including payments of estimated tax) must be deposited with an authorized government depository.

Under the proposed regulations, the administrator of a qualified settlement fund is, in order of priority—

1. The person designated by the governmental authority that ordered or approved the establishment of the fund;
2. The person designated in the escrow agreement, settlement agreement, or other similar agreement;
3. The transferor or, if there are multiple transferors, the transferor designated as the administrator in an agreement signed by all the transferors; or
4. The escrow agent, custodian, or other person in possession or control of the fund assets.

For purposes of determining when a qualified settlement fund is in existence, the proposed regulations provide that the fund generally begins existence on the date the fund account, or trust, is opened, or is deemed to meet the requirements of a qualified settlement fund. The regulations provide that a qualified settlement fund ceases to exist on the earlier of the date the fund no longer meets the requirements of a qualified settlement fund or no longer has any assets and will not receive any more transfers.

The proposed regulations provide that a qualified settlement fund is subject to the information reporting requirements of sections 6011 through 6060 and the withholding requirements of sections 1441 through 1464 and 3101 through 3509. For purposes of determining whether information reporting or withholding is required for distributions to claimants, a qualified settlement fund must report, or withhold from, a distribution if the transferor would have been required to do so if the transferor had made the distribution directly to a claimant. Thus, for example, if a distribution of taxable interest income is made to a claimant, the qualified settlement fund would be required to report the distribution on a Form 1099-INT subject to the rules of section 6041 or 6049. For distributions by a qualified settlement fund with respect to liabilities of the fund (e.g., payment of wages to the administrator), the fund is the payor and subject to the applicable information reporting and withholding requirements.

Rules Applicable to the Transferor

The proposed regulations provide that a transferor must treat a transfer of property to a qualified settlement fund as a sale or exchange of that property for purposes of section 1001(a). In computing gain or loss to the transferor, the transferor is deemed to realize an amount equal to the fair market value of that property on the date the property is transferred. However, the regulations provide that the Commissioner may disallow a loss resulting from the transfer of property to a qualified settlement fund if the Commissioner determines that a principal purpose for the transfer was to claim a loss and either the transferor places significant restrictions on the fund's ability to use or dispose of the property or the property (or substantially identical property) is distributed to the transferor (or a related person).

The proposed regulations provide that if a transferor that uses an accrual method of accounting makes a transfer to a qualified settlement fund to resolve or satisfy certain liabilities, economic performance (but not all the economic events test as defined in section 461(b)(4)) is generally deemed to occur with respect to the liabilities as the transfer is made and to the extent of the transfer. However, if a transferor transfers its debt to a qualified settlement fund, economic performance does not take place until, and to the extent, the transferor makes payments to the fund on the debt.

Economic performance does not occur to the extent the transferor (or a related person) has the right, exercisable currently and without the agreement of an unrelated person, to a refund or reversion of the transferred amount. Examples of an unrelated person include the court or agency that approved the qualified settlement fund, or the counsel representing the class of claimants. In addition, economic performance does not occur if the amount is transferred under conditions that allow its refund or reversion on the happening of an event that is certain to occur, such as the passage of time, or if the restrictions on the refund or reversion are illusory. Economic performance is deemed to occur subsequently, however, at the time and to the extent a transferor's right to these types of refunds or reversions is extinguished.

The proposed regulations do not address the treatment of transfers of the stock of a transferor (or a related person), and transfers of certain partnership interests (e.g., interest in a partnership where the transferor is the partnership or interests in a partnership controlled by the transferee (or a related person)). The Internal Revenue Service invites comments regarding the proper
treatment of stock of a transferor (or a related person) and those partnership interests. Comments should address, for example—

1. Whether economic performance should occur at the time the stock or partnership interest is transferred to a qualified settlement fund or when the fund disposes of the stock or partnership interest; and

2. Whether the transferor’s deduction should be measured by the fair market value of the stock or partnership interest when it is transferred to the qualified settlement fund or the amount received by the fund when it sells the stock or partnership interest; and

3. If the fair market value of the stock or partnership interest is to be determined prior to the time it is disposed of by the qualified settlement fund and the stock or partnership interest is not publicly traded, what types of rules would adequately prevent valuation abuses resulting from the fact that interests of the transferor and the fund with respect to the fair market value may not be adverse?

The proposed regulations deny a deduction to a transferor for any transfer that represents amounts received from the settlement of an insurance claim to the extent the amounts are excludable from the gross income of the transferor. If the settlement of an insurance claim occurs after a transfer to a qualified settlement fund for which a deduction was taken, the regulations provide that the transferor must include in income the amounts received to the extent of the prior deduction.

The proposed regulations require a transferor to provide a statement of certain information to the administrator of a qualified settlement fund not later than February 15 of the year following the close of the calendar year in which a transfer is made to the fund. In addition, the regulations require the transferor to attach a copy of that statement as part of its income tax return for the taxable year in which the transfer is made.

The proposed regulations provide that a transferor must include in gross income any distribution it receives from a qualified settlement fund or any distribution made at the transferor’s direction other than to a claimant to resolve or satisfy a liability for which the fund was established. If property is distributed, the regulations provide that the amount includible in the gross income of the transferor, and the transferor’s basis in that property, is the fair market value of the property on the date of distribution. A limited tax benefit rule is provided if a transferor did not receive a deduction because economic performance did not occur by reason of the transferor’s right to a refund or reversion, but only to the extent the amount distributed reduces the transferor’s right to any additional refund or reversion. The Internal Revenue Service invites comments regarding alternatives to this rule.

The proposed regulations also specify two situations in which a distribution will be deemed to have been made to a transferor. The first type of deemed distribution occurs if a qualified settlement fund makes a distribution to a person (including a claimant) to resolve or satisfy a liability of the transferor (or a related person) other than a liability for which the fund was established. In that case, the distribution is deemed to have been made first to the transferor who then is deemed to make the transfer to the actual recipient. The second type of deemed distribution occurs if a transferor acquires, subsequent to the transfer of money or property to a qualified settlement fund, a right for which economic performance would be denied if the right existed at the time of a transfer. The transferor is deemed to receive, on the date the right is acquired, a distribution to the extent of the right of refund or reversion.

Taxability of Distributions to Claimants

The proposed regulations provide that the determination of whether a distribution to a claimant is includible in the claimant’s gross income is generally made by reference to the claim for which the distribution is made.

Designated Settlement Funds

The proposed regulations provide that a designated settlement fund is taxed like a qualified settlement fund. In addition, the rules for transferors of a qualified settlement fund apply to the transferors of a designated settlement fund apply to claimants of a designated settlement fund.

The proposed regulations clarify that if a fund, account, or trust does not meet the requirements of a designated settlement fund but meets the requirements of a qualified settlement fund, it is treated as a qualified settlement fund.

Effective Dates and Transition Rules

The proposed regulations provide that the rules for qualified settlement funds are generally effective for January 1, 1993. Transition rules are provided, however, that give the Commissioner the discretion to apply the rules for transferors of a qualified settlement fund in existence on February 14, 1992, to be taxed in a manner other than that required for qualified settlement funds for taxable years ending after December 31, 1992.

The permission of the Commissioner to use an alternative method of taxation must be jointly requested by all the transferors and the administrator of the fund in a letter ruling request that is received by the Internal Revenue Service on or before December 15, 1993. In general, the following facts must be demonstrated before the Commissioner will approve the request:

1. The proposed alternative method of taxation is a reasonable method of taxation in light of the facts and circumstances of the qualified settlement fund and the law prior to the publication of the proposed regulations.

2. The alternative method of taxation is consistent with the positions taken and the taxes paid by the qualified settlement fund and all the transferors for taxable years of the fund ending on or before December 31, 1992.

3. The qualified settlement fund is expected to be in existence after 1992 for only a reasonably short period of time.

For income of a fund earned prior to 1993, section 468B (including section 468B[g]) is effective as applied in the Tax Reform Act of 1986 and the Technical and Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1988. With respect to the income earned prior to 1993 but after the effective date of section 468B[g], the proposed regulations state that the Internal Revenue Service will not challenge a reasonable, consistently applied method of taxation. In general, the transferors and the administrator of a qualified settlement fund are considered to have taken a reasonable position if the income of the fund was included currently in the income of a person as if the fund were a grantor trust, a complex trust, or a designated settlement fund. In no event, however, is the position that there is no current taxation on income earned prior to 1993 (e.g., a position that Rev. Rul. 71–119 applies) a reasonable position.

The proposed regulations also allow all the transferors and the administrator to jointly elect to apply these proposed regulations for all open years of the transferors and the qualified settlement fund prior to 1993 but after the effective date of section 468B[g]. As a condition of the election, each transferor must file amended returns for any taxable year in which it took a position with respect to a transfer to the qualified settlement fund or a distribution from the fund that is inconsistent with the qualified settlement fund rules. Under the broad authority provided by section 468B[g], the regulations treat any income earned by the qualified settlement fund as income earned on the last day of each...
calendar year so that the fund will not have an addition to tax for failure to pay estimated tax for income earned in taxable years ending prior to 1993. In addition, the regulations provide that if returns for the qualified settlement fund for taxable years ending before 1993 are filed and payments of tax are made on or before March 15, 1993, the penalties for failure to file and failure to pay are also waived. The regulations do not waive the interest provisions with respect to these returns.

Special Analyses

It has been determined that these proposed regulations are not major rules as defined in Executive Order 12291. Therefore, a Regulatory Impact Analysis is not required. It has also been determined that section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 5) and the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 6) do not apply to these regulations, and, therefore, an initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is not required. Pursuant to section 7805(f) of the Internal Revenue Code, a copy of the regulations will be submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration for comment on their impact on small business.

Comments and Requests for a Public Hearing

Before adopting these proposed regulations, consideration will be given to any written comments that are submitted timely (preferably a signed original and seven copies) to the Internal Revenue Service. All comments will be available for public inspection and copying in their entirety. A public hearing will be held at 8 a.m. on May 27, 1992. See the notice of hearing published elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register.

Drafting Information

The principal author of these regulations is Linda M. Kroening of the Office of Assistant Chief Counsel (Income Tax & Accounting), Office of Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service. However, other personnel from the Internal Revenue Service and Treasury Department Participated in developing the regulations, in matters of both substance and style.

List of Subjects in 26 CFR 1.461-1 Through 1.469-1T

Accounting, Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Proposed Amendments to the Regulations

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 1 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 1—INCOME TAX; TAXABLE YEARS BEGINNING AFTER DECEMBER 31, 1993

Paragraph 1. The authority for part 1 is amended by adding the following citation:

Authority: Section 7805, 66A Stat. 617: 26 U.S.C. 7805 * * * § 1.468B also issued under 26 U.S.C. 401(h) and 466B. §§ 1.468B-0 through 1.468B-5 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 461(b) and 466B. * * *

Par. 2. Sections § 1.468B and 1.468B-0 through 1.468B-5 are added to read as follows:

§ 1.468B Designated settlement funds.

A designated settlement fund, as defined in section 468B(d)(2), is taxed in the manner described in § 1.468B-2. The rules for transferees to a qualified settlement fund described in § 1.468B-3 apply to transferees to a designated settlement fund. Similarly, the rules for claimants of a qualified settlement fund described in § 1.468B-4 apply to claimants of a designated settlement fund. A fund, account, or trust that does not qualify as a designated settlement fund is, however, a qualified settlement fund if it meets the requirements of a qualified settlement fund described in § 1.468B-1.

§ 1.468B-0 Table of Contents.

This section contains a listing of the headings of §§ 1.468B-1 through 1.468B-5.

§ 1.468B-1 Qualified settlement funds.

(a) In general.
(b) Coordination with other entity classifications.
(c) Requirements.
(d) Governmental order or approval.
(e) Recurring liabilities.
(f) Definitions.
(1) Transferor.
(2) Related person.
(g) Classification of fund prior to satisfaction of requirements in paragraph (c).
(1) In general.
(2) Relation-back rule.
(3) In general.
(4) Relation-back election.
(h) Examples.

§ 1.468B-2 Taxation of qualified settlement funds and related administrative requirements.

(a) In general.
(b) Modified gross income.
(c) Distributions to transferees and claimants.
(d) Basis of property transferred to a qualified settlement fund.
(e) Distribution of property.
(f) Other taxes.
(g) Derial of credits against tax.
(h) Taxable year and accounting method.
(i) [RESERVED]
(j) Treatment as corporation for purposes of subtitle F.
(k) Withholding requirements.

§ 1.468B-3 Rules applicable to the Transferor.

(a) Transfer of property.
(b) Anti-abuse rule.
(c) Economic performance.
(d) In general.
(e) Right to a refund or revision.
(f) In general.
(g) Right extinguished.

§ 1.468B-4 Taxability of distributions to claimants.

§ 1.468B-5 Effective dates and transition rules.

(a) In general.
(b) Request for alternative taxation of post-1992 fund income.
(c) Taxation of pre-1993 fund income.
(1) Reasonable method.
(2) Election to apply qualified settlement fund rules.
(i) In general.
(2) Due date of returns and amended returns.
(iii) Computation of interest and waiver of penalties.

§ 1.468B-1 Qualified settlement funds.

(a) In general. A qualified settlement fund is a fund, account, or trust that satisfies the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section.
(b) Coordination with other entity classifications. If a fund, account, or trust that is a qualified settlement fund could be classified as a trust within the meaning of § 301.7701-4 of this chapter, it is classified as a qualified settlement fund for all purposes of the Internal Revenue Code. If a fund, account, or trust organized as a trust under applicable state law is a qualified settlement fund, and could be classified as either an association (within the meaning of § 301.7701-3 of this chapter), or a partnership (within the meaning of § 301.7701-3 of this chapter), it is classified as a qualified settlement fund for all purposes of the Internal Revenue Code. If a fund, account, or trust established pursuant to the requirements of § 1.461-2(c)(1), relating to funds for contested liabilities, could be classified as a qualified settlement fund...
fun, it is classified as a qualified settlement fund for all purposes of the Internal Revenue Code.

(c) Requirements. A fund, account, or trust satisfies the requirements of this paragraph (c) if—

(1) It is established pursuant to an order of, or is approved by, the United States, any State (including the District of Columbia), territory, possession, or political subdivision thereof, or any agency or instrumentality (including a court of law) of any of the foregoing;

(2) It is established to resolve or satisfy one or more claims that result from an event, or series of events, that has occurred and that gives rise to liabilities—

(i) Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.; or

(ii) Out of a tort, breach of contract, or violation of law; or

(iii) Designated by the Commissioner in a revenue ruling or revenue procedure.

(3) The fund, account, or trust is a trust under applicable state law, or its assets are otherwise segregated from other assets of the transferor (and related persons).

(d) Governmental order or approval. A fund, account, or trust is ordered by or approved by a governmental authority if it is subject to the supervision or jurisdiction of that governmental authority. A fund, account, or trust is "ordered by" or "approved by" a governmental authority described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section when the authority grants its initial or preliminary order or approval of the fund, account, or trust, even if that order or approval may be subject to review or revision. Except as provided in paragraph (f)(2) of this section, the authority’s order or approval has no retroactive effect and does not permit a fund, account, or trust to be a qualified settlement fund prior to the date the order is issued or the approval is granted.

(e) Requiring liabilities. A liability is not described in paragraph (c)(2) of this section if it—

(1) Arises under a workers' compensation act or a self-insured health plan;

(2) Is an obligation to refund the purchase price of, or to repair or replace, products regularly sold in the ordinary course of the transferor's trade or business; or

(3) Is designated by the Commissioner in a revenue ruling or a revenue procedure.

(f) Definitions. For purposes of this section—

(1) Transferor. A "transferor" is a person that transfers (or on behalf of whom an insurer or other person transfers) money or property to a qualified settlement fund to resolve or satisfy claims described in paragraph (c)(2) of this section against that person.

(2) Related person. A "related person" is any person who is related to the transferor within the meaning of sections 267(b) and 707(b)(1).

(g) Classification of fund prior to satisfaction of requirements in paragraph (c) of this section—(1) In general. If a fund, account, or trust is established to resolve or satisfy claims described in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the assets of the fund, account, or trust are treated as owned by the transferor of those assets until the fund, account, or trust also meets the requirements of paragraphs (c)(1) and (3) of this section. On the date the fund, account, or trust satisfies all the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section, the transferor is treated as transferring the assets to a qualified settlement fund.

(2) Relation-back rule—(i) In general. If a fund, account, or trust meets the requirements of paragraphs (c)(2) and (c)(3) of this section prior to the time it meets the requirements of paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the transferor and administrator (as defined in § 1.468B-2(j)[3]) may jointly make an election ("relation-back election") to treat the fund, account, or trust as coming into existence as a qualified settlement fund on the later of the date the fund, account, or trust meets the requirements of paragraphs (c)(2) and (c)(3) of this section or January 1 of the year in which all the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section are met. If a relation-back election is made, the assets that were held by the fund, account, or trust on the date the qualified settlement fund is treated as coming into existence are deemed transferred to the qualified settlement fund on that date.

(ii) Relation-back election. A relation-back election is made by attaching a copy of the election statement, signed by each transferor and the administrator, to (and as part of) the timely filed income tax return (including extensions) of the qualified settlement fund for the taxable year in which the fund is deemed to come into existence. A copy of the election statement must also be attached to (and as part of) the timely filed income tax return (including extensions), or an amended return, of each transferor for the taxable year of the transferor that includes the date on which the qualified settlement fund is deemed to come into existence. The election statement must contain—

(A) A legend "§ 1.468B-1 Relation-Back Election" at the top of the first page;

(B) Each transferor's name, address, and taxpayer identification number;

(C) The qualified settlement fund's name, address, and employer identification number;

(D) The date as of which the qualified settlement fund is treated as coming into existence; and

(E) A schedule of all assets deemed transferred to the qualified settlement fund under paragraph (g)(2)(i) of this section on the date the fund is treated as coming into existence.

(h) Examples. The following examples illustrate the rules of this section:

Example 1. In a class action brought in a United States federal district court, the court holds that the defendant, Corporation X, violated certain securities laws and must pay damages in the amount of $150 million. Pursuant to an order of the court, Corporation X transfers $50 million in cash and transfers property with a fair market value of $75 million to a trust. The trust will liquidate the property and then distribute cash proceeds to the plaintiffs in the class action. The trust is a qualified settlement fund because it was established pursuant to the order of a federal district court to resolve or satisfy claims against Corporation X for securities law violations that have occurred.

Example 2. (i) Assume the same facts as in Example 1 except that Corporation X and the class of plaintiffs reach an out-of-court settlement that requires Corporation X to establish and fund a trust prior to the settlement agreement being submitted to the court for approval.

(ii) The trust is not a qualified settlement fund because it neither is established pursuant to an order of, nor has it been approved by, a governmental authority described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section. If the court grants preliminary approval of the settlement agreement, the trust will qualify as a qualified settlement fund as of the date of the approval (assuming a relation-back election is not made).

Example 3. On June 1, 1994, Corporation Y establishes a fund to resolve or satisfy claims against it arising from the violation of certain securities laws by Corporation Y. On that date Corporation Y transfers $10 million to a segregated account. On December 1, 1994 a federal district court approves the fund. Assuming Corporation Y and the administrator of the qualified settlement fund do not make a relation-back election, Corporation Y is treated as the owner of the $10 million dollars, and is taxable on any income earned on that money, from June 1 through November 30, 1994. The fund is a qualified settlement fund beginning on December 1, 1994.

Example 4. (i) Corporation X, which has a taxable year ending on October 31, enters into a settlement agreement on September 1, 1993 with a plaintiff class for asserted tort liabilities. Under the settlement agreement, Corporation X makes two payments of $50
million each into a segregated fund, one on September 1, 1993, and one on October 1, 1993, to resolve or satisfy the tort liabilities. A federal district court approves the settlement on November 1, 1993. (ii) The administrator of the fund and Corporation X elect to treat the fund as a qualified settlement fund prior to governmental approval under the relation-back rule of paragraph (g) of this section. The administrator must attach the relation-back election to the fund’s income tax return for its 1993 calendar year, and Corporation X must attach the election to its income tax return for its taxable year ending October 31, 1993. (iii) Pursuant to the relation-back election, the fund begins its existence as a qualified settlement fund on September 1, 1993. In addition, Corporation X is treated as making a $50 million transfer to a qualified settlement fund on each of September 1, 1993, and October 1, 1993.

(iv) By February 15, 1994, Corporation X must provide to the administrator of the qualified settlement fund the statement described in § 1.468B-3(e) with respect to these transfers. Corporation X must attach a copy of this statement to its income tax return for the taxable year ending October 31, 1993.

Example 5. Assume the same facts as in Example 4, except that the court approves the settlement on May 1, 1994. The administrator must attach the relation-back election to the fund’s income tax return for its 1994 calendar year, and Corporation X must attach the election to its timely filed income tax return for its taxable year ending October 31, 1994. Pursuant to this election, the fund begins its existence as a qualified settlement fund on January 1, 1994. In addition, Corporation X is treated as transferring to a qualified settlement fund on January 1, 1994, all amounts held in the fund on January 1, 1994. By February 15, 1995, Corporation X must provide to the administrator of the qualified settlement fund the statement described in § 1.468B-3(e) with respect to these transfers. Finally, Corporation X must attach a copy of this statement to its income tax return for its taxable year ending October 31, 1994.

Example 6. Corporation Z establishes a fund that meets all the requirements of section 468B(d)(2) for a designated settlement fund except that Corporation Z does not make the election under section 468B(d)(2)(F). Although the fund does not qualify as a designated settlement fund, it is a qualified settlement fund because the fund meets the requirements of paragraph (c) of this section.

§ 1.468B-2 Taxation of qualified settlement funds and related administrative requirements.

(a) In general. A qualified settlement fund is a United States person and is subject to tax on its modified gross income (as defined in paragraph (b) of this section) for any taxable year at a rate equal to the maximum rate in effect for that taxable year under section 1(e).

(b) Modified gross income. The modified gross income of a qualified settlement fund means gross income as defined in section 61, computed with the following modifications—

(1) In general, amounts transferred to the qualified settlement fund by, or on behalf of, a transferor to resolve or satisfy a liability for which the fund is established are excluded from gross income. However, dividends on stock of a transferor (or a related person), interest on debt of a transferor (or a related person), and payments in compensation for late or delayed transfers, are not excluded from gross income.

(2) A deduction is allowed for administrative costs and other incidental expenses incurred in connection with the operation of the qualified settlement fund that would be deductible under chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue Code in determining the taxable income of a corporation. Administrative costs and other incidental expenses include state and local taxes, legal, accounting, and actuarial fees relating to the operation of the qualified settlement fund, and expenses arising from the notification of claimants and the processing of their claims. Administrative costs and other incidental expenses do not include legal fees incurred by, or on behalf of, claimants.

(3) A deduction is allowed for losses sustained by the qualified settlement fund in connection with the sale, exchange, or worthlessness of property held by the fund to the extent the losses would be deductible in determining the taxable income of a corporation under section 165 (f) or (g), and sections 1221(a) and 1221(a).

(4) A deduction is allowed for the amount of a net operating loss of the qualified settlement fund to the extent the loss would be deductible in determining the taxable income of a corporation under section 172(a). For purposes of this paragraph (b)(4), the net operating loss of a qualified settlement fund for a taxable year is the amount by which the deductions allowed under paragraphs (b)(2) and (b)(3) of this section exceed the gross income of the fund computed with the modification described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(c) Distributions to transferees and claimants. Amounts that are distributed by a qualified settlement fund to, or on behalf of, a transferor or a claimant are not deductible by the fund.

(d) Basis of property transferred to a qualified settlement fund. A qualified settlement fund’s initial basis in property it receives from a transferor (or from an insurer or other person on behalf of a transferor) is the fair market value of that property on the date of transfer to the fund.

(e) Distribution of property. A qualified settlement fund must treat a distribution of property as a sale or exchange of that property for purposes of section 1001(a). In computing gain or loss, the amount realized by the qualified settlement fund is the fair market value of the property on the date of distribution.

(f) Other taxes. The tax imposed under paragraph (a) of this section is in lieu of any other taxation under subtitle A of the Internal Revenue Code on the income of a qualified settlement fund. Thus, a qualified settlement fund is not subject to the alternative minimum tax of section 55, the accumulated earnings tax of section 531, the personal holding company tax of section 541, or the maximum capital gains rate of section 1(h). A qualified settlement fund is, however, subject to taxes that are not imposed on the income of a taxpayer, such as the tax on transfers of property to foreign entities under section 1491.

(g) Denial of credits against tax. The tax imposed on the modified gross income of a qualified settlement fund under paragraph (a) of this section may not be reduced or offset by any credits against tax provided by part IV of subchapter A of chapter 1 of the Internal Revenue Code.

(h) Taxable year and accounting method. The taxable year of a qualified settlement fund is the calendar year. A qualified settlement fund must use an accrual method of accounting within the meaning of section 446(c).

(i) [Reserved]

(j) Treatment as corporation for purposes of subtitle F. Except as provided in §§ 1.468B-5(b) and (c), for purposes of subtitle F of the Internal Revenue Code and the regulations thereunder, a qualified settlement fund is treated as a corporation and any tax imposed under paragraph (a) of this section is treated as a tax imposed by section 11. Subtitle F rules that apply to qualified settlement funds include, but are not limited to—

(1) A qualified settlement fund must file an income tax return with respect to the tax imposed under paragraph (a) of this section for each taxable year that fund is in existence whether or not the fund has gross income for that taxable year. The return must be made by the administrator of the qualified settlement fund as determined under paragraph (c) of this section. For purposes of this paragraph (j)(1), a qualified settlement fund is in existence for the period that—
governmental authority that ordered or under section is granted an extension of time for filing before March of fund-
settlement fund under the fund is treated as a qualified 1. 
employer identification number. settlement fund must obtain an other person in possession or control of designated as the administrator in an agreement, or other similar agreement governing the fund; (iii) The transferor or, if there are multiple transferees, the transferor designated as the administrator in an agreement signed by all the transferees; or (iv) The escrow agent, custodian, or other person in possession or control of the fund’s assets. (4) The administrator of a qualified settlement fund must obtain an employer identification number. (5) A qualified settlement fund must deposit all payments of tax imposed under paragraph (a) of this section (including any payments of estimated tax) with an authorized government repository in accordance with § 1.6302-1. (6) A qualified settlement fund is subject to the addition to tax imposed by section 6655 in the case of any underpayment of estimated tax computed with respect to the tax imposed under paragraph (a) of this section. For purposes of section 6655(g)(2), a qualified settlement fund’s taxable income is its modified gross income and a transferor is not considered a predecessor of a qualified settlement fund. (7) A qualified settlement fund is subject to the information reporting requirements of part III of subchapter A of chapter 61 of the Internal Revenue Code. For purposes of those requirements, a qualified settlement fund must make a return for a distribution to a claimant if the transferor would have been required to make a return if it had made the distribution directly to the claimant. Withholding requirements. A qualified settlement fund is subject to the withholding requirements of subchapter A of chapter 3 of subtitle A and subtitle C of the Internal Revenue Code. For purposes of those requirements, a qualified settlement fund must withhold tax from a distribution to a claimant if the transferor would have been required to withhold tax if it had made the distribution directly to the claimant. § 1.468B-3 Rules applicable to the transferor. (a) Transfer of property—(1) In general. A transferor must treat a transfer of property to a qualified settlement fund as the sale of an exchange of that property for purposes of section 1001(a). In computing the gain or loss, the amount realized by the transferor is the fair market value of the property on the date the transfer is made (or is deemed made under § 1.468B-1(g) to the qualified settlement fund. If a person other than the transferor transfers property to a qualified settlement fund, there may be other tax consequences as determined under general federal income tax principles. (2) Anti-abuse rule. The Commissioner may disallow a loss resulting from the transfer of property to a qualified settlement fund if the Commissioner determines that a principal purpose for the transfer was to claim the loss and— (i) The transferor places significant restrictions on the fund’s ability to use or dispose of identically property; or (ii) The property (or substantially identical property) is distributed to the transferor (or a related person). (b) Economic performance—(1) In general. Except as provided in this paragraph (b), for purposes of section 406(b), economic performance occurs with respect to a liability described in § 1.468B-1(e)(2) (determined with regard to § 1.468B-1(e)) to the extent the transferor makes a transfer to a qualified settlement fund to resolve or satisfy the liability. (2) Right to a refund or reversion—(1) In general. Economic performance does not occur to the extent— (A) The transferor (or a related person) has a right exercisable currently and without the agreement of an unrelated person (e.g., the court or agency that approved the fund, or the fund claimants) to a refund or reversion of a transfer; or (B) Money or property is transferred under conditions that allow its refund or reversion by reason of the occurrence of an event that is certain to occur, such as the passage of time, or if restrictions on its refund or reversion are illusory. (ii) Right extinguished. With respect to a transfer described in paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section, economic performance is deemed to occur on the date, and to the extent, the transferor’s right to a refund or reversion is extinguished. (3) Debt of transferor. Economic performance does not occur when a transferor transfers its debt (or the debt of a related person) to a qualified settlement fund. Economic performance is deemed to occur only as the transferor (or related person) makes payments on the debt. (c) Transfer of transferor’s stock and partnership interests in partnerships controlled by the transferor. [Reserved] (d) Payment of insurance amounts. No deduction is allowed to a transferor for a transfer to a qualified settlement fund that the transferor makes to the transferor’s stock and partnership interests in partnerships controlled by the transferor. [Reserved] (e) Statement to the qualified settlement fund and the Internal Revenue Service—(1) In general. A transferor must provide the statement described in paragraph (e)(2) of this section to the administrator of a qualified settlement fund no later than the February 15 of the year following each calendar year in which the transferor (or an insurer or other person on behalf of the transferor) makes a transfer to the fund. The transferor must attach a copy of the statement to (and as part of) its timely filed income tax return (including extensions) for the taxable year of the transfer in which the transfer is made. (2) Required statement. The statement required by this paragraph (e) must provide the following information— (i) A legend “§ 1.468B-3 Statement” at the top of the first page; (ii) The transferor’s name, address, and taxpayer identification number; (iii) The qualified settlement fund’s name, address, and employer identification number; (iv) The date of each transfer; (v) The amount of cash transferred; and (vi) A description of property transferred and its fair market value on the date of transfer. (f) Distribution to transferees—(1) In general. A transferor must include in
gross income any distribution (including a deemed distribution described in paragraph (f)(2) of this section) it receives from a qualified settlement fund. If a distribution is made in property, the amount includible in gross income and the basis in that property is the fair market value of the property on the date of the distribution.

(2) Deemed distributions—(i) Other liabilities. If a qualified settlement fund makes a distribution on behalf of the transferor to a person that is not a claimant, or to a claimant to resolve or satisfy a liability of the transferor (or a related person) other than a liability described in §1.468B-1(c)(2) for which the fund was established, the distribution is deemed made by the fund to the transferor. The transferor, in turn, is deemed to have made a payment to the actual recipient.

(ii) Constructive receipt. To the extent a transferor acquires a right to a refund or reversion described in paragraph (b)(2) of this section of all or a portion of the assets of a qualified settlement fund subsequent to the transfer of those assets to the fund, the fund is deemed to distribute those assets to the transferor on the date the right is acquired.

(iii) Tax-benefit rule exception. A distribution described in paragraph (f)(1) or (f)(2) of this section is not includible in the gross income of a transferor to the extent economic performance has been denied under paragraph (b)(2) of this section and to the extent the distribution reduces the transferor's refund or reversion rights.

[Edited for length]

Example. (i) Corporation Y, an accrual method taxpayer, established a qualified settlement fund and transfers $10 million to it on July 1, 1993. Corporation Y retains the right, exercisable without the agreement of an unrelated person (as described in paragraph (b)(2) of this section), to withdraw from the fund prior to October 1, 1993, up to $2 million. On September 30, Corporation Y withdraws $2,015,000 from the fund.

(ii) Economic performance is deemed to occur with respect to $8 million of Corporation Y's liability when Corporation Y transfers the $10 million to the qualified settlement fund. Economic performance does not occur with respect to the remaining $2 million of Corporation Y's liability because Corporation Y retained a right to a refund or reversion in that amount. The qualified settlement fund is considered to own the entire $10 million for all other purposes of section 468B for the period it holds the money.

(iii) Corporation Y must include in income $15,000 of the distribution made to it by the qualified settlement fund on September 30. Because economic performance did not occur with respect to that portion of the transfer in which Corporation Y retained a right or refund or reversion, Corporation Y does not include in income the remaining $2 million of the distribution made to it by the qualified settlement fund.

§ 1.468B-4 Taxability of distribution to claimants.

Whether a distribution to a claimant is includible in the claimant's gross income is generally determined by reference to the claim in respect of which the distribution is made and as if the distribution were made directly by the transferor. For example, to the extent a distribution is in satisfaction of damages on account of personal injury or sickness, the distribution may be excludable from gross income under section 104(a)(2). Similarly, to the extent a distribution is in satisfaction of a claim for foregone taxable interest, the distribution is includible in the claimant's gross income under section 61(a)(4).

§ 1.468B-5 Effective dates and transition rules.

(a) In general. Section 468B, including section 468B(g), is effective as provided in the Tax Reform Act of 1986 and the Technical and Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1988. Except as otherwise provided in this section, §§1.468B-1 through 1.468B-4 are effective on January 1, 1993. Thus, the regulations apply to income of a qualified settlement fund earned after December 31, 1992, transfers to a fund after December 31, 1992, and distributions from a fund after December 31, 1992. For purposes of §1.468B-3(b)(relating to economic performance) assets previously transferred to (and held by) a qualified settlement fund on the date these regulations first apply to the fund (i.e., January 1, 1993 or the earlier date provided under paragraph (c)(2) of this section) are treated as transferred to the fund on that date, to the extent no taxpayer has previously claimed a deduction for the transfer.

(b) Request for alternative taxation of post-1992 fund income. A qualified settlement fund in existence on February 14, 1992, may request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service permitting the fund to be taxed in a manner other than that required by §§1.468B-1 through 1.468B-4 for taxable years ending after December 31, 1992. The request must be made jointly by all the transferees and the administrator in accordance with the applicable rules relating to submission of ruling requests and must be received by the Internal Revenue Service no later than March 15, 1993. In general, the following facts must be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Commissioner before the Commissioner will approve a ruling request—

(i) The method of taxation requested in the ruling is reasonable in light of the facts and circumstances of the qualified settlement fund and the law prior to the publication of these regulations. A method of taxation is reasonable only if it currently includes the income of the fund in the income of an appropriate person;

(ii) The method of taxation requested in the ruling is consistent with the positions taken and the taxes paid by all the transferees and the qualified settlement fund for taxable years ending prior to January 1, 1993; and

(iii) The qualified settlement fund will exist after 1992 for only a reasonably short period of time.

(c) Taxation of pre-1993 fund income—(1) Reasonable method. With respect to any escrow account, settlement fund, or similar fund established after August 16, 1986, the Internal Revenue Service will not challenge a reasonable, consistently applied method of taxation for transfers to the fund or income earned by the fund, prior to 1993 but after August 16, 1986. A method is generally considered to have been reasonable if, depending on the facts and circumstances, all the transferees and the administrator of the fund consistently treated transfers to the fund and the taxation of the income of the fund earned prior to 1993 but after August 16, 1986, as if the fund were—

(I) A grantor trust and the transferees were the grantors;

(ii) A complex trust and the transferees were the grantors; or

(iii) A designated settlement fund.

In no event is it a reasonable position to assert that there is no current taxation of the income of a fund established after August 16, 1986 (e.g., a position that Rev. Rul. 71-119 applies).

(2) Election to apply qualified settlement fund rules—(i) In general. All the transferees and the administrator may jointly elect to apply §§1.468B-1 through 1.468B-4 to taxable years ending after August 16, 1986. The election is effective beginning on the first day of the earliest open taxable year of the qualified settlement fund that ends with or within an open taxable year for all the transferees. For purposes of this paragraph (c)(2), a taxable year is considered open if the period for assessment and collection of tax has not expired pursuant to the rules of section 6501. The election must be signed by all the transferees and the administrator and must provide the following information—
(A) A legend "§ 1.468B-5[c][2]
Election" at the top of the first page; (B) Each transferor's name, address, and taxpayer identification number; (C) The qualified settlement fund's name, address, and employer identification number; and (D) The date the qualified settlement fund was established within the meaning of § 1.468B-1(g).

(ii) Due date of returns and amended returns. The election under paragraph (c)(2)(i) must be filed with, and as part of, the qualified settlement fund's timely filed tax return for the taxable year ended December 31, 1992. In order to have a valid election, each transferor of the qualified settlement fund must file an amended return for any taxable year to which the election applies in which the fund took a position inconsistent with the rules in §§ 1.468B-1 through 1.468B-4, and the qualified settlement fund must file an amended return for any taxable year to which the election applies in which the fund took a position inconsistent with the rules in §§ 1.468B-1 through 1.468B-4. Any such amended return must apply the rules in §§ 1.468B-1 through 1.468B-4. Any such amended return must apply the rules in §§ 1.468B-1 through 1.468B-4, must be filed no later than March 15, 1993, and must include a copy of the election described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section.

(iii) Computation of interest and waiver of penalties. For purposes of section 6601 and section 6611, the income tax return for each taxable year of the qualified settlement fund to which the election applies is due on March 15 of the year following the taxable year of the fund. For taxable years of a qualified settlement fund ending prior to January 1, 1993, the income earned by the fund is deemed to have been earned on December 31 of each taxable year for purposes of section 6655. Thus, the addition to tax for failure to pay estimated tax under section 6655 will not be imposed. The penalty for failure to file a return under section 6651(a)(1), the penalty for failure to pay tax under section 6651(a)(2), and the accuracy-related penalty under section 6662 will not be imposed on a qualified settlement fund if the fund files its tax returns for taxable years ending before January 1, 1993, and pays any tax due for those taxable years, on or before March 15, 1993.

David G. Blattner,
Acting Commissioner of Internal Revenue.
[FR Doc. 92-3272 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

26 CFR Part 1
[IA-54-90]
RIN 1545-A099

Settlement Funds; Hearing
AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.
ACTION: Notice of public hearing on proposed regulations.

SUMMARY: This document provides notice of public hearing on proposed regulations relating to the tax treatment of transfers to certain escrow accounts, settlement funds, and other similar funds, the taxation of income earned by these funds, and the tax treatment of distributions made by these funds.

DATES: The public hearing will be held on Wednesday, May 27, 1992, beginning at 8 a.m. Requests to speak and outlines of oral comments must be received by Wednesday, May 6, 1992.

ADDRESS: The public hearing will be held in the Internal Revenue Service Auditorium, Seventh floor, 7400 Corridor, Internal Revenue Service Building, 111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC. Requests to speak and outlines of oral comments should be submitted to: Internal Revenue Service, P.O. Box 7904, Ben Franklin Station, Attn: CC-CORP:TR, [IA-54-90], room 5228, Washington, DC 20044.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol Savage of the Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate), 202-377-9236 or (202) 566-3935 (not toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The subject of the public hearing is proposed regulations under section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. The proposed regulations appear elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register. The rules of § 601.601(a)(3) of the "Statement of Procedural Rules" (26 CFR part 601) shall apply with respect to the public hearing. Persons who have submitted written comments within the time prescribed in the notice of proposed rulemaking and who also desire to present oral comments at the hearing on the proposed regulations should submit not later than Wednesday, May 6, 1992, an outline of the oral comments/testimony to be presented at the hearing and the time they wish to devote to each subject. Each speaker (or group of speakers representing a single entity) will be limited to 10 minutes for an oral presentation exclusive of the time consumed by questions from the panel for the government and answers to these questions.

Because of controlled access restrictions, attendees cannot be permitted beyond the lobby of the Internal Revenue Service Building until 7:45 a.m.

An agenda showing the scheduling of the speakers will be made after outlines are received from the persons testifying. Copies of the agenda will be available free of charge at the hearing.

By direction of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.
Dale D. Goode, Federal Register Liaison Officer, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate).
[FR Doc. 92-3288 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 80

[AMS-FRL-4104-5]

Regulation of Fuels and Fuel Additives; Standards for Reformulated Gasoline and Conventional Gasoline
AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
ACTION: Notice of public workshop.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the time and place for a public workshop related to EPA's development of the complex emissions model for reformulated gasoline.

DATES: The public workshop will be held on February 18, 1992. It will start at 9 a.m., will continue as long as necessary to complete the agenda.

ADDRESS: The public workshop will be held at the Best Western Domino's Farms Hotel, 3600 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105 (telephone 313-769-9800). Interested parties may submit written comments (in duplicate if possible) to Public Docket No. A-91-02, at: Air Docket Section (LE-131), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Attention: Docket No. A-91-02, First Floor, Waterside Mall, rm M-1500, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. Materials related to this rulemaking have been placed in Docket No. A-91-02 by EPA. The docket is located at the above address and may be inspected between 8:30 a.m. and noon and between 1:30 p.m. and 3:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. EPA may charge a reasonable fee for copying docket materials.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Michael Sklar, Standards Development and Support Branch, Emission Control Technology Division,
Public Workshop

The February 18 workshop is the second in this series of public workshops. It will include the following topics:

- Review of current and planned testing programs: This topic will include a review of recent results from ongoing or recently completed testing programs. It will also include a review of planned future testing activities.

- Review of existing emission models: This topic will focus on emission models currently being developed. The methods used to develop each model will be discussed, focusing on the selection of data for inclusion in each model and the specific analytical and statistical methods used to develop each model. Where possible, predicted results from the models presented will be compared to available data; discrepancies between model predictions and test data will be discussed and steps to resolve those discrepancies will be identified.

Other presentations for existing vehicle testing programs and modeling efforts are encouraged. Those interested in making such presentations should notify Michael Sklar at (313) 741-7817 of such intent at least three days before the workshop. The contact person also should provide an estimate of the time required for the presentation of the testimony and notification of any need for audio/visual equipment. Questions will be taken after each presentation.

Public Participation

As in past rulemaking actions, EPA strongly encourages full public participation in the development and assessment of information that will be used in developing a final rule. This workshop will help determine the methods used by the Agency in developing the complex model rule as well as the content of the proposed rule, and EPA welcomes public input regarding the rule and the methods most appropriate for use in developing the model.

EPA suggests that enough copies of the material for presentation be brought to the workshop for distribution to the audience. EPA anticipates attendance of 100 to 150 people. In addition, it will be helpful for EPA to receive an advance copy of any material for presentation before the schedule workshop date so as to allow EPA staff to give much material full consideration.

Mr. Charles Gray, Director of the Emission Control Technology Division of EPA's Office of Mobile Sources will chair the workshop. The workshop will be conducted informally, and technical rules of evidence will not apply.


Michael Shapiro,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:]

40 CFR Part 300

National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; National Priorities List

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Revised notice of intent to delete from the National Priorities List; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region IV announces its intent to delete the Lees Lane Landfill Superfund site (Site) from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comment on this action. On May 13, 1986, EPA issued a notice announcing its intent to delete this site and others. The notice is being revised to correct certain inaccuracies made with respect to the Lees Lane Landfill Site. The NPL constitutes appendix B of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), which EPA promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), as amended. EPA and the Commonwealth of Kentucky have determined that all appropriate CERCLA response actions have been implemented and that no further cleanup is appropriate. Moreover EPA and Kentucky determined that response activities conducted at the Site to date have been protective of public health, welfare, and the environment.

Deletion of sites from the NPL does not itself create, alter, or revoke any individual's rights or obligations.

DATES: Comments concerning this Site may be submitted on or before March 16, 1992.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to: Derek Matary, RPM, Waste Management Division, North Superfund Remedial Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, GA 30365.

Comprehensive information on the Site is available through the EPA Region IV public docket, which is located at EPA's Region IV office and is available for viewing by appointment only from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Requests for appointments or copies of the background information from the Regional public docket should be directed to the EPA Region IV docket office.

The address for the Regional docket office is: Pricilla Pride, Region IV, USEPA, Library, room G-8, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, GA 30365.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents
I. Introduction.
II. NPL Deletion Criteria.
III. Basis for Intended Site Deletions.

I. Introduction

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region IV announces its intent to delete the Lees Lane Landfill Site from the National Priorities List (NPL), which constitutes appendix B of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), and requests comments on this deletion. The NPL is comprised of sites identified by EPA which may present a significant risk to public health, welfare, or the environment. Sites on the NPL may be the subject of remedial actions financed by the Hazardous Substances Superfund (Fund). Pursuant to § 300.425[e][3] of the NCP, any site deleted from the NPL remains eligible for Fund-financed remedial or removal actions if conditions at the Site warrant such actions.
EPA will accept comments concerning this notice of intent to delete for thirty (30) days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Concurrent with this notice of intent to delete, a notice has been published in local newspapers and has been distributed to appropriate state officials.

Section II of this notice explains the criteria for deleting sites from the NPL. Section III discusses procedures that EPA is using for this action. Section IV discusses how this Site meets the deletion criteria.

II. NPL Deletion Criteria

The NCP establishes the criteria that EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response action, other than operation and maintenance (O&M) activities, is appropriate. In making this determination, EPA will consider whether any of the following criteria have been met:

(i) EPA, in consultation with the State, has determined that responsible or other parties have implemented all appropriate response action required; or

(ii) All appropriate Fund-financed responses under CERCLA have been implemented and EPA, in consultation with the State, has determined that no further cleanup by responsible parties is appropriate; or

(iii) Based on a remedial investigation, EPA, in consultation with the State, has determined that the release poses no significant threat to public health or the environment and, therefore, taking of remedial measures is not appropriate.

III. Deletion Procedures

In the NPL rulemaking published on October 15, 1984 (49 FR 40320), the Agency solicited and received comments on whether the notice of comment procedures followed for adding sites to the NPL should also be used before sites are deleted. Comments were also received in response to the amendments to the NCP proposed on February 12, 1986 (50 FR 5682). Deletion of sites from the NPL does not itself create, alter, or revoke any individual’s rights or obligations. The NPL is designed primarily for informational purposes and to assist Agency management.

EPA Region IV will accept and evaluate public comments before making a final decision to delete. The Agency believes that deletion procedures should focus on notice and comment at the local level. Comments from the local community may be the most pertinent to deletion decisions. The following procedures were used to the intended deletion of this site:

1. EPA Region IV has recommended deletion and has prepared the relevant documents.
2. The State of Kentucky has concurred with the deletion decision.
3. Concurrent with this National Notice of Intent to Delete, a local notice has been published in local newspapers and has been distributed to appropriate federal, state and local officials, and other interested parties.

The comments received during the notice and comment period will be evaluated before the final decision to delete.

The Region will prepare a Responsiveness Summary, which will address the comments received during the public comment period.

A deletion will occur after the EPA Regional Administrator places a notice in the Federal Register. The NPL will reflect any deletions in the next final update. Public notices and copies of the Responsiveness Summary will be made available to local residents by Region IV.

IV. Basis for Intended Site Deletion

The following summary provides the Agency’s rationale for deletion of the Site from the NPL.

Lees Lane Landfill Site, Louisville, Kentucky

The Lees Lane Landfill Site is located immediately adjacent to the Ohio River in Jefferson County, approximately 4.5 miles southwest of Louisville, Kentucky. The Site, consisting of approximately 112 acres, is approximately 5,000 feet in length and 1,500 feet in width. Domestic, commercial and industrial wastes were disposed of in the landfill from the later 1940’s to approximately 1975. Prior to and during its use as a landfill, sand and gravel were quarried at the Site. In 1973, residents near the Site were evacuated from their homes as a result of explosive levels of methane gas. These homes were ultimately purchased by the Jefferson County Housing Authority. In 1978, monitoring was conducted to define the gas migration problem. A gas collection venting system was installed in October 1980 to intercept migrating gases.

In February 1980, the Kentucky Department of Hazardous Materials and Waste Management (HWWM) discovered approximately 400 drums near the Ohio River bank. Over 50 chemicals were identified, including phenolic resins, benzene, and relatively high concentrations of copper, cadmium, nickel, lead, and chromium.

The drums were ultimately removed, and in 1981, the Kentucky Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet (NREPC) installed shallow ground-water monitoring wells at the Site, which revealed high concentrations of heavy metals and aluminum. On September 8, 1983, the Site was listed on the National Priorities List.

EPA signed an Enforcement Decision Document (EDD) on September 25, 1988. The EDD (similar to a Record of Decision) documents EPA’s selected response action for the Lees Lane Landfill Site, which included the construction of a riprap system; surface waste clean-up; inspection and repair of the gas collection system; hook-up to an alternate water supply; gas, air, and ground-water monitoring; cautionary signs and installation of a gate at the entrance to the Site. On March 10, 1987, EPA initiated a removal action at the Site to implement the EDD.

All construction activities have been completed, and EPA recently entered into an Administrative Consent Order with the Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) under which MSD has agreed to perform certain O&M activities at the Site for twenty-nine (29) years. EPA anticipates that the Commonwealth of Kentucky will enter into an Intergovernmental Response Agreement with EPA under which Kentucky will provide oversight of MSD’s O&M activities. Based on current information, EPA, with the concurrence of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, has determined that all appropriate Fund-financed response actions under CERCLA at the Lees Lane Landfill Site have been completed, and also has determined based on current information that no further response actions, other than long-term O&M activities, are appropriate at the Site.

V. Bibliography Docket

NUS Corporation, Lees Lane Landfill Remedial Investigation. April 1988, Prepared for U.S. EPA.
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Kentucky Department of Natural Resources, Concurrence on Site Deletion Letter, December 1991. Submitted to U.S. EPA.


Patrick M. Tobin,
Deputy Regional Administrator, U.S. EPA Region IV.

[FR Doc. 92-3538 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73
[MM Docket No. 92-15, RM-7887]
Radio Broadcasting Services; Polpu, HI

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition by Lu Ann Uchida Lane seeking the allotment of Channel 240A to Poipu, Hawaii, as that community’s first local broadcast service. Channel 240A can be allotted to Poipu in compliance with the Commission’s minimum distance separation requirements without a site restriction. The coordinates for the proposal are North Latitude 21°52’35” and West Longitude 159°27’14”.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 30, 1992, and reply comments on or before April 14, 1992.

ADRESSES: Federal Communication Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Lu Ann Uchida Lane, 3-2600 Kaumualii Hwy., suite 3039-127, Lihue, Hawaii 96766 (petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy J. Walls, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission’s Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 92-15, adopted January 29, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission’s copy contractors, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452-1422, 1714 21 Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

The coordinates for Channel 228C2 at Hazelhurst are North Latitude 31°51’15” and West Longitude 82°34’00”. The coordinates for Channel 228C2 at Jeffersonville and Soperton are North Latitude 32°47’52” and West Longitude 159°27’14”. The coordinates for Channel 240A at Mt. Vernon are North Latitude 32°56’24” and West Longitude 82°48’06”. The coordinates for Channel 240A at Poipu in compliance with the Commission’s minimum distance separation requirements without a site restriction. The coordinates for the proposal are North Latitude 21°52’35” and West Longitude 159°27’14”.

The coordinates for Channel 229C2 are North Latitude 31°51’15” and West Longitude 82°34’00”. The coordinates for Channel 229C2 at Hazelhurst, Georgia, are North Latitude 31°23’19” and West Longitude 82°54’00”. The coordinates for Channel 229C2 at Jeffersonville are North Latitude 32°47’52” and West Longitude 159°27’14”. The coordinates for Channel 229C2 at Soperton are North Latitude 32°56’24” and West Longitude 82°48’06”. The coordinates for Channel 240A at Mt. Vernon are North Latitude 32°10’10” and West Longitude 82°33’09”. The coordinates for Channel 291A at Soperton are North Latitude 32°23’19” and West Longitude 82°42’13”.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all ex parte contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible ex parte contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73
Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

Michael C. Ruger,
Assistant Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 92-3538 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73
[MM Docket No. 92-15, RM-7886]
Radio Broadcasting Services; Polpu, HI

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition by Jeff Davis Broadcasters, licensee of Station WKTM(FM), channel 270A, for channel 291A at Soperton, Georgia, and the modification of Station WKT M(FM)'s license to specify Channel 291A; and the substitution of Channel 270A for vacant but applied for Channel 280A at Tennille, Georgia. See Supplementary Information, infra.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 30, 1992, and reply comments on or before April 14, 1992.


In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Dennis F. Begley, Reddy, Begley & Martin, 2003 M Street, NW., suite 500, Washington, DC 20006 (attorney for Jeff Davis Broadcasters) and Lawrence J. Bernard, Jr., 1300 19th Street, NW., suite 240, Washington, DC 20036 (attorney for ALP Limited Partnership and Wesley James Holden).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy J. Walls, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission’s Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 92-16, adopted January 29, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission’s copy contractors, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452-1422, 1714 21 Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

The coordinates for Channel 228A at Hazelhurst, Georgia, and the modification of Station WKT M(FM)'s license to specify Channel 260A; substitution of Channel 291A for Channel 289A at Soperton, Georgia, and the modification of Station WKT M(FM)'s license to specify Channel 291A; and the substitution of Channel 270A for vacant but applied for Channel 280A at Tennille, Georgia. See Supplementary Information, infra.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 30, 1992, and reply comments on or before April 14, 1992.


In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Dennis F. Begley, Reddy, Begley & Martin, 2003 M Street, NW., suite 500, Washington, DC 20006 (attorney for Jeff Davis Broadcasters) and Lawrence J. Bernard, Jr., 1300 19th Street, NW., suite 240, Washington, DC 20036 (attorney for ALP Limited Partnership and Wesley James Holden).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy J. Walls, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission’s Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 92-16, adopted January 29, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission’s
Provisions of the Rulemaking Flexibility Act of 1990 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all ex parte contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible ex parte contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73:
Radio Broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

Michael C. Reger,
Assistant Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 92-3539 Filed 2-15-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 92-18, RM-7899]

Radio Broadcasting Services;
Ravenswood, WV

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition by Rex Osborne seeking the allotment of Channel 226A at Ravenswood, West Virginia, as the community's second local FM transmission service. Channel 226A can be allotted to Ravenswood in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction. The coordinates for Channel 226A at Ravenswood are North Latitude 38°59'30" and West Longitude 81°45'10".

Since Ravenswood is located within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S.-Canadian border, concurrence by the Canadian government has been requested.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 30, 1992, and reply comments on or before April 14, 1992.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Rex Osborne, P.O. Box 667, Ravenswood, West Virginia 26164-0667 (Petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Sharon P. McDonald, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 92-18, adopted January 26, 1992, and released February 7, 1992. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (room 220), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Downtown Copy Center, (202) 452-1422, 1714 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1990 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all ex parte contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible ex parte contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73:
Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

Michael C. Reger,
Assistant Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 92-3540 Filed 2-16-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

49 CFR Part 1332

[Ex Parte No. MC-205]

Earlier Disposal of Postal Contracts
Filed With the Commission by the U.S. Postal Service

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commission proposes to change its practice of retaining contracts between the United States Postal Service (Postal Service) and ICC-regulated carriers beyond their effective date. Currently, the United States Postal Service files with the Commission contracts between it and surface carriers providing transportation of mail. The Postal Service also maintains contracts for public inspection and retains them until cancellation. The Commission questions whether its retention of documents already on file at the Postal Service serves any useful purpose. This change is proposed to alleviate the Commission's shortage of storage space and available personnel. The Commission's Section of Tariffs receives roughly 500 contracts each month and, under present procedures, retains them until cancellation. The contracts are rarely, if ever, reviewed by the public. The proposed change would require amending 49 CFR part 1332 as set forth below.

DATES: Comments are due March 30, 1992.

ADDRESSES: Send an original and 10 copies of comments to: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the existing regulatory scheme, the United States Postal Service must file at the Commission copies of contracts for transportation of mail which it enters into with surface carriers. When received the contracts are made available for public review, although requests for review are rare. The contracts are then stored until cancellation. Due to a severe shortage of space and personnel, the Commission proposes that, instead of retaining the contracts until cancellation, the contracts be retained only until they become effective. The Section of Tariffs would continue to receive and make the contracts available for public review until they become effective, but would at that time dispose of them. Under the revised regulation requests by the public would be referred to the Postal Service.

The Postal Service has informally indicated its willingness to respond to any additional public requests that might result from this change. We do not foresee any circumstance under which the proposed rule would impose an unreasonable burden on carriers or shippers.

The Commission finds that it has the statutory authority to institute this change. However, it would be necessary to amend 49 CFR 1332. In addition, assuming the Commission, after review of the public comments, determines to
make the changes proposed, it will obtain the necessary approval to effect any changes in its record retention schedules under the Federal Records Act (44 U.S.C. chs. 29, 31, & 33) and implementing regulations.

The Commission also solicits comments on whether it may and should amend 49 CFR 1332.3(b) to eliminate the requirement that the Postal Service file in duplicate copies of the contracts. The Postal Reorganization Act of 1970, Public Law 91-375, states that such contracts "shall be available for inspection in the office of the Postal Service and the Interstate Commerce Commission at least 15 days prior to the effective date of the contract." 39 U.S.C. 5005(b)(3). We solicit comment on whether that provision precludes the Commission from eliminating 49 CFR 1332, and, if not, on whether the present filing procedures serve any useful purpose.

List of Subjects in 49 CFR 1332

Government contracts, Motor carriers, Postal Service, Railroads.

This proposed rule is consistent with the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501. This proposed rule in not a major rule for the purposes of Executive Order 12291 of February 17, 1981. As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, it is hereby certified that this proposed rule will not have a significant impact on small business entities.


By the Commission, Chairman Philbin, Vice Chairman McDonald, Commissioners Simmons, Phillips, and Emmett.

Sidney L. Strickland, Jr.
Secretary.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Commission proposes to amend title 49, chapter X, part 1332 as follows:

PART 1332—FILING CONTRACTS FOR SURFACE MAIL TRANSPORTATION

1. The authority citation for Part 1332 continues to read as follows:


2. A new § 1332.4 is proposed to be added to read as follows:

§ 1332.4 Contracts to be disposed of on effective date.

Copies of contracts and amendments filed under § 1332.3 of this Part will not be retained past the effective date of those contracts or amendments.

[FR Doc. 92-3582 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7035-01-M
**Notices**

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Office of the Secretary**

Agricultural Biotechnology Research Advisory Committee Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 1972 (Pub. L. No. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776), the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Science and Education, announces the following advisory committee meeting:

**Name:** Agricultural Biotechnology Research Advisory Committee.

**Date:** March 11-13, 1992.

**Time:** 9 a.m. to approximately 5 p.m. on March 11; 9 a.m. to approximately 5 p.m. on March 12; and 9 a.m. to approximately 3 p.m. on March 13.

**Place:** Georgetown Room, Rosslyn Westpark Hotel, 1900 N. Fort Myer Drive, Arlington, Virginia 22209.

**Type of Meeting:** This meeting is open to the public. Persons may participate in the meeting as time and space permit. Members of the public wishing to speak at the meeting may be given such an opportunity at the discretion of the Chair.

**Comments:** The public may file written comments before or after the meeting with the contact person specified below.

**Purpose:** To review matters pertaining to agricultural biotechnology research and to develop advice for the Secretary through the Assistant Secretary for Science and Education with respect to policies, programs, operations and activities associated with the conduct of agricultural biotechnology research.

The major item to be considered at this meeting is a proposal from the Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station (AAES) to conduct research on transgenic channel catfish, which contain a rainbow trout growth hormone gene, in confined outdoor research ponds. The ponds are located at AAES's research facility in Auburn, Lee County, Alabama. Copies of the transgenic catfish research proposal and an environmental analysis prepared by AAES may be obtained by contacting the persons listed below.

**Contact Persons:** Dr. Alvin L. Young, Director, or Dr. Daniel D. Jones, Deputy Director, Office of Agricultural Biotechnology, Cooperative State Research Service, Department of Agriculture, room 1001, Rosslyn Plaza East, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250. Telephone (703) 225-4410.

Done at Washington, DC, this 4th day of February 1992.

Harry C. Mussman, Acting Assistant Secretary, Science and Education.

[FR Doc. 92-3608 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-22-M**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Forest Service**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Fish and Wildlife Service**

**National Park Service**

**Reintroduction of Black-footed Ferrets Into the Conata Basin/Badlands Area in South Dakota**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA; Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior; National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings and intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** This notice advises the public that under provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, the Fish and Wildlife Service, Forest Service, and National Park Service will jointly prepare an environmental impact statement evaluating the introduction of black-footed ferrets into the Conata Basin/Badlands area—specifically Badlands National Park and Buffalo Gap National Grassland—in South Dakota. Background information and public participation results to date are summarized. Comments and participation in this scoping process are solicited. Scoping meetings will be held in South Dakota.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before March 30, 1992. Public meetings will be held in Wall, South Dakota, at the Community Center at 7:30 p.m., February 28, 1992, and in Sioux Falls, South Dakota, at the Center Inn at 7:30 p.m., February 27, 1992.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments and suggestions regarding the black-footed ferret reintroduction into the Conata Basin/Badlands area in South Dakota should be sent to Merrill S. Zachomier, State Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 420 South Garfield, Pierre, South Dakota 57501.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Douglas A. Sears, Assistant State Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, at the above address or call (605) 224-4693.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Once distributed across 12 western States, endangered black-footed ferrets survive today only on 1 experimental release site and in captivity. Most of the field research on the ferrets comes from projects carried out on two former wild populations one each in South Dakota and Wyoming. Recent successes with a breeding program show promise that enough ferrets will be available for reintroduction into the wild to meet the "Black-footed Ferret Recovery Plan" goal of establishing 10 self-sustaining populations across the ferret's historical range. An experimental reintroduction of 49 ferrets in the Shirley Basin, Wyoming, began in the fall of 1989. The Fish and Wildlife Service joined with interested States to form individual State working groups, composed of a variety of agricultural, land management agency, and environmental interests, to evaluate ferret recovery potentials in each State. Using nationally standardized guidelines for evaluating potential ferret habitat, the Conata Basin/Badlands area was identified by the South Dakota State Working Group in 1989 as having the highest potential for ferret survival in South Dakota. Superbative prairie dog habitat, recent past occupation by ferrets, and supportive laws, regulations, and policies on the public lands involved account for the top ranking.

The proposed reintroduction area of approximately 42,000 acres in southwestern South Dakota supports mixed grass prairie interspersed with barren lands. More than 99 percent of the area is public land administered by the National Park Service as the Badlands National Park (National Park) or by the Forest Service as the Buffalo Gap National Grassland (National Grassland), all in Pennington County. Ferret survival is tied to large acreages of prairie dog colonies. About 9,000 acres of active black-tailed prairie dog colonies now exist in the proposed reintroduction area. Livestock grazing is permitted on the National Grassland portion of the area. Extensive prairie
dog control using rodenticides has been conducted on this portion for several decades. Under a prairie dog management plan approved and implemented by the Fish and Wildlife Service in 1989, the acreage of untreated prairie dog colonies in the Conata Basin will be maintained between 5,200 and 5,850 acres by the end of 1996. With the exception of less than 400 acres, all of the proposed ferret reintroduction area in the National Park is within the Badlands Wilderness, where management policy has precluded extensive prairie dog control during the last 30 years. Land uses in the reintroduction and adjacent areas include tourism, recreational uses, ranching, and dryland farming.

As one step toward assessing the issues and alternatives for possibly reintroducing black-footed ferrets into the Conata Basin/Badlands area, the Fish and Wildlife Service, Forest Service, and National Park Service participated in the resource issue resolution process called “Coordinated Resource Management.” A local-level committee representing public agencies, agricultural interests, individual landowners, recreational interests, and conservation groups met to explore the feasibility, desirability, consequences, and attitudes of people in South Dakota regarding the possible reintroduction of black-footed ferrets. Although the committee did not, in six meetings in 1990 and 1991, unanimously agree on whether to recommend a reintroduction effort, they did provide an excellent forum for people with diverse points of view to identify issues and concerns with the reintroduction proposal. Issues surfaced during the committee meetings include: Effects on land ownership and jurisdiction; effects on land uses in the reintroduction area and on nearby private lands; effects on prairie dog management; suitability and size of area needed for ferrets; costs and economics; and monitoring reintroduction activities.

The three Federal Agencies involved with the reintroduction proposal signed an agreement to define each Agency’s roles and responsibilities. Under the agreement, the Fish and Wildlife Service is the overall coordinator and each Agency will have a “joint lead” in carrying out National Environmental Policy Act compliance, including the preparation of necessary documents.

Issues, concerns, and suggestions raised by the public and other agencies through written comments and meetings during this scoping process will be analyzed and the results used to develop alternatives for reintroducing black-footed ferrets in the Conata Basin/Badlands area. As a result of the public forums that have occurred to date, the following options were revealed.

1. To not release ferrets; this is the “no action” alternative.
2. Release ferrets as an experimental populations in accordance with Section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act:
   a. on the National Park only (15,000 acres),
   b. on the National Grassland only (27,000 acres), and
   c. on the National Park and National Grassland (42,000 acres).
3. Release ferrets as an endangered population:
   a. on the National Park only (15,000 acres),
   b. on the National Grassland only (27,000 acres), and
   c. on the National Park and National Grassland (42,000 acres).
4. Release ferrets as noted in 2 and 3 above but on acreages larger or smaller than indicated.

Galen L. Buterbaugh,
Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
Gary Cargill,
Regional Forester, U.S. Forest Service.
Boyd Evison,
Acting Regional Director, National Park Service.

Forest Service
Rocky Mountain Region;
Environmental Impact Statement for Sheep Flats Timber Sales, Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre and Gunnison National Forests, Mesa County, CO.

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.
ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will prepare an environmental impact statement on three proposed timber sales, Grove Creek, Vallecreek View and Sheep Flats located on the Grand Mesa National Forest, Collbran Ranger District.


ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Gene Grossman, District Ranger, Collbran Ranger District, P.O. Box 338, Collbran, Colorado 81624.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael R. Geary, Forester, Collbran Ranger District, 218 E. High Street, Collbran, Colorado 81624. Phone: (303) 487-3534.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Forest Service is proposing three timber sales collectively called Sheep Flats. The three sales are located on National Forest lands and each sale area will utilize the preparatory cut of the 3-step shelterwood silvicultural system. The tree species scheduled for harvesting are predominantly Engelmann spruce, subalpine fir with a small component of aspen mixed in.

The following table displays each proposed individual sale name, species, gross and net acreages scheduled for harvesting, associated road miles, and proposed sell year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sales name</th>
<th>Approximate gross acres</th>
<th>Road miles</th>
<th>Sell year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grove Creek</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley View</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep Flats</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>1,300</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preliminary scoping of the Sheep Flats area from interested parties identified three tentative issues. These are: (1) Construction of roads within roadless areas identified during the 1979 RARE II process, (2) harvesting within old growth areas and (3) cumulative impacts from logging operations in and around the sale areas. Based upon these preliminary issues four alternatives will be analyzed:

1. No action.
2. Restricting harvesting operations to stands outside of roadless areas.
3. Vegetative treatment practices using commercial methods to manage designated areas for timber harvesting and motorized trail locations.
4. Maximize the commercial timber output within the constraints of the Amended Forest Plan.

A public meeting is scheduled for Monday, March 9, 1992, at Collbran Ranger District Office from 3 p.m. to 7 p.m. to display and discuss the Sheep Flats area with the public. In conjunction with this public meeting, a news release identifying the Sheep Flats area and requesting public input is being released to local news outlets and interested parties on the Collbran Ranger District’s NEPA mailing list.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency’s notice of availability appears in the Federal Register.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:  
Paulo F. Mendes or Stephanie L. Hager,  
Office of Countervailing Investigations,  
Import Administration, International  
Trade Administration, U.S. Department  
of Commerce, 14th Street and  
Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington,  
DC 20230; telephone (202) 377-5050 or  
377-5055 respectively.

Alignment of Antidumping and  
Countervailing Duty Cases  

On December 23, 1991, we published  
a preliminary affirmative countervailing  
duty determination pertaining to bulk  
ibuprofen from India (56 FR 69452). The  
notice stated that we would make our  
final countervailing duty determination  

On January 28, 1992, in accordance  
with section 705(a)(1) of the Tariff Act  
of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), we  
received a request from petitioner to  
extend the due date for the final  
countervailing duty determination to  
coincide with the date of the final  
determination in the antidumping duty  
investigation of bulk ibuprofen from  
India. Accordingly, we are extending  
the final determination in this  
countervailing duty investigation to  
not later than May 11, 1992.

In accordance with section 705 of  
the Act, and 19 CFR 355.20(c)(ii), the  
Department will direct the U.S. Customs  
Service to terminate the suspension of  
liquidation in the countervailing duty  
proceeding as of April 22, 1992. No cash  
deposits or bonds for potential  
countervailing duties will be required  
for merchandise which enters the United  
States on or after April 22, 1992. The  
suspension of liquidation will not be  
resumed unless and until the  
Department publishes a countervailing  
duty order. We will also direct the U.S.  
Customs Service to maintain the  
suspension of any entries suspended  
between December 23, 1991 and April  
21, 1992, until the conclusion of this  
investigation.

Public Comment  

In our preliminary determination we  
stated that, if requested, a public  
hearing would be held on February 20,  
1992. We have rescheduled that public  
hearing for 10:30 a.m. on March 5, 1992,  
at the Department of Commerce, room  
3606, 14th Street and Constitution  
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.  
Parties should confirm by telephone the  
time, date, and the place of the hearing  
48 hours before the scheduled time. The  
deadlines for case briefs and rebuttal  
briefs are now February 20, and  
February 27, 1992 respectively.

The U.S. International Trade  
Commission is being advised of this  
postponement. This notice is published  
pursuant to section 705(d) of the Act.  

Marjorie A. Chorlins,  
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. 92-3640 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

Importers and Retailers' Textile  
Advisory Committee; Partially Closed  
Meeting  

A meeting of the Importers and  
Retailers' Textile Advisory Committee  
will be held on Monday, March 9, 1992,  
Herbert C. Hoover Building, room  
H3407, 14th Street and Constitution  
Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.  
(The Committee was established by the  
Secretary of Commerce on August 13,  
1963 to advise Department officials of  
the effects on import markets and  
retailing of cotton, wool, man-made  
fiber, silk blend and other vegetable  
fiber textiles.)

General Session: 10:30 a.m. Review of  
import trends, international activities,  
report on conditions in the market, and  
other business.

Executive Session: 11 a.m. Discussion  
of matters properly classified under  
Executive Order 12350 (3 CFR 1982  
Comp. p. 166) and listed in 5 U.S.C.  
552b(i)(1).

The general session will be open to  
the public with a limited number of  
seats available. A Notice of  
Determination to close meetings or  
portions of meetings to the public on the  
basis of 5 U.S.C. 552b(i)(1) has been  
approved in accordance with the  
Federal Advisory Committee Act. A  
copy of the notice is available for public  
inspection and copying in the Central  
Facility Room H6628, U.S. Department  
of Commerce, (202) 377-3031.

For further information or copies of  
the minutes, contact Theresa Stuart  
(202) 377-3737.


Ronald I. Leavin,  
Acting Chairman, Committee for the  
Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 92-3641 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-DR-F
AGENCY: Service, Marine Mammals; Permit Issuance

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Marine Mammals; Permit Issuance


ACTION: Issuance of public display permit No. 759.

SUMMARY: On Wednesday, October 16, 1991, notice was published in the Federal Register (56 FR 51681) that an application (F469) had been filed by the New Jersey Academy for Aquatic Sciences, P.O. Box 95004, Camden, NJ 08101-5004. A public display permit was requested to obtain the care and custody of ten pinnipeds, from captive populations, in some combination of harbor seals (Phoca vitulina) and gray seals (Halichoerus grypus).

Notice is hereby given that, on February 7, 1992, as authorized by the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act, the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a permit for the above activities subject to the special conditions set forth therein.

The permit is available for review by appointment of interested persons in the following offices:

Permit Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1335 East-West Highway, room 7330, SSMC1, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910, (301) 427-2289; and Director, Northeast Region, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA, One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930, (506) 281-9300.


Charles Karnella,
Acting Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 92-3550 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Denial of Participation in the Special Access and Special Regime Programs


AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Issuing a directive to the Commissioner of Customs denying the right to participate in the Special Access and Special Regime Programs.


The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA) has determined that the Arrow Company is in violation of the requirements set forth for participation in the Special Access and Special Regime Programs.

In the letter published below, the Chairman of CITA directs the Commissioner of Customs, effective on February 10, 1992, to deny the Arrow Company the right to participate in the Special Access and Special Regime Programs, for a period of three months, beginning February 10, 1992 and ending May 10, 1992. In addition, for the period February 10, 1992 through May 10, 1992, U.S. Customs will not sign ITA-370P forms for export of U.S.-formed and cut fabric for the Arrow Company.


Ronald I. Levin,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements


Commissioner of Customs,
Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20229.

Dear Commissioner: The purpose of this directive is to notify you that the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that the Arrow Company is in violation of the requirements for participation in the Special Access and Special Regime Programs.

Effective on February 10, 1992, you are directed to prohibit the Arrow Company from further participation in the Special Access and Special Regime Programs, for a period of three months, beginning February 10, 1992 and ending May 10, 1992. Goods accompanied by Form ITA-370P which are presented to U.S. Customs for entry under the Special Access and Special Regime Programs will no longer be accepted. In addition, for the period February 10, 1992 through May 10, 1992, you are directed not to sign ITA-370P forms for export of U.S.-formed and cut fabric for the Arrow Company.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that these actions fall within the foreign affairs
COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM THE BLIND AND OTHER SEVERELY HANDICAPPED

Procurement List; Proposed Additions and Deletions

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped.

ACTION: Proposed additions to and deletions from Procurement List.

SUMMARY: This action adds to the Procurement List commodities and services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have severe disabilities, and deletes from the Procurement List military resale commodities previously furnished by such agencies.


ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped, Crystal Square 5, suite 1107, 1755 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3509.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Milkman (703) 557-1145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 2, November 6, 22, December 13 and 20, 1991, the Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped published notices (56 FR 37088, 57323, 58882, 65047 and 66019) of proposed additions to and deletions from the Procurement List.

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the commodities and services to the Government.

2. The action will not have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the commodities and services.

3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the commodities and services to the Government.

4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O’Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the commodities and services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following commodities and services are hereby added to the Procurement List:

Commodities


Preventive Dentistry Kit, Patient 65500-00-086-6554

Services

Grounds Maintenance, Naval Hospital, Oakland, California.

Operation of the Postal Service Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

Operation of Tool Crib, Kelly Air Force Base, Texas.

This action does not affect contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options exercised under those contracts.

Deletions

After consideration of the relevant matter presented, the Committee has determined that the military resale commodities listed below are no longer suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46-48c and 41 CFR 51-2.4.

Accordingly, the following military resale commodities are hereby deleted from the Procurement List:

Item No. and Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No. and Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>060 Roller Ball Pen, Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>061 Roller Ball Pen, Blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>568 Board, Ironing, Table Top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>581 Flatware, Assorted, Pg. of 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>583 Flatware, Forks, Pg. of 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541 Flatware, Spoons, Pg. of 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 Vest, Safety, Joggers, Small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>662 Web, Cargo, Large Car Top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>663 Web, Cargo, Small Car Top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>664 Web, Cargo, Large Truck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>665 Web, Cargo, Small Truck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912 Brush, Lint, Plastic Filament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>935 Ensembles, Christmas, Potholder and Towel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

993 Pens, Stick, Air Force
994 Pens, Stick, Army
Beverly L. Milkman, Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 92-3622 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
service proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

It is proposed to add the following commodities and service to the Procurement List:

**Commodities**
- Trousers, Wet Weather

**Service**
- Janitorial/Custodial, Federal Building

SUMMARY: The Committee has received proposals to add to the Procurement List commodities and services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED ON OR BEFORE: March 16, 1992.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Milkman, Executive Director.

BILLING CODE 6820-33-M

---

**PROCUREMENT LIST, PROPOSED ADDITIONS**

AGENCY: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped.

ACTION: Proposed additions to Procurement List.

SUMMARY: The Committee has received proposals to add to the Procurement List commodities and services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED ON OR BEFORE: March 16, 1992.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped, Crystal Square 5, Suite 1107, 1755 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-3509.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Milkman (703) 557-1145.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a)(2) and 41 CFR 51.2-3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the possible impact of the proposed actions. If the Committee approves the proposed additions, all entities of the Federal Government (except as otherwise indicated) will be required to procure the commodities and services listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have severe disabilities.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the commodities and services to the Government.
2. The action does not appear to have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the commodities and services.
3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the commodities and services to the Government.
4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the commodities and services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

It is proposed to add the following commodities and services to the Procurement List:

**Commodities**
- Parka, Wet Weather

**Services**
- Commissary Shelf Stocking and Custodial, Kelly Air Force Base, Texas.
- Janitorial/Custodial, Carl D. Perkins Federal Building and Courthouse, 1405 Greenup Avenue, Ashland, Kentucky.
- Janitorial/Custodial, Federal Building, St. George, Utah.
- Machining Parts, Naval Supply Center, Puget Sound, Bremerton, Washington.

BILLING CODE 6820-33-M

---

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION**

Chicago Board of Trade Proposal to Implement the Globex Trading System

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rules and rule amendments to implement the Globex Trading System.

SUMMARY: The Chicago Board of Trade ("CBT") has submitted proposed new rules and rule amendments pursuant to section 5a(12) of the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act") to implement the Globex Trading System ("Globex") at the CBT. Acting pursuant to the authority delegated by Commission Regulation 140.96, the Director of the Division of Trading and Markets has determined to publish the CBT's proposal for public comment. The Division believes that publication of the CBT's proposal is in the public interest and will assist the Commission in considering the views of interested persons.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 16, 1992.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Van Wagner, Special Counsel, or Clarence Sanders, Attorney, Division of Trading and Markets, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW, Washington, DC 20581. Telephone: (202) 254-8955.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Description of Proposed Rules and Rule Amendments

By a letter dated December 17, 1991, and received December 18, 1991, the CBT submitted proposed new rules and rule amendments pursuant to Commission Regulation 1.41(b) to implement Globex at the CBT. Globex is an automated order entry and matching system for trading in futures and options contracts. The Commission has previously approved rules of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange ("CME") to implement Globex at the CME. The CBT and CME subsequently established a joint-venture to operate Globex. The CBT has stated that the bulk of the proposed rules are substantially the same as, and in many instances identical to, the CME rules previously approved by the Commission.

Under the proposal, the Globex trading session at the CBT would run from 10:30 p.m. until 6 a.m. The Globex trading session would represent a
resumption of trading between the night trading hours ("NTH") session and the regular trading hours ("RTH") session currently in place at the CBT. As is current practice, the trading day would continue to begin with the NTH session and end at the close of the RTH session. Initially, the CBT is proposing to trade on Globex only the Ten Year Treasury Note futures and options contracts, and the U.S. Treasury bond futures and options contracts. Other futures and options contracts may be listed for trading through Globex at a later date.

II. Request for Comments

The Commission requests comments on any aspect of the CBT’s proposed new rules and rule amendments that members of the public believe may raise issues under the Act or Commission regulations.

Copies of the proposed rules and related materials are available for inspection at the Office of the Secretariat, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20581. Copies also may be obtained through the Office of the Secretariat at the above address or by telephoning (202) 254-6314. Some materials may be subject to confidential treatment pursuant to 17 CFR 145.5 or 145.9.

Any person interested in submitting written data, views, or arguments on the proposed rule should send such comments to Jean A. Webb, Secretary, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 2033 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20581, by the specified date.

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 10, 1992.

Alan L. Seifert, Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. 92-3544 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Investigative Service

Privacy Act of 1974; Delete and Amend Record Systems

AGENCY: Defense Investigative Service, DOD.

ACTION: Delete and Amend Record Systems.

SUMMARY: The Defense Investigative Service proposes to delete three and amend four record system notices for systems of records subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

DATES: The deletions are effective February 14, 1992. The proposed amendments will be effective March 16, 1992, unless comments are received that would result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Chief, Office of Information and Public Affairs, Defense Investigative Service, 1900 Half Street, SW., Room 6115, Washington, DC 20532-1700.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Dale Hartig at (202) 475-1062.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The complete Defense Investigative Service system of records notices inventory subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, has been published in the Federal Register as follows:

50 FR 22943, May 29, 1985 (DOD Compilation, changes follow)
55 FR 22390, Jun 1, 1990
56 FR 12716, Mar 27, 1991
56 FR 40163, Sep 10, 1991
57 FR 1155, Jan 10, 1992

The amendments are not within the purview of subsection (r) of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, which requires the submission of altered system reports. The specific changes to the notices being amended are set forth below followed by the system notices, as amended, published in their entirety.

L.M. Bynum,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

DELETIONS

V4-09

SYSTEM NAME:


Reason: Records are no longer retrieved by personal identifiers.

V5-05

SYSTEM NAME:

Subject and Reference Locator Records (50 FR 22956, May 29, 1995).

Reason: This system has been discontinued.

V6-02

SYSTEM NAME:


Reason: Records in this system are being incorporated into V6-01, Personnel Security Files (PSF).

AMENDMENTS

V4-07

SYSTEM NAME:

Adverse Actions, Grievance Files, and Administrative Appeals (50 FR 22949, May 29, 1985).

CHANGES

* * * * *

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Delete entry and replace with “Defense Investigative Service, Deputy Director (Resources), 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20532-1700”.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Delete entry and replace with “All agency employees who are directly affected by grievances, complaints, and adverse actions”.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Delete entry and replace with “Case files and related records pertaining to administrative inquiries into allegations of misconduct, statements of witnesses, employee’s statements, reports of interviews and hearings, hearing notices, letters of decision, records of appeals and reconsideration requests, reversals of actions, notices of proposed actions with supporting documents”.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

On first line, after “Chapters” add “432”.

PURPOSE(S):

Delete the entry and replace with “Records are used in the investigation and preparation of a case for initial disposition, and possible subsequent determinations in the event of appeal or reconsideration. Records are available for use by the examiner of the original case, or at the appellate level, both within and outside DIS”.

* * * * *

RETRIEVABILITY:

Delete lines 2 and 3.

SAFEGUARDS:

On line 4, add a period (.) after “personnel”. Delete the rest of line 4 and line 5.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Delete entry and replace with “Adverse action files are destroyed three years after the case is closed. Grievance and administrative appeals files are destroyed four years after the case is closed. Destruction is accomplished by burning or shredding”.

STATEMENT OF PURPOSE:

A request for information must contain the full name and Social Security Number of the subject individual. Personal visits will require a valid driver’s license or other picture identification and are limited to the Privacy Act Office.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Delete entry and replace with “The agency’s rules for accessing records, contesting contents, and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned are contained in DIS Regulation 28–4, Access to and Maintenance of DIS Personal Records; 32 CFR part 321; or may be obtained from the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324–1700”.

V4–07

SYSTEM NAME:

Adverse Actions, Grievance Files, and Administrative Appeals.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Defense Investigative Service, Deputy Director (Resources), 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324–1700.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

All agency employees who are directly affected by grievances, complaints, and adverse actions.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Case files and related records pertaining to administrative inquiries into allegations of misconduct, statements of witnesses, employee’s statements, reports of interviews and hearings, hearing notices, letters of decision, records of appeals and reconsideration requests, reversals of actions, notices of proposed action with supporting documents.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

5 U.S.C. 301 Chapter 77 and FPM Chapters 432, 751, 752, 771.

PURPOSE(S):

Records are used in the investigation and preparation of a case for initial disposition, and possible subsequent determinations in the event of appeal or reconsideration. Records are available for use by the examiner of the original case, or at the appellate level, both within and outside DIS.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

The “Blanket Routine Uses” published at the beginning of DIS’ compilation of record system notices apply to this system.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

Paper records in file folders.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Filed alphabetically by name.

SAFEGUARDS:

Building employs security guards. Records are maintained in locked containers accessible only to authorized personnel.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Adverse action files are destroyed three years after the case is closed. Grievance and administrative appeals files are destroyed four years after the case is closed. Destruction is accomplished by burning or shredding.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Defense Investigative Service, Deputy Director (Resources), 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324–1700.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves is contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324–1700.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Supervisors, complainants, investigators, and appropriate law enforcement agencies.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

VS–01

SYSTEM NAME:

Investigative Files System , 50 FR 22951. May 29, 1985

CHANGES:

* * * * *

SYSTEM LOCATION:

At the end of line six delete “DIS”. Delete lines 7 through 13.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Paragraph 1, at the end of line 4, delete the period and add “; industrial security administrative inquiries (AIS)”.

Delete the second paragraph.

Paragraph 3, line 1, delete “ISRs” and replace with “AISs”; line 4, delete “fingerprint cards.”.

Paragraph 4, at beginning of line 8, add “and”; after “subject” delete semicolon (;) and substitute a period (.) delete the rest of the paragraph.
**PURPOSE(S):**

On line 1 change “insure” to “ensure”.

- - - - -

**STORAGE:**

On line 2, insert “diskettes,” after “microfilm.”

**RETRIEVABILITY:**

On line 4, delete “Central Index of Investigations” and replace with “Clearance and Investigations Index.”

- - - - -

**RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:**

At the end of line 25, delete “25” and substitute “15”.

- - - - -

**NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:**

Delete entry and replace with “Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves is contained in this system of records should send written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324–1700.”

**RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

Delete entry and replace with “Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Privacy Act Office, 2200 Van Deman, Baltimore, MD 21224–6603.”

A request for information must contain the full name and Social Security number of the subject individual. Personal visits will require a valid driver’s license or other picture identification and are limited to the Privacy Act Office.”

**CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:**

Delete entry and replace with “The agency’s rules for accessing records, contesting contents, and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned are contained in DIS Regulation 28-4, Access to and Maintenance of DIS Personal Records; 32 CFR part 321; or may be obtained from the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324–1700.”

- - - - -

**VS-01**

**SYSTEM NAME:**

Investigative Files System.

**SYSTEM LOCATION:**

Defense Investigative Service, Investigative Files Division, 2200 Van Deman, Baltimore, MD 21224–6603, has primary control over the system and is responsible for the maintenance of completed investigative records.

**CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:**

Military personnel who are active duty; applicants for enlistment or appointment; members of Reserve units; National guardsmen; DOD civilian personnel who are paid from appropriated funds; industrial or contractor personnel who are working in private industry in firms which have contracts involving access to classified DOD information or installations; Red Cross personnel and personnel paid from nonappropriated funds who have DOD affiliation; ROTC cadets; former military personnel; and individuals residing on, have authorized official access to, or conducting or operating any business or other functions at any DOD installation or facility.

**CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**

Official Reports of Investigation (ROIs) prepared by DIS or other DOD, federal, state, or local official investigative activities; industrial security administrative inquiries (AIs). Attachments to ROIs or AIs including exhibits, subject or interviewee statements, police records, medical records, credit bureau reports, employment records, education records, release statements, summaries of, or extracts from other similar records or reports.

Case control and management documents which are not reports of investigation, but which serve as the basis for investigation, or which serve to guide and facilitate investigative activity, including documents providing the data to open and conduct the case; and documents initiated by the subject.

DIS file administration and management documents accounting for the disclosure of, control of, and access to a file.

**AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:**


**PURPOSE(S):**

To ensure that the acceptance or retention of persons in sensitive DOD positions or granting individuals including those employed in defense industry access to classified information is clearly consistent with national security.

To determine the loyalty, suitability, eligibility, and general trustworthiness of individuals for access to defense information and facilities.

To determine the eligibility and suitability of individuals for entry into and retention in the Armed Forces.

To provide information pertinent to the protection of persons under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. 3056, Powers and Duties of the Secret Service.

For use in criminal law enforcement investigations, including statutory violations and counterintelligence as well as counterespionage and other security matters.

**ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:**

For maintenance and use by the requesting activity when collected during reciprocal investigations conducted for other DOD and federal investigative elements.

For dissemination to federal agencies or other DOD components when information regarding personnel security matters is reported by Information Summary Report.

The “Blanket Routine Use” published at the beginning of DIS’ compilation of system of record notices apply to this system of records.

**POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**

**STORAGE:**

Paper records in file folders, microfilm, diskettes, magnetic tape or supplementary index cards.

**RETRIEVABILITY:**

Information is retrieved by name and case control number.

**SAFEGUARDS:**

Completed investigative records are contained and stored in paper files, open shelves, filing cabinets and on magnetic tape which are housed in
Defense Investigative Service, Office of the Secretary of Defense, is responsible for maintaining information about themselves contained in this system of records and should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Privacy Act Office, 2200 Van Dorn, Baltimore, MD 21224-6603.

A request for information must contain the full name and Social Security number of the subject individual. Personal visits will require a valid driver's license or other picture identification and are limited to the privacy Act Office.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:
The agency's rules for accessing records, contesting contents, and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned are contained in DIS Regulation 28-4, Access to and Maintenance of DIS Personal Records; 32 CFR part 321; or may be obtained from the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324-1700.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:
Subjects of investigators, records of other DOD activities and components, Federal, state, county, and municipal records, employment records of private business and industrial firms, Educational and disciplinary records of schools, colleges, universities, technical and trade schools, Hospital, clinic, and other medical records. Records of commercial enterprises such as real estate agencies, credit bureaus, loan companies, credit unions, banks, and other financial institutions which maintain credit information on individuals.

The interview of individuals who are thought to have knowledge of the subject's background and activities.

The interview of witnesses, victims, and confidential sources.

The interview of any individuals deemed necessary to complete the DIS investigation.

Miscellaneous directories, rosters, and correspondence.

Any other type of record deemed necessary to complete the DIS investigation.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:
 Portions of this system of records may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a (k)(2), (k)(3), and (k)(5) as applicable. An exemption rule for this record system has been promulgated in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 558(b) (1), (2), and (3), (c) and (e) and published in 32 CFR part 321.

Additional information contact the system manager.

SYSTEM NAME:
Defense Integrated Management System (DIMS) (50 FR 5957, March 20, 1985)

CHANGES:

STORAGE:
Delete the first paragraph and replace with: "The DIMS Master File resides on a Direct Access Storage Device. The Master File is copied on magnetic tape as a backup to provide continuity of processing operations at alternate locations in the event of computer failure.

Paragraph 2, at the end of line two add: "and on microfiche."

RETRIEVABILITY:
Paragraph 1, line five delete "in card form and".
Paragraph two, line one, delete "Lead" and replace with "Investigative".

SAFEGUARDS:
Delete entry and replace with: "Generalized validation is provided in batch retrieval through program edits to prevent unauthorized access. User terminals with access to the Master Index are located in controlled access areas. Access to the system is limited to a specified time of day. Terminals are connected via dedicated data circuits which prevent access from standard dial-up telephones. Activities must be a part of DOD and accredited on the basis of authorized requirements before a new terminal is established or before batch requests will be honored and processed. Terminal holders and organizations authorized access by other means are responsible for insuring that individuals and organizations to whom they disclose index information have appropriate authority and need to know. The computer room is located within a building controlled by security personnel at all times. Identification badges are required for entrance. Access to the computer room is controlled by a combination lock on the
RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:
Add “DIMS Transaction records are retained for one year.” to the end of the entry.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:
In line two, after “Director” insert “(Investigations)”.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:
Delete entry and replace with “Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Privacy Act Office, 2200 Van Deman, Baltimore, MD 21224–6603.

Individual should provide full name and all maiden and alias names under which files may be maintained. For verification purposes, the Social Security Number may be necessary for positive identification of certain records.

Personal visits will require a valid driver’s license or other picture identification and are limited to the Privacy Act Office.”

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:
Delete the entry and replace with “The agency’s rules for accessing records, contesting contents, and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned are contained in DIS Regulation 22–4, Access to and Maintenance of DIS Personal Records; 32 CFR part 321; or may be obtained from the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW, Washington, DC 20324–1700.”

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:
Delete entry and replace with “None.”

VS–03

SYSTEM NAME:
Defense Integrated Management System (DIMS).

SYSTEM LOCATION:
Defense Investigative Service, Director, Personnel Investigations Center, 2200 Van Deman, Baltimore, MD 21224–6603.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:
Any person who is the subject of an ongoing or recently completed Defense Investigative Service (DIS) investigation.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:
The DIMS Transaction file contains information on the addition to, deletion of, destruction of, and amendment to DIS investigative files. The DIMS Master File is composed of records of investigations which are ongoing or have been conducted for DOD activities and personal identifying information on individuals who have been investigated.

The DIMS master file also consists of records of leads assigned to DIS field elements for those investigations in progress. Records contain the name, Social Security Number, case control number, the investigative leads assigned, and their status. The DIMS History File consists of records of investigations that have been closed. Records contain the name and other personal identifying information. The DIMS History File consists of records of investigations that have been closed. Records contain the name and other personal identifying information. The type of investigation, requester category, case number, the closing status or the date closed or both.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

PURPOSE(S):
To determine the existence, location, and status of subject cases; to control workload and prepare statistical reports, to inform federal agencies or requesters of investigations regarding the status of ongoing cases.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:
The “Blanket Routine Uses” published at the beginning of DIS’ compilation of system of records notices apply to this system of records.

STORAGE:
The DIS Master File resides on a Direct Access Storage Device. The master file is copied on magnetic tape as a backup to provide continuity of processing at alternate locations in the event of computer failure. The DIMS History File is contained on magnetic tape. DIMS Investigative Assignment Files are retained in paper form and on microfiche.

RETRIEVABILITY:
DIMS master records are accessed through the case control number. History file records are accessed by name from sequentially dated tapes. Investigative Assignment Files data is retrievable by name of individual or case control number.

SAFEGUARDS:
Generalized validation is provided in batch retrieval through program edits to prevent unauthorized access.

User terminals with access to the Master Index are located in controlled access areas. Access to the system is limited to a specified time of day.

Terminals are connected via dedicated data circuits which prevent access from standard dial-up telephones. Activities must be a part of DOD and accredited on the basis of authorized requirements before a new terminal is reviewed or before batch requests will be honored and processed. Terminal holders and organizations authorized access by other means are responsible for ensuring that individuals and organizations to whom they disclose index information have appropriate authority and need to know. The computer room is located within a building controlled by security personnel at all times. Identification badges are required for entrance.

Access to the computer room is controlled by a combination lock on the entrance. Critical backup files are stored in locked fireproof data safes.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:
Monthly history tapes are retained indefinitely. After two years, all names are deleted from the records of monthly history tapes. Investigative Assignment Files are retained for one year after completion of field leads.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:
Director, Personnel Investigation Center, Defense Investigative Service, 2200 Van Deman Street, Baltimore, MD 21224–6603.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:
Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW, Washington, DC 20324–1700.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:
Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained...
in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Privacy Act Office, 2200 Van Deman, Baltimore, MD 21224-6603.

Individual should provide full name and all maiden and alias names under which files may be maintained. For verification purposes, the Social Security Number may be necessary for positive identification of certain records. Personal visits will require a valid driver's license or other picture identification and are limited to the Privacy Act Office.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:
The agency's rules for accessing records, contesting contents, and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned are contained in DIS Regulation 28-4, Access to and Maintenance of DIS Personal Records; 32 CFR part 321; or may be obtained from the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324-1700.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:
DIS Investigative Reports.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:
None.

V6-01
SYSTEM NAME:
Personnel Security Files (PSF) [50 FR 22956, May 29, 1985]

CHANGES:

SYSTEM LOCATION:
Delete entry and replace with "Primary electronic system is located at Defense Investigative Service, Personnel Investigations Center, 2200 Van Deman, Baltimore, MD 21224-6603. Remote terminals are located at Defense Investigative Service, New England Region, 495 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210-2192; Defense Investigative Service, Mid-Atlantic Region, 1040 Kings Highway North, Cherry Hill, NJ 08034-1908; Defense Investigative Service, Capital Region, 2461 Eisenhower Avenue, Room 752, Alexandria, VA 22331-1900; Defense Investigative Service, Mid-Western Region, 610 South Canal Street, Room 908, Chicago, IL 60607-4577; Defense Investigative Service, Southeastern Region, 2300 Lake Park Drive, Suite 250, Smyrna, GA 30080-7606; Defense Investigative Service, Southwestern Region, 106 Decker Court, Suite 200, Irving, TX 75062-2785; Defense Investigative Service, Northwestern Region, Building 35, Room 114, The Presidio, San Francisco, CA 94120-7700; Defense Investigative Service, Pacific Region, 3605 Long Beach Boulevard, Suite 405, Long Beach, CA 90807-4013; and Paper records and Sensitive Compartmented Information Access Records are located at the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Security, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324-1700."

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:
Delete everything after "employee".

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:
Delete entry and replace with "Individuals' Certificates of Personnel Security Investigation, Certificates of Security Clearance and Access Authorization, Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) Access Records, Adjudicative Summary Sheets, certificates of clearance for other agencies. Security Briefing Statements, security clearance downgrade and discontinuation statements, Security Termination Statement and Debriefing Certificates. Defense Clearance and Investigation Index (DCII) check results, requests for Personnel Security Investigations, Badge and Credential Receipts, and related documents which may vary in certain cases. Personnel identifying data to confirm identities is also contained in this system."

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:
Delete line 1. Delete line 2 through "and". On line 4 delete "Directive" and replace with "Regulation". At the end of the entry delete the period, and add ". DIS 25-3 Regulation, Personnel Security Program."

PURPOSES:
Delete entry and replace with "Files are used during an individual's employment with the agency to provide a basis for determining eligibility for assignment to, or retention in, a sensitive position; granting security clearance; evidence of clearance and access to classified defense information; to verify security clearance information of individuals visiting other offices regarding classified matters. File information may be provided to other authorized government departments, agencies, or offices when a change of the individual's employment is being considered."

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETREIVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:
Delete the entry.

STORAGE:
At end add "Summary data is recorded electronically in Federal Personnel Management System (FPMS) and Defense Clearance and Investigation Index (DCII)."

RETRIEVABILITY:
At end add "and by location (Region/Center). Summary data is retrievable by Social Security number or name."

SAFEGUARDS:
Delete entry and replace with "All system records are stored in locked security containers accessible only to authorized personnel. Summary data is accessed only by authorized personnel using assigned system passwords."

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:
Delete entry and replace with "Records are kept for two years after termination of the individual's employment with DIS. Longer retention not to exceed five years after termination of employment, may be approved by the Chief, Office of Security, DIS. Paper records are destroyed by burning or shredding; electronic records are erased or overwritten."

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:
On line 2, insert "Office of" before "Security", and delete "Division"

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:
Delete entry and replace with "Individuals seeking to determine if information about themselves is contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324-1700."

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:
Delete entry and replace with "Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Privacy Act Office, 2200 Van Deman, Baltimore, MD 21224-6603. A request for information must contain the full name and Social Security number of the subject individual. Personal visits will require a valid driver's license or other picture identification and are limited to the Privacy Act Office."
CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:
Delete entry and replace with "The agency's rules for accessing records, contesting contents, and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned are contained in DIS Regulation 28-4, Access to and Maintenance of DIS Personal Records; 32 CFR part 321; or may be obtained from the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324–1700."

V6-01

SYSTEM NAME:
Personnel Security Files (PSF)

SYSTEM LOCATION:
Primary electronic system is located at Defense Investigative Service, Personnel Investigations Center, 2200 Van Deman, Baltimore, MD 21203–1211.
Remote terminals are located at Defense Investigative Service, New England Region, 495 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210–2192;
Defense Investigative Service, Mid-Atlantic Region, 1040 Kings Highway North, Cherry Hill, NJ 08034–1906;
Defense Investigative Service, Capital Region, 2401 Eisenhower Avenue, Room 752, Alexandria, VA 22331–1000;
Defense Investigative Service, Mid-Western Region, 610 South Canal Street, Room 908, Chicago, IL 60607–4577;
Defense Investigative Service, Southeastern Region, 2300 Lake Park Drive, Suite 250, Smyrna, GA 30080–7609;
Defense Investigative Service, Southwestern Region, 106 Decker Court, Suite 200, Irving, TX 75062–2795;
Defense Investigative Service, Pacific Region, 3605 Long Beach Blvd., Suite 405, Long Beach, CA 90807–4013; and

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:
Every DIS employee.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:
Individual's Certificates of Personnel Security Investigation, Certificates of Security Clearance and Access Authorization, Adjudicative Summary Sheets, Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) Access Records, certificates of clearance for other agencies, Security Briefing Statements, security clearance downgrade and discontinuation statements, Security Termination Statement and Debriefing Certificates, Defense Clearance and Investigations Index (DCII) check results, requests for Personnel Security Investigations, Badge and Credential receipts, and related documents which may vary in certain cases. Personal identifying data to confirm identities is also contained in this system.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

PURPOSE(S): Files are used during an individual's employment with the agency to provide a basis for determining eligibility for assignment to, to retention in, a sensitive position; granting security clearance; evidence of clearance and access to classified defense information; and to verify security clearance information of individuals visiting other offices regarding classified matters. File information may be provided to other authorized government departments, agencies or offices when a change of the individual's employment is considered.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:
The "Blanket Routine Uses" published at the beginning of DIS" compilation of system of record notices apply to this record system.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVAL, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:
STORAGE:
Paper records in file folders. Summary data is recorded electronically in Federal Personnel Management System (FPMS) and Defense Clearance and Investigations Index (DCII).

RETRIEVABILITY:
Paper records are filed alphabetically by last name and by location (Region/Center). Summary data is retrievable by Social Security number or by name.

SAFEGUARDS:
All system records are maintained in locked security containers accessible only to authorized personnel. Summary data is accessed only by authorized personnel using assigned system passwords.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:
Records are kept for two years after termination of an individual's employment with DIS. Longer retention, not to exceed five years after termination of employment, may be approved by the Chief, Office of Security, DIS. Paper records are destroyed by burning or shredding; electronic records are erased or overwritten.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:
Individuals seeking to determine if information about themselves is contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324–1700.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:
Individuals seeking access to information about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Defense Investigative Service, Privacy Act Office, 2200 Van Deman, Baltimore, MD 21224–0603.

A request for information must contain the full name and Social Security number of the subject individual. Personal visit will require a valid driver's license or other picture identification and are limited to the Privacy Act Office.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:
The agency's rules for accessing records, contesting contents, and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned are contained in DIS Regulation 28–4, Access to and Maintenance of DIS Personal Records; 32 CFR part 321; or may be obtained from the Defense Investigative Service, Office of Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20324–1700.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:
Application and related forms from the individual, summaries of information from background investigations of the individual.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:
None.

[FR Doc. 92–3595 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 310–01
Defense Logistics Agency

Privacy Act of 1974; Computer Matching Program Between the Small Business Administration and the Defense Manpower Data Center of the Department of Defense.

AGENCY: Defense Manpower Data Center, Defense Logistics Agency, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of a computer matching program between the Small Business Administration (SBA) and the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) of the Department of Defense (DOD) for public comment.

SUMMARY: DMDC, as the matching agency under the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, is hereby giving constructive notice in lieu of direct notice to the record subjects of a computer matching program between SBA and DMDC that their records are being matched by computer. The record subjects are delinquent debtors of the SBA who are current or former Federal employees receiving Federal salary or benefit payments and indebted and delinquent in their payment of debts owed to the United States Government under certain programs administered by SBA so as to permit SBA to pursue and collect the debt by voluntary repayment or by administrative or salary offset procedures under the provisions of the Debt Collection Act of 1982.

DATES: This proposed action will become effective March 16, 1992 and the computer matching will proceed accordingly without further notice, unless comments are received which would result in a contrary determination or if the Office of Management and Budget or Congress objects thereto. Any public comment must be received before that computer matching would be the best and least obstructive manner and choice for accomplishing this requirement.

A copy of the computer matching agreement between SBA and DMDC is available upon request to the public. Requests should be submitted to the address caption above or to the Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, SW, Washington, DC 20416.

A copy of the computer matching agreement between SBA and DMDC is available upon request to the public. Requests should be submitted to the address caption above or to the Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street, SW, Washington, DC 20416. Set forth below is a notice of the establishment of a computer matching program required by paragraph 6.c. of the Office of Management and Budget Guidelines on Computer Matching published in the Federal Register at 54 FR 25818 on June 19, 1989.

The matching agreement as required by 5 U.S.C. 552a(r) and an advance copy of this notice was submitted on February 5, 1992, to the Committee on Government Operations of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate, and the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget pursuant to paragraph 4b of Appendix I to OMB Circular No. A-130, "Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records about Individuals" dated December 12, 1985 (50 FR 52738, December 24, 1985). This matching program is subject to review by OMB and Congress and shall not become effective until that review period has elapsed.

L.M. Bynum,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

Computer Matching Program Between the Small Business Administration and the Defense Manpower Data Center of the Department of Defense for Debt Collection

A. Participating agencies: Participants in this computer matching program are the Small Business Administration (SBA) and the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) of the Department of Defense (DOD). SBA is the source agency, i.e., the agency disclosing the records for the purpose of the match. DMDC is the specific recipient or matching agency, i.e., the agency that actually performs the computer matching.

B. Purpose of the match: The purpose of the match is to identify and locate delinquent debtors who are current or former Federal employees receiving any Federal salary or benefit payments and indebted and delinquent in their payment of debts owed to the United States Government under certain programs administered by SBA so as to permit SBA to pursue and collect the debt by voluntary repayments or by administrative or salary offset procedures under the provisions of the Debt Collection Act of 1982.


D. Records to be matched: The systems of records maintained by the respective agencies under the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, from which records will be disclosed for the purpose of this computer match are as follows:

1. This match will involve the SBA record system identified as SBA-075, "Loan Case File", last published in the Federal Register at 56 FR 8022 on February 26, 1991.

2. The DOD will use the system of records identified as S322.11 DLA-LZ, "Federal Creditor Agency Debt Collection Data Base" last published in the Federal Register at 52 FR 37495 on October 7, 1987. The DMDC file contains information on approximately ten million active duty, retired, and Reserve military members, current and former Federal civilian employees.

3. Both record systems contain appropriate routine use disclosure provisions required by the Privacy Act permitting the disclosure of the affected personal information between the SBA
and the DOD. The routine uses are compatible with the purposes for which the information was collected and maintained. Moreover, there will be a disclosure accounting maintained by DMDC for any disclosures from the S322.11 DLA–LZ record system.

E. Description of computer matching program: SBA, as the source agency, will provide DMDC with a magnetic tape of individuals who are indebted to the SBA. The tape will contain data elements on individual debtors. DMDC, as the recipient agency, will perform a computer match using all nine digits of the SSN of the SBA file against a DMDC computer data base. Matching records, “hits” based on the SSN, will produce the member’s name, service or agency, category of employee, salary or benefit amounts, and current work or home address. Matching records will be returned to SBA. SBA will be responsible for verifying the information and for resolving any discrepancies or inconsistencies on an individual basis. SBA will be responsible for making the final determinations as to positive identification, amount of indebtedness, and recovery efforts as a result of the match. If the debtor is employed by another Federal agency, a request for salary or administrative offset is issued to the employing agency.

F. Individual notice and opportunity to contest: It will be the responsibility of SBA to verify and determine whether the data from the DMDC match are consistent with the data from the SBA debtor file, and to resolve any discrepancies or inconsistencies as to positive identification. SBA will screen the initial data to verify that the matched individual is in fact a delinquent debtor not in a repay status. SBA will do this by manually comparing the hit file with the SBA debtor file to verify debtor identity; conducting independent inquiries when necessary to resolve questionable identities; and reviewing records of the suspected debtor’s account to confirm that the debt is still in a non-pay status without resolution. Any discrepancies or inconsistencies furnished by DMDC, or developed as the result of the match, such as amount of indebtedness or salaries of hits will be independently investigated and verified by SBA prior to any final adverse action being taken against the individual by SBA. There will be no adverse action taken based on raw hits. Raw hit data will be manually reviewed to ensure the individuals identified are eligible for salary offset.

The debtor is given an opportunity to enter into a voluntary agreement to repay the debt under terms agreeable to SBA. The debtor is given an opportunity to inspect and copy records related to the debt and for review of the decision related to the debt. Requests for copies of the records relating to the debt shall be made no later than 10 days from the receipt by the debtor of the notice of indebtedness.

The debtor is entitled to a 30 day written notification informing the debtor of the circumstances under which the debt occurred, the amount owed, the intent to collect by deduction from pay if the amount owed is not paid in full, and an explanation of other rights of the debtor under the law.

The debtor is also entitled to an opportunity for a hearing concerning the existence or the amount of the debt, or when a repayment schedule is established other than by written agreement concerning the terms of the repayment schedule. The debtor shall be advised that a challenge to either the existence of the debt, the amount of the debt, or the repayment schedule, must be made within 30 days of receipt by the debtor of the notice of indebtedness or within 30 days after receipt of the records relating to the debt, if such records are requested by the debtor.

G. Inclusive dates of the matching program: This computer matching program is subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget and Congress. If no objections are raised by either and the mandatory 30 day public notice period for comment has expired for this Federal Register notice with no significant adverse public comments in receipt resulting in a contrary determination, then this computer matching program becomes effective and the respective agencies may begin the exchange of data 30 days after the date of this published notice at a mutually agreeable time and may be repeated no more than once a year. Under no circumstances shall the matching program be implemented before this 30 day public notice period for comment has elapsed as this time period cannot be waived. By agreement SBA and DMDC, the matching program will be in effect and continue for 18 months with an option to renew for 12 additional months unless one of the parties to the agreement advises the other by written request to terminate or modify the agreement.

H. Address for receipt of public comments or inquiries: Director, Defense Privacy Office, 400 Army Navy Drive, Room 205, Arlington, VA 22202-2864. Telephone (703) 614-3027.

[FR Doc. 92-3594 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5260-01-M

Department of the Navy
Naval Research Advisory Committee; Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. app. 2), notice is hereby given that the Naval Research Advisory Committee Panel on Delivery of Artificial Blood to the Military will meet on March 3 and 4, 1992. The meeting will be held at the Office of the Chief of Naval Research, 800 North Quincy Street, room 915, Arlington, Virginia. The meeting will commence at 8 a.m. and terminate at 4:30 p.m. on March 3 and 4, 1992. All sessions of the meeting will be closed to the public.

The purpose of the meeting is to provide the Navy with an evaluation of the opportunities to accelerate availability of artificial blood (erythrocyte) substitute products for implementation into military use, through testing, development of manufacturing methods or other developmental support where warranted; and to develop a strategy and plan that identify a development framework, timetable and investment recommendation for the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate (SASC) and House of Representatives (HASC) by 31 July 1992. The agenda will include briefings, discussions, and technical examination of information involving confidential commercial proprietary data and agency protected information from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Public disclosure of this information will likely reveal commercial trade secrets and significantly frustrate implementation of any proposed FDA agency actions related to artificial blood substitute products. Accordingly, the Secretary of the Navy has determined in writing that the public interest requires that all sessions of the meeting be closed to the public because they will be concerned with matters listed in section 5 U.S.C. (4), and (9)(B) of Title 5, United States Code.

For further information concerning this meeting contact: Commander John Hrenko, USN, Office of the Chief of Naval Research, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217-5000, Telephone: (703) 696-4870.

Wayne T. Bacino, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 92-3653 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 1510-2A-F
Privacy Act of 1974; Amend Record System

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.

ACTION: Amend Record System.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy proposes to amend two existing system of records to include new record system subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

DATES: The amendment will be effective on March 16, 1992, unless comments are received that would result in a contrary determination.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Gwendolyn Aitken at (703) 614–2004.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of the Navy record system notices for records systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, were published in the Federal Register as follows:

51 FR 12908, Apr 16, 1986
51 FR 18086, May 16, 1986 (DOD Compilation changes follow)
51 FR 19884, May 28, 1986
51 FR 36503, Dec 4, 1991
52 FR 40160, Sep 23, 1987
52 FR 41495, Oct 2, 1987
52 FR 45931, Dec 18, 1987
53 FR 8900, Mar 15, 1988
53 FR 10362, Mar 22, 1988
53 FR 11530, Apr 28, 1988
53 FR 14378, Mar 25, 1988
53 FR 23999, Sep 7, 1988
53 FR 27503, Sep 23, 1988
53 FR 28534, Dec 23, 1988
53 FR 32682, Aug 19, 1988
53 FR 33422, Aug 31, 1988
53 FR 35821, Oct 11, 1988
53 FR 36350, Oct 20, 1988
53 FR 44754, Sep 13, 1988
53 FR 47305, Oct 20, 1988
54 FR 8058, Feb 2, 1989
54 FR 14378, Apr 11, 1989
54 FR 15530, Apr 28, 1989
54 FR 17866, Jun 7, 1989
54 FR 24023, Jun 26, 1989
54 FR 41495, Oct 10, 1989
54 FR 41495, Oct 10, 1989
54 FR 43533, Oct 25, 1989
54 FR 47578, Oct 31, 1989
54 FR 47578, Oct 31, 1989
54 FR 48131, Nov 21, 1989
54 FR 51794, Dec 18, 1989
54 FR 52376, Dec 28, 1989
55 FR 21910, May 30, 1990 (Navy Mailing Addresses)
55 FR 37930, Sep 14, 1990
55 FR 43852, Oct 23, 1990
55 FR 47508, Nov 14, 1990
55 FR 48878, Nov 21, 1990
55 FR 53197, Dec 27, 1991
56 FR 424, Jan 4, 1991
56 FR 12721, Mar 27, 1991
56 FR 27503, Jun 14, 1991
56 FR 28144, Jun 19, 1991
56 FR 31394, Jul 10, 1991 (DOD Updated Indexes)
56 FR 40877, Aug 18, 1991
56 FR 40877, Aug 18, 1991

The amendment is not within the purview of subsection (r) of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, which requires the submission of altered systems reports. The specific changes to the system of records are set forth below followed by the system of records notice published in its entirety, as amended.

L. M. Bynum,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

N55390–1

SYSTEM NAME:

CHANGES:

SYSTEM LOCATION:
Delete entry and replace with "Office of the Judge Advocate General (Code 33), Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400."

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:
In line 15, after the abbreviation "U.S.C.", change the number "5031" to "5013".
In line 17, after the abbreviation "U.S.C.", change the numbers "5061–5062, 5065–5068" to "5031–5033, 5035–5037".
In line 22, delete "(U.S.C. 5201)" and replace with "(10 U.S.C. 5043)."

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USERS:
Delete paragraph four.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:
Delete entry and replace with "Paper documents and data stored prior to 1985 are stapled together [with real evidence attached, if any] in paper file folders and stored in metal file cabinets, on shelves, and in cardboard boxes. Records stored since 1985 are maintained on magnetic disk, magnetic tape, and on hard copy forms."

RETRIEVABILITY:
Delete entry and replace with "Records and data stored prior to 1985 are filed in calendar year groupings by surname of individual, bureau number of aircraft, name of ship, hull number of unnamed watercraft, or vehicle number of Government vehicles, or date of incident. Incidents are topically cross-referenced."

Records stored since 1985 are maintained on data base and are retrievable from the data base in calendar year groupings by surname, Social Security Numbers, bureau number of aircraft, name of ship, hull number of unmanned watercraft, vehicle number of Government vehicles, or date of incident. Incidents are topically cross-referenced."

SAFEGUARDS:
At the end of the first paragraph, add "Access to the building is protected by uniformed guards requiring positive identification for admission. The data base system is protected by user account number and password sign-on, data base access authority, data set authority for add and delete, and data item authority for list and update."

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:
Delete entry and replace with "Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Investigations), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400."

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:
Delete entry and replace with "Individuals seeking to determine whether this system of records contains information about themselves should address written inquiries to the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Investigations), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400."

The request should contain as much identifying data as possible, including, but not limited to the complete names of all individuals involved, the location and date of incident, the bureau number of aircraft or name and hull number of ship, government vehicle number, and type of incident."

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:
Delete entry and replace with "Individuals seeking access to records about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Investigations), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400."

The request should contain as much identifying data as possible, including,
but not limited to the complete names of all individuals involved, the location and date of incident, the bureau number of aircraft or name and hull number of ship, government vehicle number, and type of incident."

**CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:**
Delete entry and replace with "The Department of the Navy rules for accessing records and contesting contents and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned are published in Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5211.5; 32 CFR part 701; or may be obtained from the system manager."

**N05830-1**

**SYSTEM NAME:**

**SYSTEM LOCATION:**
Office of the Judge Advocate General (Code 33), Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332-2400.

**CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:**
Any individual who participated in, who was involved in, who incurred an injury, disease, or death in, who was intoxicated (drugs or alcohol) during, before, or after, or who had an interest in any accident, incident, transaction, or situation involving or affecting the Department of the Navy, naval personnel, or any procedure, operation, material, or design involving the Department of the Navy.

**CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**
The records contain all documented evidence relevant to the item under investigation, together with an investigating officer’s report, which makes findings of fact and expresses opinions and recommendations, the reviewing authority’s actions which either approved or modified the investigating officer’s report or returned the entire record for further investigation or remedial action to perfect the record, and, the results of hearings afforded persons who incurred injuries and diseases, to allow them to explain or rebut adverse information in the record.

The Judge Advocate General’s correspondence to locate and obtain required investigations is also included in the record. Some records contain only a field command’s explanation as to why an investigation is not required, together with documents pertinent to this lack of requirement. Some records contain only an accident, injury, or death report, prepared for the Department of the Navy by the Army, Air Force, Coast Guard, or other agency under reciprocal agreements, in situations where the Navy or Marine Corps could not conduct the investigation.

**AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:**

**PURPOSE(S):**
JAG Manual Investigative Records are used internally within the Department of the Navy as a basis for evaluating procedures operations, material, and designs with a view to improving the efficiency and safety of the Department of the Navy; determinations concerning status of personnel regarding disability benefits, entitlements to pay during periods of disability, severance pay, retirement pay, increases of pay for longevity, survivors’ benefits, and involuntary extensions of enlistments, dates of expiration of active obligated service and accrual of annual leave; determinations concerning relief of accountable personnel from liability for losses of public funds or property; determinations pertaining to disciplinary or punitive action and evaluation of petitions, grievances, and complaints; adjudication, pursuit, or defense of claims for or against the Government; and public information releases.

**ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:**
To officials and employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs for use in determinations concerning entitlements to veterans and survivors’ benefits.
To Servicemen’s Group Life Insurance administrators for determinations concerning payment of life insurance proceeds.
To the U.S. Government Accounting Office for purposes of determinations concerning relief of accountable personnel from liability for losses of public funds and related fiscal matters.
To contractors for use in connection with settlement, adjudication, or defense of claims by or against the Government, and for use in design and evaluation of products, services, and systems.
To agencies of the Federal, State or local law enforcement authorities, court authorities, administrative authorities, and regulatory authorities, for use in connection with civilian and military criminal, civil, administrative, and regulatory proceedings and actions.
The “Blanket Routine Uses” that appear at the beginning of the Department of the Navy’s compilation of system of record notices also apply to this system.

**POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**

**STORAGE:**
Paper documents and data stored prior to 1985 are stapled together (with real evidence attached, if any) in paper file folders and stored in metal file cabinets, on shelves, and in cardboard boxes.
Records stored since 1985 are maintained on magnetic disk, magnetic tape, and on hard copy forms.
RETRIEVABILITY:

Records and data stored prior to 1985 are filed in calendar year groupings by surname of individual, bureau number of aircraft, name of ship, hull number of unnamed watercraft, or vehicle number of Government vehicles, or date of incident. Incidents are topically cross-referenced.

Records stored since 1985 are maintained on data base and are retrievable from the data base in calendar year groupings by surname, Social Security Number, bureau number of aircraft, name of ship, hull number of unmanned watercraft, vehicle number of Government vehicles, or date of incident.

SAFEGUARDS:

Files are maintained in file cabinets and other storage devices under the control of authorized personnel during working hours; the office spaces in which the file cabinets and storage devices are locked outside official working hours. Access to the building is protected by uniformed guards requiring positive identification for admission. The data base system is protected by user account number and password sign-on, data base access authority, data set authority for add and delete, and data item authority for list and update.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

The records are retained permanently. All naval activities which prepare JAG Manual Investigative Reports, or which are intermediate addressees, typically retain duplicate copies in local files for a two year period.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Investigations), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332-2400.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Individuals seeking to determine whether this system of records contains information about themselves should address written inquiries to the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Investigations), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332-2400.

The request should contain as much identifying data as possible, including, but not limited to the complete names of all individuals involved, the location and date of incident, the bureau number of aircraft or name and hull number of ship, government vehicle number, and type of incident.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Individuals seeking access to records about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Investigations), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332-2400.

The request should contain as much identifying data as possible, including, but not limited to the complete names of all individuals involved, the location and date of incident, the bureau number of aircraft or name and hull number of ship, government vehicle number, and type of incident.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

The Department of the Navy rules for accessing records and contesting contents and appealing initial determinations by the individual concerned are published in Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5211.5; 32 CFR part 701; or may be obtained from the system manager.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Records of JAG Manual Investigations are compilations of evidence, information, and data concerning the circumstances of incidents, accidents, events, transactions, and situations, prepared by administrative fact-finding bodies of utilization by proper authorities in making determinations, decisions, or evaluations relating to the matters under investigation.

Records may contain: (1) testimony or statements of individuals who are parties to the investigations, witnesses, and others having pertinent knowledge concerning matters under investigation; (2) documentary evidence, including records and reports of military or Federal, state, or foreign, civilian law enforcement investigation, judicial, or corrections authorities; medical records and reports, investigations and accident and injury reports prepared by federal, state, or foreign governmental agencies or other organizations or persons; court records and other public records; official logs and other official naval records; letters and correspondence, personnel, pay, and medical records; financial records, receipts, and cost estimates; publications, and other pertinent documents and writings; (3) pertinent real evidence; and (4) pertinent demonstrative evidence.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

N05802-1

SYSTEM NAME:

Fiduciary Affairs Records (54 FR 51788, December 18, 1989)

CHANGES:

• • • • • •

SYSTEM LOCATION:

In line 2, delete "12" and replace with "32".

• • • • • •

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

The records are retained permanently.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

All active duty, fleet reserve, and retired members of the Navy and Marine Corps who have been medically determined to be mentally incapable of managing their financial affairs, their appointed or prospective trustees, and members' next-of-kin.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Proceedings of medical boards, documentation indicating the origin of the mental incapability, the name(s) and address(es) of the individual's next-of-kin, the disability retirement index, a copy of the interview(s) of prospective trustee(s), the appointment of the approved trustee, authority to pay the individual's retirement pay to the approved trustee, the instruction of duties and responsibilities to the trustee, annual trustee accounting reports, copy of the trustee's surety bond, a copy of the affidavit executed by the trustee's surety bond, a copy of the affidavit executed by the trustee to obtain the surety bond, miscellaneous responsibilities, annual approvals of the trustee account, discharge(s) of trustee, release(s) of surety, periodic physical examinations, medical records, and related correspondence.
AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

PURPOSE(S):
To provide nonjudicial financial management of military pay and allowances payable to active duty, fleet reserve, and retired Navy and marine Corps members for the period during which they are medically determined to be mentally incapable of managing their financial affairs.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:
To officials and employees of the Department of Justice when there is reason to suspect financial mismanagement and no satisfactory settlement with the surety can be reached.

To officials and employees of the Department of Veterans Affairs in connection with programs administered by the agency.

The "Blanket Routine Uses" that appear at the beginning of the Department of the Navy's compilation of system of record notices also apply to this system.

RECORDS AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVAL, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:
STORAGE:
Papers records in file folders stored in file cabinets or other storage devices.

RETRIEVABILITY:
By name of the member.

SAFEGUARDS:
Files are maintained in file cabinets and other storage devices under the control of authorized personnel during working hours; the office space in which the file cabinets and storage devices are located is locked outside official working hours.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:
Five years after closure of case, files are transferred to the Federal Records Center, Suitland, MD 20410 for permanent retention.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:
Assistant Judge Advocate General (Civil Law), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Navy Department, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:
Individuals seeking to determine whether this system of records contains information about themselves should address written inquiries to the Assistant Judge Advocate General (Civil Law), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400. Request should contain the full name of the individual concerned and should be signed.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:
Individuals seeking access to records about themselves contained in this system of records should address written inquiries to the Assistant Judge Advocate General (Civil Law), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria, VA 22332–2400.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:
The Department of the Navy rules for accessing records and contesting contents and appealing initial determinations by the individuals concerned are published in Secretary of the Navy Instruction 5211.5; 32 CFR part 701; or may be obtained from the system manager.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:
Components within the Department of the Navy, medical doctors, approved trustees, prospective trustees, surety companies, and the Department of Veterans Affairs.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:
None.

[FR Doc. 92–3593 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3105–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement and Conduct Public Scoping Meetings for Phases 3 and 4 of the Hawaii Geothermal Project

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement and conduct public scoping meetings for Phases 3 and 4 of the Hawaii Geothermal Project.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Department of Energy (DOE) intends to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for Phases 3 and 4 of the Hawaii Geothermal Project (HGP) as defined by the State of Hawaii in its April 1989 proposal to Congress. Five scoping meetings will be held in Hawaii from March 7 through March 16, 1992, to afford the public an opportunity to raise environmental issues and concerns related to the proposed project. This

Notice of Intent (NOI) follows an Advance NOI (ANOI) that was published in the Federal Register on September 3, 1991. Both the ANOI and NOI will be available for public review in reading rooms in Hawaii and the continental United States listed at the end of this NOI.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies and questions about the Draft and/or Final EIS should be directed to: Dr. Lloyd Lewis, CE–121, Office of Conservation and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, Telephone: (202) 586–8263.

For general information on the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Ms. Carol Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Oversight (EH–25), U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, Telephone: (202) 586–4800 or (800) 472–2758.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DOE further announces its intent to prepare an EIS that identifies and evaluates the environmental impacts associated with the proposed HGP, as defined by the State of Hawaii in its April 1989 proposal to Congress. The EIS will be prepared pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as implemented by the President's Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508) and the DOE NEPA guidelines (52 FR 47602).

The four-phase HGP, as defined by the State of Hawaii, consists of (1) exploration and testing of the geothermal resource beneath the slopes of the active Kilauea volcano on the Big Island, (2) demonstration of deep-water power cable technology in the Alenuihaha Channel between the Big Island and Maui, (3) verification and characterization of the geothermal resource on the Big Island, and (4) construction and operation of commercial geothermal power production facilities on the Big Island, with overland and submarine transmission of electricity from the Big Island to Oahu and other islands.

Phases 1 and 2 have been completed; DOE prepared appropriate NEPA documentation for separate Federal actions related to Phase 1 and 2 research projects. This EIS will consider Phases 3 and 4, as well as reasonable alternatives to the HGP. In this regard, in addition to considering non-geothermal alternative energy resources for power production (including, but not necessarily limited to, coal, solar,
biomass, and wind), the HGP EIS will consider the reasonable alternatives among submarine cable technologies; geothermal extraction, production, and power generating technologies; pollution control technologies; and submarine power transmission routes; and sites reasonably suited to support project facilities in a safe and environmentally acceptable manner.

The purpose of this Notice of Intent (NOI) is to again invite public participation in the DOE NEPA process and to solicit public comments on the proposed scope and content of the EIS.

INVITATION TO COMMENT: To ensure that the full range of issues related to the HCP are addressed, DOE invites comments on the proposed scope and content of the EIS from all interested parties. Written comments or suggestions to assist DOE in identifying significant environmental issues and the appropriate scope of the EIS should be mailed to: Dr. Lloyd Lewis, CE-121, Office of Conservation and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585. Telephone: (202) 586-6263.

Written comments should be postmarked by April 15, 1992 to ensure consideration. Late comments will be accepted in writing or orally for a thirty-day period of time, ending April 30, 1992. In addition to soliciting written comments on the HGP EIS, DOE plans to hold scoping meetings in Hawaii at which agencies, organizations, and the general public will be invited to present oral comments or suggestions about the scope and content of the HGP EIS. The locations, dates, and times of meetings are described in a subsequent section of this NOI. Please note that written and oral comments will be given equal consideration during scoping of the EIS. All comments received during the scoping period will be summarized and considered during the preparation of the Draft EIS.

Description of the Proposed Action

The HGP, as defined by the State of Hawaii, is the culmination of research and development efforts begun in the mid-1970's to explore the feasibility of using Hawaii's indigenous geothermal resource for the production of electricity. Currently, the State of Hawaii uses petroleum for approximately 90 percent of its power production, which is the highest percentage usage of petroleum among the 50 states.

Geothermal exploration began in Hawaii in 1972 with funding from the National Science Foundation (NSF). A high-potential geothermal resource site was identified on the east rift of the Kilauea volcano on the Big Island. Subsequent exploratory drilling (also funded by NSF) between December 1975 and April 1976 resulted in a productive geothermal well at a depth of approximately 6000 feet. In 1976, the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA), a predecessor to DOE, funded the testing of the geothermal well, which was designated as the HGP-A well. DOE succeeded ERDA, and in 1979 it funded the development of a 3-MW(e) demonstration power plant at the HGP-A site. In 1986, the HGP-A well and power plant were transferred by DOE to the State of Hawaii for further research. The State has referred to this early exploration and testing of the Big Island geothermal resource as Phase I of the HGP.

DOE also provided funds for the Hawaii Deep Water Cable Program. A site. In 1986, the HGP-A well and power plant were transferred by DOE to the State of Hawaii for further research. The State has referred to this early exploration and testing of the Big Island geothermal resource as Phase I of the HGP.

DOE also provided funds for the Hawaii Deep Water Cable Program. In April 1989, the State projected that permitting and financing for Phases 3 and 4 would occur in 1991 and that 500 MW(e) of power could be on-line by 2005. Based on the current schedule of State and Federal environmental reviews, these projections are not likely to be met.

DOE Participation in HGP

In April 1989, the State of Hawaii requested additional Federal funding for what is defined by the State as Phase 3 of the HGP: Resource Verification and Characterization. Congress appropriated $5 million for the State's use in Phase 3. Because Phase 3 work is essentially "research," not development or project construction, Congress indicated that this funding would not be considered a major Federal action under NEPA and would not typically require an EIS. However, because the project is highly visible, somewhat controversial, and involves a particularly sensitive...
environment in Hawaii, Congress directed that the Secretary of Energy shall use such sums as are necessary from amounts previously provided to the State of Hawaii for geothermal resource verification and characterization to conduct the necessary environmental assessments and/or environmental impact statement (EIS) for the geothermal initiative to proceed. In addition to the Congressional directive, the U.S. District Court of Hawaii, in litigation filed by several environmental groups, ruled that the Federal government must prepare an EIS for Phases 3 and 4 of the HGP prior to any further disbursement of Federal funds to the State for the HGP.

An ANOI regarding preparation of the HGP EIS was issued in the Federal Register by DOE on September 3, 1991. It announced the initiation of planning and scoping of the HGP EIS and solicited public input regarding scope and content of the EIS. DOE received 55 comment letters on EIS-related topics, all of which will be considered during preparation of the IP for the EIS. In addition to the ANOI, DOE held informal information exchange meetings during September, October, and November 1991 with Federal, State and local agencies and officials and with public interest groups as well as utilities and geothermal developers.

Alternatives

DOE is requesting public comment on reasonable alternatives related to the HGP. The basic alternatives available to DOE are to partially fund or to not partially fund Phase 3, as defined by the State, with the funds remaining from the $5 million Congressional appropriation after EIS expenditures; not funding Phase 3 would be considered as the 'no-action' alternative. Under the 'no-action' alternative, DOE would not contribute funds to future State-planned geothermal development in Hawaii, but this would not preclude the State's continuation of the HGP.

Based on preliminary scoping, other alternatives related to project implementation include, but are not limited to: (1) Alternative sites for geothermal development and construction of power plants, including sites on Maui; (2) alternative routes for transmission lines on land and in the sea; (3) alternative geothermal power generating technologies; (4) alternative submarine cable technologies; (5) alternative power production technologies; such as solar, wind, and biomass; (6) non-supply alternatives such as demand-side management and conservation; (7) integrated resource planning by Hawaiian utilities and the State, which would afford consideration of both supply-side and demand-side alternatives to meet long-term power generating needs; and (8) continued reliance on oil-fired power plants.

Potential Environmental Issues

Based on public comments on the Advance NOI and information exchange meetings held with the Federal, State, and local agencies, civic and environmental interest groups, and utilities and geothermal developers, DOE has identified an array of potential environmental issues associated with the HGP. This list will be modified based on further input received during the scoping process. The following list is not organized in order of relative importance, nor is there presently a commitment by DOE to address all these issues to the same level of detail in the HGP EIS. The future IP, prepared after scoping is completed, will categorize issues and describe those that are within the scope of analysis in the EIS.

Land Use

The compatibility of geothermal development with other current and planned land uses will be considered. Phases 3 and 4 of the HGP, as defined by the State, will require land for resource verification, power plant(s) and related support facilities, roads, transmission lines, waste disposal areas, etc. Potential impacts related to the Wao Kele O Puna rainforest, native Hawaiian homelands, residential areas, and any other unique land resources will also be considered.

Air Quality

The effect on air quality on the Big Island from atmospheric emissions from well drilling and testing, geothermal power plant operations, and construction associated with facilities, roads, and transmission lines will be considered. Air pollutants from geothermal power plant operation may include hydrogen sulfide, ammonia, methane, carbon dioxide, radon, arsenic, boron, mercury, benzene, and particulate matter. Receptors in the proximity of the proposed HGP include residential areas, agricultural crops, vegetation, and bird populations. The contribution of the HGP, if any, to the national and world-wide issues of global climate change and ozone depletion will be considered. The contribution, if any, of power plant emissions of hydrogen sulfide to acid precipitation will also be considered.

Water Resources

Effects on the quality, use, and availability of surface water (marine and fresh) and groundwater from geothermal well drilling, disposal of liquid and solid wastes, construction of transmission lines, and installation of the submarine cable will be considered. Erosion and sedimentation, deposition of permitted air pollutants, permitted point and permissible non-point discharges from power plants and support facilities, radiological levels associated with brine impoundments, reinjection and/or impoundment of geothermal fluids/fracture, all as a result of normal operation, will be considered. The EIS also will consider the risks of certain accidents associated with water resources, such as well blowouts, and with spills of hazardous or toxic materials.

Ecological Resources

The effect on habitats and indigenous species of atmospheric emissions, effluent disposal, electromagnetic fields, and noise associated with the HGP will be considered. Such habitats include the Wao Kele O Puna rainforest, wetlands, coral reefs, the marine water column, especially the benthic community, and the commercial fisheries in the Hawaiian Islands. Federal- and State-protected aquatic species include the humpback whale, which has seasonal calving grounds in Hawaii, the hawksbill and green sea turtles, and the Hawaiian monk seal. Numerous protected bird species and the protected hoary bat are found in the vicinity of planned development.

Geologic Issues

Hazards associated with development of the geothermal resource on the site of an active volcano will be considered. The effects of geothermal well drilling, production, and reinjection on regional seismicity and local subsidence will be examined. The effect of well development and construction on soils, agriculture, and paleontological resources in areas proposed for development will be considered. Geothermal fluid withdrawal, reinjection, and the potential for resource depletion will be examined. Underwater and oceanic geologic hazards, such as tsunamis and landslides, and their subsequent effects on cable reliability and function will also be considered.

Noise

Increased ambient sound levels may result from well drilling, construction
equipment and machinery operation, and well venting. The effects of such levels on residents in nearby developments will be considered, including any adverse effects on occupational and public health. The effect of elevated sound levels on wildlife reproductive capabilities and practices and beliefs (e.g., worship of the goddess Pale), burial sites, subsistence hunting and gathering, ocean gathering and fishing rights, and homelands.

Health and Safety
Health and safety issues will be considered associated with the following: (1) Well blowout; (2) exposure to gaseous emissions from power plant operation, especially hydrogen sulfide and radon gases and trace elements/compounds, such as arsenic, boron, selenium, and benzene; (3) elevated ambient sound levels; and (4) evacuations of nearby residences because of well venting or hydrogen sulfide releases.

Socioeconomic Issues
Issues that will be considered include those associated with the effects of population growth stimulated by additional power production, such as effects on public services, education, taxes, property values, insurance rates, and the economy (in particular, tourism). Another issue is the cost of the HGP compared to other alternatives.

Cultural Resources
Construction on land and at sea and plant operations may affect historic, archeological, and cultural resources such as native Hawaiian religious practices and beliefs (e.g., worship of the goddess Pale), burial sites, subsistence hunting and gathering, ocean gathering and fishing rights, and homelands.

Visual Effects
Issues that will be considered include those related to clearing and development within a pristine environment, and the visual effects of industrial facilities, such as geothermal plants and transmission lines, which can, in turn, affect tourism, the economy, and native Hawaiian religious practices.

Scoping Meetings
DOE plans to conduct public scoping meetings to assist in identifying further potential environmental impacts associated with the HGP. The meeting schedule is as follows:

- **Hawaii-March 7, 1992, Pahoa High and Elementary School, 15-3038 Puna Road, Pahoa, Hawaii 96778, 2 p.m.-5:30 p.m. and 7 p.m.-10:30 p.m.**
- **Maui-March 8, 1992, Maui County Council Chambers, 8th Floor, County Building, 200 S. High St., Wailuku, Hawaii 96793, 2 p.m.-5:30 p.m. and 7 p.m.-10:30 p.m.**
- **Molokai-March 12, 1992, Mitchell Paoule Center, 90 Ainoa Street, Kaunakakai, Hawaii 96748, 2 p.m.-5:30 p.m. and 7 p.m.-10:30 p.m.**
- **Oahu-March 14, 1992, Roosevelt High School, 1120 Nehoa St., Honolulu, Hawaii 96822, 2 p.m.-5:30 p.m. and 7 p.m.-10:30 p.m.**
- **Maui-March 16, 1992, Hawaiian Homes Meeting Hall, P.O. Box 125, Kamuela (Waimea), Hawaii 96743, 2 p.m.-5:30 p.m. and 7 p.m.-10:30 p.m.**
- **Location: The 55 miles marker Mamalahoa Highway, east edge of Waimea.**

Individuals may speak at any one of the meetings, and should note their preference for speaking at either the afternoon or evening session. Those who do not register in advance to speak may register at the public meeting and they will be afforded an opportunity to speak after preregistered speakers as time allows. On-site registration will begin one hour before each meeting. Requests to speak at any one of the meetings should be directed to:
- Thelma Patton, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2006, Building 4500N, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6200, Telephone: (615) 574-6096, Facsimile: (615) 574-5798
- or, in Hawaii; U.S. Department of Energy, Pacific Site Office, Prince Kuhio Building, rm. 4322, 300 Ala Moana Blvd., Honolulu, HI 96813, Contact: Irene Asato, Telephone: (808) 541-2501, Fax: (808) 541-2502

Individuals may speak at any one of the meetings, and should note their preference for speaking at either the afternoon or evening session. Those who do not register in advance to speak may register at the public meeting and they will be afforded an opportunity to speak after preregistered speakers as time allows. On-site registration will begin one hour before each meeting. Requests to speak at any one of the meetings should be directed to:

A presiding officer will be designated by DOE for the scoping meetings, which will not be conducted as evidentiary hearings, and there will be no questioning of the speakers. However, the presiding officer may ask for clarification of statements to ensure that the comments are fully understood. The presiding officer will establish the order of speakers, which most likely will be public officials first followed, in turn, by group representatives and individuals. The presiding officer will provide any additional procedures necessary for the conduct of the meetings. To ensure that all persons wishing to make a presentation are given the opportunity, a 5-minute limit will be enforced for each speaker, with the exception that public officials and representatives of groups will be allotted 10-minutes each.

Speakers will be limited to one presentation at one of the five scoping meetings. Speakers who wish to provide further information for the record should submit such information to: Dr. Lloyd Lewis, CE-121, Office of Conservation and Renewable Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, Telephone: (202) 586-0283 and postmarked by April 15, 1992, to ensure consideration. Late comments will be considered to the extent practicable.

DOE reserves the right to change dates, times, locations of meetings, and the procedures for conducting the meetings, if necessary. Notification of changes will be announced in the local media.

DOE will prepare transcripts of all scoping meetings after their completion. The public may review transcripts and other HCP EIS references at the following locations:

- **Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism, Library, 220 South King Street, Fourth Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96804, Contact: Anthony Oliver, Telephone: (808) 586-2425, Fax: (808) 586-2452.**
- **Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism, Hilo Office, Century Building, 60 Pauahi Street, room 207, Hilo, Hawaii 96720, Contact: Michelle Wong-Wilson, Telephone: (808) 933-4600, Fax: (808) 933-4602.**
- **Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism, Information Office, 220 South King Street, suite 1100, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813, Contact: Norman Reyes, Telephone: (808) 586-2405 or 586-2406, Fax: (808) 586-2427.**
- **Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism, Geothermal Office, Financial Plaza of the Pacific, 130 Merchant Street, suite 1060, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813, Contact: Maurice Kay, Telephone: (808) 587-3812, Fax: (808) 587-3820.**
- **Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism, Energy Division, Publications Section, 335 Merchant Street, room 110, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813, Contact: Steven Kam, Telephone: (808) 548-4000, Fax: (808) 531-5243.**
- **Hana Public and School Library, Hana Highway, Hana, Hawaii 96713, Contact: Jeremy Kindred, Telephone: (808) 248-7714, Fax: (808) 248-7438.**
- **Hawaii State Library, Hawaii Document Center Unit, 634 Penascola Street, Honolulu, Hawaii 96814, Telephone: (808) 586-3535, Fax: (808) 586-3594.**
- **Hawaii Energy Extension Service, Hawaii Business Center, 99 Aupuni Street, room 214, Hilo, Hawaii 96720, Contact: Andrea Beck, Telephone: (808) 933-4558, Fax: (808) 933-4602.**
- **Hilo Public Library, 300 Waiauanuenue Avenue, Hilo, Hawaii 96721-0447, Contact: Claudia Neiwist.**
Development of consensus on critical issues concerning the existing allocation of State/Federal regulatory authority to (1) govern evolving bulk power markets, and (2) provide the consumer with necessary energy services through utility planning based on least-cost dialogue that can be translated into legislation or regulatory policy.

**ELIGIBILITY:** Based on the evaluation of relevance to the accomplishment of a public purpose, it is determined that the proposal represents an innovative method and approach to determine the different positions of interest groups on key issues and to narrow the difference through dialogue. The proposed project represents a unique idea that would not be eligible for financial assistance under a recent, current, or planned solicitation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Please write the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Placement and Administration, ATTN: Mary Braxton, PR-321.1, 1000 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20585.

**Jeffrey Rubenstein,**
Director, Operations Division "A", Office of Placement and Administration.

[FR Doc. 92-3064 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

---

**Financial Assistance Award: Keystone Center**

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of unsolicited financial assistance award to the Keystone Center.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) announces that pursuant to 10 CFR 600.14(e)(1)(ii), it is making a financial assistance award based on an unsolicited application under grant number DE-FG01-92PC9105. The grant is to determine the different positions of interest groups on key issues and to narrow the difference through dialogue. This effort will have a total estimated cost of $60,000 (cost sharing) to be provided by DOE.

**SCOPE:** The grant will provide funding to the Keystone Center to select a working group of experts from affected constituents to discuss clarification and resolution of present uncertainties concerning Federal and State jurisdiction in the economic regulation of electric utilities and to address the subject of utility planning using least cost principles.

The project is meritorious because of its relevance to the accomplishment of an important public purpose—development of consensus on critical issues concerning the existing allocation of State/Federal regulatory authority to (1) govern evolving bulk power markets, and (2) provide the consumer with necessary energy services through utility planning based on least-cost dialogue that can be translated into legislation or regulatory policy.

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

**[Project Nos. 10944-002, 10962-001, 10963-001, 10964-001, 11127-001, 11172-001, 11173-001, 11198-001 Oregon]**

**Portland General Electric Co.; Surrender of Preliminary Permits**

**Dated:** February 7, 1992

Take notice that Portland General Electronic Company, Permittee for the following projects has requested that its preliminary permits be terminated.

All projects would have been located within the Mount Hood National Forest, in Clackamas County, Oregon.

---

**Table: Project Permits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Creek name</th>
<th>Issued</th>
<th>Expires</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10944-002</td>
<td>Cripple Creek</td>
<td>Cripple Creek</td>
<td>10/29/90</td>
<td>9/30/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10962-001</td>
<td>Timothy Lake</td>
<td>Anvil Creek, Stone Creek</td>
<td>01/28/91</td>
<td>12/31/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10963-001</td>
<td>South Fork Cripple Creek</td>
<td>South Fork Cripple Creek</td>
<td>10/31/90</td>
<td>09/30/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10964-001</td>
<td>Bull Creek</td>
<td>Bull Creek</td>
<td>12/31/90</td>
<td>09/30/93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11127-001</td>
<td>Cot Creek</td>
<td>Cot Creek</td>
<td>06/28/91</td>
<td>05/31/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11172-001</td>
<td>Deer Creek</td>
<td>Deer Creek</td>
<td>01/22/92</td>
<td>12/31/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11173-001</td>
<td>Dinner Creek</td>
<td>Dinner Creek</td>
<td>01/23/92</td>
<td>12/31/94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11198-001</td>
<td>Three Lynx Creek</td>
<td>Three Lynx Creek</td>
<td>01/23/92</td>
<td>12/31/94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Permittee filed the request on January 21, 1992, and the preliminary permits shall remain in effect through the thirtieth day after issuance of this notice unless that day is a Saturday. Sunday or holiday as described in 18 CFR 365.2007, in which case the permit shall remain in effect through the first business day following that day. New applications involving these project sites, to the extent provided for under 18
Algonquin has agreed to retain for service under Rate Schedule X-35 per its commitment to its customers for Phase II service under Rate Schedule FTP. Similarly, Algonquin states that the cost of service for the reconfigured NIPPs III Project would justify a rate of $14.7590 per MBtu, in comparison to the approved rate of $14.6661 per MBtu, which Algonquin has agreed to retain for service under Rate Schedule X-35 per its commitment to its customer.

Algonquin further states that in prior Commission orders in its various Northeast Expansion projects, there has been concern about possible double recovery of facilities costs among the different projects. At the January 31, 1990 technical conference, Algonquin stated that although the Commission Staff indicated that a strong case had been made that there was no double recovery of facilities costs per se among Algonquin’s expansion projects, in order to put the matter to rest, the Staff needed to verify that Algonquin would not over-recover the costs associated with its CDS and NIPPs III Projects, as reconfigured by Algonquin’s amended applications in 1991, by retaining the rate levels authorized when the Commission initially approved the projects in 1990. Algonquin states that it has provided the information set out in its filing so that the Staff can verify the cost of service underlying the rates for Phase II service under Rate Schedule FTP and for service under Rate Schedule X-35.

According to Algonquin, the actual cost of service underlying the reconfigured CDS Project would justify a rate of $15.2550 per MBtu, in comparison to the approved rate of $15.6498 per MBtu, which Algonquin has agreed to retain per its commitment to its customers for Phase II service under Rate Schedule FTP. Similarly, Algonquin states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all of its affected customers and to interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 810 First Street, NE., Washington, DC, for the purpose of exploring the possible settlement of the above-referenced docket.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c), or any participant, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to attend. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission’s regulations (18 CFR 385.214).

For additional information, contact William J. Collins (202) 208-0248 or John P. Roddy (202) 208-1176.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-3555 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8717-01-M

[FR Doc. 92-3556 Filed 2-13-92; 3:45 am]

El Paso Natural Gas Co., Site Visit


This is to inform all parties to the proceeding in the above docket that the staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission will conduct a site visit of El Paso’s crossing of the San Juan River near Bloomfield, New Mexico, February 18, 1992 through February 20, 1992. The purpose of the staff’s inspection is to review compliance with the environmental mitigation measures specified in El Paso’s certificate, issued on August 1, 1991.

All parties to the proceedings are welcome to attend. Anyone interested must provide their own transportation. For more information contact Ms. Lauren O’Donnell, at (202) 208-0874.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-3555 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8717-01-M

Freeport Interstate Pipeline Co., Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff


Take notice that on February 4, 1992, Freeport Interstate Pipeline Company (Freeport Interstate) tendered for filing the following tariff sheets as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, with a proposed effective date of January 3, 1992:

First Revised Sheet No. 50
First Revised Sheet No. 55
Freeport Interstate states that the proposed sheets are to revise Freeport Interstate’s part 284 (§ 284.7, 284.8 and 284.9) transportation tariff, as accepted in the Commission’s order in Freeport Interstate Pipeline Co., Docket Nos. RP98–224–000 and RP98–224–001, issued August 25, 1998.

Freeport Interstate states that the purpose of the proposed sheets is to comply with § 284.102(e) of subpart B of part 284 of the Commission’s regulations issued in Order No. 537 (Docket No. RM90–7–000).

Freeport Interstate states that copies of the filing were served on its jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.212 of the Commission’s Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 13, 1992. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestant parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room. Lois D. Cashell, Secretary.

[Docket No. TQ92–7–4–000]
Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc.; Proposed Changes in Rates


According to Granite State its filing is an out-of-cycle purchased gas cost adjustment made necessary primarily to reflect changes in the cost of gas purchased from Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee). Granite State further states that Tennessee is Granite State’s largest supplier of firm gas supplies for its system supply. It is further stated that, in Docket No. RP91–203–000, Tennessee filed revised rates and a change in rate design applicable to Granite State’s purchases under Rate Schedule CD–6, effective February 1, 1992. Granite State further states that its filing also reflects revised purchased gas costs for the remainder of the first quarter of 1992 based on the current costs for all projected gas purchases. According to Granite State, the net effect of its revisions is a reduction of approximately $900,000 in purchased gas costs.

It is stated that the proposed rate changes are applicable to Granite State’s jurisdictional sales services rendered to Bay State Gas Company and Northern Utilities, Inc. Granite State further states that copies of its filing were served upon its customers and the regulatory commissions of the States of Maine, Massachusetts and New Hampshire.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedures (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 14, 1992. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestant parties to the proceeding.

Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission’s Rules. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Lois D. Cashell, Secretary.

[Docket No. TM92–11–4–000]

Take notice that on February 5, 1992, Granite State Gas Transmission, Inc. [Granite State], 300 Friberg Parkway, Westborough, Massachusetts 01581–5039, tendered for filing with the Commission the revised tariff sheets listed below in its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1 and First Revised Volume No. 2, containing changes in rates and tariff provisions for effectiveness on February 1, 1992.

Second Revised Volume No. 1
Tenth Revised Sheet No. 21
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 22
Eleventh Revised Sheet No. 25

First Revised Volume No. 2
First Revised Sheet No. 5
First Revised Sheet No. 15
First Revised Sheet No. 18
First Revised Sheet No. 25
First Revised Sheet No. 26
Third Revised Sheet No. 29
Second Revised Sheet No. 29
First Revised Sheet No. 36
First Revised Sheet No. 39

According to Granite State, the revised tariff sheets listed above track changes in rates and rate design for transportation services rendered by Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) for Granite State resulting from Tennessee’s filing in Docket No. RP91–203, effective February 1, 1992. Granite State further states that Tennessee’s revised rates filed in Docket No. RP91–203 result in changes in the Transportation Cost Adjustment included in Granite State’s rates for sales to its affiliated distribution company customers, Bay State Gas Company (Bay State) and Northern Utilities, Inc. (Northern Utilities) and for the rates and rate design for storage-related transportation services rendered to Bay State and Northern Utilities.

Granite State further states that copies of its filing were served upon Bay State and Northern Utilities and the regulatory commissions of the States of Maine, Massachusetts, and New Hampshire.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, DC 20426 in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 14, 1992. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestant parties to the proceeding.

Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission’s Rules. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Lois D. Cashell, Secretary.

[Billing Code 6717–01–M]
[Docket No. ER92–300–000]

Idaho Power Co.; Filing


IPC has requested waiver of the notice provisions of § 35.3 of the Commission's regulations in order to permit the two extensions to become effective on October 31, 1991 and January 1, 1992 respectively. No new rates, terms or conditions are contained in these extensions. Idaho Power has requested an effective date for the November 21, 1991 Transmission Service Agreement of April 1, 1992.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's rules and regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 14, 1992. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 92–3561 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP92–105–000]

National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation; Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff


Take notice that on February 4, 1992, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation ("National") tendered for filing the following tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1:

First Revised Sheet No. 34
Superseding Substitute Original Sheet No. 34
Original Sheet No. 34A
First Revised Sheet No. 44
Superseding Original Sheet No. 44
Original Sheet No. 44A

The proposed effective date of the revised tariff sheets is March 5, 1992.

National states that the purpose of this filing is to revise section 3 of Rate Schedule FT and section 4 of Rate Schedule IT of the National's FERC Gas Tariff to require that shippers provide certification and sufficient information to National to confirm their eligibility for transportation pursuant to section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA) and § 284.102 of the Commission's Regulations, such services qualify as section 311 transportation.

National states that on September 20, 1991, the Commission issued a final rule in Order No. 537 regarding revisions to the Commission's regulations governing transportation pursuant to section 311 of the NGPA and blanket transportation certificates. National states that such Order, among other things, requires interstate pipelines to (a) obtain from its shippers certification, including sufficient information, to verify that services provided to them under section 311 of NGPA and § 284.102 of the Commission's regulations qualify as section 311 transportation, and (b) file by January 3, 1992 any tariff revisions or additions necessary to clarify that an interstate pipeline may require such certifications.

National states that copies of the filing have been served upon the Company's jurisdictional sales customers, and the Regulation Commissions of the States of New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Massachusetts and New Jersey.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 14, 1992. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 92–3562 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

Office of Fossil Energy

[Docket No. FE C&E 92–02; Certification Notice—95]

Filing Certification of Compliance: Coal Capability of New Electric Powerplant Pursuant to Provisions of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act, as Amended

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of filing.

SUMMARY: Title II of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (FUA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.), provides that no new electric powerplant may be constructed or operated as a base load powerplant without the capability to use coal or another alternate fuel as a primary energy source (FUA section 201(a), 42 U.S.C. 8311(a), Supp. V. 1987). In order to meet the requirement of coal capability, the owner or operator of any new electric powerplant to be operated as a
base load powerplant proposing to use natural gas or petroleum as its primary energy source may certify, pursuant to the FUA section 201(d), to the Secretary of Energy prior to construction, or prior to operation as a base load powerplant, that such powerplant has the capability to use coal or another alternate fuel.

Such certification establishes compliance with section 201(a) as of the date it is filed with the Secretary. The Secretary is required to publish in the Federal Register a notice reciting that the certification has been filed. One owner and operator of proposed new electric base load power-plants has filed a self-certification in accordance with section 201(d). Further information is provided in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following company has filed a self-certification:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date received</th>
<th>Type of facility</th>
<th>Megawatt capacity</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Altresco Lynn, Inc., Denver, CO</td>
<td>02-03-92</td>
<td>Combined Cycle</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>Lynn, MA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amendments to the FUA on May 21, 1987 (Pub. L. 100-42), altered the general prohibitions to include only new electric base load power-plants and to provide for the self-certification procedure.

Copies of these self-certification may be reviewed in the Office of Fuels Programs, Fossil Energy, room 3F-056, FE-52, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, or for further information call Myra Couch at (202) 586-6760.


Anthony J. Como, Director, Office of Coal and Electricity, Office of Fuels Programs, Fossil Energy.

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Issuance of Decisions and Orders During the Week of January 20 Through January 24, 1992

During the week of January 20 through January 24, 1992, the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to applications for relief filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

The Department of Energy (DOE) issued a Decision and Order concerning the procedures for the disbursement of $1,141,628.14 in crude oil overcharge funds received from Energy Corporation of America, Inc. and Fuel Oil Supply & Terminalling, Inc. and The Estate of Eddie E. "Bud" Hadsell. The DOE determined that the funds would be distributed pursuant to the DOE's Modified Statement of Restitutionary Policy Concerning Crude Oil Overcharges, 51 FR 27899 (August 4, 1986).

Strasburger Enterprises, Inc., 1/22/92, LEF-0014

The DOE issued a Decision and Order announcing the procedures for the disbursement of $443,073.30, plus accrued interest, in alleged oil overcharge funds obtained from Strasburger Enterprises, Inc. The OHA has determined that the funds will be distributed in accordance with the DOE's special refund procedures, 10 CFR part 205, subpart V. Applications for Refund must be postmarked no later than January 29, 1993.

Refund Applications

BASF Corporation Chemicals Division, BASF Corporation Fibers Division, BASF Corporation Inmont Division, BASF K&S Corporation, 1/22/91 RF272-23214, RD272-23214, RF272-23215, RD272-23215, RF272-23216, RF272-23217

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting four Applications for Refund filed by BASF Corporation, a manufacturer of fibers and chemical products, in the subpart V crude oil refund proceeding. A group of States and Territories (States) objected to two of the applications on the grounds that the applicant was able to pass through increased petroleum costs to its customers. In support of their objections, the States submitted affidavits of an economist stating that, in general, the chemical and fibers industries were able to pass through increased petroleum costs. The DOE determined that the evidence offered by the States was insufficient to rebuff the presumption of end-user injury and that the applicant should receive a refund. The DOE also denied Motions for Discovery submitted by the States, finding that discovery was not warranted where the States had not presented evidence sufficient to rebut the applicant's presumption of injury. The refund granted to the applicant in this Decision was $237,266.

Marathon Petroleum Co./Independent Oil & Tire Co., 1/22/92, RF250-2750

The DOE issued a Decision and Order considering an Application for Refund filed by Independent Oil & Tire Company in the Marathon Petroleum Company special refund proceeding. Independent claimed that it was entitled to a non-volumetric level refund because Marathon discontinued a hauling allowance to which Independent was entitled. In so doing, Independent alleged that Marathon violated the Normal Business Practice Rule. In considering the Independent application, the DOE found that the firm had submitted no evidence supporting the claim that Marathon violated the Normal Business Practice Rule. Instead, the DOE found that Independent was more nearly claiming that Marathon had overcharged it by removing the hauling allowance. The DOE determined that Independent failed to provide any persuasive evidence that the price charged after the hauling allowance was removed was improper. The DOE also found that Independent had not provided reasonable evidence of a bank of unrecouped costs. Accordingly, the firm was limited to a mid-range presumptive level refund of $9,019 plus $4,729 in interest.

Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corp., 1/21/92, RF272-15953, RD272-15953

The Department of Energy (DOE) issued a Decision and Order granting a refund from crude oil overcharge funds to Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corporation, the successor-in-interest by merger to Armstrong Tire Company (Armstrong). The refund was based on Armstrong's
purchases of refined petroleum products during the period August 19, 1973 through January 27, 1981. During this period, Armstrong manufactured and sold tires and other rubber products. Armstrong was an end-user of the products claimed and was therefore presumed injured. A consortium of 30 states and two territories filed a consolidated Statement of Objections and Motion for Discovery in the proceeding. The DOE found that the states' filings were insufficient to rebut the presumption of injury for end-users in this case. Therefore, the DOE granted the Application for Refund and denied the Motion for Discovery. The refund granted to the applicant is $54,465.

Texaco Inc./Joan of Arc Co., 1/23/92, RF321-8320

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning a refund proceeding concerning an Application for Refund filed with respect to purchases made by Joan of Arc Company, a corporation and a consumer of Texaco products. The application was filed by Princeville Canning Company, which acquired the Joan of Arc facility which made the Texaco purchases subsequent to the refund period. The DOE noted that, generally, the owner of the firm that purchased the Texaco products is entitled to the refund, and that this right is not normally transferred to a successor firm, unless either (a) the owner during the price control period was a corporation whose stock was purchased by the successor or (b) the business was transferred under a contract that specified potential refunds as one of the assets being transferred. The DOE found that neither of these circumstances were present in this case. Accordingly, the refund application was denied.

Thomas P. Reidy/Crystal Oil Co., 1/24/92, RF322-10

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund filed by Crystal Oil Company (Crystal), a refiner and refined products reseller with headquarters in Shreveport, LA. Crystal sought a portion of the settlement fund obtained by the DOE as a result of a consent order entered into with Thomas P. Reidy, Inc. The DOE denied the Crystal refund claim on the grounds that Crystal was a spot purchaser of Reidy product which failed to rebut the presumption that spot purchasers were not injured by Reidy's pricing practices.

Thomas P. Reidy/FS Services, Inc., System Fuels, Inc., Conex, 1/22/92, RF322-6, RF322-7, RF322-11

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning three Applications for Refund filed by purchasers of petroleum products from Thomas P. Reidy, Inc. The applicants sought portions of the settlement fund obtained by the DOE through a consent order entered into with Reidy. Since two of the applicants are cooperatives and the other a utility, none of the applicants were required to submit a detailed demonstration of injury in support of their refund claim. Applying the criteria established to govern this proceeding, the DOE granted refunds in this proceeding which total $110,352 ($92,553 principal plus $17,799 interest).

Refund Applications

The Office of Hearings and Appeals issued the following Decisions and Orders concerning refund applications, which are not summarized. Copies of the full texts of the Decisions and Orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Atlantic Richfield Company/A.C.T. Co., Inc. ............... RF304-3041 01/21/92
Atlantic Richfield Company/C&A Service Station et al ........ RF304-7472 01/21/92
Atlantic Richfield Company/Corey's Arco et al .............. RF304-7832 01/23/92
Atlantic Richfield Company/the Archway School et al .......... RF304-12337 01/22/92
Empire Gas Corporation/Country Kitchen of Lebanon, Inc. et al RF355-44 01/21/92
Encon Corporation/Marion Corporation .................. RF340-18 01/23/92
Gulf Oil Corporation/Stephens Oil Company, Inc ........ RF300-13109 01/24/92
Gibbons Oil Company ........................................ RF300-13211 01/24/92
Moody Oil Company ......................................... RF300-13251 01/24/92
Gulf Oil Corporation/Westside (S.S.) Independent ........ RF272-84401 01/23/92
Orient-Macksburg Com. School District et al ........ RF272-68707 01/21/92
Reich Trucking & Transportation Co .................. RF231-8568 01/22/92
Texaco Inc./J. Leonard et al ............... RF321-18882 01/24/92
Texaco Inc./Fannn Brother's Texaco .................. RF321-18240 01/22/92
Harper's Texaco #2 ................................ RF321-13350 01/22/92
Texaco Inc./Fork's Texaco et al ................................ RF321-13350 01/22/92
Texaco Inc./Heartland Texaco Service Station .......... RF321-16389 01/24/92
Texaco Inc./Lee Paradise Texaco ................. RF321-18402 01/22/92
Lee's Texaco Station ................................ RF321-11446 01/23/92
Texaco Inc./Mirs Car Care Center, Inc ................ RF321-15048 01/21/92

Dismissals

The following submissions were dismissed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Case No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-1 Foreign Auto Clinic #1</td>
<td>RF321-11301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Fitzgerald Gulf Service</td>
<td>RF300-8857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Kowalsky Resources Inc</td>
<td>RF300-11726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette County, AR</td>
<td>RF272-84893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middletown Ice &amp; Coal Co</td>
<td>RF315-6640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore Regional Hospital</td>
<td>RF272-80789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peoria County Highway</td>
<td>RF272-85605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randolph Oil Company</td>
<td>RF321-15156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockville Centre U.F.S.D</td>
<td>RF272-78607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott County, MO</td>
<td>RF272-86276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, room 1E–234, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20585, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1 p.m. and 5 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system.
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Final Filing Deadline in Special Refund Proceeding No. KEF-0093 Involving Shell Oil Company

AGENCY: Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of setting final deadline for filing applications for refund in special refund proceeding KEF-0093, Shell Oil Company.

SUMMARY: The Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) of the Department of Energy (DOE) has set the final deadline for filing Applications for Refund from the escrow account established pursuant to a Consent Order entered into between the DOE and Shell Oil Company (Shell). Special Refund Proceeding No. KEF-0093. The previous deadline was November 30, 1989. The new final deadline is April 1, 1992.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 13, 1989, the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy issued a Decision and Order setting forth final refund procedures to distribute the money in the oil overcharge escrow account established in accordance with the terms of a Consent Order entered into by the Department of Energy and the Shell Oil Company. See Shell Oil Company, 16 DOE ¶ 85,492 (1989), 54 F.R. 3124 (January 23, 1989). That Decision established November 30, 1989, as the filing deadline for the submission of refund applications for direct restitution by purchasers of Shell’s refined petroleum products. 18 DOE at 88,802,54 F.R. 3128.

We commenced accepting refund applications in the Shell refund proceeding on January 13, 1989, more than three years ago. While the deadline for such submission was November 30, 1989, we previously accepted applications after the deadline if the applicant could demonstrate good cause for its lateness. However, we have now concluded that eligible applicants have been provided with more than ample time to file. Therefore, we will not accept applications that are postmarked after April 1, 1992. All Applications for Refund from the Shell Consent Order fund postmarked after the final filing date of April 1, 1992, are subject to summary dismissal. Any unclaimed funds remaining after all pending claims are resolved will be made available for indirect restitution pursuant to the Petroleum Overcharge Distribution and Restitution Act of 1986, 15 U.S.C. 4501.


George B. Breznay,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Southeastern Power Administration
Cumberland System; Intent To Formulate Revised Power Marketing Policy

AGENCY: Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA), Department of Energy.

ACTION: Intent to revise policy for Cumberland System of Projects.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to its Procedure for Public Participation in the Formulation of Marketing Policy published in the Federal Register of July 6, 1976, 43 FR 29180, SEPA intends to revise its marketing policy for future disposition of power from its Cumberland System of Projects.

The current power marketing policy published on March 16, 1983, 48 FR 11148, for SEPA's Cumberland System is reflected in contracts involving such system power which are maintained in SEPA's headquarters offices. Proposals and recommendations for consideration in formulating the proposed revised marketing policy are solicited as are requests for further information or consultation.

DATES: All submissions or requests should be made as soon as possible but not later than April 14, 1992.

ADDRESSES: Five copies of written proposals or recommendations should be submitted to the Administrator, Southeastern Power Administration, Elberton, Georgia 30635, (404) 283-9911.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A "Power Marketing Policy; Cumberland System of Projects" was developed and published in the Federal Register on March 16, 1983, 48 FR 11148, by SEPA. The policy provides that contracts will be negotiated for terms of approximately 10 years. A contract was negotiated with the Tennessee Valley Authority effective as of June 30, 1984, and with various preference customers effective as of June 30, 1984, and September 1, 1986. The contracts may be terminated by either the customer or the Government as of June 30, 1995, upon proper notification.

Negotiations are in progress to provide various municipal preference agencies in the Kentucky Utilities (KU) service area an allocation of Government power from the Cumberland System of projects. Because the power available for these municipalities must be delivered into the Tennessee Valley Authority's (TVA's) system and transmitted by TVA to the KU service area, the term of contracts in the KU area is limited to that of the contract with TVA.

The existing Cumberland contracts may be cancelled by either the customers or the Government as early as June 30, 1995. The customers desire longer term contracts than those currently in effect. In order to go forward with these negotiations, new long term power contracts are needed with TVA and the preference agencies.

The Cumberland System consists of Barkley, Center Hill, Cheatham, Cordell Hull, Dale Hollow, Laurel, Old Hickory, J. Percy Priest, and Wolf Creek Projects. All of the projects except Laurel are interconnected with and integrated through the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) System. SEPA presently markets power from the Cumberland System to the Big Rivers Electric Corporation; East Kentucky Power Cooperative; City of Henderson, Kentucky; Municipal Energy Agency of Mississippi; Southern Illinois Power Cooperative; South Mississippi Electric Power Association; Town of Wayne, North Carolina; French Broad EMC, Haywood EMC, and to TVA for the benefit of the 160 municipalities and electric cooperatives served by TVA.

The 12 municipalities in the Kentucky Utilities Company area are Barbourville, Bardstown, Bardwell, Benham, Corbin, Falmouth, Frankfort, Madisonville, Nicholasville, Paris, Providence, and Owensboro. All of these municipalities were included in the current marketing policy.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Administrator, Southeastern Power Administration, Elberton, Georgia 30635, (404) 283-9911.

Issued in Elberton, Georgia, January 30, 1992.

John A. McAllister, Jr.,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 92-3648 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
(FRL-4105-4)
Office of Research and Development; Ambient Air Monitoring Reference and Equivalent Methods; Equivalent Method Designation

Notice is hereby given that EPA, in accordance with 40 CFR part 53, has designated another equivalent method for the measurement of ambient concentrations of sulfur dioxide. The new equivalent method is an automated method (analyzer) which utilizes a measurement principle based on UV fluorescence. The new designated method is identified as follows:

EQSA-0292-084, "Environnement S.A. Model AP21M Sulfur Dioxide Analyzer", operated on a range of 0-0.5 ppm with a response time coefficient setting of 01, a Teflon® filter installed in the rear-panel filter assembly, and with or without any of the following options:
- Rocks/Mount/Slides
- RS-232-C Interface

This method is available from Environment S.A., 111, bd Robespierre, 78300 Poissy, France. A notice of receipt of application for this method appeared in the Federal Register, volume 54, May, 23, 1989, page 22359.

A test analyzer representative of this method has been tested by the applicant, in accordance with the test procedures specified in 40 CFR part 53. After reviewing the results of these tests and other information submitted by the applicant, EPA has determined, in accordance with part 53, that this method should be designated as an equivalent method. The information submitted by the applicant will be kept on file at EPA’s Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, and will be available for inspection to the extent consistent with 40 CFR part 2 (EPA’s regulations implementing the Freedom of Information Act).

As a designated equivalent method, this method is acceptable for use by states and other air monitoring agencies under requirements of 40 CFR part 53, Ambient Air Quality Surveillance. For such purposes, the method must be used in strict accordance with the operation or instruction manual associated with the method and subject to any limitations (e.g., operating range) specified in the applicable designation [see description of the method above]. Vendor modifications of a designated method used for purposes of part 53 are permitted only with prior approval of EPA, as provided in part 53. Provisions concerning modification of such methods by users are specified under § 2.8 of appendix C to CFR part 58 (Modifications of Methods by Users).

In general, this designation applies to any analyzer which is identical to the analyzer described in the designation. In many cases, similar analyzers manufactured prior to the designation may be upgraded (e.g., by minor modification or by substitution of a new operation or instruction manual) so as to be identical to the designated method and thus achieve designation status at a modest cost. The manufacturer should be consulted to determine the feasibility of such upgrading.

Part 53 requires that sellers of designated methods comply with certain conditions. These conditions are given in 40 CFR 53.9 and are summarized below:

1. A copy of the approved operation or instruction manual must accompany the analyzer when it is delivered to the ultimate purchaser.
2. The analyzer must not generate any unreasonable hazard to operators or to the environment.
3. The analyzer must function within the limits of the performance specifications given in table B-1 of part 53 for at least one year after delivery when maintained and operated in accordance with the operation manual.
4. Any analyzer offered for sale as a reference or equivalent method must bear a label or sticker indicating that it has been designated as a reference or equivalent method in accordance with part 53.
5. If such an analyzer has two or more selectable ranges, the label or sticker must be placed in close proximity to the range selector and indicate which range or ranges have been included in the reference or equivalent method designation.
6. An applicant who offers analyzers for sale as reference or equivalent method must maintain a list of ultimate purchasers of such analyzers and to notify them within 30 days if a reference or equivalent method designation applicable to the analyzer has been canceled or if adjustment of the analyzers is necessary under 40 CFR 53.11(b) to avoid a cancellation.
7. An applicant who modifies an analyzer previously designated as a reference or equivalent method is not permitted to sell the analyzer (as modified) as a reference or equivalent method (although he may choose to sell it without such representation), nor to attach a label or sticker to the analyzer (as modified) under the provisions described above, until he has received notice under 40 CFR 53.14(c) that the original designation or a new designation applies to the method as modified or until he has applied for and received notice under 40 CFR 53.8(b) of a new reference or equivalent method determination for the analyzers as modified.

Aside from occasional breakdowns or malfunctions, consistent or repeated noncompliance with any of these conditions should be reported to: Director, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, Department E (MD-77), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711.

Designation of this equivalent method will provide assistance to the States in establishing and operating their air quality surveillance systems and under part 53. Technical questions concerning the method should be directed to the manufacturer. Additional information concerning this action may be obtained from Frank P. McElroy, Methods Research & Development Division (MD-77), Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, (919) 541-2622.

Erich W. Brehmayer, Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.
[FR Doc. 92-3630 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 a.m.]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

Establishment of the National Environmental Education Advisory Council and Open Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has established the National Environmental Education Advisory Council pursuant to section 9 of the National Environmental Education Act (Pub. L. 101-619). The Council is comprised of eleven individuals representing primary and secondary education, colleges and universities, not-for-profit organizations, states, business and industry, and senior Americans. Members will serve one to three year terms and are identified below.

Notice is also hereby given that the Advisory Council will hold an open meeting on February 27-28, 1992, at The Madison Hotel, 15th and M Streets, NW., Washington, DC. The meeting will be held from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. on February 27th and from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. on February 28th. The purpose of this
first meeting of the Advisory Council is to bring the members up-to-date on how EPA is implementing the new environmental education program under NEEA, to solicit the Council's advice on how EPA is proceeding, and to develop a strategy for the Council's production of a national report to Congress assessing the state environmental education as mandated under section 9(d) of NEEA. Members of the public are invited to attend the meeting and to submit written comments to EPA following the meeting.

Members of the Council and their terms of appointment are as follows:

**Primary and Secondary Education Representatives**
3. Dr. Eloy Rodriguez—Professor of Biological Sciences, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California (one year appointment).
4. Dr. Richard Wilke—Associate Dean and Professor, College of Natural Resources, University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point, Wisconsin (three year appointment).

**Not-for-Profit Organization Representatives**
5. Mr. Norbert Hill—Executive Director, American Indian Science and Engineering Society, Boulder, Colorado (two year appointment).
6. Ms. Michelle Perrault—International Vice President and Director of Summer Workshop for Teachers, Sierra Club, San Francisco, California (three year appointment).

**States**
8. Mr. John Strickler—Extension States Leader, Forestry Program, Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas (three year appointment).

**Business and Industry Representatives**
9. Ms. Cynthia Harrell Horn—Co-Founder and Boardmember, Environmental Media Association, Los Angeles, California (two year appointment).

**Senior American Representative**

For additional information regarding the Advisory Council for the upcoming meeting or to submit written comments following the meeting, please contact Ms. Kathleen MacKinnon, Office of Environmental Education (A-107), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, 202-280-4551.


Kathleen MacKinnon,

[FR Doc. 92-3831 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 0560-50-M

**Air Pollution Control; Motor Vehicle Emission Factors**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of public workshop.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is now in the process of revising the highway vehicle emission factor model. The current version of the model, MOBILE4.1, was finalized last year and is being used to develop 1990 base year emission inventories required by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. EPA intends to update this model with a new release, MOBILE5, later this year. This notice announces a public workshop for the purpose of discussing the issues involved in updating the model for use in projecting future year emission factors.

**DATES:** The workshop will be Thursday, March 5, 1992, from 9 a.m. to 12 p.m. (EST).

**ADDRESSES:** The workshop will be held at Domino's Farms, 24 Frank Lloyd Wright Drive, Ann Arbor MI 48105; telephone (313) 930-5900.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Lois Bivins, U.S. EPA, Office of Mobile Sources, Emission Control Technology Division, Test and Evaluation Branch, at the address given above. Telephone (313) 680-4325 (FTS 374-8325).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under section 130 of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, EPA was required to review, and revise if necessary, the emission factors used to estimate emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC), carbon monoxide (CO), and oxides of nitrogen (NOX) from area and mobile sources. For highway mobile sources, emission factors for these pollutants are estimated using the highway vehicle emission factor model. This model, first developed in the late 1970s, has been revised periodically to reflect changes in vehicle technology and emission standards, and to incorporate additional data from EPA's emission factor testing programs.

In response to this requirement, EPA released the final version of the MOBILE4.1 model in 1991. This model must be used by States and others with responsibility for preparing 1990 base year emission inventories for those areas in non-attainment for the National Air Quality Standards for ozone and CO. MOBILE4.1 did not include modeling of all of the future vehicle and fuel requirements contained in the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments, as noted in the Federal Register notice of the model's availability (56 FR 42053, August 26, 1991). MOBILE4.1 was developed so as to permit the most accurate possible modeling of base year emission factors, and hence highway mobile source emission inventories, since these inventories will constitute the basis for much of the modeling required in the future.

In addition to the base year emission inventory requirements, nonattainment areas are required to develop projection (future) year emission inventories. The construction of projection inventories for highway mobile sources, a significant contribution to overall emissions, requires development of another version of the highway vehicle mobile source emission factor reflecting the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment requirements for new vehicles, engines, and fuels. This version of the model, MOBILE5, is in the development process and is currently planned for release by EPA in the Spring of 1992.

The purpose of this workshop is to discuss aspects of the model that EPA intends to review, update, or include in MOBILE5. The workshop will give the audience an opportunity to provide comment on the issues discussed, either at the workshop or subsequently in writing. There are a number of issues involved in developing this model. Among these are the modeling of the "Tier 1" tailpipe emission standards, scheduled to be phased in over the mid to late 1990s, and the reformulated gasoline requirements for the most severe ozone nonattainment areas. There are a number of lesser issues to be resolved as well.
The workshop is taking place relatively early in the MOBILE5 development process. As such, EPA is not planning to focus on the presentation of results of any new emission analyses at the workshop, although such results will be presented to the extent available at that time. Office of Mobile Sources (OMS) personnel will provide an overview of the issues and what EPA intends to do in revising the model. This portion of the workshop is likely to end by around noon; additional time will be allowed for discussion. Another public workshop to present results of such revisions will be scheduled nearer the release of MOBILE5, and will be announced through another notice in the Federal Register.

Michael Shapiro,
Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.

[SFR Doc. 92-3633 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[FR-FRL-4105-2]
Public Water System Supervision Program: Program Revision for the State of Iowa
AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the State of Iowa is revising its approved State Public Water System Supervision (PWSS) Program. Iowa has adopted (1) drinking water regulations for eight volatile organic chemicals that correspond to the National Primary Drinking Water regulations for eight volatile organic chemicals published by EPA on July 8, 1987 (52 FR 25689) and corrected on July 1, 1988 (53 FR 25108); and (2) public notice regulations that correspond to the revised EPA public notice requirements published on October 28, 1987 (52 FR 41534). EPA has determined that these two sets of State program revisions are no less stringent than the corresponding Federal regulations. This determination was based upon a thorough evaluation of Iowa’s PWSS program in accordance with the requirements stated in 40 CFR 142.10. Therefore, EPA has proposed to approve these State program revisions.

All interested parties are invited to request a public hearing. A request for a public hearing must be submitted within thirty (30) days of the date of this Notice to the Regional Administrator, at the address shown below. If a public hearing is requested and granted, this determination shall not become effective until such time following the hearing that the Regional Administrator issues an order affirming or rescinding this action. If no timely and appropriate request for a hearing is received, and the Regional Administrator does not elect to hold a hearing on his own motion, this determination shall become effective thirty (30) days from this Notice date.

A brief statement of the requesting person’s interest in the Regional Administrator’s determination and of information that the requesting person intends to submit at such hearing: and (3) The signature of the individual making the request, or, if the request is made on behalf of an organization or other entity, the signature of a responsible official of the organization or other entity.

Notice of any hearing shall be given not less than thirty (30) days prior to the time scheduled for the hearing. Such notice will be made by the Regional Administrator in the Federal Register and in newspapers of general circulation in the State of Iowa. A notice will also be sent to the person(s) requesting the hearing as well as to the State of Iowa. The hearing notice will include a statement of purpose, information regarding time and location, and the address and telephone number where interested persons may obtain further information. The Regional Administrator will issue an order affirming or rescinding his determination upon review of the hearing record. Should the determination be affirmed, it will become effective as of the date of the order.

ADDRESSES: All documents relating to this determination are available for inspection between the hours of 7:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following locations: U.S. EPA Region VII Drinking Water Branch, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, and the Iowa Department of Natural Resources Surface and Groundwater Protection Bureau, Wallace State Office Building, 700 East Grand, Des Moines, Iowa 50319.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pat Ritchey, Drinking Water Branch, at the above address, telephone (913) 551-7409, FTS 276-7409.

Authority: Sec. 1413 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended (1986); and 40 CFR 142.10 of the National Primary Drinking Water Regulations.

Morris Kay,
Regional Administrator, EPA Region VII.

[FR Doc. 92-3635 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-4104-9]
Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared January 27, 1992 through January 31, 1992 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5078. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISes) was published in FR dated April 05, 1991 (56 FR 14096).

Draft EISes
ERF No. D-NPS–K61122–AZ Rating EC2, Petrified Forest National Park, General Management and Develop Concept Plans, Implementation, Navajo and Apache Counties, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns because the proposed alternative should include additional measures to minimize impacts to air quality and water quality. EPA requested more information in the FEIS on pollution prevention, sensitive species, and the proposed land acquisition, including the presence of hazardous substances.

ERF No. D-VAD–C90004–TX Rating LO, Dallas-Fort Worth Area National Cemetery Construction/Operation, Site Selection, Cedar Hill, Mansfield and Mountain Creek, Possible section 404 Permit, Dallas and Tarrant Counties, TX
Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project.

Final EISes
ERF No. F-AFS–J65182–MT Spring Creek Timber Sales and Road
Construction/Reconstruction, Implementation, Lewis and Clark National Forest, Musselshell Ranger District, Little Belt Mountains, Meagher and Harlowton, MT.

Summary: EPA recommends development of a monitoring plan to ensure that water quality and fishery resources are fully protected.

William D. Dickerson, Deputy Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 92-3685 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6600-55-M

[ER-FRL-4104-8]

Environmental Impact Statements; Availability


Availability of environmental impact statements filed February 3, 1992 through February 7, 1992 pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9


Amended Notices


William D. Dickerson, Deputy Director, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 92-3687 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6600-55-M

[FR-4104-2]

Requests for Comments of the Draft Work Plan for the Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of draft work plan, requesting comments and announcing a public meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 169B of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7492) the Administrator of EPA (the Administrator) has established the Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission (the Commission). At its first meeting, the Commission requested the Federal/State coordinating committee (described below) develop a draft workplan for the Commission. On behalf of the Commission, EPA is announcing the availability of draft work plan, is requesting comments on that draft plan, and is announcing a public meeting to discuss the draft plan.

DATES: Comments must be received no later than March 13, 1992. The public meeting on the draft workplan has been scheduled for 9 a.m., March 6, 1992.

ADDRESSES:

Request for Copies of the Draft Work Plan and Comments

Request for copies of the draft work plan and comments should be sent to Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission, c/o Mr. John Core, Secretary/Treasurer, 1001 SW, Fifth Avenue, suite 1000, Portland, Oregon 97204, (503) 220-1660.

Public Meeting

The public meeting will be held in the Lower Level Auditorium, 3003 North Central, Phoenix, Arizona.

Central File

The Commission has voted to establish a central file for its activities. That file is to be maintained by the Commission's Secretary/Treasurer, Mr. John Core, 1001 SW, Fifth Avenue, suite 1000, Portland, Oregon 97204, (503) 220-1660. The central file will be available for inspection during normal business hours. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. John Core, 1001 SW, Fifth Avenue, suite 1000, Portland, Oregon 97204, (503) 220-1660.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 169B of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7492) authorizes the Administrator of EPA to establish interstate visibility transport regions and associated commissions. Section 169B(f) specifically directs the Administrator to establish a commission for the region affecting the visibility of the Grand Canyon National Park by November 15, 1991.

Under section 169B, visibility transport commissions are to consist, at a minimum, of the Administrator of EPA (or his designee), the Governors of each State in the visibility transport region (or their designees), and representatives of each Federal agency charged with direct management of each Class I area (certain national parks and wilderness areas) in the region. Section 169B(c)(3) provides that all representatives of the Federal government shall be ex officio members. The commissions are charged with assessing currently available studies and information pertaining to visibility impairment from sources in the transport region (including potential or projected growth) and are to issue a report to EPA within four years recommending what measures, if any, should be taken under the Clean Air Act to remedy such impairment.

Under his authority in section 169B(c), the Administrator expanded the scope of the Grand Canyon Visibility Transport Commission to include additional class I areas in the vicinity of the Grand Canyon National Park—what is sometimes referred to as the "Golden Circle" of parks and wilderness areas of the Colorado Plateau. The Administrator established the visibility transport region to include all or part of the following States: Arizona, California, Colorado, New Mexico, Nevada, Oregon, and Utah, and invited the Governor of those States or their designees to participate as members of the Commission.1 The Administrator also invited the Chief of the U.S. Forest Service and the Directors of the U.S. Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and National Park Service to represent their Federal agencies on the Commission.

In July 1991, EPA formed an ad hoc coordinating committee to facilitate the establishment of the Commission. The coordinating committee consisted of representatives from the affected Federal agencies and several of the affected States. The committee assisted EPA in arranging the first Commission meeting, developing a proposed agenda.

1 The Administrator also invited the Governor of the State of Idaho to participate on the Commission. The Governor of Idaho has declined to participate on the Commission at this time.
for that meeting, drafting proposed by-
laws for the Commission, and
developing proposed organizational
structures for the Commission.

On November 13, 1991, the
Commission held its first meeting (see 56
FR 57823 (November 12, 1991)).
Commission members (or designated alternates) from the relevant Federal
agencies and States participated on the
Commission. At that meeting the
Commission adopted by-laws and
elected officers, but delayed adoption of an
organizational structure for the
Commission, pending the adoption of a
work plan. The Commission requested the assistance of the coordinating
commitee in drafting a proposed work
plan and reporting back to the
Commission at its next meeting planned

On behalf of the Commission, the
coordinating committee has developed a
work plan and, to provide public
participation in the development of the
proposed work plan, is making it
available for public review and is
requesting comments on the draft plan.

Among other things, the coordinating
committee requests comments on the
subjects covered in the work plan, the
availability of data and reports to be
used in the analyses. The committee also requests comments on the
type of report and issues to be
covered in the final Commission report
to the Administrator of EPA. In order to
meet the schedule for presentation of the
workplan at the next Commission
meeting, the committee requests that these comments be received no later

Any final decision regarding the work
plan and ultimate recommendations to the Administrator will be made by the
Commission. As noted, the Commission includes both State and Federal
representatives. However, the Federal
representatives are ex officio members.
The EPA is publishing this notice simply to
assist the Commission and the
coordinating committee in their efforts to
develop, in a timely manner, a work plan for the Commission's activities.


John Wise,
Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 92-3632 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[OPP-36185; FRL 4049-4]

Lawn and Garden Pesticide Focus
Group

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Under Public Law 94-409, notice is hereby given that the Office of
Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic
Substances will be holding a Lawn and
Garden Pesticide Focus Group meeting on February 19-20, 1992. The purpose of the
meeting is to help EPA gain insight
into the views of different organizations on lawn and garden care issues. EPA has
invited a balanced group of participants from the lawn and garden care service industry, pesticide
manufacturers, environmental and
consumer advocates, congressional
staff, and public sector representatives
including State health and pesticide
officials, and Federal Government
officials. Since space is limited, those who wish to attend as observers, should contact Dr. Michael Firestone, at the
phone number indicated below.

DATES: The meeting will be held on
February 19, 1992 from 8:30 a.m. to 6 p.m. and on February 20, 1992 from 8:30 a.m. to 12 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The February meeting will be held at the Holiday Inn at Solomon's Island, Route 2-4, Solomon's Island,
Maryland.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Michael Firestone, Office of
Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic
Substances, 401 M St., SW., Washington,
DC 20460, (202) 260-2899.


Victor J. Kimm,
Acting Assistant Administrator for
Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. 92-3633 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[AMS-FRL-4104-3]

Nonroad Engines and Vehicles; Public
Workshop

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency.

ACTION: Notice of public workshop.

SUMMARY: On March 11, 1992, the
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
will hold a public workshop to solicit
information on technical characteristics, emissions, potential regulatory
strategies and general regulatory issues related to nonroad engines under 50
horsepower.

DATES: The workshop will be convened at
9 a.m. on March 11, 1992. Persons
interested in making presentations at the
workshop are requested to notify the Agency contact listed below at least two
weeks prior to the workshop so that a
final agenda can be prepared. Written
comment may be submitted to the same
Agency contact until April 1, 1992.

ADDRESSES: The workshop will be held
at the U.S. EPA Motor Vehicle
Emissions Laboratory, 2565 Plymouth
Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Betsy McCabe, Certification
Division, U.S. EPA Motor Vehicle
Emissions Laboratory, 2565 Plymouth
Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105.

Telephone: (313) 688-4344, FTS 374-8344.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section
213(a) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended, requires EPA to conduct a
study of emissions from nonroad engines and vehicles. The CAA further
requires EPA to regulate emissions from
nonroad engines and vehicles within
twelve months after completion of the
study if the Agency determines that
to these sources are significant
contributors to ozone or carbon
monoxide concentrations in more than
one area which has failed to attain the
national ambient air quality standards
(NAAQS) for these pollutants.

EPA finalized the Nonroad Engine and
Vehicle Emissions Study—Report and
Appendices in November 1991. The
report is available for public review in
EPA Docket #A-91-24 and also through
National Technical Information Services (NTIS). EPA published a notice of
availability of the report on January 6,
1992 (57 FR 408). Throughout the
nonroad engine and vehicle study
process, EPA actively solicited
information and comment from
interested parties. A public workshop was
held on April 3-4, 1991, to discuss the
nonroad engine and vehicle study. An
informal external technical review
group, composed of representatives from
a variety of manufacturer associations
and State agencies, was convened by
EPA to provide informal feedback
throughout the development of the
study. EPA published a draft of the
nonroad engine and vehicle study report
for public review in October 1991, and
held a public meeting on the nonroad
study on October 30, 1991.

The study quantifies, through the use of
nonroad equipment emission
inventories, the contributions of
nonroad sources to air quality problems.
The study does not make a
determination of significance of
emissions from nonroad sources. EPA is
addressing the issues of the
determination of significance in a
separate action and will provide
opportunity for public comment on this
determination.

The final study indicates that nonroad
emissions constitute the single largest
known source of uncontrolled VOC, CO, and NOx emissions. Due to the CAA mandates and the health effects associated with high ambient levels of ozone and CO, EPA is soliciting information relevant to the rulemaking process in advance of the determination of significance. Such information will allow EPA to target the most cost-effective regulatory strategies and proceed with appropriate test and development programs.

Series of Workshops

Today's notice announces the first of a series of workshops designed to facilitate exchanges of information among interested parties as EPA develops regulatory strategies for nonroad engines. EPA recognizes that continued involvement by the manufacturing and environmental communities is essential as EPA explores the need for and feasibility of regulatory strategies for nonroad engines. EPA is conducting public workshops to solicit input from the industry and other knowledgeable parties regarding the technologies present in nonroad engines and vehicles, the emissions from such sources, the potential to reduce those emissions, and possible difficulties in doing so.

The workshop announced in today's notice addresses nonroad engines under 50 horsepower, excluding engines used in marine and recreational applications. Examples of types of equipment using engines addressed in this workshop include lawn and garden equipment and small construction, industrial and agricultural equipment. The following list of issues constitutes a preliminary agenda for the workshop. EPA requests comments on additional items to be included in the agenda.

Issues to be Addressed in the Workshop

A. Definition of the Small Engine Category

EPA requests comment on whether the small engine category should be further subdivided from a technical standpoint for purposes of establishing control strategies. EPA's starting position is to include in one category all nonroad engines under 50 horsepower, excluding engines used in marine and recreational applications. This includes both diesel and gasoline engines. EPA requests comments on the advantages and disadvantages of subdividing this category, and what the subdivisions should be. EPA specifically requests comment on whether recreational applications should be included in this category, whether EPA should address gasoline and diesel engines in separate subdivisions, and whether EPA should consider subdividing engines above and below a horsepower level other than 50.

B. Structure of the Small Engine Market

EPA requests general information on the structure of the small engine manufacturing market, including information on sales volumes, scrappage rates, and useful lives of engines produced by small engine manufacturers, as well as descriptions of engine families produced by each manufacturer. Information on the impacts of recent regulatory activities in California on the industry are also solicited. Confidential information may be submitted to EPA directly without disclosure at the workshop.

C. Testing Programs and Testing Needs

EPA is currently conducting a test program through a contract with Southwest Research Institute to investigate the typical operation of representative small engines and the ability of different test cycles to represent actual in-use emissions from current technology and new technology engines. EPA requests information on typical in-use operation of small engines, any testing that is being or has been conducted on engines with new emission control technologies, and any other testing programs and testing needs. EPA is also interested in the discussion of the limitations of current test procedures and dynamometers for testing small engines, and possibilities for new test procedures and dynamometer designs.

D. Continuing Efforts to Characterize Emissions

In the Nonroad Vehicle and Engine Emissions Study-Report, EPA noted that nonroad inventory estimates could be enhanced by collection of additional data, particularly in the area of emission factors. While existing nonroad emission data allows an adequate assessment of tailpipe emissions from relatively new engines, more information is needed to quantify refueling, evaporative, crankcase, and toxic emissions, and the effects of deterioration and improper maintenance on in-use emissions.

E. Emissions Control Strategies

Workshop participants are requested to provide information on potential emission control strategies and the probable cost and effectiveness of those strategies, including the use of catalysts, Orbital technology for 2-stroke engines, overhead valves, fuel injection, ignition timing, air injection, EGR, enlacement, and others. EPA requests information on control of spillage during refueling and comment on whether EPA should pursue accelerated control of spillage (see Issue F. below). In addition, EPA requests comments on controls for evaporative emissions and crankcase emissions.

EPA also solicits information on strategies to reduce in-use deterioration (such as emission control system useful life requirements or warranties, inspection and maintenance programs, rebates on old equipment, etc.). Finally, EPA requests information on possibilities for emission reductions through the use of fuels such as propane, natural gas, methanol, ethanol, reformulated and oxygenated fuels.

F. Spillage Control Strategies

EPA may pursue an accelerated spillage control program. Workshop participants are requested to provide data concerning spillage rates, information concerning available or potential control devices, and information on the probable cost, effectiveness, and safety of those devices. EPA also requests general information on the structure of the fuel can and control device manufacturing market including information on sales volumes and scrappage rates.

G. Additional Topics of Interest

EPA also requests comment or information on any additional incentives or strategies for emission control, including the possibility of a total hydrocarbon standard (allowing, for example, tradeoffs between tailpipe and evaporative and refueling emissions), taxes on high emitting engines and rebates on low emitters, labeling systems to indicate the emission levels of the equipment, and others.

H. Possible Consequences of Emission Control

In considering regulatory strategies for small nonroad engines and vehicles, EPA must also consider the possible impact of emission controls on noise, energy, and safety factors associated with emissions controls. EPA requests information on the potential for such impacts, and also information on any noise, energy, or safety regulations (e.g. state, local and international) that apply to small nonroad engines and vehicles.


Michael Shapiro,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.
[FR Doc. 92-3634 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Research Strategies Advisory Committee (RSAC) of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will conduct a meeting on February 28, 1992. The purpose of the meeting will be to review the FY 1994 Strategic Research issues being proposed by EPA's Office of Research and Development. The Committee will examine strategies for 14 issues and will focus on: (1) Determining the adequacy of the strategic direction of each proposal and its funding, (2) the relationship between short- and long-term efforts in this area, and (3) how such efforts are integrated with similar activities elsewhere within and outside of the Agency. The meeting will be held at the Holiday Inn Old Towne, 490 King St., Alexandria, VA 22314. The hotel telephone number is (703) 549-6000. The session will begin at 9 a.m., ending no later than 5 p.m. The meeting is open to the public, and seating is limited. Any member of the public wishing further information concerning the meeting should contact Mr. Randall C. Bond, Designated Federal Official, Research Strategies Advisory Committee at (202) 260-6552. Those individuals requiring a copy of the Agenda should contact Ms. Janice Jones at the same number. Members of the public wishing to make comments at the sessions should provide those comments to Mr. Bond no later than February 14, 1992. Comments will be limited to 5 minutes, and the Science Advisory Board expects that such items will not be repetitive of previously submitted materials.

Donald G. Barnes,
Director, Science Advisory Board.

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Science Advisory Board's (SAB's) Environmental Engineering Committee (EEC), will conduct a planning, coordination and review meeting on Wednesday, March 4, and Thursday, March 5, 1992. The meeting will be at the One Washington Circle Hotel, One Washington Circle, Presidential Room, Washington, DC 20037. The meeting will begin at 9 a.m. on Wednesday, March 4th and 8:30 a.m. on Thursday, March 5th, 1992 and will adjourn no later than 3 p.m. on March 5th.

At this meeting, the EEC will plan and coordinate the remaining EEC review activities for FY 1992, review draft reports and conduct work sessions for reviews-in-progress, as well as plan follow-up activities to its recent report on leachability phenomena (EPA-SAB-EEC-92-003). Reviews-in-progress include draft reports on the Agency's pollution prevention research strategic plan, explosives and flammables criteria, and bioremediation research program strategy (if available). The pollution prevention draft report resulted from the Pollution Prevention Subcommittee's (PPS) review of April 11 and 12, 1991 on the Agency's research strategic plan for pollution prevention, as well as an editing teleconference which was held by the EEC on September 6, 1991. The explosives and flammables criteria draft report resulted from a review meeting of May 29 and 30, 1991 by the Explosives and Flammables Criteria Subcommittee (EFCS), as well as an editing teleconference which was held by the EFC on January 31, 1992. The bioremediation research program strategy was scheduled for review by the Bioremediation Research Subcommittee on February 10 and 11, 1992, as was announced in the Federal Register on January 17, 1992 (Vol. 57, No. 12, pages 2023-94).

The session will begin at 9 a.m., ending no later than 5 p.m. The meeting is open to the public, and seating is limited. Any member of the public wishing further information concerning the meeting should contact Mr. Randall C. Bond, Designated Federal Official, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee at (202) 260-6552. Those individuals requiring a copy of the Agenda should contact Ms. Janice Jones at the same number. Members of the public wishing to make comments at the sessions should provide those comments to Mr. Bond no later than February 24, 1992. Comments will be limited to 5 minutes, and the Science Advisory Board expects that such items will not be repetitive of previously submitted materials.

Donald G. Barnes,
Director, Science Advisory Board.

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) of the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will conduct a meeting on March 5, 1992. The Committee will examine the draft document Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide: 1992 Reassessment of Scientific and Technical Information. The focus of the session will be to assess the document's scientific and technical adequacy. The meeting will be held at the Guest Quarters Suites Hotel, 2515 Meridian Parkway, Durham, North Carolina 27713. The hotel telephone number is (919) 361-4560 and the fax number is (919) 361-2256. The session will begin at 9 a.m., ending no later than 5 p.m.

The meeting is open to the public, and seating is limited. Any member of the public wishing further information concerning the meeting should contact Mr. Randall C. Bond, Designated Federal Official, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee at (202) 260-6552. Those individuals requiring a copy of the Agenda should contact Ms. Janice Jones at the same number. Members of the public wishing to make comments at the sessions should provide those comments to Mr. Bond no later than February 24, 1992. Comments will be limited to 5 minutes, and the Science Advisory Board expects that such items will not be repetitive of previously submitted materials.

Donald G. Barnes,
Director, Science Advisory Board.

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Science Advisory Board (SAB) will conduct a meeting on March 5, 1992. The Committee will examine the draft document Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide: 1992 Reassessment of Scientific and Technical Information. The focus of the session will be to assess the document's scientific and technical adequacy. The meeting will be held at the Guest Quarters Suites Hotel, 2515 Meridian Parkway, Durham, North Carolina 27713. The hotel telephone number is (919) 361-4560 and the fax number is (919) 361-2256. The session will begin at 9 a.m., ending no later than 5 p.m.

The meeting is open to the public, and seating is limited. Any member of the public wishing further information concerning the meeting should contact Mr. Randall C. Bond, Designated Federal Official, Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee at (202) 260-6552. Those individuals requiring a copy of the Agenda should contact Ms. Janice Jones at the same number. Members of the public wishing to make comments at the sessions should provide those comments to Mr. Bond no later than February 24, 1992. Comments will be limited to 5 minutes, and the Science Advisory Board expects that such items will not be repetitive of previously submitted materials.

Donald G. Barnes,
Director, Science Advisory Board.
SUMMARY: Pursuant to 37 CFR part 404, EPA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant Aladdin Steel Products, Inc., a patent application as available for applications. The announcement of this reexamined patents and reissued granted from such applications, and all in-part, or extensions thereof, all patents divisions, continuations, continuations-in-part, or extensions thereof, all patents granted from such applications, and all reexamined patents and reissued patents granted in connection with such applications. The announcement of this patent application as available for licensing was made in the January 10, 1992, issue of the Federal Register (57 FR 1181).

The proposed exclusive license will contain appropriate terms, limitations and conditions to be negotiated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209 and the U.S. Government Patent Licensing Regulation at 37 CFR part 404. EPA will negotiate the final terms and conditions and grant the exclusive license, unless within 60 days from the date of this Notice the EPA Patent Counsel receives, at the address below, written objections to the grant, together with supporting documentation. The Patent Counsel and other EPA officials will review all written responses and then recommend to the Assistant Administrator for Research and Development, for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or his or her designee, who has been delegated the authority to issue patent licenses under 35 U.S.C. 207, whether to grant the exclusive license.

DATES: Comments to this notice must be received by the EPA Patent Counsel at the address listed below by April 14, 1992.


Raymond B. Ludwiszewski, Acting General Counsel.
[FR Doc. 92-3577 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[OPP-180856; FRL 4003-8]

Pesticide Programs Annual Report on Crisis Exemptions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice summarizes the number of crisis exemptions declared and the number of crisis exemptions revoked during fiscal year 1991. During 1991, State and Federal agencies issued 97 crisis exemptions authorizing registered pesticide uses in accordance with the regulations in 40 CFR 166.40 pursuant to section 18 of the FIFRA. During this same time period, EPA revoked six crisis exemptions and revoked the authority to utilize the crisis provisions for 5 pesticide uses.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Rebecca S. Cool, Registration Division (H7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Rm. 718, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA (703-305-7717).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The regulations pursuant to section 18 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act require the EPA to issue annually a notice for publication in the Federal Register which summarizes the number of crisis exemptions declared and the number of crisis exemptions revoked. Subpart C of 40 CFR part 166 sets forth the regulations pertaining to crisis exemptions. This subpart allows the head of a Federal or State agency to issue a crisis exemption in a situation involving an unpredictable emergency situation when: (1) An emergency condition exists; and (2) the time element with respect to the application of the pesticide is critical, and there is not sufficient time either to request a specific, quarantine, or public health exemption or, if such a request has been submitted, for EPA to complete review of the request. This subpart also provides for EPA review of crisis exemptions and revocation of individual crisis exemptions or the authority of a State or Federal agency to utilize the crisis provisions.

During the fiscal year 1991 (October 1, 1990 through September 30, 1991), a total of 97 crisis exemptions were declared by State and Federal agencies. A breakdown of the FY’91 crisis declarations by State/Federal agency follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State/Federal Agency</th>
<th>No. of crisis exemptions</th>
<th>Pesticide</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fluvinate</td>
<td>Bees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clomazone</td>
<td>Sweet potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bromoxynil</td>
<td>Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clomazone</td>
<td>Cotton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clomazone</td>
<td>Cotton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tricyclpyr</td>
<td>Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium chlorate</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fomesafen</td>
<td>Snap beans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clomazone</td>
<td>Sweet potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gibrabertin acid</td>
<td>Lemons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Methyl bromide</td>
<td>Sweet potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorpyrinos</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyfluthrin</td>
<td>Oranges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clopyralin</td>
<td>Sweet potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Permethrin</td>
<td>Small grains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorpyrinos</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cryolite</td>
<td>Potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Propiconazole</td>
<td>Sweet corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorothalonil</td>
<td>Mangoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyromazine</td>
<td>Mangoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ferbam</td>
<td>Potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Iprodione</td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State/Federal Agency</td>
<td>No. of crisis exemptions</td>
<td>Pesticide</td>
<td>Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fluvalinate</td>
<td>Bees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permethrin*</td>
<td>Southern peas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium chlorate</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Iprodione</td>
<td>Canola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Parquat</td>
<td>Peas, lentils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Thiabendazole*</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Iprodione</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nicosulfuron</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Permethrin</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Primsulfuron/Nicosulfuron</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Triadimeton</td>
<td>Strawberries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pendimethalin</td>
<td>Sugarcane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclopyr</td>
<td>Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bromoxynil</td>
<td>Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium chlorate</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyhalothrin*</td>
<td>Sugarcane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fluvalinate*</td>
<td>Bees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dithubenzuron</td>
<td>Apples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oxytetrazycline</td>
<td>Apples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>Strawberries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nicosulfuron</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fluvalinate</td>
<td>Bees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bromoxynil</td>
<td>Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Primsulfuron/Nicosulfuron</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclopyr</td>
<td>Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium chlorate</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium chlorate</td>
<td>Rapeseed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Primsulfuron/Nicosulfuron</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Eriovate</td>
<td>Small grains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Malathion</td>
<td>Canola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Permethrin</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Primsulfuron/Nicosulfuron</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cyhalothrin</td>
<td>Potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>Small grains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>Corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyhalothrin</td>
<td>Sorghum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Clomazone</td>
<td>Sweet potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Napropamide</td>
<td>Sweet potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fluvalinate</td>
<td>Bees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Iprodione</td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Permethrin</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diquat dibromide</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>Field corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cyhalothrin</td>
<td>Potatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fluvalinate</td>
<td>Bees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asopate</td>
<td>Fresh tomatoes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Primsulfuron</td>
<td>Mint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mancozeb</td>
<td>Tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Iprodione</td>
<td>Cabbage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permethrin</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fluvalinate</td>
<td>Bees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chlorpyrifos</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium chlorate</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permethrin</td>
<td>Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triclopyr</td>
<td>Rice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triadimeton</td>
<td>Cotton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bifenthrin</td>
<td>Corn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyhalothrin</td>
<td>Peppers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During the 1991 fiscal year, EPA revoked Louisiana's crisis exemption for the use of cyfluthrin on sugarcane to control sugarcane borers; Massachusetts' crisis exemption for the use of fluvainlate to control Varroa mites in honey bee packages; Illinois's crisis exemption for the use of thiabendazole on stored field corn to control storage molds; and Delaware's, New Jersey's, and Pennsylvania’s crisis exemptions for the use of cryolite on mangoes to control anthracnose; the authority of Georgia to issue crisis exemptions for the use of ferbam or any other fungicide on southern peas to control cowpea beetles. EPA also revoked the authority of Florida to issue crisis exemptions for the use of cyromazine on potatoes to control leafminers; the authority of Florida to issue crisis exemptions for the use of ferbam or any other fungicide on mangoes to control anthracnose; the authority of Georgia to issue crisis exemptions for the use of pendimethalin or any other herbicide on sugarcane to control itchgrass and browntop panicum; and the authority of Massachusetts to issue crisis exemptions for the use of fluvainlate to control Varroa mites in honey bee packages.

Allan S. Abrumson,
Acting Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.
[FR Doc 92-3574 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 0590-30-F

[FRL-4103-1]
Improved Pump-and-Treat Processes for Remediation of Superfund Sites

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Request for applications (RFA) ENG-92.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is soliciting proposals for research leading to practical methods for enhancing the effectiveness of pump-and-treat systems intended for use at superfund sites. While the treatment aspects of such systems may need improvement, emphasis should be on improving the processes for extracting contaminants from the subsurface. The projects being solicited are envisioned to be completed within two years.

DATES: The original and eight copies of the application must be received no later than the close of business May 15, 1992 to be considered.

I. Background

Many hazardous waste sites have been placed on the National Priority List for cleanup or remediation under Superfund. A wide variety of contaminants can be found at these sites which over a period of time may enter subsurface aquifers and endanger the nation’s water supplies.

Organic chemicals are contaminants of particular concern because they occur in large quantities at the waste sites, and may be toxic at low concentrations. These substances include chlorinated and non-chlorinated solvents (e.g., trichloroethylene and benzene), preservatives and pesticides (e.g., creosote and lindane), and wastes from the manufacture of explosives, PCBs, and contaminants that are difficult to remove from hazardous waste sites using current technology. These organic compounds often occur as separate liquid phases with densities different than that of water, and their movement may be largely decoupled from that of the groundwater. Many of these compounds are not amenable to biodegradation by naturally occurring microorganisms. Although the treatment of choice is most often extraction from the subsurface followed by surface treatment (pump-and-treat), the majority of pump-and-treat systems fail to meet their design goals or to remediate the site to the level desired. The major problems with pump-and-treat, invariably relate to the difficulty in extracting contaminants from the subsurface. Surface treatment of contaminants once they are removed from the subsurface is not always easy, but on the whole this part of the technology is much better developed than the technology for removing contaminants from the subsurface. New techniques are needed to enhance the effectiveness of pump-and-treat technology.

II. Scope

The purpose of this RFA is to solicit proposals that will result in the development of cost-effective methods to enhance the effectiveness of pump-and-treat remedial actions at superfund sites. Remedial actions as used in this announcement include the use of chemical or physical agents to improve the efficiency of contaminant extraction, direct extraction of separate-phase liquids, and more effective pumping systems for removing dissolved contaminants. The research and development projects being solicited should result in products which have the potential for solicited should result in products which have the potential for application to improving the efficiency of pump-and-treat technology.

The areas listed below are examples of appropriate research topics for the proposals being solicited but are not meant to be all inclusive.

- Chemical or physical agents to enhance contaminant removal from the subsurface.
- Properties of multiphase fluid systems related to the ability to remove the fluids from the subsurface.
- Subsurface processes impacting pump-and-treat systems.
brought to the surface, will not be hazardous materials once they are immobilize contaminants in the which hazardous substances can be on improving the efficiency with requirements:

1. The emphasis of the research must be on improving the efficiency with which hazardous substances can be extracted from the subsurface. Projects whose primary emphasis is to immobilize contaminants in the subsurface will not be considered.

2. Research, whose primary emphasis is on improving the treatment of hazardous materials once they are brought to the surface, will not be considered. Projects that include surface treatment may be considered if the surface treatment is an integral part of a system for improving the efficiency of contaminant extraction from the subsurface, e.g. separation and reuse of chemical agents used to enhance contaminant removal.

3. Technologies to remove hazardous materials using chemical or biochemical transformation processes will only be considered if the transformation enhances the efficiency of removal of the contaminants from the subsurface.

IV. Mechanisms of Support

Assistance under this RFA will be provided by a research grant administered through EPA’s research grants program. The applicant will be responsible for planning, directing and executing the proposed research. Support under this program is limited to non-profit research organizations and educational institutions.

Approximately $1.0 million will be available from fiscal 1992 funds, and it is estimated that about 5 or 6 projects will be supported. Each project will be supported for a period of up to 2 years at no more than $100,000 per year. This RFA is for a single competition with a closing date of May 15, 1992.

V. The Application

Each application will consist of the Application for Federal Assistance Form (standard forms 424 and 424A) and separate sheets providing the budget breakdown for each year of the project, curriculum vitae for the principal investigators, abstract of the proposed project, and a project narrative. All certification (drug-free workplace, etc.) forms must be signed and included with the application. Application forms, instructions, and other pertinent information are contained in the Federal grant application kit obtainable from: Research Grants Staff (RD-673), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. (202) 250-7473.

VI. Special Instructions

1. The project narrative section of the application must not exceed twenty-five 8½ × 11 inch, consecutively numbered pages of standard type (10 characters per inch), including tables, graphs and figures. Attachments, appendices and reference lists for the narrative section may be included, but come under the 25 page limitation. The SF-424 and other forms, itemized budget, resumes, and the abstract are not included in the 25 page limitation.

2. Biographical sketches or resumes must not exceed two pages for each principal investigator and should focus on education, positions held, and most recent or related publications.

3. Project periods will be for a maximum of 2 years.

4. Applications in response to this RFA must be identified by printing “RFA Eng–01–92” in item 10 on the face page of form 424. The absence of the above identifier from an application absolves EPA of any responsibility if it is not reviewed along with the other applications responding to the RFA.

VII. Application Review

All applications will be reviewed at a single meeting after the closing date, by a scientific peer review panel that will evaluate and rank each proposal according to its scientific merit as a basis for recommending Agency approval. The panel will consider:

- The quality of research plan including theoretical or experimental design, originality, and creativity
- The qualifications of the research team
- The availability and adequacy of facilities and equipment
- The appropriateness of the proposed budget.

VIII. Application Submission

To be considered, the original and eight copies of the application must be received no later than the close of business on the closing date. The applications must be sent to: Grants Operations Branch (PM–216F), Grants Administration Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460 For overnight express mail, the address is: Grants Operations Branch (202) 260–9266, Grants Administration Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 499 South Capitol Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460

IX. Staff Contact

Administrative questions relating to this solicitation may be directed to Louis Swaby on (202) 260–7473. Technical questions should be directed to Stephen Schmelling on (405) 332–8800, ext. 434.


Robert A. Papetti, Director, Research Grants Staff.

[FR Doc. 92–3576 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4260–50–M

[OPPTS–44581; FRL–4046–7]

TSCA Chemical Testing; Receipt of Test Data

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the receipt of test data on 2-ethylhexanol (CAS No. 104–76–7), submitted pursuant to a final test rule under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Publication of this notice is in compliance with section 4(d) of TSCA.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4(d) of TSCA requires EPA to publish a notice in the Federal Register reporting the receipt of test data submitted pursuant to test rules promulgated under section 4(a) within 15 days after it is received.

I. Test Data Submissions

Test data for 2-ethylhexanol were submitted by the Chemical Manufacturers Association on behalf of the test sponsors and pursuant to a test rule at 40 CFR 799.1645. They were received by EPA on January 21, 1992. The submission describes the oncogenic potential of 2-ethylhexanol in mice after administration by gavage (aqueous emulsion) for 18 months. Health effects testing is required by this test rule. This chemical is used as an intermediate for the production of ester derivatives of various acids, such as phthalic, adipic, and phosphoric acid, which are used as plasticizers; and to make ethylhexyl acrylate. It is also used as a wetting agent in the mercerization of cotton, as a
defoamer in textile printing, as a solvent for gums and resins, as a solvent extractant, and as a miscellaneous chemical intermediate.

EPA has initiated its review and evaluation process for these data submissions. At this time, the Agency is unable to provide any determination as to the completeness of the submissions.

II. Public Record

EPA has established a public record for this TSCA section 4(d) receipt of data notice (docket number OPPTS-44581). This record includes copies of all studies reported in this notice. The record is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 12 noon, and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, in the TSCA Public Docket Office, rm. NE-C004, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.


James B. Willis, Acting Director, Existing Chemical Assessment Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 92-3575 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

United States/Australasia Interconference and Carrier Discussion, et al.; Agreements Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) pursuant to section 6 of the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each agreement at the Washington, DC Office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street NW, room 10325. Interested parties may submit comments on each agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days after the date of the Federal Register in which this notice appears. The requirements for comments are found in § 572.603 of title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Interested persons should consult this section before communicating with the Commission regarding a pending agreement.

Agreement No.: 203-011117-010. Title: United States/Australasia Interconference and Carrier Discussion Agreement.

Synopsis: The proposed amendment adds Compagnie Generale Maritime as a party to the Agreement. The parties have requested a shortened review period.

Agreement No.: 203-011117-011. Title: United States/Australasia Interconference and Carrier Discussion Agreement.

Synopsis: The proposed amendment clarifies the agreement authority to permit the parties to discuss and agree upon inland transport, credit and per diem terms, service contract terms and volume rates. It also adds a new provision to allow the parties to file a common tariff with respect to the transportation of any commodity or commodities.

Agreement No.: 203-011256-003. Title: PNS Agreement.

Synopsis: The proposed amendment moves the definition of "equipment" from Article 2 (Purpose) to Article 5 (Agreement Authority). It also clarifies that the term "equipment" includes loaded or empty containers.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Joseph C. Felking, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-3551 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary
Annual Update of the HHS Poverty Guidelines

AGENCY: Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice provides an update of the HHS poverty guidelines to account for last (calendar) year's increase in prices as measured by the Consumer Price Index.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These guidelines go into effect on the day they are published (unless an office administering a program using the guidelines specifies a different effective date for that particular program).

ADDRESSES: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Washington, DC 20201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about how the poverty guidelines are used in a particular program, contact the Federal (or other) office which is responsible for that program.

For information about the poverty guidelines in general, contact Joan Turek-Brezina or Gordon Fisher, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, HHS—telephone: (202) 245-6141.

For information about the Hill-Burton Uncompensated Services Program (no-fee or reduced-fee health care services at certain hospitals and other health care facilities for certain persons unable to pay for such care), contact the Office of the Director, Division of Facilities Compliance, HHS—telephone: (301) 443-6512. The Division of Facilities Compliance notes that as set by 42 CFR 124.505(b), the effective date of this update of the poverty guidelines for facilities obligated under the Hill-Burton Uncompensated Services Program is sixty days from the date of this publication.

For information about the Department of Labor's Lower Living Standard Income Level (an alternative eligibility criterion with the poverty guidelines for certain Job Training Partnership Act programs), contact Hugh Davies, Office of Employment and Training Programs, U.S. Department of Labor—telephone: (202) 555-0580.

For information about the number of persons in poverty or about the Census Bureau (statistical) poverty thresholds, contact Kathleen Shuret, Chief, Poverty
and Wealth Statistics Branch, U.S. Bureau of the Census—telephone: (301) 763-8578.

**1992 Poverty Guidelines for All States (Except Alaska and Hawaii) and the District of Columbia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of family unit</th>
<th>Poverty guideline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$6,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>21,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>23,470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For family units with more than 8 members, add $2,380 for each additional member. (The same increment applies to smaller family sizes also, as can be seen in the figures above.)

**1992 Poverty Guidelines for Alaska**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of family unit</th>
<th>Poverty guideline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$9,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14,460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>23,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>26,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>29,360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For family units with more than 8 members, add $2,980 for each additional member. (The same increment applies to smaller family sizes also, as can be seen in the figures above.)

**1992 Poverty Guidelines for Hawaii**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of family unit</th>
<th>Poverty guideline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$7,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18,790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>27,010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For family units with more than 8 members, add $2,740 for each additional member. (The same increment applies to smaller family sizes also, as can be seen in the figures above.)

The preceding figures are the 1992 update of the poverty guidelines required by sections 652 and 673(2) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (OBRA) of 1981 (Pub.L. 97-35). As required by law, this update reflects last year’s change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U); it was done using the same procedure used in previous years.

Section 673(2) of OBRA-1981 (42 U.S.C. 9902(2)) requires the use of the poverty guidelines as an eligibility criterion for the Community Services Block Grant program, while section 652 (42 U.S.C. 9847) requires the use of the poverty guidelines as an eligibility criterion for the Head Start program. The poverty guidelines are also used as an eligibility criterion by a number of other Federal programs (both HHS and non-HHS). When such programs give an OBRA-1981 citation for the poverty guidelines, they cite section 673(2).

The poverty guidelines are a simplified version of the Federal Government's statistical poverty thresholds used by the Bureau of the Census to prepare its annual estimates of the number of persons and families in poverty. The poverty guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services are used for administrative purposes—for instance, for determining whether a person or a family is financially eligible for assistance or services under a particular Federal program. The poverty thresholds are used primarily for statistical purposes. Since the poverty guidelines in this notice—the 1992 guidelines—reflect price changes through calendar year 1991, they are approximately equal to the poverty thresholds for calendar year 1991 which the Census Bureau will publish in late summer 1992.

In certain cases, as noted in the relevant authorizing legislation or program regulations, a program uses the poverty guidelines as one of several eligibility criteria, or uses a percentage multiple of the guidelines (for example, 130 percent or 185 percent of the guidelines). Some other programs, while not using the guidelines to exclude non-lower-income persons as ineligible, use them for the purpose of giving priority to lower-income persons or families in the provision of assistance or services. In some cases, these poverty guidelines may not become effective for a particular program until a regulation implementing the program in question has been issued.

In such cases, a program may use the poverty guidelines as an eligibility criterion for both aged and non-aged units. The poverty guidelines have never had an aged/non-aged distinction; only the Census Bureau (statistical) poverty thresholds have separate figures for aged and non-aged one-person and two-person units.

**Definitions**

There is no single administrative definition of “income,” “family,” “family unit,” or “household” that is valid for all programs that use the poverty guidelines. Federal programs may use administrative definitions that differ somewhat from the statistical definitions given below: the Federal office which administers a program has the responsibility for making decisions about administrative definitions. Similarly, non-Federal organizations which use the poverty guidelines in non-Federally-funded activities may use administrative definitions that differ from the statistical definitions given below. In either case, to find out the precise definitions used by a particular program, one must consult the office or organization administering the program in question. The following statistical definitions (derived for the most part from language used in U.S. Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 175 and earlier reports in the same series) are made available for illustrative purposes only.

(a) **Family.** A family is a group of two or more persons related by birth, marriage, or adoption who live together, all such related persons are considered as members of one family. For instance, if an older married couple, their daughter and her husband and two children, and the older couple’s nephew all lived in the same house or apartment, they would all be considered members of a single family.

(b) **Unrelated individual.** An unrelated individual is a person 15 years old or over (other than an inmate of an institution) who is not living with any relatives. An unrelated individual may be the only person living in a house or apartment, or may be living in a house or apartment (or in group quarters such as a rooming house) in which one or more persons also live who are not related to the individual in question by birth, marriage, or adoption. Examples of unrelated individuals residing with others include a lodger, a foster child, a ward, or an employee.

(c) **Household.** As defined by the Bureau of the Census for statistical purposes, a household consists of all the persons who occupy a housing unit (house or apartment), whether they are related to each other or not. If a family and an unrelated individual, or two unrelated individuals, are living in the same housing unit, they would constitute two family units (see next item), but only one household. Some programs, such as the food stamp program and the Low-Income Home Assistance Program (LIHEAP), use the administrative definition of family in an attempt to identify eligible persons.
Energy Assistance Program, employ administrative variations of the "household" concept in determining income eligibility. A number of other programs use administrative variations of the "family" concept in determining income eligibility. Depending on the precise program definition used, programs using a "family" concept would generally apply the poverty guidelines separately to each family and/or unrelated individual within a household if the household includes more than one family and/or unrelated individual.

(d) Family unit. "Family unit" is not an official U.S. Bureau of the Census term, although it has been used in the poverty guidelines Federal Register notice since 1978. As used here, either an unrelated individual or a family (as defined above) constitutes a family unit. In other words, a family unit of size one is an unrelated individual, while a family unit of two/three/etc. is the same as a family of two/three/etc.

(e) Income. Programs which use the poverty guidelines in determining eligibility may use administrative definitions of "income" (or "countable income") which differ from the statistical definition given below. Note that for administrative purposes, in many cases, income data for a part of a year may be annualized in order to determine eligibility—for instance, by multiplying by four the amount of income received during the most recent three months.

For statistical purposes—to determine official income and poverty statistics—the Bureau of the Census defines income to include total annual cash receipts before taxes from all sources, with the exceptions noted below. Income includes money wages and salaries before any deductions; net receipts from nonfarm self-employment (receipts from a person's own unincorporated business, professional enterprise, or partnership, after deductions for business expenses); net receipts from farm self-employment (receipts from a farm which one operates as an owner, renter, or sharecropper, after deductions for farm operating expenses); regular payments from social security, railroad retirement, unemployment compensation, strike benefits from union funds, workers' compensation, veterans' payments, public assistance (including Aid to Families with Dependent Children, Supplemental Security Income, Emergency Assistance money payments, and non-Federally-funded General Assistance or General Relief money payments), and training stipends; alimony, child support, and military family allotments or other regular support from an absent family member or someone not living in the household; private pensions, government employee pensions (including military retirement pay), and regular insurance or annuity payments; college or university scholarships, grants, fellowships, and assistantships; and dividends, interest, net rental income, net royalties, periodic receipts from estates or trusts, and net gambling or lottery winnings.

For official statistical purposes, income does not include the following types of money received: capital gains; any assets drawn down as withdrawals from a bank, the sale of property, a house, or a car; tax refunds, gifts, loans, lump-sum inheritances, one-time insurance payments, or compensation for injury. Also excluded are noncash benefits, such as the employer-paid or union-paid portion of health insurance or other employee fringe benefits, food or housing received in lieu of wages, the value of food and fuel produced and consumed on farms, the imputed value of rent from owner-occupied nonfarm or farm housing, and such Federal noncash benefit programs as Medicare, Medicaid, food stamps, school lunches, and housing assistance.

Louis W. Sullivan,
Secretary of Health and Human Services.
[FR Doc. 92-3725 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4150-04-M

Federal Council on the Aging; Meeting

Time and Date: Meeting begins at 9 a.m. and ends at 4:30 p.m. on Thursday, February 27, 1992, and begins at 9 a.m. and ends at 4:30 p.m. on Friday, February 28, 1992.

Place: On Thursday, February 27, 1992, and on Friday, February 28, from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., in the Jefferson Conference Room at the Jefferson Hotel, 16th and M Streets NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Status: Meeting is open to the public.

The Federal Council on the Aging was established by the 1973 Amendments to the Older Americans Act of 1965 (Pub. L. 93-23, 42 U.S.C. 3015) for the purpose of advising the President, the Secretary of Health and Human Services, the Commissioner on Aging and the Congress on matters relating to the special needs of older Americans.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-453, 5 U.S.C. app. 1, sec. 10, 1970) that the Council will hold its first meeting of 1992 on February 27 and 28, 1992, from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. respectively in the Jefferson Conference Room at the Jefferson Hotel, 16th and M Streets NW., Washington, DC 20036.

The agenda will include: The Council's regular business meeting during the morning session on Thursday, February 27 from 9 to 12 noon. The afternoon session will begin at 1:30 p.m. and end at 4:30 p.m. and will include presentations and discussion of issues facing Older Persons Living Alone.

On Friday, February 28, the morning and afternoon sessions will be devoted to an orientation and training session provided by Congressional Quarterly, Inc., entitled "Understanding Congress." The morning session will be held from 9 a.m. to 12 noon, and the afternoon session will begin at 1 p.m. and end at 4:30 p.m.

Ingrid C. Avvedo,
Chairperson, Federal Council on the Aging.
[FR Doc. 92-3542 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4130-01-M

Health Resources and Services Administration

Filing of Annual Report of Federal Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 13 of Public Law 92-463, the Annual Report for the following Health Resources and Service Administration's Federal Advisory Committee has been filed with the Library of Congress:

National Advisory Committee on Rural Health. Copies are available to the public for inspection at the Library of Congress Newspaper and Current Periodical Reading Room, room 1028, Thomas Jefferson Building, Second Street and Independence Avenue SE., Washington, DC, or weekdays between 9 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. at the Department of Health and Human Services, Department Library, HHS North Building, room G-619, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, telephone (202) 619-0791. Copies may be obtained from: Mr. Jeffery Human, Executive Secretary, National Advisory Committee on Rural Health, room 14-22, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857, Telephone (301) 443-0838.
Scholarships for the Undergraduate Education of Professional Nurses Grant Program

SUMMARY: A notice was published in the Federal Register [56 FR 55506] on October 28, 1991 to invite comments on a contract required for all scholarship recipients applying for Scholarships for the Undergraduate Education of Professional Nurses (SUEPN) Grant Program under the authority of section 843 of the Public Health Service Act, as added by Public Law 100-607.

In accordance with the statute, the Department requires public or private nonprofit schools which are accredited for the training of professional nurses to require scholarship recipients to sign a contract. Eligible individuals must sign a contract as prescribed by the Secretary, setting forth terms and conditions of the scholarship, including an agreement to serve as a full-time registered nurse upon graduation for a period of not less than 2 years or more than 4 years in an Indian Health Service health center, a Native Hawaiian health center, a public hospital, a migrant health center, a community health center, a nursing facility, in a rural health clinic, or in a health facility determined by the Secretary to have a critical shortage of nurses.

COMMENTS: The comment period on the contract closed December 27, 1991, and the Department received one public comment. The questions by the respondent are addressed below according to the contract headings.

B. Penalties if I Fail To Meet the Service Obligation

The respondent asked if the repayment obligation, once triggered by one of the factors defined in section "B" of the contract, could ever be suspended. Such factors defined under section "B" include: (1) Failure to work as a full-time R.N. in an eligible facility; (2) failure to maintain good standing in the nursing program; (3) voluntary withdrawal from the school before graduating from the program; (4) dismissal by the school for any reason; and (5) failure to become licensed to meet the service obligation.

A service obligation may be suspended and resumed depending on an individual's particular circumstances. For example, the Department has allowed individuals who have not passed State licensing exams to defer service until licensure is received. Contracts stating the original term of service are reinstated for individuals who are in compliance with all other contractual requirements.

c. Cancellation, Suspension, and Waiver of Obligation

The respondent also questioned if a deferral for service or payment obligation would be possible under section C(2) should the recipient incur a temporary disability.

A deferral would be granted to an individual based on a temporary disability situation if supported by documentation. An individual's service obligation would be temporarily suspended, then resumed after temporary disability no longer exists. This would be covered under the provisions of section C(2)(a), that "meeting the terms and conditions of the contract is impossible or would involve extreme hardship".

Further the respondent questioned whether the inability to function as a registered nurse should be added to the total and permanent disability standard in the cancellation provision of section C(1).

If a SUEPN recipient dies or is determined to be permanently and totally disabled, cancellation of service obligation is made, regardless of whether the individual is a registered nurse or not. Any other condition which would result in a recipient not being able to complete their service obligation would be covered under section C(2)(a), which would "involve extreme hardship" or in section C(2)(b) "enforcement of the obligations would be unconscionable".

The provisions of the contract for the Scholarships for the Undergraduate Education of Professional Nurses (SUEPN) Grant Program, as published on October 28, 1991, are therefore unchanged.

The Office of Management and Budget has approved this contract under control number 0815-041. Its approval date expires 6/30/93.


Robert G. Harmon, Administrator.

Pre-Application Technical Assistance; Meeting

AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administration, HRSA.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) is conducting two concurrent pre-application technical assistance meetings concerning the development of grant applications for the Public Housing Primary Health Care Program authorized under section 340A of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act, and the Health Care for the Homeless Programs including the Outreach and Primary Health Services for Homeless Children Program authorized under section 340 of the PHS Act. These meetings will include a discussion and overview of program requirements and expectations. Eligible applicants for the section 340A program are public or nonprofit private entities that will provide comprehensive primary health care services, health screening, health counseling and education to their targeted residents of public housing. Preference for the Public Housing Primary Care Program will be given to applicants receiving funds under the Health Care for the Homeless Program, community health centers (section 330 of the PHS Act) or certified Resident Management Corporations (section 20 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937). Eligible applicants under the Health Care for the Homeless Program are public or nonprofit private entities and for the Outreach and Primary Health Services for Homeless Children Program are section 340 grantees, other public and nonprofit private entities that provide primary health services to homeless individuals, and public and nonprofit private children's hospitals that provide primary health services to homeless individuals.

Purpose: The purpose of these meetings is to provide technical assistance to prospective applicants including an overview of the program and its requirements.

For specific information on location, anyone interested in attending these meetings should contact Ms. Tracy McClintock, Division of Special Populations Program Development, Bureau of Health Care Delivery and Assistance, room 7A-22, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857, telephone (301-443-2512).

Date and Time: March 17, and 18, 1992, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Place: Washington, DC, and Denver, Colorado.


Robert G. Harmon, Administrator.

[FR Doc. 92-3587 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4180-15-M
Public Health Service

Statement of Organization, Functions and Delegations of Authority

Part H, Chapter HN (National Institutes of Health) of the Statement of Organization, Functions and Delegations of Authority of the Department of Health and Human Services (40 FR 22859, May 27, 1975, as amended most recently at 58 FR 66449-50, December 23, 1993), is amended to reflect the following changes within the National Institute for Nursing Research (NCNR).

These changes will more clearly define the functions of each organizational component of the Center as it relates to the mission of the NCNR.

Section HN-B, Organization and Functions, is amended as follows:

(1) Under the heading National Center for Nursing Research (HN2), insert the following:

Office of Review (NH22)

(1) Provides policy direction and coordination for the planning and execution of initial scientific and technical review conducted within the National Center for Nursing Research (NCNR) involving applications for grant and contract research; (2) is responsible for supervision and management of the Nursing Science Review Committee and establishment of ad hoc review committees as required; (3) coordinates the identification and selection of qualified experts to serve on review committees and assists with the review of grant applications and contract proposals as required; (4) serves as information and coordination center for all grant applications and contract proposals pending review by the unit; (5) coordinates scientific review activities with staff of NCNR programs and the Division of Research Grants, NIH; (6) maintains uniform policies and procedures governing technical review of grant applications and contract proposals within NCNR; and (7) coordinates the Center's committee management functions and provides staff support to the National Advisory Council for Nursing Research.

Office of Planning, Analysis and Evaluation (HN23)

(1) Advises the Director of the National Center for Nursing Research (NCNR) on policy matters and other relevant issues that may affect NCNR programs and initiatives; (2) assists in the establishment of NCNR objectives and in the development or modification of program plans to meet these objectives; (3) plans and directs a broad communications and public information program aimed at disseminating information about the National Center for Nursing Research, its programs, and results from NCNR-supported research projects; (4) serves as liaison with the NIH public affairs and legislative analysis communities, keeping abreast of their policy and program developments; (5) prepares reports, publications, press releases, exhibits, and education programs, and responds to inquiries from the press, professional organizations, scientists, and the general public about the research programs and policies of the NCNR; (6) provides advice and assistance to members of the Center's scientific and administrative staff engaged in research and program reporting; (7) serves as liaison with counterparts in professional nursing and voluntary organizations and encourages joint activities to promote nursing research; and (8) conducts the Center's legislative liaison activities, including drafting testimony, reports and memos concerning NCNR activities, responding to Congressional requests for information, and analyzing and tracking legislation.

Office of Administrative Management (HN25)

(1) Advises the Director of the National Center for Nursing Research (NCNR) on policy matters and other relevant issues that may affect NCNR programs and initiatives; (2) assists in the establishment of NCNR objectives and in the development or modification of program plans to meet these objectives; (3) plans, implements, and evaluates administrative and management services and support to the programs and activities of the NCNR; (4) supervises and directs the formulation, presentation, and execution of the Center's budget and financial management operations; (5) interprets, analyzes, and implements new/revised administrative policies/regulations and computerized information system; and (7) has broad responsibility for the supervision of information resources management and computer related activities of the NCNR.
management activities affecting the mission of the Center; (6) provides staffing and personnel management services; (7) performs management analysis and provides relevant advice; (8) maintains liaison with the Office of Administration, NIH; (9) directs and coordinates the Center's grants and contracts management function; and (10) has broad responsibility for the supervision of administrative activities related to computer and data processing functions of the NCNR.

Division of Extramural Programs (HN26).

1) Advises the Director of the National Center for Nursing Research (NCNR) on policy matters, scientific developments, and other relevant issues that may affect NCNR programs and initiatives; (2) assists in the establishment of NCNR objectives and in the development or modification of program plans to meet these objectives; (3) plans and directs a program of research grants, cooperative agreements, contracts, training and fellowship awards for nursing research programs and areas of scientific interest to the Center.


Bernadine Healy,
Director, NIH.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Proposed Arvin-Edison/Metropolitan Water Storage and Exchange Program, Central Valley Project, CA

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.


SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Policy Act of 1969, the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR, part 1500), and section 1502 of the California Environmental Quality Act, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), Arvin-Edison Water Storage and Exchange Program (Arvin-Edison), and Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (Metropolitan) have prepared a joint DEIR/DEIS. The purpose of the Arvin-Edison/Metropolitan project is to provide more ground-water storage and better operating efficiencies for Arvin-Edison and Metropolitan. Reclamation involvement stems from the necessity to modify Arvin-Edison's existing water delivery contract for the project to be implemented.

The Arvin-Edison/Metropolitan preferred alternative would allow storage of up to 135,000 acre-feet of water per year in the ground-water basin beneath Arvin-Edison until approximately 800,000 acre-feet of storage is reached. Arvin-Edison would use this stored ground water during dry years in exchange for their Reclamation water which would be diverted for Metropolitan use. Metropolitan would fund construction of additional spreading basins, ground-water pumping facilities, and a water conveyance system within Arvin-Edison boundaries. Other alternatives addressed in the document include: no action, alternate spreading basin locations, and water storage using existing facilities.

DATES: A 60-day public review period begins with the Environmental Protection Agency's publication of DEIS availability in the Federal Register. Within that review period, written comments on the DEIR/DEIS may be submitted to the Regional Director, Bureau of Reclamation, at the address provided below.

Public hearings on the DEIR/DEIS will be held on the following dates and at the locations indicated:

Hearing 1: March 17, 1992; 1:30 p.m.; Hilton, 2200 Harvard Street, Sacramento, California; (916) 922-4700.

Hearing 2: March 18, 1992; 1:30 p.m.; Arvin High School, Auditorium, Campus Drive, (805) 854-5561.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the DEIR/DEIS may be requested at the following addresses:

Regional Director, Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825-1898, Attention: MP-750;

- Arvin-Edison Water Storage District, P.O. Box 175, Arvin CA 93203, Attention: Mr. Cliff Trotter; or
- Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, 1111 Sunset Boulevard, Los Angeles CA 90012, Attention: Mr. Steven Hirsch.

Copies of the DEIR/DEIS are available for inspection at the above addresses and at the following locations:

Office of the Commissioner, Bureau of Reclamation, Technical Liaison Division, 1949 C Street, NW, Washington DC 20240; telephone: (202) 343-4662 and

- Denver Office, Bureau of Reclamation, Library, Room 167, Building 87, Denver Federal Center, Denver CO 80225; telephone: (303) 226-6963.

Libraries

California State University, 2000 Jed Smith Dr., Sacramento CA 95819.
Sacramento County Library, 536 Downtown Plaza, Sacramento CA 95814
Kern County Library, 701 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield CA 93301. Los Angeles County Library, 630 W. Fifth Street, Los Angeles CA 90071.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. Bob Shaffer, Environmental Specialist, Bureau of Reclamation, Mid-Pacific Region, telephone (916) 978-5407; Mr. Cliff Trotter, Arvin-Edison Water Storage District, telephone (605) 854-5573; or Mr. Steven Hirsch, Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, telephone (213) 250-6166.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed construction would be confined to Arvin-Edison but funded by Metropolitan. It would include the following features.
(1) Expanding Spreading Works—Approximately 650 acres of new spreading facilities would be constructed.

(2) Additional Pipeline Distribution System—Approximately 17 miles of underground pipeline would be installed to deliver water from the existing Arvin-Edison North Canal to approximately 5,000 acres of land within the existing Arvin-Edison ground-water service area.

(3) Additional Ground-Water Extraction Facilities—To facilitate Arvin-Edison ground-water pumping during dry years, approximately 10 new wells would be drilled, and the services of 37 existing wells would be acquired by Arvin-Edison within the lands served by the new pipeline distribution system.

These facilities would be available for Metropolitan use to store water from the State Water Project (SWP). During relatively wet years, Metropolitan would provide a portion of its SWP entitlement water to Arvin-Edison. This water would be stored in the ground-water basin underlying Arvin-Edison. During drought years, water would be available for use by Metropolitan through an exchange whereby Arvin-Edison would use the previously stored ground water and an equal amount of surface water would be available to Metropolitan.

HEARING PROCESS INFORMATION:
Organizations and individuals wishing to present statements at a hearing should contact James Ragan Associates, 2265 Westwood Boulevard, suite 179, Los Angeles, California 90064, telephone (310) 470-0499, to announce their intention to participate. Requests for scheduled presentations will be accepted through 5 p.m. on March 13, 1992, and may also be made at each hearing. Requests should indicate at which hearing the speaker wishes to appear. Advance requests will be called for before requests made at the hearings. Oral comments will be limited to 10 minutes per individual. Speakers not present when called will lose their privilege in the scheduled order and will be recalled at the end of the scheduled speakers.

Written comments from those unable to attend, or those wishing to supplement their oral presentations at the hearings should be submitted to the Regional Director, Bureau of Reclamation, at the above addresses, by April 3, 1992, for inclusion in the hearing record. All comments received during the 60-day public comment period will be addressed in the final environmental impact statement.

Raymond H. Wilms,
Deputy Assistant Commissioner, Resources Management.

Bureau of Land Management
[FR Doc. 92-3625 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-00-M

The Establishment of "Category 5" Competitive Royalty Rates of 2% for Underground Mined Coal and 4% for Surface Mined Coal for all Federal Coal Within a Nine (9) County Area of Eastern Oklahoma

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of decision.

SUMMARY: This announcement gives notice that market competitive royalty rates have been established for Federally owned coal within the nine (9) county area of Eastern Oklahoma that has been determined to be "Qualified" for "Category 5" royalty rate reductions. If the Owners and/or Operators of Federal coal leases within the Oklahoma Counties of Atoka, Coal, Haskell, Latimer, LeFlore, McIntosh, Muskogee, Pittsburg, and Sequoyah can show by application in accordance with the guidelines set forth in BLM Manual 3485 (Reports, Royalties, and Records) that they are eligible to receive reduced royalties under "Category 5", and if they will certify that the Federal coal will be bypassed without a reduced royalty, then they will be granted the following royalty rates, which are the established competitive rates:

1. For all federally owned Oklahoma coal mined in the "Area" by what are commonly known as "Underground Methods", the market competitive reduced royalty rate is established at 2% of the value as set forth in the lease or as determined by the Minerals Management Service; whichever is prevailing.

2. For all federally owned Oklahoma coal mined in the "Area" by what are commonly known as "Surface Methods" the market competitive reduced royalty rate is now established at 4% of the value as set forth in the lease or as determined by the Minerals Management Service; whichever is prevailing.

These "Category 5" competitive royalty rates are to be considered as being in effect, for leases in "good standing" as determined by the Minerals Management Service, since December 17, 1990. All applications, or notices of intentions to file applications, that were received no later than September 30, 1991, shall be eligible for the minimum royalty rates established above.

Representatives of the Bureau of Land Management advised all active Federal coal lease operators in the Area by letter, telephone, and Federal Register notice (dated June 17, 1991) (56 FR 27771) that the above-mentioned reduced royalty rates, when approved, would be retroactive to December 17, 1990. (It was assumed that all industry representatives knew that the Royalty Reduction Regulations clearly state that all leases must be in "good standing" in order to be eligible for reduced royalties in any category.) Consequently, there was no significant interruption to normal mining activities.

Unless there is overwhelming evidence to the contrary, the above minimum royalty rates shall be in effect for five (5) years from the effective date; i.e., until December 17, 1995, at which time there will be a reassessment to determine if market conditions, etc., still justify these rates.

DATES: Comments must be submitted or postmarked by March 18, 1992.

ADDRESSES: comments may be mailed to the Bureau of Land Management, New Mexico State Office, Minerals Division, (Mail Stop 924), P.O. Box 27115, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-7115.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Darwyn F. Pogue, Minerals Appraiser, Branch of Appraisals and Evaluations, Minerals Division, New Mexico State Office, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 27115, (Mail Stop 924), Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-7115, Comm. Phone (505) 438-7458.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: In September of 1987, Hagler, Bailly, and Company (HBC), a consulting firm contracted by the BLM Washington Office Division of Minerals Policy Analysis and Economic Evaluation (WO-690), published a document entitled "The Competitive Position of Federal Coal in North Dakota, Alabama, and Oklahoma. This document, a detailed and substantiated analytical report, illustrated the lack of any competitive position for the federally owned coal versus private and state owned coal in the three mentioned states, and it further illustrated a strong potential for the Federal coal to be bypassed. The Federal coal was found to have excessive costs in the areas of royalty, bonus, rent, and associated (administrative and environmental) expenses.

Prior to the HBC study there were four "categories" that, in order to prevent bypass and encourage maximum
recovery, allowed for the reduction of the royalty stipulated in a Federal coal lease by the utilization of mining factors or financial statements, or combinations thereof, to provide justification.

However, the HBC document was the groundwork for the BLM decision to establish a fifth "category" based upon certain qualifying criteria. If, after meeting the requirements of this criteria, it was affirmed that the Federal coal involved was not market competitive, and therefore subject to being bypassed, then states and/or areas could be designated as being qualified for royalty reductions under this fifth category, and the Federal lease owner/operators could be eligible and make application for reduced royalties.

The New Mexico State Office of the Bureau of Land Management (NMSO) published a "Notice of Decision" in the Federal Register on Monday, June 17, 1991, page 27771, that announced that a contiguous nine (9) county area of Oklahoma had satisfied the qualifying criteria and was designated as an "Area" eligible for "Category 5" royalty reductions. The counties are: Atoka, Coal, Haskell, Latimer, LeFlore, McIntosh, Muskogee, Pittsburg, and Sequoyah. Also, as a part of the "Notice of Decision" a request was made for information pertaining to terms and conditions contained in private lease documents. Five (5) coal companies responded with confidential copies of representative documents that indicated the basic contents of the typical private lease, and the intent of the parties involved was verbally confirmed.

The NMSO then, in an effort to establish the "Area", the NMSO determined that there would not be any detrimental effects to any Indian coal leases by this action, for there are no active Indian coal leases in Oklahoma or any of the neighboring coal producing localities.

The NMSO also determined that there would not be any detrimental effects upon any private or Federal coal leases in neighboring coal producing localities by allowing for "Category 5" royalty rates within the Oklahoma "Area." Coal production from Federal leases in Oklahoma has historically been relatively insignificant in relation to the total state production. For example, in the years of 1989 and 1990 the U.S. Energy Administration reported that the total State of Oklahoma coal production was 3,828,000 short tons (st), yet the total production from Federal leases in Oklahoma was only 247,340 st, or less than 6.5%. The U.S. Energy Administration's "Coal Distribution Reports" indicate that Oklahoma is predominantly a coal importing state, with only minor amounts exported for commercial/industrial uses. Oklahoma consumed over 29,380,000 st during the 1989–1990 calendar years while only producing the 3,828,000 st mentioned above, or 13% of the total consumption. The 1989–1990 total Oklahoma coal exports were approximately 0.2% of the total consumption. The receiving states were Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, and Texas; all of which are also predominantly coal importing states. The Oklahoma coal imported into these states has had no adverse effects upon the local markets, and none are expected as a result of this action.

Therefore, since Oklahoma coal production from Federal leases has historically been relatively insignificant, and since the NMSO has determined that the establishment of market competitive reduced royalty rates will not create any detrimental effects on Indian coal leases, neighboring coal producing areas, or Oklahoma private coal lease production, market competitive royalty rates have been determined that are appropriate for the "Area."

A definitive market comparison analysis prepared by the NMSO and BLM Washington office personnel, utilizing data from Oklahoma coal producers and State of Oklahoma government sources, has determined that the following royalty rates will prevent bypass, encourage continued development, and allow federally owned coal in Oklahoma to be mined in a fair and market competitive manner:

1. Coal mined from Federal leases by what are commonly known as "Underground Methods" shall be eligible for a market competitive royalty rate of 2% of the value as stipulated by the terms of the lease, as modified, or as determined by the U.S. Minerals Management Services, whichever is prevailing.

2. Coal mined from Federal leases by what are commonly known as "Surface Methods" shall be eligible for a market competitive royalty rate of 4% of the value as determined by the terms of the lease, as modified, or as determined by the U.S. Minerals Management Service, whichever is prevailing.

Applicants for royalty reductions under this category are cautioned that they must carefully follow the guidelines that are contained in BLM Manual 3465—Reports, Royalties, and Records. If any points contained within this Manual are in need of clarification, applicants should contact the BLM Solid Minerals personnel in the Tulsa District Office, the New Mexico State Office in Santa Fe, or the Washington Office.

Prior to the publication of the decision, a statement explaining this action was submitted to the Office of the Governor of the State of Oklahoma, and that office has concurred that the action is appropriate. The Governor of Oklahoma supports the decision that this action will prevent the bypass of Federal coal reserves, will not result in any adverse effects upon neighboring coal producing areas, and will have a positive effect upon the Oklahoma coal market and the Oklahoma economy.


Monte Jordan,
Acting State Director, Bureau of Land Management, New Mexico State Office.

[FR Doc. 92–2598 Filed 2–13–92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–FF–M

[NO–010–92–4130–09]

Elko District, NV

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management. Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement on an amendment to a mining Plan of Operations for Newmont Gold Company in Eureka and Elko Counties, Nevada; and notice of scoping period and public meetings.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and 43 CFR part 3809, the Bureau of Land Management will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement on the impacts of a proposed amendment to an existing Plan of Operations for gold mining by Newmont Gold Company, in Eureka and Elko Counties, Nevada. The Bureau invites comments on the scope of the analysis.

EFFECTIVE DATES: Scoping meetings will be held April 7, 1992 at the Bureau of Land Management, Elko District Office, 3900 E. Idaho, Elko, NV, and on April 8, 1992 at the Holiday Inn, 1000 E. 6th St., Reno, NV, to identify issues and concerns to be addressed in the Environmental Impact Statement. Both meetings are scheduled for 7 p.m.–9 p.m. Representatives of Newmont Gold Company will be available to answer questions about the Plan of Operations amendment. Additional scoping meetings may be held as appropriate. Written comments on the Plan of Operations amendment and the scope of the Environmental Impact Statement will be accepted until April 24, 1992. Scoping comments may be sent to: District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 831, Elko, NV
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Write to the above address or call Dave Vandenberg at (702) 753-0200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Newmont Gold Company of Carlin, Nevada has submitted an amendment to its existing Plan of Operations for the Gold Quarry Mine located approximately 7 miles northwest of Carlin, Nevada. The authorized operation includes an open-pit mine, tailing facilities, heap leach facilities, waste rock dumps, a milling complex as well as administrative and maintenance buildings. The proposal is to develop two new pits, expand and deepen the Gold Quarry Pit, enlarge existing waste dumps, develop new heap leach pads, construct a reservoir for water produced from dewatering of the Gold Quarry Pit, construct bioleaching pads, and develop new haul roads and additional ancillary facilities in order to maintain the current production level of gold ore. Additional activities on private lands, including construction of a roasting unit for processing of carbonateous and sulfide ores, will be undertaken. While part of the proposed expansion would be confined to previously disturbed areas, additional surface disturbance is anticipated on approximately 796 acres of public land and 1904 acres of private lands.

The issues expected to be analyzed in the Environmental Impact Statement are: Surface and groundwater quantity and quality, mine pit water quality, threatened and endangered species, wildlife and fisheries, cultural resources, reclamation, hazardous materials, air quality, soils and watershed, vegetation, visual resources, recreation and wilderness, social and economic values, geology, paleontology, grazing management, access and land use, noise, and cumulative impacts. These topics will be evaluated by an interdisciplinary team and will include review of the proposed Plan of Operations amendment as well as other pertinent environmental documents and studies.

A range of alternatives (including but no: limited to alternative reclamation measures and the no-action alternative), as well as mitigating measures, will be considered to evaluate and minimize environmental impacts and to assure that the proposed action does not result in undue or unnecessary degradation of public lands.

Federal, state and local agencies and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the Bureau's decision on the amended Plan of Operations are invited to participate in the scoping process with respect to this environmental analysis. These entities and individuals will be invited to submit comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Bureau of Land Management's scoping process for the Environmental Impact Statement will include: (1) identification of issues to be addressed; (2) identification of viable alternatives; and (3) notification of interested groups, individuals and agencies so that additional information concerning these issues, or other additional issues, can be obtained.

Roderick Harris, Elko District Manager.

[FR Doc. 92-3397 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-J6-M

CO-050-4320-12)

Canion City District Grazing Advisory Board Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 98-563) that a meeting of the Canion City District Grazing Advisory Board will be held at 8:30 a.m. Thursday, April 2, at the Bureau of Land Management Office, 3170 East Main, Canion City, Colorado.

The purpose of this meeting will be: 1. Discuss proposed Range improvement projects.
2. Initiate, conduct, and settle business pertaining to the expenditures of Range Betterment Funds.

[FR Doc. 92-3600 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-J6-M

MT-060-02-4212-13; MTM-90160]

Realty Action; Private Exchange—Montana

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: The Bureau of Land Management proposes to exchange public land for private land with Jim and Norma C. Wood. This proposed exchange involves only the surface estate. The public and private lands are in Chouteau County.

SUMMARY: This exchange facilitates the previous purchase of 1,667.33 acres from Jim and Norma C. Wood. The public will gain additional private lands with habitat for upland game and deer. This exchange if completed will become part of 2,000 acre wildlife and recreation management area. The area is under joint management with the Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks and Pheasants Forever. Disposal of the public lands is in conformance with the West HiLine Resource Management Plan. Disposal of public lands with relatively low public value will help meet the management goals for the area where the public will gain private land. This exchange is in the public interest. The Bureau of Land Management advised state and local officials about the proposed exchange.

The following described public lands are suitable for disposal by exchange under section 206 of the Federal Land Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1716.

Principal Meridian Montana
T. 25 N., R. 9 E.
Sec. 11, SE 1/4 NW 1/4.  
Totaling 40 acres.  

The United States will exchange this public land to acquire the following described private land:

Principal Meridian Montana  
T. 25 N., R. 9 E.,  
Sec. 13, SW 1/4 SW 1/4.  
Total 40 acres.  

DATES: Interested parties may submit comments to the Bureau of Land Management by March 30, 1992. The State Director will weigh adverse comments. The State Director may vacate or change this notice. Without any objections this notice will become the final determination of the Department of the Interior.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments on this proposed exchange to the address shown below. Information related to the exchange, including the environmental assessment, is available at the same address: Havre Resource Area, Drawer 911, Havre, MT 59501.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The publication of this notice segregates the public lands described above settlement, sale, location and entry under the public land laws. This notice also segregates from the mining laws, but not from exchange under section 206 of the Mining Law of 1976. The publication, of this notice segregates the public lands described above settlement, sale, location and entry under the public land laws. This notice also segregates from the mining laws, but not from exchange under section 206 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976. The segregation will last for two years from the date of publication of this notice.

This exchange is subject to:

2. The reservation to the United States of all federal minerals will occur.  
3. The exchange must meet the requirements of 43 CFR 4110.4-2(b).  
4. The proposed completion date is April 1992.

B. Gene Miller,  
Acting District Manager.

[FR Doc. 92-3599 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4510-DN-M

Filing of Plats of Survey: Oregon/WA  
AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.  
ACTION: Notice.  

SUMMARY: The plats of survey of the following described lands are scheduled to be officially filed in the Oregon State Office, Portland, Oregon, thirty (30) calendar days from the date of this publication.

Willamette Meridian  
Oregon

T. 28 S., R. 4 W., accepted December 31, 1991  
T. 28 S., R. 5 W., accepted January 6, 1992  
T. 36 1/2 S., R. 7 W., accepted January 17, 1992  
T. 37 S., R. 7 W., accepted January 17, 1992  
T. 35 S., R. 13 W., accepted January 16, 1992  
T. 37 S., R. 1 E., accepted January 15, 1992  
T. 2 S., R. 6 E., accepted January 27, 1992

Washington

T. 8 N., R. 15 E., accepted January 31, 1992  

If protests against a survey, as shown on any of the above plat(s), are received prior to the date of official filing, the survey may be stayed pending consideration of the protest(s). A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed and become final or appeals from the dismissal affirmed.

The plat(s) will be placed in the open files of the Oregon State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 1300 NE, 44th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97213, and will be available to the public as a matter of information only. Copies of the plat(s) may be obtained from the above office upon required payment. A person or party who wishes to protest against a survey must file with the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Portland, Oregon, a notice that they wish to protest prior to the proposed official filing date given above. A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest to the State Director, or the statement of reasons must be filed with the State Director within thirty (30) days after the proposed official filing date.

The above-listed plats represent dependent resurveys, survey and subdivision.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:  
Bureau of Land Management, 1300 NE, 44th Avenue, P.O. Box 2965, Portland, Oregon 97208.

Robert E. Mollohan,  
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc. 92-5001 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4310-33-M

Fish and Wildlife Service  
Availability of a Technical/Agency Draft Recovery Plan for the Caribbean Roseate Tern (Sterna dougalli) for Review and Comment

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.  
ACTION: Notice of document availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service announces the availability for public review of a draft recovery plan for the Caribbean Roseate Tern (Sterna dougalli).

DATES: Comments on the draft recovery plan must be received on or before April 14, 1992 to receive consideration by the Service.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to review the draft recovery plan may obtain a copy by contacting the Southeast Regional Office, Richard B. Russell Federal Building, 75 Spring Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Written comments and materials regarding the plan should be addressed to Field Supervisor, Caribbean Field Office, P.O. Box 491, Boquerón, Puerto Rico 00622. Comments and materials are available upon request for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at either of the above-mentioned addresses.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:  
Mr. Jorge E. Saliva, Caribbean Field Office, P.O. Box 491, Boquerón, PR 00622 (809/851-7297).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:  
Background

Restoring an endangered animal or plant to the point where it is again a secure, self-sustaining member of its ecosystem is a preliminary goal of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's endangered species program. To help guide the recovery effort, the Service is working to prepare recovery plans for most of the listed species native to the United States. Recovery plans describe actions considered necessary for conservation of the species, establish criteria for the recovery levels for downlisting or delisting them, and estimate time for implementing the recovery measures needed.

The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Act), as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) requires the development of recovery plans for listed species unless such a plan would not promote the conservation of a particular species. Section 4(f) of the Act, as amended in 1988, requires that public notice and an opportunity for public review and comment be provided during recovery plan development. The Service will consider all information presented during a public comment period prior to approval of each new or revised Recovery Plan. The Service and other Federal agencies will also take these comments into account in the course of implementing approved recovery plans.

This Technical/Agency draft is for the Caribbean roseate tern (Sterna dougalli).
A medium size white and gray seabird. The roseate tern was listed as endangered in the northeastern United States, and threatened in the Caribbean (52 FR 42064) on December 2, 1987 after a status survey of the northeastern United States population revealed a dramatic decline in their numbers.

Roseate terns in the United States Caribbean inhabit some of the rocky and coral offshore islands of Puerto Rico and St. Thomas (U.S. Virgin Islands). In Puerto Rico they nest on the keys off the southwest coast, and in the Culebra archipelago off the east end of the island. In St. Thomas they utilize several offshore islands, sometimes changing islands from year to year. It has been suggested that the apparent decline in numbers may be more of an intermixing of individuals from Virgin Islands and Puerto Rican colonies. Predation and human poaching seem to play an important role in nesting success and colony abandonment in these colonies.

Public Comments Sought

The Service solicits written comments on the recovery plan described. All comments received by the date specified above will be considered prior to approval of the plan.

Authority: The authority for this action is section 4(f) of the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1533(f).


James P. Oland,
Field Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 92-3597 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Docket No. AB-55 (Sub. 410X)]

Exemption; CSX Transportation, Inc.—Abandonment Exemption—In Raleigh County, WV

Applicant has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—Exempt Abandonments to abandon its 1.32-mile line of railroad between milepost CAN-24.62, at Marsh Fork Junction, and milepost CAN-25.94, at Surveyor, in Raleigh County, WV.

Applicant has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) any overhead traffic on the line can be rerouted over other lines; and (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or a State or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service on the line either is pending with the Commission or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of the complainant within the 2-year period. The appropriate State agency has been notified in writing at least 10 days prior to the filing of this notice.

As a condition to use of this exemption, any employee affected by the abandonment shall be protected under Oregon Short Line R. Co.—Abandonment—Coshen, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance has been received, this exemption will be effective on March 15, 1992 (unless stayed). Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues, formal expressions of intent to file an offer of financial assistance under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2), and trail use/rail banking statements under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by February 24, 1992. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.26 must be filed by March 5, 1992, with: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any petition filed with the Commission should be sent to applicant’s representative: Charles M. Rosenberger, CSX Transportation, Inc., 500 Water Street 150, Jacksonville, FL 32202.

If the notice of exemption contains false or misleading information, use of the exemption is void ab initio.

Applicant has filed an environmental report which addresses environmental or energy impacts, if any, from this abandonment. The Section of Energy and Environment (SEE) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA). SEE will issue the EA by February 19, 1992. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA from SEE by writing to it (Room 3219, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423) or by calling Elaine Kaiser, Chief, SEE at (202) 927-...

The Commission is accepting consideration the application, filed January 15, 1992, by CSX Corporation (CSX) and its subsidiaries, American Commercial Lines, Inc. (ACL), American Commercial Barge Line Company (ACBL), American Valley Line, Inc. (AVL), and by Sequa Corporation (Squa) and its subsidiaries, The Valley Line Company (Valley Company), and Valley Transportation, Inc. (VTI), for CSX and ACL, through AVL, to acquire from Sequa control of Valley Company and VTI, and to merge AVL into ACL, and also to merge Valley Company and VTI into ACBL. Pursuant to 49 CFR Part 1180, the Commission finds this to be a minor transaction.

DATES: Written comments must be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission no later than March 18, 1992, and concurrently served on applicant’s representatives, the United States Secretary of Transportation, and the Attorney General of the United States. Comments from the Secretary of Transportation and Attorney General of the United States must be filed by March 30, 1992. The Commission will issue a service list shortly thereafter. Comments must be served on all parties of record within 10 days of the Commission’s issuance of the service list and confirmed by certificate of service filed with the Commission indicating that all designated individuals and organizations on the service list have been properly served. Applicants’ reply is due by April 20, 1992.
Valley Company and VTL. Under the proposed transaction, AVL will acquire the stock of Valley Company and VTL (also collectively referred to as Valley Line) from Sequa for $138 million. CSX and ACL then will place the AVL stock in a voting trust during the pendency of this proceeding. If the Commission approves the proposed transaction, CSX and ACL will dissolve the voting trust, assume active control of AVL, and effectuate the proposed mergers.

ACBL operates barge transportation service on approximately 7,500 miles of the inland waterway on the Mississippi River System and Gulf Intracoastal Waterway (MRS-GIW).\(^8\) ACBL's fleet includes 2,330 jumbo hopper barges and 198 tank barges. In addition, its affiliate, Hines American Line, Inc. (Hines) owns and operates 26 tank barges and operates 21 tank barges for other owners. ACL owns and operates, through ACBL, approximately 74 towboats of various power ratings. It also operates several towboats through Hines. ACL and its subsidiaries own a coal facility at St. Louis, MO, a liquid commodities terminal at Memphis, TN, and general commodities terminals at Louisville, KY, and Guntersville, AL, where it can handle grain shipments. ACL has fleeting facilities near its terminals and also has similar facilities at 11 other points.

Approximately 90 percent of ACBL's traffic is carried in mainline towing operations, in which large tows may pick up or deliver single barges at any location along the waterway. The remainder of its traffic moves in dedicated service or unit tows, in which large volumes of a single commodity are transported from a single or closely-related group of loading points to a single destination. A predominant traffic flow consists of coal and grain shipments from points on the Ohio River and upper Mississippi and Illinois Rivers south to domestic points on the Mississippi River or to the Gulf of Mexico for export. Some coal moves from Ohio River points to power plants in the northern states. ACBL also moves alumina, chemicals, iron, and steel from plants on the Gulf of Mexico to processing and distribution facilities in the north. The overwhelming traffic flow is southward, and ACBL aggressively seeks northbound traffic.

Valley Line operates over approximately 5,000 miles of inland waterways, including most of the inland river system of the United States. This includes the Mississippi, Missouri, Ohio, Illinois, Arkansas, and Tennessee Rivers and the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, as well as their major tributaries. Valley Line operates a fleet of 21 line-haul towboats, 8 harbor tugboats, and more than 900 barges over these routes. It does not, however, conduct water carrier operations through the Panama Canal. Valley Line and its subsidiaries own river terminal facilities at Memphis, on the Mississippi River, and at Cincinnati, OH, and Evansville, IN, on the Ohio River. They also own fleeting and repair facilities at Vacheria and Marrero, LA, and at St. Louis.

The majority of the traffic it handles consists of grain, grain products, coal, and other dry bulk commodities, which move to destinations in the Port of New Orleans and the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, as well as northbound movements from the Gulf of regulated commodities such as steel, sugar, and wood products and bulk products such as alumina, salt, and fertilizer.

In view of Valley Line's size and the primary service territories of ACBL and Valley Line, applicants do not propose significant operational changes, major capital construction projects, or facilities closures. They assert, however, that the transaction will result in operating and service benefits, such as expanded single-system barge services and improved coordination of intermodal services at Valley Line facilities served by CSXT. The combined ACBL/Valley Line, they say, would be able to build on the success achieved by the consolidated ACBL/SCNO operations.\(^8\) It would be able to market transportation contracts for those customers preferring single-system service, thereby eliminating the costs, delays, and inefficiencies incurred by the use of multiple carriers. Furthermore, the addition of Valley Line would enable ACBL to expand its marketing efforts for the transportation of steel products to the Missouri River. Although ACBL has offered less-than-barge-load service for steel products to many points on its system, ACBL has only begun to extend that service to points on the Missouri River since the SCNO acquisition. The introduction of less-than-barge-load transportation to Missouri River points has created some new business opportunities, and the acquisition of Valley Line may create more transportation options for those

---

\(^{1}\) In Finance Docket No. 30000, Corp.—Control—American Commercial Lines, Inc. (not printed), served September 28, 1983, the Commission found that the railroad consolidation regulations, with appropriate modifications, were sufficient for consideration of that railroad consolidation application. In Finance Docket No. 31247, CSX Corp. and American Commercial Lines, Inc.—Control—SCNO Acquisition Corp. (not printed), served May 25, 1988 (SCNO), the Commission concluded that the railroad consolidation regulations also were appropriate for that railroad consolidation application. Here, too, they are appropriate for processing this application.

\(^{2}\) The MRS-GIW includes the Ohio, Illinois, Missouri, Tennessee, Cumberland, Kanawha, Green, Missouri, and Warrior Rivers, the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway, and the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway.

\(^{3}\) Finance Docket No. 31247. CSX Corp. and American Commercial Lines, Inc.—Control—SCNO Acquisition Corp. (not printed), served December 5, 1988.
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Missouri River receivers of small steel shipments.

Applicants also contend that the proposed consolidation will create opportunities for new and more efficient rail/barge transportation services, such as has occurred when CSX acquired ACL and when ACL acquired SCNO and Hines. It will expand the limited rail/barge options presently available to Valley Line shippers.

Sequia intends to exit the water carrier business and has placed Valley Line on the market. ACL’s acquisition will ensure continuation of the Valley Line service as an integrated part of an efficient organization. Applicants believe that, through coordination of administrative services and operating functions, ACBL can increase the productivity of Valley Line’s assets by 15 to 25 percent annually. A principal reason for this increase will be the availability and frequency of ACBL towboats, which will reduce the barge waiting time and help increase frequency to keep the barges loaded. Further, joint purchases of operating materials would save an estimated $165,000 a year, and avoiding duplicative boat overhaul expenses would save about $50,000 per year.

Applicants anticipate that most employees will be retained, although there will be some job loss from the consolidation of administrative functions. Those employees not retained will receive a severance package from ACL.

In addition to deciding whether to accept an application as complete, we also must determine under our consolidation regulations whether a proposed transaction is major, significant, minor, or exempt. On February 3, 1992, The Ohio River Company (ORC) filed a petition to reject the application, arguing that the instant transaction is a significant transaction as defined at 49 CFR 1180.2(b) rather than minor as applicants assert. ORC, which has the fourth largest jumbo dry barge fleet, points out that ACL has the largest jumbo dry barge fleet and Valley Line has the fifth largest as of 1989. According to ORC, the proposed transaction would result in one out of every six barges operating on the inland waterways and a significant share of towboat horsepower coming under direct railroad control through CSX/ACL. ORC contends that ACL and Valley Line are direct competitors on much of the inland waterway system and thus argues that the transaction is of regional or national significance.

Further, it says, the transaction will result in a major market extension within the meaning of 49 CFR 1180.3, because one carrier will expand its service in a market while the other will contract its service in that area.

ORC also says that applicants have not submitted all of the data required by the Commission’s regulations for significant transactions or otherwise necessary for the Commission to conduct the required analyses under the controlling statutes and Commission precedent. Therefore, ORC requests

---

*As defined in 49 CFR 1180.3(c) specifically for rail consolidations, a major market extension is a transaction which may significantly increase competition by extending service into a new market, expanding service in a currently served market when another carrier simultaneously contracts its service to that market as part of the same transaction, or providing significantly more efficient and effective competitive service to a market presently being served. Under that rule, criteria which can be used to determine if a railroad is proposing to provide a more competitive service to a currently served area include: (1) creating a shorter route; (2) providing enhanced service capabilities (speed is not the only factor); (3) entering an interchange or market generating more than 1,000 cars per year; (4) filing the application as a condition of relief to a pending proceeding; and (5) permitting a carrier to become more competitive (extending its length of haul). See, Burlington Northern, Inc.—Control & Merger—St. L., 334 I.C.C. 616, 637 (1978).

The major thrust of ORC’s complaint involves the data regarding the present extent of competition between ACL and Valley Line. It complains that applicants’ submission relies on outdated conclusions drawn by the Commission in CSX Corp.—Control—American Commercial Lines, Inc. 2 I.C.C.2d 490 (1984), offd sub nom. Crouse Corp. v. ICC, 781 F.2d 1176 (6th Cir. 1986), cert. denied, 107 S.Ct. 290 (1986) (CSX/ACL) and SCNO. In CSX/ACL, the Commission concluded that CSX’s control of ACL would not diminish or harm the vigorous competition that characterized the inland barge industry, making possible the exercise of market power detrimental to shippers. In SCNO, the Commission said that the barge industry remained highly competitive and unconsolidated and retained its essential character as a market with easy entry and exit. ORC says that the industry has changed so dramatically in the past 11 years that the Commission cannot undertake the analysis of the competitive impact of the transaction required by the Panama Canal Act for mere reforecasting those prior decisions, and specifically challenges the Commission’s conclusion in those cases that the barge industry should be treated for purposes of analysis as a single economic market. As an example of this, ORC says that only six carriers have the necessary equipment or traffic density and patterns to offer competitive pricing on major long-term contracts. Therefore, ORC maintains that it would have to provide a breakdown of traffic and market shares by traffic lane and by commodity.

The fact that a combination of two of the largest water carriers is likely to involve direct competitors does not by itself make that combination a significant transaction. The ACL/Valley Line combination will have about 17 percent of the jumbo dry barges owned by the 256 companies which have barges. There are 246 companies operating on some or all of the MRS—GIW system. The top 10 barge operators, eachmoving more than 10 million short tons, accounted for about 52 percent of the tonnage moved in 1989. By acquiring Valley Line, ACBL will gain only another 2.6 percent of the barge traffic on the MRS—GIW system. It’s share will grow to only 12.2 percent of the total market. In these circumstances, an increase of that magnitude shows that the transaction is not significant.

The proposal here has no regional or national significance and does not meet the definition for a major market extension as defined under our rules for rail consolidations. Specifically, it does not involve an extension of water carrier service to a new area, since ACBL already has the authority to serve, and does serve, the Valley Line territory. Nor is ORC correct in its argument that ACBL will expand its service into the territory where Valley Line is contracting its service. There will be no reduction in Valley Line as a result of this transaction. It will become part of the ACBL service already existing in that market. Moreover, as noted previously, 49 CFR 1180.3(c) also defines as a significant transaction "providing significantly more efficient and effective competitive service to a market presently being served." Although applicants assert some efficiency gains from the transaction, these gains are procompetitive yet not significantly so, if true. The anticipated minor increase in ACBL’s ability to provide competitive service would be healthy and in the public interest. Accordingly, we conclude that the proposal is a minor

---

* This is based on applicants’ 1989 data. Some 26 companies have more than 100 barges, 90 companies have 10 or more barges, and 113 companies have only 1 barge.
transaction as defined in 49 CFR 1180.2(c).

Regardless of the technical classification (either minor or significant) we assign to this proposal under our rail consolidation rules, the evidence in the application is sufficient for the Commission to discharge its duty under 49 U.S.C. 11321 and 11343-45. Admittedly, as in other areas of transportation, there have been changes in the barge industry since the CSX/ACL decision. The Commission, however, is aware of those changes because it conducted a post-proceeding monitoring process in CSX/ACL which showed no adverse impact. Further, applicants have presented sufficient statistics relative to the industry structure for the necessary analyses under the controlling statutes. Their proposal in this proceeding constitutes a minor transaction, no responsive applications will be permitted. The time limits for processing a transaction are set forth at 49 U.S.C. 11345(d).

Discovery may begin immediately. We admonish the parties to resolve all discovery matters expeditiously and amicably.

This action will not significantly affect the industry environment or the conservation of energy resources.

It is ordered:

1. The Ohio River Company’s petition filed February 3, 1992, is denied.

2. This proposal is found to be a minor transaction under 49 CFR 1180.2(c).

3. The application in Finance Docket No. 31979 is accepted for consideration.

4. The parties shall comply with all provisions as stated above.

5. This decision is effective on February 14, 1992.


By the Commission, Chairman Philbin. Vice Chairman McDonald, Commissioners Simmons, Phillips, and Emmett. Commissioner Simmons concurred in the result.

Sidney L. Strickland, Jr., Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-3643 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7036-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards
Administration; Wage and Hour Division

Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (40 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR part 1, appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedeas decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the Federal Register, or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision, together with any modifications issued, must be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR part 5. The wage rates and fringe benefits, notice of which is published herein, and which are contained in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled “General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon and Related Acts,” shall be the minimum paid by contractors and subcontractors to laborers and mechanics.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the rates determined as prevailing is
encouraged to submit wage rate and fringe benefit information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., room S-3014 Washington, DC 20210.

Modifications to General Wage Determination Decisions

The numbers of the decisions listed in the Government Printing Office document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume, State, and page number(s). Dates of publication in the Federal Register are in parentheses following the decisions being modified.

Volume I
District of Columbia. p>All.
Georgia:
Maryland, MD9I-16(Feb. 22, 1991).
Massachusetts:
Pennsylvania:
Virginia:
VA9I-23(Feb. 22, 1991) . . . . p.All.
Volume II
Illinois:

Texas:
TX9I-28(Feb. 22, 1991) . . . . p.All.
TX9I-28(Feb. 22, 1991) . . . . p.All.
TX9I-30(Feb. 22, 1991) . . . . p.All.
TX9I-32(Feb. 22, 1991) . . . . p.All.
TX9I-33(Feb. 22, 1991) . . . . p.All.
TX9I-37(Feb. 22, 1991) . . . . p.All.
TX9I-43(Feb. 22, 1991) . . . . p.All.
TX9I-49(Feb. 22, 1991) . . . . p.All.

Volume III
None.

General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts". This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries across the country. Subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402 (202) 783-3238.

When ordering subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the three separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued on or about January 1) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates will be distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th Day of February 1991.
Alan L. Moss
Director, Division of Wage Determinations.

APPENDIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Petitioner (union/workers/firm)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date received</th>
<th>date of petition</th>
<th>Petition No.</th>
<th>Articles produced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computalog Drilling Services (Wkrs)</td>
<td>Corpus Christi, TX</td>
<td>02/03/92</td>
<td>01/24/92</td>
<td>26,793</td>
<td>Oilfield Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cor Agra Flour Mill (Wkrs)</td>
<td>Blackwell, OK</td>
<td>02/03/92</td>
<td>01/21/92</td>
<td>26,794</td>
<td>Flour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding
Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under title II chapter 2 of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than February 24, 1992.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than February 24, 1992.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of February 1992.

Marvin M. Fockes,
Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.
Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance issued during the period of January 1992.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers’ firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-26,529; Carolina Glove Co., Marshall, NC
TA-W-26,568; Homecraft Manufacturing Co., Newark, NJ

TA-W-26,523; North American Refractories Co., Womelsdorf, PA
TA-W-26,558; Union Camp Corp., Bog Div of Retail Packaging, Lagrange Plant, Lagrange, GA
TA-W-26,571; Kirkwood Industries, Conway Div., Conway, AR
TA-W-26,458; Dayton Castings, Dayton, OH
TA-W-26,567; Hanlin Chemical-WV, Inc., Washington Lands Plant, Moundville, WV
TA-W-26,483; Custom Concepts, Inc., Gibsonia, PA
TA-W-26,543; Mohawk Tools, Inc., Montpelier, OH
TA-W-26,598; Ferro Corp., Clay Plant, Sebring, OH
TA-W-26,502; B.J.’s Ceramics, Ashland, OH
TA-W-26,584; Varityper, Inc., East Hanover, NJ

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria
for eligibility has not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-26,619; BMY—Wheeled Vehicle Div., Marysville, OH

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-26,593; Coastal Oil and Gas Corp., Exploration & Production Div., Houston, TX

The investigation revealed that criterion (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-26,491; Milk Marketing, Inc., Dairy Farmers Div., Orrville, OH

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-26,594; Dana Corp Warehouse Operations, Fogelsville, PA

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-26,603; J.C. Boardman, Wallingford, CT

U.S. imports of all types of photocopying machines declined in 1990 compared to 1989 and imports of plain paper copiers and other photocopying machines declined in the first three quarters of 1991 compared to the same period in 1990.

TA-W-26,611; Ozalid Corp., Binghamton, NY

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-26,629; PanCanadian Petroleum Co., Houston, TX

The investigation revealed that criterion (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-26,537; Keystone Forging Co., Northumberland, PA

U.S. imports of iron and steel forgings declined in 1990 compared to 1989 and increased in the first nine months of 1991 compared to the same period in 1990.

TA-W-26,59; Borid Corp., Atlas Fluids, Inc., Delray Beach, FL

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-26,711; Tuboscope, Inc., Houston, TX

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-26,587; American National Can Co., Edison, NJ

Aggregate imports of products like or directly competitive with aerosol cans and coffee cans were negligible during the period under investigation.

TA-W-26,635; Amerada Hess Corp., Tioga District Office, Tioga, ND

The investigation revealed that criterion (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-26,613; Tri-State Oil Tools, Inc., North Bergen, NJ

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-26,629; PanCanadian Petroleum Co., Houston, TX

The investigation revealed that criterion (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-26,741; Sears Roebuck & Co., Melrose Park, IL

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-26,674; Occidental Chemical Corp., North Burlington, NJ

The investigation revealed that criterion (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.


The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.


The investigation revealed that criterion (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-26,508; Elgin Electronics, Erie, PA

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after October 25, 1990.

TA-W-26,545; NTI, Colorado Springs, CO

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after October 30, 1990.

TA-W-26,613; Seagate Technology, Delray Beach, FL

A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after November 21, 1991 and before May 1, 1992.

TA-W-26,602; International Drilling Fluids, Inc., New Orleans, LA & All Other Operations in the State of Louisiana
A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after January 1, 1991 and before January 1, 1992.
TA-W-26,547: Alamco Industries, Inc., Waterbury, NY
A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after December 5, 1990.
TA-W-26,632; Ardmore Industries, Ardmore, TN
A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after November 21, 1990.
A certification was issued covering all workers separated on or after July 5, 1991.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of January, 1992. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in room C-4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons to write to the above address.


Marvin M. Fooks,
Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 92-3585 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-05-M

Emergency Unemployment Compensation; General Administration Letters for Implementing Title I of the Emergency Employment Compensation Act of 1991

On November 13, 1991, the President signed into law the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991 (Pub. L. 102-194), which in title I created the Emergency Unemployment Compensation (EUC) program. On December 4, 1991, the President signed into law Public Law 102-182, which provided amendments to the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991, as if such amendments were included in that Act, as of its effective date (weeks of unemployment beginning on and after November 17, 1991).

In its role as principal in the EUC program, the Department of Labor issued controlling guidance for the States and cooperating State agencies in the operating instructions set forth in the Attachments to GAL 4-92, dated November 27, 1991. Based on issues raised by the States and cooperating State agencies, GAL 4-92, Change 1 was issued February 10, 1992, providing changes to, and clarifications of, the operating instructions set forth in the Attachments to GAL 4-92.

Therefore, GALs 4-92, and 4-92, Change 1 (or any subsequent or supplemental operating instructions) provide the essential operating instructions to the States, which administer the EUC program pursuant to agreements between the States and the Secretary of Labor.

Since the States and cooperating State agencies may not vary from the operating instructions in GAL 4-92 and GAL 4-92, Change 1 (or any subsequent or supplemental operating instructions) without the prior approval of the Department of Labor, the GALs are published below.


Robert T. Jones,
Assistant Secretary of Labor.

U.S. Department of Labor

Employment and Training Administration, Washington, D.C. 20210

Classification UI/EUC

Correspondence symbol TRU

Date: November 27, 1991

Directive: General Administration Letter No. 4-92

To: All State Employment Security Agencies

From: Donald J. Kulick, Administrator for Regional Management

Subject: Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991, as Amended

1. Purpose. To advise State Employment Security Agencies (SESAs) of the provisions of Title I of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991, as amended by H.R. 1724, to provide instructions for implementing the legislation to ensure the timely and accurate payment of benefits, and to provide fiscal and reporting instructions.


3. Background. Public Law 102-194, as amended by H.R. 1724, created the Emergency Unemployment Compensation (EUC) program. The EUC program provides 13 or 20 weeks of benefits depending on the State's unemployment rate or combination of the State's unemployment rate and
exhaustions. EUC is payable to individuals who have no rights to regular, extended, or additional benefits under any State law. Except where inconsistent with the EUC law (as specifically noted in the attached instructions), the terms and conditions of State law which apply for payment of extended benefits apply to claims for EUC.

The EUC program is administered through a voluntary agreement between a State and the Secretary of Labor. Under the law, EUC becomes payable in each State when the later of: (1) the week following the week the agreement is signed, or (2) the week beginning November 17, 1991. The program terminates at the end of the last week which begins on or before June 13, 1992. No new EUC claims may be approved for weeks beginning after that date. Public Law 102-164 was enacted on November 15, 1991 and each State entered into an agreement prior to November 17, 1991; therefore, the EUC program became effective in all States on November 17, 1991.

4. Policy. It is imperative that this program be implemented in a timely, uniform and effective manner. This will require cooperation and coordination between the Unemployment Insurance Service and the Employment Service in each SESA. On the one hand, payment of benefits should be timely; on the other, eligibility of beneficiaries should be carefully reviewed. At the same time, SESAs are to make every effort to avoid overpayment to EUC claimants and, in the event overpayment is made, to effect timely recovery.

This document furnishes information concerning Title I of Public Law 102-164, as amended, and provides the Department's interpretation of Title I of the Act as it establishes the EUC program. This document also sets forth operating instructions of the Department of Labor to guide the States in implementing the EUC program.

The instructions in this document are issued to the States and cooperating State agencies as guidance provided by the Department of Labor in its role as the principal in the EUC program. As agents of the United States, the States and cooperating State agencies may not vary from the operating instructions in this document (or any subsequent or supplemental operating instructions) without the prior approval of the Department of Labor.

The payment of EUC will be limited to individuals who satisfy the work test for extended benefits. This requires individuals, after being advised of their work search responsibilities, to engage in a sustained and systematic effort to find work and to be willing to apply for and accept any work, within their capabilities (if their reemployment prospects are not good, as provided in Attachment A). Such individuals may not limit the employment they will search for and accept to their higher skills or previous rates of pay or customary occupations. They are required to provide tangible evidence of their efforts to find work each week.

The Employment Service in each SESA must establish effective procedures to refer EUC claimants to jobs openings and to facilitate the prompt identification and exchange of eligibility information for the adjudication of EUC claims. All EUC claimants must be registered for work, with the registration containing sufficient information to make a job referral and be in the active file; all claimants, whose job prospects are determined to be “not good”, must be provided at least one reinterview for job placement assistance early in the EUC eligibility period.

In the case of a violation of the law and these instructions, be it by a State or by any State appellate authority in paying EUC, the State may be determined to be responsible for making the United States whole, under the “Lopez Rule.” (See Section III.R. of Attachment A.)

5. Implementation, Fiscal, and Reporting Instructions. Emergency Unemployment Compensation Implementation, Fiscal and Reporting Instructions are attached.

Instructions in the form of regulations will not be issued. This GAL is binding on the States for the operation of this program. This GAL will be published in the Federal Register.

6. Action Required. Administrators shall provide the above referenced information and instructions to appropriate staff.

7. Inquiries. Direct questions to the appropriate Regional Office.
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Attachment A—Emergency Unemployment Compensation Implementing Instructions

Title I of Public Law 102–104 created the Emergency Unemployment Compensation (EUC) Program.

I. Section-by-Section Explanation of Title I of Public Law 102–104, as Amended by H.R. 1724
A. Section 1—Title of Program.

Public Law (P.L.) 102–104 may be cited as the "Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991" and the program established by Title I shall be known as the EUC Program.

B. Section 101—Federal-State Agreements.

1. Agreements.

   Subsection (a) provides for administration of the EUC Program through an agreement between the Secretary of Labor and the State. The agreement may be terminated by the State on 30 days' written notice to the Secretary.

2. Eligible Individuals.

   Subsection (b) provides for payment of EUC to individuals who:
   a. Have exhausted all rights to regular compensation under the State law,
   b. Have no rights to compensation (including regular and extended compensation) for a week under such law or any other State unemployment compensation law or to compensation under any other Federal law,
   c. Are not paid or entitled to be paid any additional compensation under any such State or Federal law, and
   d. Are not receiving compensation for such week under the unemployment compensation law of Canada.

   EUC is payable for any week of unemployment which begins in an individual's period of eligibility (as defined in section 106(a)(2) of the Act).

3. Exhaustion of Regular Benefits.

   For EUC, subsection (c) defines an exhaustion of regular benefits as occurring when:
   a. No regular benefits may be paid because the individual has received all regular compensation available based on employment and/or wages during the base period, or
   b. Rights to regular benefits were terminated because of the expiration of the benefit year with respect to which such rights existed.


   Subsection (d) provides: (a) That the weekly amount of EUC payable for a week of total unemployment will be equal to the amount of regular compensation (including dependents' allowances) payable during the most recent benefit year, (b) except where inconsistent with the EUC law (as set out in these instructions), the terms and conditions of State law for payment of extended compensation apply to EUC claims, and (c) the maximum amount payable to any individual shall not exceed the amount established in an EUC account for such individual eligible for EUC.

5. Election.

   Subsection (e) provides that the Governor of a State in a 13- or 20-week period is authorized to and may elect to trigger off an EB period if State law permits, in order to provide payment of EUC to individuals who have exhausted their rights to regular compensation under the State law.

C. Section 102—EUC Account.

1. Account Establishment.

   Subsection (a) provides that an EUC account will be established for each eligible individual who files an application for EUC.


   Subsection (b) provides that the amount payable as established in each individual account is the lesser of:
   a. 100 percent of the total amount of regular compensation (including dependents' allowances) payable with respect to the most recent benefit year, or
   b. The applicable limit times the average weekly benefit amount for the benefit year as determined for extended benefits under 20 CFR 615.6.

3. Applicable Limit.

   The applicable limit under section 102(b)(2) is:
   a. 20, in the case of weeks beginning during a 20-week period.
   b. 13, in the case of weeks beginning during a 13-week period.

4. No Reduction of Applicable Limit.

   An individual's applicable limit for any week shall not be less than the highest applicable limit in effect for any prior week for which EUC was payable to the individual from the account established for such individual.

5. Increase in Applicable Limit.

   If the applicable limit in effect for any week increases to a limit higher than the limit for any prior week, then the applicable limit is the higher limit reduced by the number of prior EUC weeks paid from the account established for the individual.


   An individual's EUC maximum amount shall be reduced (but not below zero) by the aggregate amount of extended compensation (if any) received by the individual related to the same benefit year under the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act 1970.
7. Weekly Benefit Amount.
An individual’s weekly benefit amount for any week will be equal to the amount of regular compensation (including dependents’ allowances) payable under the State law. This is a duplicate provision of section 101(d)(1) of the Act and described at I.B.4. of this GAL.

8. Periods.
Subsections (c) and (d) describe the periods of weeks that an individual may be entitled to EUC.

a. The term “20-week period” means, with respect to any State, the period which begins with the third week after the first week for which the State triggers “On” for a 20-week period and ends with the third week after the first week for which the State triggers “Off” the requirements for a 20-week period.

(i) The requirements are satisfied for a 20-week period in a State if the adjusted rate of insured unemployment in the State for such week and the immediately preceding 12 weeks is at least 5 percent, or

(ii) The average rate of total unemployment in such State for the period consisting of the most recent 13-week period (published data) is at least 9 percent.

b. The term “13-week” means, with respect to any State, any period which is not a 20-week period.

Subsection (e) provides special rules related to periods.

a. Coordination Between Periods. A 13-week period shall not be in effect for any week if a 20-week period is in effect for such week.

b. Determining Periods. A 20-week period or a 13-week period shall last for not less than 13 weeks, except that a 20-week period shall take effect without regard to the 13-week limitation.

c. Notification by Secretary. When a determination has been made that a 20-week period or a 13-week period is beginning or ending in a State, the Secretary shall publish such determination in the Federal Register.

10. Effective Dates.
Subsection (f) provides that EUC becomes payable the later of:

a. (i) The week beginning November 17, 1991, or

(ii) The week following the week in which an agreement is entered into.

b. The program terminates June 13, 1992 and no new EUC claims for benefits may be approved for any week of unemployment beginning after that date; however, individuals paid benefits for the week including June 13 and with a remaining balance may be paid for consecutive weeks after that date provided all other eligibility requirements are satisfied for each week.


a. In General. If any individual who has a benefit year that ends after February 28, 1991, such individual shall be entitled to EUC in the same manner as if such individual’s benefit year ended no earlier than the last day of the first week following November 16, 1991.

b. Limitation of Benefits. If an individual has received rights to both regular and extended benefits, any EUC payable must be reduced by the amount of EB received.

12. Transitional and Special Rules.
Subsection (g) provides that for purposes of determining whether a 20-week period is in effect for the first week in which EUC may be paid, the Act shall be treated as having been in effect for all weeks ending on or after October 19, 1991. For purposes of determining whether a 20-week period shall begin with the first week in which EUC may become payable, the actual and estimated data developed by DOL for a State for the week which ends October 19, 1991 is to be utilized.

D. Section 103—Payments to the States.

1. Amounts.

Subsection (a) authorizes payments to a State, which has entered into an agreement, equal to 100 percent of the amount of EUC payments made by the State in accordance with the Act and these instructions, as determined by the Secretary.

2. UCFE–UCX.
Subsection (b) specifies that a State is not entitled to reimbursement to the extent the State is reimbursed under any other Federal law, and that a State is not entitled to reimbursement for EUC payments to UCFE and UCX claimants under Chapter 85 to the extent the State receives reimbursement under P.L. 102–164 from the funds provided for EUC payments.

3. Method of Payment.
Subsection (c) provides for payments to the States either in advance or by reimbursement in amounts the Secretary estimates for each calendar month. Estimates may be made based on statistical sampling, or other agreed upon methods.

E. Section 104—Financing Provisions

1. EB Account.
Subsection (a) requires the use of funds in the Extended Unemployment Compensation Account (EUCA) in the Unemployment Trust Fund for payments to States for the costs of EUC.
Subsection (b) provides that the Secretary of Labor will, from time to time, certify to the Secretary of the Treasury the amounts to be paid to State, and the Secretary of the Treasury will make such payments prior to audit or settlement by the General Accounting Office.

Subsection (c) authorizes Congress to appropriate funds to finance costs of EUC administration. Subsection (d) authorizes Congress to appropriate general revenue funds to the EUCA account to cover costs of EUC payable to UCFE/UCX. State and local government, and 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(3) non-profit organization claimants.

F. Section 105—Fraud and Overpayments.

1. Fraud Penalties.
Subsection (a) specifies that if an individual knowingly has made, or caused to be made by another, a false statement or representation or nondisclosure of a material fact and as a result obtains any amount of EUC to which he/she was not entitled, the individual:

a. Shall be ineligible for further EUC, as provided in the provisions of the applicable State law relating to fraudulent claims, and


2. Recovery of Overpayments.
Subsection (b) specifies that the States shall require repayment of EUC overpayments, except that the State agency may waive repayment if:

a. The individual was without fault in receiving the payment, and

b. Repayment would be contrary to equity and good conscience.

The criteria for the above tests of waiver of overpayments are detailed in the instructions under recovery of overpayments.

Subsection (c)(1) authorizes recovery of EUC overpayments by offset against any EUC payable or against any compensation or amounts in the nature of compensation payable under any other Federal unemployment compensation law (UCFE or UCX) or similar Federal law (TRA, DUA, REPP, AEPP, etc.) administered by the State.
agency. The period during which EUC overpayments may be recovered by offset is limited to 3 years after the date the improper payment was received, and recoupment may not exceed 50 percent of the individual's weekly benefit payment from which the deduction is made.

3. Fair Hearing.

Subsection (c)(2) prohibits recovery of the overpayment until an appealable determination has been made and has become final.

4. Review.

Subsection (d) provides that reconsideration and appeal rights from determinations made under the State law also apply to EUC fraud and overpayment determinations. It should be especially noted that such reconsideration and appeal rights apply to all determinations of entitlement to or denial of rights to EUC.

G. Section 106—Definitions.

1. Terms.

Under subsection (a)(1), the following terms have the same meaning as those applied to claims for extended benefits:

a. Compensation
b. Regular Compensation
c. Extended Compensation
d. Additional Compensation
e. Benefit Year
f. Base Period

g. State
h. State Agency
i. State Law
j. Week

The meanings assigned to these terms in the extended benefit regulations (20 CFR part 615) shall apply to the EUC program.

2. Period of Eligibility.

Subsection (a)(2) limits eligibility for EUC by specifying that an individual will have a period of eligibility for EUC for any week:

a. That begins on or after November 17, 1991 and
b. Begins before June 13, 1992, except that an individual shall not have any period of eligibility unless his/her benefit year ends on or after November 16, 1991 or, in the case of backclaim, is treated as having a benefit year ending after such date. (See section 102(f)(3)(A) of the Act.)

Subsection (a)(3) provides that the adjusted rate of insured unemployment (AIUR) shall be computed in the same manner as the rate of insured unemployment is determined under Section 203(f) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, except that individuals exhausting their rights to regular compensation during the most recent 3 calendar months for which data are available shall be added to the numerator as if they were individuals filing claims for regular compensation.

Subsection (a)(4) defines the term "rate of total unemployment" as follows: The term "rate of total unemployment" (TUR) means, with respect to any period, the average unadjusted total rate of unemployment (as determined by the Secretary) for a State for such period.

c. Subsection (b) provides that any AIUR, or TUR that is computed shall be rounded to the nearest 1/10th percent.

II. The Emergency Unemployment Compensation (EUC) Trigger Mechanism

A. Duration and Periods.

There are two periods or levels of benefits under the EUC program: 20 weeks and 13 weeks.

1. 20-Week Period.

For a State to trigger onto a 20-week period, one of the following situations must exist:

a. The State average (or "mean") rate of total unemployment (MTUR) for the most recent six-month period for which data are published is at least 9 percent.

OR

b. The State AIUR for the week and the immediately preceding 12 weeks is at least 5 percent.

2. 13-Week Period.

Any State that does not meet either of the criteria for a 20-week trigger is in a 13-week period.

B. Beginning Date for a Period.

The beginning date for a period will be the beginning of the third week after the week in which the requirements specified for that particular period are met.

A period will end with the last day of the third week following the week in which the State no longer meets the requirements for that period.

D. Duration of Periods/Movement Between Periods.

1. 20-Week Period.

Once a State triggers onto a 20-week period, it shall continue in that period for at least 13 weeks, regardless of the trigger level for the State. After the end of 13 weeks in the 20-week period, a State may drop to the 13-week period, if the trigger level dictates this change.

2. 13-Week Period.

If the requirements of the 20-week period are met with respect to a State in a 13-week period, it will move to the higher period at any time, subject to the requirements for beginning and ending dates of periods. (See Sections B and C above.)

E. Trigger Freeze.

The data for the EUC trigger for any one week or month will be frozen as published for purposes of the EUC program even though the data used to compute the values may be subsequently revised.

F. EUC Trigger Notice.

1. Publication and Distribution.

The EUC trigger notice will be published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Unemployment Insurance Service, each Friday. It will be faxed to the Regional Offices of the Employment and Training Administration (ETA). Regional Offices will then fax the triggers to their States.

Thus, two separate triggers notices will be produced, one for the permanent EB program and another for the EUC program. Both will be published on the same day of the week, and they will be distributed at the same time.

2. Trigger Notice Format.

The EUC trigger notice will display, by State, the level for the AIUR and the MTUR. It will show how many weeks are currently available in each State. The beginning date of this period and the date of the 13th week will be displayed.

G. Computation of Triggers.

The triggers are to be calculated as follows with all data being rounded to the nearest 1/10th of a percent.

1. AIUR.

The AIUR will be computed by using data from the ETA 5159 and the ETA 539 reports for the most recent reports which are due. The sum of weeks claimed for the current reportable week and the previous 12 weeks from the ETA 539 is divided by 13. This quantity is then added to the most recent three months of regular State unemployment from the ETA 5159. This result is then divided by the average 12-month covered employment figure as reported on the ETA 539 for the current reportable week.

2. MTUR.

The average or "mean" total unemployment rate is computed by the Bureau of Labor Statistics using the most recently published data. It is the average of six months of non-seasonally adjusted total unemployment in a State
divided by the average labor force for the same six-month period. Two different time periods will be involved for any given trigger report since publication of total unemployment data differs by State according to whether the total unemployment is measured directly from the Current Population Survey (CPS) sample (“direct use States”) or by a statistically adjusted method (“non-direct use States”).

a. Direct Use States. The data for the 11 “direct use” States is derived directly from the Current Population Survey sample and is usually published on the first Friday of the month following the month to which the data relates. The direct use States are: California, Florida, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Texas.

b. Non-Direct Use States. All other States’ data are usually published the second Tuesday of the second month following the month to which the data relates.

3. Initial Computation.

Initial trigger data for the beginning of the EUC program have been set in the EUC legislation. For these data:

a. The AIUR uses actual weeks claimed data through September 28, 1991, which was used to project rates through October 19, 1991, where exhaustion were for the months of July, August and September, 1991—where September data had not been reported. Estimates were used.

b. The MTUR is for the 8 months ending in August 1991 for the direct use States and in July 1991 for the non-direct use States.

Note that the computations differ from the methodology that will be used prospectively for calculating the EUC trigger. The MTUR was rounded to one decimal place from a MTUR that was taken to two decimal places—resulting in Arizona, Georgia, and North Carolina being rounded up instead of down.

The initial trigger values are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Emergency Unemployment Compensation Benefit Levels—Continued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. Procedures for Implementing EUC

A. Definitions.


2. Agreement means the agreement entered into pursuant to the Act between a State and the Secretary of Labor, under which the State agency makes payments of Emergency Unemployment Compensation in accordance with the Act as interpreted by the Secretary or the Department of Labor as set forth in these instructions or other instructions issued by the Department.

3. Period of Eligibility means, with respect to any individual, the period beginning with the week following the week in which the State entered into an agreement to pay Emergency Unemployment Compensation, or the period beginning on or after November 17, 1991, whichever is the later, and ending with the last week which begins before June 13, 1992; except that an individual shall not have a period of eligibility unless such individual’s benefit year ends on or after November 16, 1991, except for individuals subject to the reachback provisions under section 208(f)(3)(A) of the Act who are treated as having a benefit year ending after November 16, 1991.

4. Emergency Unemployment Compensation means the compensation payable under the Act, and which is referred to as EUC.

5. To the extent applicable, the following terms have the same meanings as those defined in the Extended Benefit regulations 20 CFR part 615:

a. Base Period means, with respect to an individual, the base period determined under the applicable State law for the individual’s applicable benefit year.

b. Benefit Year means, with respect to an individual, the benefit year as defined in the applicable State law.

c. Applicable Benefit Year means, with respect to an individual, the current benefit year if, at the time an initial claim for EUC is filed, the individual has an unexpired benefit year only in the State in which such claim is filed, or, in any other case, the individual’s most recent benefit year. For this purpose, the most recent benefit year, for an individual who has unexpired benefit years in more than one State when an initial claim for EUC is filed, is the benefit year with the latest ending date or, if such benefit years have the same ending date, the benefit year in which the latest continuous claim for regular compensation was filed.

d. Compensation means cash benefits (including dependents’ allowances) payable to individuals with respect to their unemployment, and includes regular compensation, additional compensation and extended compensation as defined in this section.

e. Regular Compensation means compensation payable to an individual under any State law, and, when so payable includes compensation payable pursuant to § 5 U.S.C. chapter 85, but does not include extended compensation or additional compensation.

f. Extended Compensation means the extended unemployment compensation payable to an individual for weeks of unemployment which begin in a benefit period, under those provisions of a State law which satisfy the requirements of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Agreement.
Act of 1970, and, when so payable, includes compensation payable pursuant to 5 U.S.C. chapter 85, but does not include regular compensation or additional compensation. Extended compensation is referred to as Extended Benefits or EB.

g. Additional Compensation means compensation totally financed by a State under its laws by reason of conditions of high unemployment or by reason of other special factors, and when so payable includes compensation payable pursuant to 5 U.S.C. chapter 85.

h. Secretary means the Secretary of Labor of the United States.

i. State means the State of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

j. State Law means the unemployment compensation law of a State approved by the Secretary under section 3304(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U.S.C. 3304(a)).

k. Applicable State Law means the State law of the State which is the applicable State for an individual.

l. Week means, for purposes of eligibility for and payment of EUC, a week as defined in the applicable State law.

m. Week of Unemployment means a week of total, part-total, or partial unemployment as defined in the applicable State law, which shall be applied in the same manner and to the same extent to the EUC program, as if the individual filing a claim for EUC were filing a claim for regular compensation.

n. Insured Unemployment Rate means the rate of insured unemployment for a week determined in the same manner as such rate is determined for the purposes of Section 203 of the Federal State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970.

o. Adjusted Insured Unemployment Rate means the adjusted rate of insured unemployment for any period shall be determined in the same manner as the rate of insured unemployment is determined under section 203 of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970; except that individuals exhausting their rights to regular compensation during the most recent 3 calendar months for which data are available before the close of the period for which such rate is being determined shall be taken into account if they were individuals filing claims for regular compensation for each week during the period for which such rate is being determined.

7. Total Unemployment Rate means, with respect to any period, the average unadjusted total rate of unemployment (as determined by the Secretary) for a State for such period. (See also MTUR at I.G.2. of this GAL.)

B. Beginning and Ending of the EUC Program.

For States which enter into a signed agreement before November 17, 1991, an EUC period of eligibility begins November 17, 1991. The earliest compensable week for which EUC will be payable is the week ending November 23, 1991.

For States which enter into agreements after November 17, 1991, the first compensable week will be the first full week beginning on or after the Sunday which follows the date the agreement was signed.

The EUC program is scheduled to end on June 13, 1992, and no EUC will be paid for any new claim for a week of unemployment which begins after that date. Individuals paid benefits for the week including June 13 and with a remaining balance may be paid benefits for consecutive weeks after that date provided all other eligibility requirements are satisfied for each week.

States may terminate the EUC agreement upon 30 days written notice. The EUC period will end 30 days from the date the State notifies the Secretary of its election to terminate the EUC program. No EUC will be payable for weeks which begin after the date the agreement is terminated. The agreement may also be terminated by the Secretary, as provided in the agreement.

C. Eligibility Requirements for Emergency Unemployment Compensation.

1. Basic Eligibility Requirements.

To be eligible for a week of Emergency Unemployment Compensation, an individual must:

a. Have exhausted all rights to regular compensation under the applicable State law,

b. Have no rights to compensation (including regular and extended compensation) with respect to that week under such law or any other State unemployment compensation law, the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, or under any other Federal law, administered by a State agency, and is not paid or entitled to be paid any additional compensation under any such State or Federal law,

c. Not be receiving compensation with respect to such week under the unemployment compensation law of Canada,

d. Have a benefit year under the State law which ended on or after November 16, 1991, or, under the reachback provision (Section C. 11, above), after February 28, 1991,

e. Have at least 20 weeks of work during the base period or earned its equivalent under State law (1/2 times the high quarter wage or 40 times the weekly benefit amount) during that period,

f. Have satisfied the requirement of section 202(a)(4) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, which provides that no disqualification which has been imposed under State law for "voluntary leaving, discharge for misconduct, or refusing suitable employment" will be deemed terminated for the purposes of paying EB (and now EUC) unless the State law requires employment to terminate such disqualification,

g. Have satisfied the requirements of section 202(a)(3) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, which provides that individuals claiming EB (and now EUC) for a week shall be required to actively engage in seeking work during the week he/she is claiming EUC and provide to the State agency tangible evidence of a systematic and sustained effort to obtain work,

h. Have satisfied any State law disqualification under section 202(a)(6) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 for failing to actively engage in seeking work or failing to apply for or accept any offer of suitable work by earning not less than four times his/her WBA and is employed during at least four weeks following the week he/she was disqualified (a 4 × 4 disqualification), and

i. Have filed a timely claim for EUC, as determined under the applicable State law.

2. Determining Exhaustees.

For an individual to be deemed to have exhausted benefit rights to regular compensation, with respect to any week of unemployment in the individual's eligibility period, either:

a. The individual must have received all regular compensation payable based on employment and/or wages during the applicable base period and have a benefit year ending after February 28, 1991, or

b. The individual's rights to regular compensation have been terminated by reason of the expiration of the benefit year after February 28, 1991, with respect to which such rights existed.

In addition, to be an exhaustee the individual must not have sufficient wages, or employment, or both, on the
brought in which a subsequent benefit year could be established in any State that includes such week.

To determine that an individual has no rights to regular compensation or extended compensation, the factors are the same as used for determining an exhaustee for EB as specified in 20 CFR part 615. Specifically, an individual is considered to have no rights to benefits if, during a week in his/her eligibility period, the individual received all benefits available under the applicable State law or any other State law (including UCPE and UCX benefits under 5 U.S.C. chapter 85) after some or all wage credits are canceled, or his/her entitlement to benefits was otherwise totally or partially reduced.

An individual is an exhaustee with respect to an expired benefit year which ends on or after February 28, 1991, and the individual was, therefore, unable to monetarily meet his/her remaining entitlement during a week ending after February 28, 1991, when he/she is precluded from establishing a second (new) benefit year by reason of the requalifying provision in State law which requires earnings after the beginning of the first benefit year or he/she establishes a second benefit year but is suspended indefinitely until he/she has met the requalifying earnings requirements. The individual ceases to be an exhaustee for the purpose of EUC payments with respect to the expired benefit year when he/she satisfies the requalifying earnings requirement and compensation is payable in the new benefit year.

An individual shall be treated as having no rights to benefits even though as a result of pending appeal with respect to wages or employment or both which were not included in his/her original monetary determination he/she may subsequently be determined to be entitled to more or less compensation. This also applies to an individual who may be deemed benefit-eligible for certain weeks during the year by reason of a State law seasonal provision but has entitlement to future weeks in the off season.

For an individual who has established a benefit year but during such year his/her wage credits were canceled or the right to monetary compensation was totally reduced as the result of a disqualification, he/she too is considered to have no benefit rights to such compensation and is an exhaustee for the purposes of EUC.

In those States which pay additional benefits (AB), it will be necessary to determine if an individual has been paid or is entitled to be paid additional compensation before EUC can be paid.

Certain State laws provide for the suspension of the payment of AB when a federally financed program of benefits is payable. In these cases, individuals may be paid EUC in lieu of AB.

However, under no circumstances shall EUC and any other unemployment benefits be paid for the same week.

Under section 202(c) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, an individual filing for Extended Benefits under the Interstate Benefit Payment Plan from a State which is not in an EB period is eligible for the first two weeks of EB from that State and is disqualified for any other benefits in his/her EB account until such time as his/her agent State begins an EB period or until such time as he/she files from a State which is in an extended benefit period.

Individuals who were denied extended benefits under this provision shall be deemed to have no benefit rights to EB and will be eligible for EUC.

Liable State interstate claim units need to monitor the extended benefit trigger status of agent States and be prepared to redeetermine EUC claimants' eligibility for extended benefits when an EB period begins in a given agent State. (Also see III.E.6 relating to Interstate Claims.)

3. Determination of "Period of Eligibility".

Under section 106(a)(2) of the Act, an individual's period of eligibility consists of any week which began on or after November 17, 1991, and which (except as provided in section 102(f)(2)) begins before June 13, 1992; except that an individual shall not have any period of eligibility unless his benefit year ends on or after November 10, 1991. However, the reachback provisions permit any individual whose benefit year ended after February 28, 1991 and before the first week following November 15, 1991, to be considered entitled to EUC in the same manner as if such individual's benefit year ended no earlier than the last day of such following week.

This means that State agencies, in determining whether an individual can qualify for a period of eligibility for EUC, must look at the individual's benefit year ending date (BYE) and date of last or final payment. If that BYE date or monetary exhaustion is on or after February 28, 1991, the individual qualifies for a period of eligibility for EUC and can be paid EUC if all the other eligibility requirements are met.

If an individual has a BYE date which is on or before February 22, 1991, the individual is not covered by the EUC program, and may not be paid any EUC.

4. 20 Weeks of Work Requirement.

The 20 weeks of full-time work or equivalent qualifying requirement for the payment of extended benefits under section 202(a)(5) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 shall be applied with respect to any individual claiming a week of EUC beginning on or after November 17, 1991. State interpretations on full-time work weeks will apply as in the case of EB.

States which have enacted an equivalent test under their UI laws to the 20 weeks of work (1½ times the high quarter or 40 times the weekly benefit amount) must apply the same equivalency test to an individual claiming EUC. For those States in which no 20 weeks of work or equivalent requirement is provided under State law, agencies should develop an administratively feasible method of applying this test which will effectively carry out the intent of the law.

States must determine a claimant's eligibility under the 20 weeks of work requirement as part of the initial claim process.

5. Disqualifications Based on Separation from Work.

Section 202(a)(4) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 requires State laws to provide for the termination of disqualifications for voluntary leaving, discharge for misconduct or refusal of suitable work only with subsequent employment before an individual can be eligible for extended benefits. This same provision applies to the payment of EUC. Therefore, any individual who was denied EB because his/her disqualification was terminated under State law without the required period of employment would similarly be ineligible for EUC.

States which have not paid extended benefits and applied the denial provisions of section 202(a)(4) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 must review any nonmonetary determination issued to potentially eligible EUC claimants and determine whether they were qualified for EUC under this provision.

Employment for the purpose of terminating a disqualification means service performed in an employer-employee relationship as provided in the State law which would qualify an individual on EB.

In no case may a period of reemployment be used to terminate a disqualification for the purpose of paying EUC, unless the State law
specifically requires new work to purge this denial of benefits.

6. Actively Seeking Work Requirement.

The extended benefit requirement to actively seek work under section 202(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, is also a condition of eligibility for EUC. In accordance with the provisions of 202(a)(3)(E) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, an individual will be treated as actively engaged in seeking work if:

a. The individual has engaged in a systematic and sustained effort to obtain work during such week, and
b. The individual provides tangible evidence to the State agency that he/she has engaged in such an effort during such week.

Any disqualification of an individual for failure to actively seek work during a week in which he/she is claiming EUC will result in a denial of benefits with respect to the week in which such failure occurs and will not end until such individual purges the special disqualification in accordance with section 202(a)(3)(B) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970. The total amount required to be earned to purge this disqualification cannot be less than four times the individual's weekly benefit amount and the work must be performed in four separate weeks.


The provisions of section 202(a)(3)(B) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, will be applied to any individual claiming a week of EUC who fails to apply for or accept any offer of suitable work as defined in section 202(a)(3)(C) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970. The term suitable work means, with respect to any individual claiming EUC, any work which is within such individual's capabilities; except that, if the individual furnishes evidence satisfactory to the State agency that such individual's prospects for obtaining work in his/her customary occupation within a reasonably short period are good, the determination of whether any work is suitable work with respect to such individual shall be made in accordance with the State law applicable to entitlement for regular benefits.

Paralleling the provisions of section 202(a)(3)(D) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of '70, EUC shall not be denied under provisions required by section 202(a)(3)(B) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, to the individual for any week by reason of a failure to accept an offer of, or to apply for, suitable work:

a. If the gross average weekly remuneration payable to such individual for the work does not exceed the sum of:
   (i) The individual's weekly benefit amount of EUC, plus
   (ii) The amount (if any) of supplemental unemployment benefits (as defined in Section 201(c)(17)(D) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986) payable to such individual for such week,

b. If the position was not offered to such individual in writing or was not listed with the State employment service,

c. If such failure would not result in a denial of compensation under the provisions of the applicable State law to the extent that such provisions are not inconsistent with the provisions of subparagraphs (C) and (D) of Section 202(a)(3) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, or

d. If the position pays wages less than the higher of:
   (i) The minimum wage provided by Section 6(a)(1) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, without regard to any exemption, or
   (ii) Any applicable State or local minimum wage.

Detailed guidance on the appropriate application of the active search for work and refusal of suitable work provisions of section 202(a)(3) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 are in 20 CFR 615.2 and .8. States will use the appropriate provisions of State law when issuing determinations for EUC which are required by the corresponding provisions of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970 but such determinations shall not be inconsistent with Federal law regardless of the particular provisions of State law.

b. Approved Training.

In accordance with 20 CFR 615.2(o)(8)(v), any individual who is in approved training as defined under State law (including training under section 236(e) of the Trade Act of 1974), may be exempted from the requirements of law relating to availability for work, active search for work or refusal of referral to or an offer of suitable work.

It is the responsibility of the EUC claimant to provide written documentation initially and, upon request of the SESA, from the training or educational institution, regarding the hours of attendance, type and duration of courses or training (including beginning and ending dates), and the accreditation of the educational institution to the State agency.

D. Weekly Benefit Amount

1. Total Unemployment

The EUC weekly benefit amount payable to an individual for a week of total unemployment will be equal to the individual's weekly benefit amount for regular compensation (including dependents' allowances) payable during such individual's most recent benefit year. If an individual had more than one weekly benefit amount of regular compensation, the SESA will determine the EUC weekly benefit amount in the same manner that it would determine the weekly extended benefit amount, as prescribed in 20 CFR 615.6.

2. Partial and Part-Total Unemployment

The weekly amount of EUC payable for a week of partial or part-total unemployment will be determined in accordance with the State law applicable to such a week of unemployment.

E. Maximum EUC Payable

1. Accounts

The SESA will establish a separate EUC account for each eligible individual. The amount of EUC payable in the individual's account will be the lesser of:

a. 100 percent of the total entitlement to regular benefits (including dependents' allowances) payable to the individual with respect to the most recent benefit year, from which the individual received benefits, or

b. The maximum EUC payable in the State as prescribed by the applicable limit.

2. Maximum EUC Payable in a State—Applicable Limit

a. Thirteen weeks. The maximum amount of EUC payable is up to thirteen times the individual's weekly benefit amount as computed under 20 CFR 615.6 of EUC in all States that are in a 13-week period.

b. Twenty weeks. The maximum amount of EUC payable is up to twenty times the individual's weekly benefit amount as computed under 20 CFR 615.6 of EUC in all States that are in a 20-week period.
3. Computation of EUC Payable Based on a New Benefit Year

During the life of the EUC program, a small number of EUC claimants may establish new benefit years with a new entitlement to regular benefits and again become exhaustees within the meaning of the Act. These individuals’ monetary entitlement to EUC will be determined without regard to the amount of EUC they have already received based on a previous benefit year. Although the Act limits the amount of EUC payable during an individual’s period of eligibility, it does not limit the number of eligibility periods an individual may have during the life of the program.

4. Beginning of an Extended Benefit Period After the Effective Date of the Act

States may reach an extended benefit “On” trigger after the effective date of the EUC Act. If so, (and if State law permits), the Governor of a State in a 13-week period or 20-week period is authorized to and may elect to trigger off an extended benefit period in order to provide payment of EUC to individuals who have exhausted their rights to regular compensation under State law.

If the Governor cannot or does not elect to trigger off EB and an extended benefit period begins, the SESA must, prior to paying EUC for a week of unemployment, determine each person’s eligibility for extended benefits, in accordance with State law provisions relating to EB. If an individual has entitlement to extended benefits, such individual is not eligible for EUC. Once an individual has exhausted any entitlement to extended benefits, the individual may receive any remaining balance in his/her EUC account after an appropriate deduction for EB payments received. A new entitlement to EUC is not made since the individual has the same period of eligibility upon which the EUC entitlement was determined.

5. Governor’s Notification to Secretary of Election

Within 10 calendar days after the end of any week with respect to which the head of the State agency has determined there is an “On” indicator for the payment of extended benefits in the State, the Governor of a State, if State law permits, shall notify the Department of his/her election to trigger “Off” extended benefits in order to provide payment of EUC in accordance with Section 101(e) of the Act. Such notice shall not become final until such notice is accepted by the Department.

The above notification is separate from the notification requirements of 20 CFR 615.12(e), however, the Department will follow the requirements of 20 CFR 615.13 to announce the “Off” notification and election of the Governor. The State agency must follow the provisions of 20 CFR 615.13(b) and also 615.13(c), if such is applicable.

6. Interstate Claims

EUC shall be payable to individuals filing under the Interstate Benefit Payment Plan (IBPP) in the same manner and to the same extent that benefits are payable to intrastate claimants, except that both the agent and liable States must have entered into an agreement to administer the EUC program. IB claimants shall receive the maximum duration payable under the liable State law.

The EB provision which limits interstate claimants to two weeks of extended benefits if they file claims in an agent State not in an extended benefit period applies to the payment of EUC if the agent State has not signed or has canceled an agreement to administer EUC. (See III.C.3. of this GAL.)

The basic State must identify and notify all EUC potentially eligible interstate claimants of the beginning of an EUC period in the manner outlined in III.I.4 of this GAL. The notice (or a separate informational enclosure) should provide sufficient information pertaining to the eligibility requirements to ensure that claimants are informed of their responsibilities as early in their EUC status as possible.

7. Combined Wage Claims

EUC shall be payable to individuals filing under the Interstate Arrangement for Combining Employment and Wages (CWC) in the same manner and to the same extent that benefits are payable to intrastate or interstate claimants. Administrative, entitlement and eligibility requirements and procedures provided in other sections of this GAL also apply to claims filed under the CWC program, except where clearly inconsistent with combined wage procedures (and interstate, when applicable) policies and rules and the specific instructions this GAL.

The following sections provide additional information and procedures that are specific to claims filed under the combined wage program.

When an EUC claim is established, the paying State must notify the transferring State of its potential liability with a Report of Determination of Combined-Wage Claim, Form IB-5 or telecommunicated TC-IBS, as appropriate. The Form IB-5 must be identified as pertaining to an EUC claim. The TC-IBS must be checked “other”.

Unlike prior Federally funded extended compensation programs where the paying State billed all benefits directly to the Federal Government, EUC attributable to State covered wages shall be billed to the transferring State(s) in the same manner as regular or extended benefits. The transferring State will charge the EUCA account. Refer to Attachments B and C of this GAL for benefit financing and reporting instructions, with respect to both the paying and transferring States.

8. Changes in Account

If it is later determined as the result of a redetermination or appeal that an individual was entitled to more or less regular or extended benefits under the State law or under 5 U.S.C. Chapter 85, the individual’s status as an exhaustee shall be reetermined as of the new date of the individual’s exhaustion, and an appropriate change shall be made in the individual’s EUC account. The EUC maximum in a State may change with trigger changes. If the individual is entitled to more EUC as a result of a change in the maximum weeks of EUC payable in the State, the appropriate change shall be made in the individual’s EUC account.

F. Effect of Other UI-Related Federal Programs on Eligibility for EUC

1. Trade Readjustment Allowances (TRA)

The maximum amount of EUC payable to an individual who is also entitled to TRA shall not be reduced by reason of any TRA entitlement.

However, the individual’s entitlement to EUC will reduce the individual’s maximum amount of “basic” TRA payable if the EUC is payable during the UI benefit period established by or in effect at the time of the individual’s first TRA qualifying separation under the applicable trade adjustment assistance certification issued by this Department. (For the definition of “benefit period,” refer to section 247(1b) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, rather than the definition of “benefit period” at 20 CFR 617.3(h).) If the EUC entitlement occurs during a UI benefit period subsequent to the one in which the individual’s first TRA qualifying separation occurred, the maximum amount of “basic” TRA payable will not be reduced by the amount of EUC entitlement. In the latter case, however, the individual is not eligible for TRA until EUC entitlement is exhausted.
The provisions of section 233(d) of the Trade Act of 1974 (relating to reduction of EB entitlement because of the receipt of TRA in the most recent benefit year) are not applicable to determinations of entitlement to EUC.

2. Disaster Unemployment Assistance (DUA)

An individual is not eligible for DUA with respect to a week of unemployment under section 410 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 5177) if the individual is eligible to receive UC before it.

C. UCX Changes and Effect of on EUC

Prior to establishing an EUC claim it shall be determined that an individual is not entitled to have the maximum benefit amount of the parent UCX claim recalculated because of the amendments to the UCX law contained in section 301 of Public Law 102–164. (See GAL 3–92.)

H. Claims for Emergency Unemployment Compensation

1. Intrastate Initial Claims

An initial claim for EUC shall be filed by an individual with respect to the individual’s applicable State and according to the applicable State law on a form, which shall be furnished to the individual by the State agency.

2. Interstate Initial Claims

Interstate UCX claims are filed on the same forms and in the same manner as interstate claims for EB. Before accepting an initial interstate UCX claim, the agent State must review the claimant’s work history to ensure that the claimant has not yet had sufficient employment/wages to establish a new benefit year under any State or Federal program or under the combined wage arrangement. At the time of the initial UCX claim, the agent State will:

a. Complete an Initial Interstate Claim, Form IB–1, check claim type “other” and identify as EUC;

b. Review the claimant’s work history to ensure that an EUC claim is appropriable;

c. Complete an Interstate Eligibility Review, Form IB–10. During the initial claimtaking interview, the claimant’s occupation shall be assessed and the claimant’s jobs prospects shall be classified. Agent States shall use the Jobs Prospects Classification Form used for interstate claims to record the necessary information in the “work search plan” area of the Form IB–10. It is important that the agent States explain the claimant’s rights and responsibilities under the EUC program for individual’s with such classification, although the liable State shall send general information to EUC claimants.

The EUC eligibility requirements are the same as for EB and require the imposition of a 4×4 (not a week-to-week) disqualification for failure to engage in an active search for work during a week claimed. For instance, except for attendance in State approved training, jury duty, and hospitalization for an emergency, there are no “good causes” for not actively seeking work.

d. Issue two (2) Continued Interstate Claim, Form IB–2s, identified as “other” “EUC”, to each claimant;

e. Transmit a TC–IB1, identified as claim type “other”, to the liable State.

3. Intrastate Weeks Claimed

Claims for payments of EUC for weeks of unemployment shall be filed with respect to the individual’s applicable State at the times and in the same manner as claimed for regular compensation are filed under the applicable State law, and on forms, which shall be furnished to the individual by the State agency.

4. Interstate Weeks Claimed

Claims for payments of EUC for weeks of unemployment shall be filed with respect to the individual’s applicable State in accordance with the interstate weeks claimed procedures for regular claims and EB. The liable State will issue to each claimant claim certification forms and instructions, and benefit rights information.

The liable State shall follow its EB certification procedures to ensure that claimant’s are meeting the “tangible evidence” of a systematic and sustained work search requirements.

The liable State shall request eligibility review interviews for EUC claimants at intervals determined appropriate. For individuals whose job prospects classification is “good”, the liable State should schedule the claimant for interview and possible reclassification if the claimant has not returned to work within a “reasonably short period.”

5. Secretary’s Standard

The procedures for making determinations and redeterminations and furnishing written notices of determinations, redeterminations, and redetermination of any claims with respect to claims for regular compensation.

5. Promptness

Full payment of EUC when due shall be made with the greatest promptness that is administratively feasible.

6. Secretary’s Standard

The procedures for making determinations and redeterminations and furnishing written notices of determinations, redeterminations, and rights of appeal to individuals claiming EUC shall be consistent with the Secretary’s “Standard for Claim Determinations-Separation Information” (Employment Security Manual, part V, sections 5000 et seq.).
J. Appeal and Hearing

1. Applicable State Law

The provisions of the applicable State law concerning the right of appeal and fair hearing from a determination or redetermination of entitlement to regular compensation shall apply to determinations and redeterminations of eligibility for or entitlement to EUC.

2. Rights of Appeal and Fair Hearing

The provisions on right of appeal and opportunity for a fair hearing with respect to claims for EUC shall be consistent with these instructions and with sections 303(a)(1) and 303(a)(3) of the Social Security Act (SSA) (42 U.S.C. 503(a)(1) and 503(a)(3)).

L. Claimstaking Procedures

1. Notification of Potential EUC Claimants

The SESA will identify individuals who are potentially eligible for EUC, and provide each such individual with appropriate written notification of his/her potential entitlement to EUC. The liable State will notify its interstate claimants of potential entitlement to EUC.

2. Initial Claim

When an individual files an initial EUC claim, the SESA must:
   a. Review eligibility for EUC and make an initial determination of eligibility,
   b. Fully inform claimant of rights and responsibilities under the EB provisions,
   c. Ensure that the EB provisions with respect to assessing the claimant's prospects for work, are applied,
   d. Ensure the individual is registered for referral to "suitable work", as defined for EB, if the individual's prospects for obtaining work in customary occupations are not good.

3. Notification of Responsibility

EUC claimants must be fully informed of their rights and responsibilities under EUC. Specifically, EUC claimants must be informed of the EB eligibility requirements applicable to EUC. The SESA shall follow the requirements set out in 20 CFR part 615. However, if the claimant received such information prior to claiming EB, the SESA need only advise the claimant that the same requirements apply to EUC claims.

To the extent possible, SESAs should provide a notice to any potential EUC claimant prior to entering the initial claims process, the SESA must assess the claimant's job prospects. In assessing job prospects, the SESA must consider the claimant's job history, educational background, skills, and any factors that may affect their job prospects.

4. EUC Eligibility Requirements

   a. Assessing Job Prospects. As part of the initial claims process, the SESA must assess a claimant's job prospects. If the SESA has recently classified the claimant's job prospects as "good" or "not good," the SESA need only advise the claimant that the same requirements apply to EUC claims.

   b. Applying Active Search for Work Requirements: Referral for Job Placement; Failure to Apply for or Accept Suitable Work. The extended benefits requirements on active search for work, referral to "suitable work," and the disqualification for failure to apply for or accept suitable work are applicable to claims for EUC. 20 CFR part 615 describes the requirements for administering these provisions. SESAs shall refer to and follow these same requirements for EUC claimants.

5. Work Registration

All EUC claimants must be registered for employment with the SESA. Procedures shall be adopted to annotate EUC claim records to ensure that claimmakers know whether EUC claimants have been registered for work and, if not, the claimmakers must refer EUC claimants to the job placement staff for registration for work (i.e., sufficient information must be available to make a job referral). Likewise, the work registration record shall be annotated to show that an individual is an EUC claimant.

It is also important that UI staff coordinate the job prospects classification process with the Job Service staff. This will be necessary to ensure that the Job Service is aware of an EUC claimant's current job prospects classification in order that the work registration record can be updated for claimants with poor prospects of returning to work and the referrals can be made using a wider range of job openings than those related to the EUC claimant's primary DOT code.

6. Documentation and Reporting of Referral Results

Job placement staff must notify the claims adjudication staff in writing of:
   a. Failure to respond to a mailed call-in for an appointment to which the claimant did not appear,
   b. Refusal of referrals to suitable work, and
   c. Failure to appear for a job interview or refusal of an offer of suitable work.

7. Eligibility Review Program

It is expected that EUC claimants who have been through an eligibility review will continue to receive services in this program.

M. Fraud and Overpayment

The Act contains specific provisions with respect to fraud and overpayments of EUC.

Provisions of the State law applied to detection and prevention of fraudulent overpayments of EUC will be, as a minimum, commensurate with those applied by the State with respect to regular compensation and which are consistent with the Secretary's "Standard for Fraud and Overpayment Detection" (Employment Security Manual, Part V, Sections 7510, et seq.)
1. Fraudulent Claiming of EUC
   
   If an individual knowingly has made, or caused to be made by another, a false statement or representation of a material fact or knowingly has failed or caused another to fail to disclose a material fact, and as a result of such false statement or representation or such nondisclosure the individual has received an amount of EUC to which the individual was not entitled, the individual:
   
   a. Shall be ineligible for further EUC in accordance with the provisions of the applicable State unemployment compensation law relating to fraud in connection with a claim for unemployment compensation, and
   
   b. Shall be subject to prosecution under section 1001 of title 18, U.S.C.
   
   Provisions of State law relating to disqualification for fraudulently claiming or receiving a payment of compensation shall apply to claims for and payment of EUC.
   
   When a SESA has sufficient facts to make a prima facie case under 18 U.S.C. 1001, it will consider criminal prosecution in accordance with the provisions of Section 7580, Part V, Employment Security Manual. If prosecution in the Federal Courts is to be recommended, the matter will be referred to the appropriate office of the U.S. Department of Labor, Office of the Inspector General (OIG).
   
   For those cases not referred to the OIG for prosecution, or if the U.S. Attorney declines prosecution, the SESA should refer the case for prosecution under State law.
   
2. Recovery of Overpayments
   
   Each State is authorized to require repayment from individuals who have received any payment of EUC to which they are not entitled (whether fraudulent or non-fraudulent), unless the SESA waives recovery of the overpayment. The SESA may waive recovery of a non-fraudulent overpayment if it determines that—
   
   a. The payment of such EUC was without fault on the part of the individual, and
   
   b. Such repayment would be contrary to equity and good conscience.
   
   (1) In determining whether fault exists, the following factors shall be considered:
   
   (a) Whether a statement or representation of a material nature was made by the individual in connection with the application for EUC that resulted in the overpayment, and whether the individual knew or should have known that the statement or representation was inaccurate.
   
   (b) Whether the individual failed or caused another to fail to disclose a material fact, in connection with an application for EUC, that resulted in the overpayment, and whether the individual knew or should have known that the fact was material.
   
   (c) Whether the individual knew or could have been expected to know that the individual was not entitled to the EUC payment.
   
   (d) Whether, for any other reason, the overpayment resulted directly or indirectly, and partially or totally, from any other action or omission of the individual of which the individual had knowledge, and which was erroneous or inaccurate or otherwise wrong.
   
   (e) Whether there has been a determination of fraud under paragraph 1 of this section or Section 105 of the Act.
   
   In the event of an affirmative finding on any of the foregoing factors, recovery of the overpayment shall not be waived.
   
   (2) In determining whether equity and good conscience exists, the following factors shall be considered:
   
   (a) Whether the overpayment was the result of a decision on appeal, and whether the State agency had given notice to the individual that the case has been appealed further and that the individual shall be required to repay the overpayment in the event of a reversal of the appeal decision.
   
   (b) Whether recovery of the overpayment will not cause extraordinary financial hardship to the individual, and there has been no affirmative finding under paragraph 2(a) of this section with respect to such individual and such overpayment.
   
   In the event of an affirmative finding on either of the foregoing factors, recovery of the overpayment shall not be waived. For this purpose an extraordinary financial hardship shall exist if recovery of the overpayment would result directly in the individual’s loss of or inability to obtain minimal necessities of food, medicine, and shelter; and extraordinary and lasting financial hardship shall be extraordinary as described above and “lasting” means that the financial hardship may be expected to endure for the foreseeable future.
   
   In applying this hardship test in the case of attempted recovery by repayment, a substantial period of time shall be 30 days, and the foreseeable future shall be at least three months. In applying this hardship test in the case of proposed recoupment from other benefits, a substantial period of time and the foreseeable future shall be the longest potential period of benefit entitlement as seen at the time of the request for a waiver determination. In making financial hardship determinations, the State agency shall take into account all potential income of the individual and the individual’s family and all cash resources available to the individual and the individual’s family in the time period being considered. Notices of determination of overpayments shall include information concerning the waiver provisions of this section.
   
   (3) Determinations granting or denying waivers of overpayments shall be made only on request for a waiver determination.
   
   (4) An EUC overpayment may be recovered either by offset or repayment by the individual. The SESA will, during the three-year period after the date the individual received the payment of EUC to which the individual was not entitled, recover the amount to be repaid, or any part thereof,
   
   (a) From any EUC payable under the Act;
   
   (b) From any compensation payable to the individual under any Federal unemployment compensation law administered by the SESA;
   
   (c) Under any other federal law administered by the SESA which provides for payment of any assistance of allowance with respect to any week of unemployment.
   
   (5) No single deduction, however, may exceed 50 percent of the amount of the payment from which such deduction is made, and when a deduction is made it shall be 50 percent of the amount actually payable. To the extent permitted under State law, an EUC overpayment may be recovered by offset, within the 50 percent and three-year limitations, from benefits payable under the State unemployment compensation law.
   
   (6) At the end of the three-year limitation, the SESA may remove the overpayment from its accounting record. Although no further active collection efforts by the SESA are required, the SESA shall maintain an administrative record during the subsequent three-year period to provide for possible collection through methods other than offset. After the subsequent three-year period, the SESA may dispose of the overpayment record.
   
   (7) Under the Act, no repayment shall be required, and no deduction shall be made, until a determination of overpayment has been made, notice thereof and an opportunity for a fair hearing has been given to the individual, and the determination has become final.
   
   (8) EUC overpayment recovery shall be enforced by an action or proceeding
which may be brought under State or Federal law, unless recovery of the overpayment is waived in accordance with the Act and these instructions. Overpayments of EUC recovered in any manner shall be credited or returned to the appropriate account of the United States. [9] If a State has an agreement in effect with the Secretary to implement the cross-program offset provisions of section 303(g)(2) of the SSA, EUC payments may be used to offset State regular UI or EB overpayments. However, EUC payments shall be used to recover any existing overpayments made under any federal unemployment benefit or allowance program administered by the SESA prior to the effective date of the Act each fiscal year for the fiscal reporting instructions provided in Attachment B to this GAL.

O. Reports, Records, and Records Retention (See also Attachment C.)

1. Reports

The SESA will maintain EUC claims and payment data (including data on eligibility, disqualification and appeals) as required by the Employment and Training Administration (ETA). The SESA will report such required data as specified in instructions in Attachments B and C to this GAL.

2. Records

Each SESA will make and maintain records pertaining to the administration of the EUC program as the ETA requires, and will make all such records available for inspection, examination, and audit by such Federal officials or employees as the Secretary of Labor or ETA may designate or as may be required by the law.

3. Disposal of EUC Records

Generally the requirements provide for the transfer of the records to State accountability 3 years after final action on the claim or in less than 3-year period if copied by microphotocopy or by an electronic imaging method.

P. Disclosure of Information

Information in records made and maintained by a State agency in administering the Act shall be kept confidential, and information in such records may be disclosed only in the same manner and to the same extent as information with respect to regular compensation and the entitlement of individuals thereto may be disclosed under the applicable State law. This provision on the confidentiality of information obtained in the administration of the Act shall not apply, however, to the U.S. Department of Labor, or in the case of information, reports and studies requested pursuant to section N of these instructions, or where the result would be inconsistent with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552), the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), or regulations of the U.S. Department of Labor promulgated thereunder.

Q. Inviolable Rights to EUC

Except as specifically provided in these instructions, the right of individuals to EUC shall be protected in the same manner and to the same extent as the rights of persons to regular compensation are protected under the applicable State law. Such measures shall include protection of claimants for EUC from waiver, release, assignment, pledge, encumbrance, levy, execution, attachment, and garnishment, of their rights to EUC. In the same manner and to the same extent, individuals shall be protected from discrimination and obstruction in regard to seeking, applying for and receiving any right to EUC.

R. Applicable Regulations

The provisions of the "Lopez Rule" as set out at 20 CFR 617.62(c), shall be applicable to the administration of the Act by the States under their Agreements with the Secretary of Labor. In addition, other regulations of the United States Government that are applicable to Federal financial assistance programs, such as any unemployment compensation program administered by the States, the Department's regulations at 29 CFR Parts 31, 32, 33, 93, 96, 97, and 98, and, in accordance with Part 97, Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-47 (46 FR 59546) shall be applicable to the administration of this Act.

IV. Job Placement and Work Test Activities

As previously indicated, the objectives of the EUC program are to make timely and accurate benefit payments, to assist in the reemployment of EUC claimants, and to apply the same work test applicable under the extended benefits program. To carry out the reemployment and work test objectives, the following requirements are being established for EUC eligibility.

A. All EUC claimants whose job prospects are classified as "not good" must have an active full registration with the designated State job placement agency. SESAs must ensure that EUC claimants are registered as quickly as administratively feasible. In all but exceptional cases, the EUC claimant should be registered by no later than the end of the second compensable week.

B. Each State agency shall establish appropriate internal mechanisms and

....
procedures so that all EUC claimants whose prospects for work have been determined to be “not good” are provided at least one interview for job placement assistance during the eligibility period—preferably at the outset of the period. The interview shall focus on:

1. Reassessment of the claimant’s qualifications and updating the application to reflect all relevant work experience and EUC status.
2. Exposure to and referral of the claimants to all suitable job listings fitting the EB suitable work definition.
3. Referral to a Job Finding Club and other self-directed job search assistance projects in areas where they are operating.

C. SESAs are also to establish procedures for the prompt interchange of information for the adjudication of EUC claims issues regarding:

1. Failure to report for call-in
2. Refusal of referral
3. Failure to report for job interview
4. Refusal of job offer
5. Results of referral to suitable work
6. Able, available, and other related issues.

SSESs are to ensure that the work test is applied to EUC claimants in the same manner as it is applied to EB claimants, and consistent with section 202(a)(3) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970.

Attachment B—Emergency Unemployment Compensation Fiscal Instructions

1. Requesting EUC (Benefits) and EUC Administrative Funds

a. EUC (Benefits).

State Employment Security Agencies (SSESs) will request funds from the Extended Unemployment Compensation Account (EUCA) to pay EUC. Drawdown procedures are unchanged. Funds must be requisitioned via the State Unemployment Data System (SUDS), and will be transferred to State benefit payment accounts via FEDWIRE no later than the next business day after request. The SUDS screen now includes a line titled “Temporary EB” (third line from the top). EUC fund requests must be entered on that line.

States should estimate the amount of EUC they will pay during the period for which funds are requested and specify that amount in the current requisition. Over- and under-estimates should be adjusted in later requisitions. States are reminded that SUDS and FEDWIRE provide almost immediate availability of funds. Furthermore, provisions of the Cash Management Improvement Act of 1990 may require the payment of interest to the U.S. Government on Federal funds for the time between receipt and disbursement by the State.

The Funds Accounting Branch in the U.S. Treasury will transfer the EUC portion specified from the requisition from EUCA to the State account in the UTF and wire transfer the total requisition in the usual manner.

Under the interstate arrangement for combining employment and wages (CWC), a State should include the EUC drawdowns 100 percent of the amount it expects to disburse to claimants (as paying State) and to reimburse other States (as a transferring State). All future requisitions must be adjusted for reimbursement received from other States under the CWC program.

b. UEC Administrative Funds.

UI administrative requirements relating to the processing of EUC workloads will be funded through the contingency funding process (Worksheet UI-3). The Administrative Financing Initiative short-term changes made in FY 1987 to contingency funding standardized the minutes per unit (MPU) values for the broadband workload items for the various UI programs (regular, additional benefits, short-time compensation, extended benefits, and FSC). Therefore, the allocated MPU values apply also to EUC workload, which should be included in the appropriate lines of the regular program UI-3 worksheet. Contingency funds provided for EUC through the UI-3 process will cover both operating costs and any start-up costs. Therefore, States should not submit supplemental budget requests for implementing the EUC program.

Although the FY 1992 contingency funding level ($440 million) contained in the Labor/HHS appropriations bill did not include the administrative costs associated with the new EUC legislation, it included a new provision—contingency reserve language—which makes available additional funds automatically based on a formula tied to the Average Weeks of Insured Unemployment (AWIU) level. Thus, as soon as the FY 1992 appropriations bill is enacted, additional contingency funds can be made available to fund EUC administrative costs. In the interim, we will use regular contingency funds.

The procedures for handling both regular contingency and reserve contingency funding are the same from the States’ perspective; administrative costs associated with EUC claims will be paid out of the contingency through the regular process. The fact that some of the contingency funds are made available from additional funding through the reserve mechanism is not relevant. The important message regarding the contingency reserve language is that it should assure adequate and timely administrative funding, regardless of the workload level.

2. EUC Reporting Instructions

a. Time Distribution.

Time used for all EUC activities will be charged to appropriate UI time codes, in conjunction with Project Code 210.

b. Administrative Fund Accounting.

All accounting for administrative resources relating to the EUC program will be recorded in Fund Ledger No. 92102.

c. Accounting for EUC Payments (Benefits).

(1) EUC advantages to the States’ UTF accounts during the month will be reported on line 22 of the ETA 2112; EUC benefits paid to State UI, UC, and UCX claimants will be reported on line 39. Accurate reporting of advances and payments is important due to the monthly reconciliation of balances with UIS records; balances are subject to constant congressional and public inquiries.

(2) Since all EUC will be funded out of EUCA, the Federal Employees Compensation (FEC) Account will not be used to pay UCFE and UCX claimants. Therefore, Federal agencies will not be required to reimburse the Unemployment Trust Fund for EUC paid to Federal employees. The ETA 191 report and UCFE/UCX detailed claimant data provided by States to Federal agencies must exclude EUC.

(3) Reporting instructions in Attachment C to this GAL for the ETA 2112 require States to separate the benefits paid to UCX claimants from Federal employees. The ETA 191 report and UCX claimants. This distinction is important to the reconciliation of the ETA 2112 and ETA 191 reports. The reporting instructions in Attachment C to this GAL will also give instructions for reporting benefits paid to former Federal employees of reimbursing employers.

Attachment C—Emergency Unemployment Compensation Reporting Instructions

1. General.

Data on the Emergency Unemployment Compensation [EUC] program will be reported on forms ETA 207, ETA 2126, ETA 227, ETA 5130, ETA 5136, ETA 2112, and ETA 538. Generally, electronic reporting will not be used because of the short duration of the EUC
program. Separate paper forms will be labeled "EUC" at the top and mailed or sent using facsimile services to the National Office and a copy to the Regional Office. Unless otherwise specified, definitions of items will follow definitions in the regular program. Due dates will be the same as the regular versions of reports.

Reporting will begin with the first reporting period in which the effective date of the EUC program falls. Reporting for all reports except the ETA 2112 will continue for twelve full months or four full quarters after the last payable week of the EUC program. For those reporting periods in this post-EUC time frame, only reports which have non-zero data need be submitted. Reporting for the ETA 2112 should continue for as long as there is activity.

2. Data Items To Be Reported.
   a. ETA 207. Report column 1, Total Determinations and Redeterminations, for lines 101 through 106. Report also lines 201 and 202, columns 7 through 10; and lines 301 and 302, columns 11 through 16.
   b. ETA 213. Report line 100, columns 1 through 3. Note that monetary determinations here refer to determinations that the claimant meets the EB 20 weeks of work eligibility requirement.
   c. ETA 217. Report lines 101, Total Fraud Overpayments, and 108, Total Nonfraud Overpayments for columns 1 through 4. Also report all of Section B, Reconciliation of Overpayment Activity.
   d. ETA 5130. Report all data elements.
   e. ETA 5130. For Section A Claims Activities, report initial claims information for columns 1 through 6 for lines 101 through 103. Report eligibility reviews and continued weeks claimed activity for columns 7 through 12 for lines 201 through 203. The claims information needed for column 10 for lines 201 through 203 will be identified as FSB on the Interstate Statistical Bypass Data Exchange. Report all of Section B, Payment Activities.
   f. ETA 5130. (1) Final Payments. Because of the multi-tier nature of the EUC program, it is theoretically possible for a claimant to receive a final payment in one tier and, if the claimant’s State triggers into a higher tier after his/her exhaustion, receive a subsequent final payment in the next tier. A final payment is to be counted when a claimant receives a final payment and there are no more benefits available at that point in time even though more may become available later.

   (2) First Payments. A claimant may not receive more than one first payment under EUC.
   (3) Regular ETA 5130 Exhaustion. The regular program exhaustions will be used to calculate triggers for the EUC program. Exhaustion data need to be available for the publication of the EUC Trigger Notice. Therefore, timeliness of the regular ETA 5130 becomes even more important than it has been in the past. The due date remains the 15th of the month following the month to which the data relate. The release date for this information is now the 21st of the month, or the first business day after the 21st, following the month to which the data relate.
   f. ETA 2112. Do not use a separate form for this report. Amounts received as advances or reimbursements for EUC should be reported on line 22 in column C and E. Disbursements for such payments are to be reported on line 39 in columns C and F. Amounts reported on line 39 are to be broken out by four categories and shown in the comments section. For example: "EUC Line 39: Regular-$1,473, UCFE=$452, UCX=$389, Other=$122". Other includes payments made to former employees of State and local governments and 501(c)(3) non-profit organizations.

   (1) Relationship to the Regular Program. Benefits paid to ex-federal employees (UCFE, ex-service persons (UCX), and former employees of State governments, local governments, and non-profit organizations will be financed by funds from general revenues and are not required to be reimbursed. Therefore, receipts and disbursements related to EUC for such claimants should not be included on lines which reflect activities under the regular UI program (lines 17–20, 23, 33–36, and 43).

   (2) Relationship to the ETA 191. Because EUC payments to UCFE and UCX claimants are not billable to individual agencies, they should not be included in the ETA 191 report.
   g. ETA 539. For this report only, data will be reported electronically. Total weeks claimed for State, UCFE, and UCX for the EUC program for the report period will be reported in the comments section and labeled as "EUC" with the number following it. For example: "EUC=230". (The agent weeks claimed information needed for this report will be obtained from the Interstate Statistical Bypass Data Exchange, column labeled FSB.)


States which trigger onto the EB program may elect to pay EUC instead. This election should be expressed in the comments section of the ETA 539. The usual letter from the governor or appropriate State official declaring that the State has triggered onto EB should contain a declaration that EUC will be paid instead of EB.

4. Transmission.

Forms will be mailed to: U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Attn: TEURA-Reports. rm. S–4519, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Forms may be sent via facsimile in lieu of mailing to 202-523-8506. The cover sheet should indicate delivery to TEURA-Reports.

5. OMB Approval.

These instructions have been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. SESAs will be notified when approval has been received.

U.S. Department of Labor

Employment and Training Administration, Washington, D.C. 20210

Classification UI/EUC

Correspondence Symbol TEUMI

Date February 10, 1992

Directive: General Administration Letter No. 4–92, Change 1

To: All State Employment Security Agencies

From: Donald J. Kulick, Administrator for Regional Management

Subject: Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991, As Amended

1. Purpose. To provide clarification of, and changes to GAL 4–92, setting forth operating instructions for States and State Employment Security Agencies (SESAs) for the administration of the provisions of Title I of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991, as amended by Public Law 102–182.


3. Background. Title I of the Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991 created the Emergency Unemployment Compensation (EUC) program. The EUC program provides 13 or 20 weeks of benefits depending on the State’s total unemployment rate or a combination of the State’s insured unemployment rate and exhaustions. EUC is payable to individuals who have no rights to regular, extended, or additional benefits under any State or Federal Law. Except where inconsistent with the operating instructions in GAL 4–92 (and changes thereto), the terms and conditions of State law which apply to claims for extended benefits and the payment thereof shall apply to claims for EUC.
Public Law 100-202 was enacted November 15, 1989, and the EUC program became effective in all States for weeks of unemployment beginning on and after November 17, 1991. The Employment and Training Administration issued controlling guidance for the States and State agencies in the operating instructions in GAL 4-92, dated November 27, 1991.

Based on issues raised by the SEAs subsequent to the issuance of GAL 4-92, this Change 1 includes changes to, and clarifications of, the controlling instructions set forth in the Attachments to GAL 4-92. This directive provides further guidance by the Department of Labor to the States and the cooperating State agencies.

The operating instructions in GAL 4-92 and this Change 1 (including ATTACHMENTS A, B, and C) are issued to the States and the cooperating State agencies and constitute the controlling guidance provided by the Department of Labor in its role as the principal in the EUC program. As agents of the United States, the States and the cooperating State agencies may not vary from the operating instructions in GAL 4-92 or this Change 1 (or any subsequent or supplemental operating instructions) without the prior approval of the Department of Labor.

4. Attachment A—Changes to Operating Instructions.
   a. Throughout Attachment A, various references are made to specific Sections of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, by using such phrases as "in accordance with" or "as required by" or similar phrasing. In all cases, such phrasings mean State law provisions that are "in accordance with" or "as required by" the specific provisions of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, as implemented by 20 CFR part 615.
   Therefore, State law provisions which are consistent with 20 CFR part 615 govern the payment of EUC, except where such provisions are inconsistent with the provisions of Public Law 100-202, as amended.
   b. In Section III.C.3. of Attachment A, second paragraph (pg.18), the first sentence is amended by deleting from the end of the sentence the phrase "and date of last or final payment". Also, the second sentence is amended to read "Reading the standard and reachback provisions together, an individual qualifies for EUC if that individual's BYE date is after February 28, 1991, and the individual is otherwise eligible.
   Public Law 100-202, as amended section 102(f)(3) of Public Law 102-164 to specifically provide that only individuals whose benefit year ends after February 28, 1991 are eligible for EUC under the "reachback" provision.
   c. In Section III.C.4 of Attachment A (pg.19), delete the second sentence from the second paragraph. Under section 202(a)(5) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, a State law must specify which one of the three listed methods shall be used in that State, in order for an individual to be eligible for EB and therefore EUC. If State law does not so specify, then any individual not meeting the Section 202(a)(5) requirement (20 weeks of full-time work last) cannot be determined eligible for EUC.
   d. In section III.C.9 of Attachment A (pg. 21), the third line should reflect the correct cite of section 206(a) of the Trade Act of 1974, rather than section 230(e).
   In addition, under the same section, the first full paragraph on page 22 should be deleted. Under the Secretary's "Standard for Claim Determinations—Separation Information" (Employment Security Manual, Part V, sections 5010 et seq.), it is the responsibility of the State agency to take the initiative in the discovery of information. The responsibility may not be passed on to the claimant or employer.
   5. Attachment B—Fiscal Instructions.
   a. In section 2.c.(3), the words "reimbursing employers" on page 3 should be changed to read "State and local government and section 501(c)(3), non-profit organizations". Under some States' laws, some of the entities are not reimbursing employers.
   6. Attachment C—Reporting Instructions.
   a. Add the following after the last sentence in paragraph two of Section 1. General. State agency administrators must assure that the reports provide only EUC data, not data for regular UI activity. In addition, the data should not duplicate any data provided for the regular UI program, except where the work history indicates an actual intrastate or interstate regular claim must be processed in order to determine entitlement because a decision cannot be made upon screening the information available whether a regular claim or EUC claim should be filed. If the result is a determination of ineligibility for regular UI, then an EUC claim may be filed. Reports could properly reflect an initial claim count for each program (See UIPL 9-92, Change 1.)
   b. In the above controlling guidance to the administrators for Coal Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Labor.

Mine Safety and Health Administration
Summary of Decisions Granting in Whole or in Part Petitions for Modification
AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Labor.
ACTION: Notice of affirmative decisions issued by the Administrators for Coal Mine Safety and Health and Metal and Nonmetal Mine Safety and Health on petitions for modification of the application of mandatory safety standards.
SUMMARY: Under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, the Secretary of Labor may modify the application of a mandatory safety standard to a mine if the Secretary determines either that an alternate method exists at a specific mine that will guarantee no less protection for the miners affected than that provided by the standard, or that the application of the standard at a specific mine will result in a diminution of safety to the affected miners.

Summaries of petitions received by the Secretary appear periodically in the Federal Register. Final decisions on these petitions are based upon the petitioner's statements, comments and information submitted by interested persons and a field investigation of the conditions at the mine. MSHA has granted or partially granted the requests for modification submitted by the petitioners listed below. In some instances the decisions are conditioned upon compliance with stipulations stated in the decision.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Petitions and copies of the final decisions are available for examination by the public in the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, MSHA.
Room 827, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203.

Patricia W. Silvey,
Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

Affirmative Decisions on Petitions for Modification
Docket No.: M-89-149-C.
FR Notice: 54 FR 43150.
Petitioner: Cyprus Empire Corporation.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.507.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use three nonpermissible submersible pumps in boreholes drilled in sumpt areas of the mine considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions for the two high voltage submersible pumps located in the 1 North area of the mine.

Docket No.: M-89-150-C.
FR Notice: 54 FR 42581.
Petitioner: Cyprus Emerald Resources Corporation.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.900.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use contactors instead of circuit breakers to obtain undervoltage protection with specific equipment and procedures considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-55-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 20346.
Petitioner: Arch of Wyoming, Inc.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.507.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to have two nonpermissible submersible deep-well pumps to dewater inactive old workings in order
to recover the reserves in underlying areas considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions for the two pumps located in No. 1 and No. 3 seam.

Docket No.: M-90-57-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 21124.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to continue using high-voltage cables at the No. 1 Longwall inby the last open crosscut considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions for the last open crosscut and within 150 feet of pillar workings with specific equipment and conditions considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions for its Virginia

Docket No.: M-90-113-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 33787.
Petitioner: U.S. Steel Mining Company, Inc.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 79.1002.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use high-voltage cables to power the longwall inby the last open crosscut and within 150 feet of pillar workings with specific equipment and procedures considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions for the Cumberland Mine.

Docket No.: M-90-122-C.
Petitioner: Pigeon Branch Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.305.

Summary of Findings: Due to roof falls and adverse conditions certain areas of the mine cannot be safely traveled. Petitioner's proposal to establish checkpoints where the quantity and quality of air entering and leaving the affected area would be monitored considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-138-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 39752.
Petitioner: Bridger Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 77.1304(a).

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to blend recycled oil with fuel oil to create a blasting agent considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-142-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 41905.
Petitioner: Wolf-Creek Collieries Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.900.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use pump power contactors instead of circuit breakers considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-144-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 42520.
Petitioner: Wolf-Creek Collieries Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1002.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use high-voltage cables to power permissible longwall face equipment in or inby the last open crosscut considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions for Longwall No. 4 located in the No. 4 Mine.

Docket No.: M-90-150-C.
Petitioner: Peabody Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 73.305.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to establish six evaluation points and monitor for dangerous and harmful mine gases considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-167-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 49443.
Petitioner: Valley Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.326.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use air in the belt haulage entries to ventilate active working places considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-169-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 49443.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1002.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use high-voltage cables to power the longwall considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions for the longwall located in the Livingston Portal, Eighty-Four Complex Mine.

Docket No.: M-90-177-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 51559.
Petitioner: Jeff Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1405-1.

Summary of Findings: Due to sharp curves in the track, the undulating pitch of the slopes, small lightweight cars, and the systems of haulage, installation of automatic couplers on the track haulage cars would result in a diminution of safety to the miners affected. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-190-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 52898.
Petitioner: Consolidation Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.326.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use belt air to ventilate active working places considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-192-C.
FR Notice: 55 FR 52899.
Petitioner: Consolidation Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.326.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use belt air to ventilate active working places considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-194-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 90.
Petitioner: Keystone Coal Mining Corporation.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.326.

Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to install a fire detection (CO monitoring) system and use belt air to ventilate the working sections considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-196-C.
5490

Docket No.: M-90-202-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 2046.
Petitioner: Andalex Resources, Inc.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1101-8.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to install a single line of automatic sprinklers for fire protection systems at main and secondary belt-conveyor drives considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-203-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 1826.
Petitioner: Southern Ohio Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1002.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use high-voltage cables inby the last open crosscut with specific equipment and conditions as outlined in the petition considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-204-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 2048.
Petitioner: Southern Ohio Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1002.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use high-voltage cables inby the last open crosscut considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-06-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 8900.
Petitioner: Freeman United Coal Mining.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.901.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to operate a diesel-powered generator without an earth referenced grounded system considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions for the Orient No. 8 Mine.

Docket No.: M-91-12-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 8800.
Petitioner: Kerr-McGee Coal Corporation.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.503.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use electric nonpermissible motore-driven mine equipment in the longwall recovery area considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions only for trailing cables supplying power to specific equipment.

Docket No.: M-91-13-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 8800.
Petitioner: Trapper Mining, Inc.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 77.1304.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use fuel oil blended with recycled oil as a blasting agent considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-10-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 9237.
Petitioner: Utah Fuel Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1002.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use high-voltage cables inby the last open crosscut and within 150 feet of pillar workings considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-19-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 10577.
Petitioner: Webster County Coal Corporation.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1103-4(a).
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's request to amend its petition to change the location of carbon monoxide sensors considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-22-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 12277.
Petitioner: White County Coal Corporation.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.901(a).
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to operate the diesel-powered generator without an earth referenced ground considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-23-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 12395.
Petitioner: Freeman United Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.901(a).
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to operate the diesel-powered generator without an earth referenced grounded system considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-24-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 14131.
Petitioner: Baylor Rush, Inc.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1405.
Summary of Findings: Due to sharp radius curves, undulating pitch of slopes, and extremely small capacity railcars, the use of automatic couplers on haulage equipment would result in a diminution of safety to the miners. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-29-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 14131.
Petitioner: ACM Oklahoma, Inc.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1700.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to seal and mine through oil and gas wells considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-31-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 20478.
Petitioner: S & J Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.301.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal that the minimum quantity of air reaching the working face be 1,500 cubic feet a minute (cfm), reaching the last open crosscut be 5,000 cfm, and reaching the intake end of a pillar line be 5,000 cfm considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-34-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 22889.
Petitioner: Gordon Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.113.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use a hand-held methane and oxygen detector instead of machine-mounted methane monitors on permissible three-wheel battery-powered tractors considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-37-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 22889.
Petitioner: Deep Star Mining, Inc.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.305.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to evaluate the ventilation through a 300-foot area instead of traveling the return entry in its entirety considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-44-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 26842.
Petitioner: U.S. Steel Mining Company, Inc.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1105.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to enclose electrical equipment in a monitored fireproof structure instead of ventilating the equipment to the return considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-45-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 26842.
Petitioner: U.S. Steel Mining Company, Inc.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1105.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to enclose electrical equipment in a monitored fireproof structure instead of ventilating the pump to a return aircourse considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-46-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 26842.
Petitioner: Canterbury Coal Company.
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1100-3.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to maintain a dry waterline equipped with an automatic valve actuated by a signal from fire sensors along the slope belt considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-48-C.
FR Notice: 56 FR 27976.
Petitioner: DK & D Coal Company
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 75.1400.
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use a slope conveyance with increased rope strength and a secondary safety rope connection instead of safety catches to transport persons considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-91-40-C.  
FR Notice: 55 FR 30689.  
Petitioner: Tenneco Minerals Company (U.S.), Inc.  
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 57.19011.  
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's request to minimize the radial extension of the flanges on the No. 1 hoist considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

Docket No.: M-90-07-M.  
FR Notice: 55 FR 30689.  
Petitioner: Tennessie Minerals Company.  
Reg Affected: 30 CFR 57.22305.  
Summary of Findings: Petitioner's proposal to use nonpermissible tools in or beyond the last open crosscut considered acceptable alternate method. Granted with conditions.

[FR Doc. 92-3507 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
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Mine Safety and Health Administration; Petitions for Modification

The following parties have filed petitions to modify the application of mandatory safety standards under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

1. Kerr-McGee Coal Corporation  
[Docket No. M-91-133-C]  
Kerr-McGee Coal Corporation, P.O. Box 727, Harrisburg, Illinois 62946 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.503 (permissible electric face equipment: maintenance) to its Galatia No. 56-1 Mine (I.D. No. 11-02752) located in Saline County, Illinois. The petitioner proposes to replace two Fletcher single-boom roofbolters, Model No. DR-13 with Model No. CDR-13 which has the same horsepower rating and the same size trail cable.

[Docket No. M-92-02-C]  
DoverSpike Bros. Coal Company, Inc., R.D. #4, Box 271, Punxsutawney, Pennsylvania 15767 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1710 (canopies or cabs: electric face equipment) to its Clutch Run Mine (I.D. No. 36-06191) located in Jefferson County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner states that due to low mining heights, the use of cabs or canopies on electric face equipment would result in a diminution of safety to the equipment operator.

3. Windsor Coal Company  
[Docket No. M-92-03-C]  
Windsor Coal Company, P.O. Box 39, West Liberty, West Virginia 26074 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1105 (housing of underground transformer stations, battery-charging stations, substations, compressor stations, shops, and permanent pumps) to its Windsor Mine (I.D. No. 46-01286) located in Brooke County, West Virginia. The petitioner proposes to enclose electrical equipment in a monitored fireproof structure instead of venting the pump to the return aircourse.
4. Empire Coal Company

Empire Coal Company, P.O. Box 729, Gnadenhutten, Ohio 44629 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 77.214-5 (water, sediment or slurry impoundments and impounding structures; abandonment) to its Belden Mine (I.D. No. 33-04022), (Impoundment No. 1211-OH0-02055-01) located in Tuscarawas County, Ohio. The petitioner proposes to eliminate the weekly pond inspections in the watershed area of the mine since the work is completed and the dam is, and will remain, stable.

5. Anco Mining, Inc.

Anco Mining, Inc., 42410 National Road, Belmont, Ohio 43718 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 77.214-5 (water, sediment or slurry impoundments and impounding structures; abandonment) to its Peoli Mine (I.D. No. 33-04022), (Impoundment No. 1211-OH0-0804022-01) located in Tuscarawas County, Ohio. The petitioner proposes to eliminate the weekly pond inspections in the watershed area of the mine since the work is completed and the dam is, and will remain, stable.

6. Sunnyside Coal Company

Sunnyside Coal Company, P.O. Box 99, Sunnyside, Utah 84539 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 77.214-5 (water, sediment or slurry impoundments and impounding structures; abandonment) to its Emerald Mine No. 1 (I.D. No. 30-05460) located in Greene County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner proposes to use high-voltage cables to power permissible longwall equipment.

Request for Comments

Persons interested in these petitions may furnish written comments. These comments must be filed with the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, room 627, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22209. All comments must be postmarked or received in that office on or before March 16, 1992. Copies of these petitions are available for inspection at that address.


Patricia W. Silvey,
Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

[FR Doc. 92-3580 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
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7. Kiah Creek Mining Company

Kiah Creek Mining Company, P.O. Box 1409, Pikeville, Kentucky has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1710 (canopies or cabs; electric face equipment) to its No. 4 Mine (I.D. No. 15-16678) located in Pike County, Kentucky. The petitioner states that due to low mining heights, the use of a canopy on electric face equipment would result in a diminution of safety to the operator.

8. Cyprus Emerald Resources Corporation

Cyprus Emerald Resources Corporation, Route 218 South, P.O. Box 871, Waynesburg, Pennsylvania 15370 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1002 (location of trolley wires, trolley feeder wires, high-voltage cables and transformers) to its Emerald Mine No. 1 (I.D. No. 30-05460) located in Greene County, Pennsylvania. The petitioner proposes to use high-voltage cables to power permissible longwall equipment.

Request for Comments

Persons interested in these petitions may furnish written comments. These comments must be filed with the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, room 627, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22209. All comments must be postmarked or received in that office on or before March 16, 1992. Copies of these petitions are available for inspection at that address.


Patricia W. Silvey,
Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

[FR Doc. 92-3580 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-43-M

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

Design Arts Advisory Panel; Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Design Arts Advisory Panel (Project Grants for Organizations, Design Education, Heritage Conservation and Rural and Small Communities Section) to the National Council on the Arts will be held on March 3-5, 1992 from 9 a.m.-6:30 p.m. and March 8 from 9 a.m.-3 p.m. in room M-14 at the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

Portions of this meeting will be open to the public on March 3 from 9 a.m.-9:30 a.m. and March 6 from 1 a.m.-3 p.m. The topics will be welcoming remarks and policy discussion.

The remaining portions of this meeting on March 3 from 9:30 a.m.-6:30 p.m., March 4 from 9 a.m.-6:30 p.m. and March 8 from 9 a.m.-1 p.m. are for purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of November 20, 1991, these sessions will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(4), (6) and (9)(B) of section 552b of title 5, United States Code.

Any person may observe meetings, or portions thereof, of advisory panels which are open to the public, and may be permitted to participate in the panel's discussions at the discretion of the panel chairman and with the approval of the full-time Federal employee in attendance.

If you need special accommodations due to a disability, please contact the Office of Special Constituencies, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506, 202/682-5532, TYT 202/682-5496, at least seven (7) days prior to the meeting.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Ms. Yvonne M. Sabine, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, DC 20506, or call (202) 682-5433.


Yvonne M. Sabine,
Director, Council and Panel Operations.
National Endowment for the Arts.

[FR Doc. 92-3583 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
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Theater Advisory Panel (Professional Companies Prescreening Section); Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), as amended, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Theater Advisory Panel (Professional Companies Prescreening Section) to the National Council on the Arts will be held on March 3-4, 1992 from 9:30 a.m.-5:30 p.m. in room M-07 at the Nancy Hanks Center, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20506.

A portion of this meeting will be open to the public on March 3 from 9:30 a.m.-9:45 a.m. The topic will be opening remarks.

The remaining portions of this meeting on March 3 from 9:45 a.m.-5:30 p.m. and March 4 from 9:30 a.m.-5:30 p.m. are for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman of November 20, 1991, these sessions will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (c)(4), (6) and (9)(B) of section 552b of title 5, United States Code.
requirements of appendix J to 10 CFR part 50, for a total of 83 two-ply containment penetration expansion bellows at four reactor units. This is because the bellows design is such that they cannot be properly tested to satisfy Type B testing requirements, barring replacement with bellows of a different design.

On November 19, 1990, during the Quad Cities Unit 1, Cycle 11 refueling Outage, the licensee performed a local leak rate test (LLRT) on the Drywell Ventilation Penetration X-25 bellows. This was done in the normal way, by pressurizing the small space between the two plies of the bellows assembly by using a test tap made for that purpose. The measured leakage rate was 4.3 standard cubic feet per hour (scfh). After the LLRT was performed, a significant amount of maintenance and new construction work was performed in the area surrounding the bellows assembly. This included extensive maintenance on a valve located in-line with the bellows (valve 1-1601-23) and installation of a new penetration (X-109, Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation Lines) directly above X-25. After the completion of this maintenance and construction, a new LLRT was performed on the X-25 bellows, with a measured leakage rate of 6 scfh. Approximately two days later, the primary containment integrated leak rate test (ILRT) was performed. While the containment was at pressure, application of a soap solution to the surface of the X-25 bellows indicated three cracks ranging in length from 0.187 inch to 1.7 inch, and a large number of small pin-hole cracks. The ILRT was successfully completed with the leaking bellows in its as-found condition. Following the ILRT, an additional LLRT was performed on the bellows, and the results matched the previous LLRT leakage rate. A soap solution was applied to the bellows assembly during this LLRT and showed only a few small leaks.

Next a "special" LLRT was performed in an effort to quantify actual leakage from the bellows. A steel plate was welded to the vent line inlet which is located inside the drywell. The bellows were pressurized through a threaded hole in the plate and a leak rate test was performed on the entire penetration. The soap solution indicated a large leak with many small leaks similar to that encountered during the ILRT. A leakage rate of 137 scfh was measured.

With the validity of the ILRT in question, the licensee tried to determine the sensitivity of the ILRT procedure to detect and quantify leaks. A 0.25-inch hole was drilled through the two bellows from the outer diameter to the inner diameter in the convolute adjacent to the LLRT taps on the bellows. A LLRT was performed and resulted in a small increase in leakage (from 6 scfh to 7 scfh). A second hole was drilled and the LLRT was repeated. The measured leakage was 8 scfh.

These circumstances indicated that the current method used to perform a LLRT on two-ply containment penetration bellows could identify leakage, but could not quantify the extent of the leakage. The licensee formally notified the Commission of these findings by letter dated March 27, 1991.

The bellows assembly for penetration X-25 at Quad Cities Station is typical of two-ply bellows for other containment penetrations at both Quad Cities and Dresden Stations. These assemblies are original plant equipment, which were manufactured and installed in the late 1960s and early 1970s. These flexible metallic bellows are constructed with two plies of austenitic type 304 stainless steel which are formed together into cylindrical corrugated bellows elements. This design configuration is typical of bellows penetrations which are used at all units at Dresden and Quad Cities Stations. The investigation conducted by the licensee, which included discussions with the supplier and an independent analysis at Argonne National Laboratory, revealed that the forming process can bring the plies into contact, thereby limiting the flow of the local leak test medium (inert gas or air) between the inner and outer plies. The X-25 LLRT and ILRT test results indicated that leakage can be detected under these conditions; however, the leakage cannot be accurately quantified.

In order to achieve full compliance with Type B testing requirements, both Dresden and Quad Cities would be required to replace all two-ply containment penetration bellows with a testable bellows design. The cost of replacement of two-ply bellows assemblies is projected to be approximately $400,000 per assembly, which would mean between approximately $7.1 million and $9.5 million per unit for both Dresden and Quad Cities Stations. The total cost of bellows replacement is projected to be approximately $33.35 million.

By letter dated November 12, 1991, the licensee requested an exemption from certain Type B (local leak rate) testing requirements of appendix J to 10 CFR part 50, for a total of 83 two-ply containment penetration expansion bellows at four reactor units. This is because the bellows design is such that they cannot be properly tested to satisfy Type B testing requirements, barring replacement with bellows of a different design.

On November 19, 1990, during the Quad Cities Unit 1, Cycle 11 refueling Outage, the licensee performed a local leak rate test (LLRT) on the Drywell Ventilation Penetration X-25 bellows. This was done in the normal way, by pressurizing the small space between the two plies of the bellows assembly by using a test tap made for that purpose. The measured leakage rate was 4.3 standard cubic feet per hour (scfh). After the LLRT was performed, a significant amount of maintenance and new construction work was performed in the area surrounding the bellows assembly. This included extensive maintenance on a valve located in-line with the bellows (valve 1-1601-23) and installation of a new penetration (X-109, Reactor Vessel Level Instrumentation Lines) directly above X-25. After the completion of this maintenance and construction, a new LLRT was performed on the X-25 bellows, with a measured leakage rate of 6 scfh. Approximately two days later, the primary containment integrated leak rate test (ILRT) was performed. While the containment was at pressure, application of a soap solution to the surface of the X-25 bellows indicated three cracks ranging in length from 0.187 inch to 1.7 inch, and a large number of small pin-hole cracks. The ILRT was successfully completed with the leaking bellows in its as-found condition. Following the ILRT, an additional LLRT was performed on the bellows, and the results matched the previous LLRT leakage rate. A soap solution was applied to the bellows assembly during this LLRT and showed only a few small leaks.

Next a "special" LLRT was performed in an effort to quantify actual leakage from the bellows. A steel plate was welded to the vent line inlet which is located inside the drywell. The bellows were pressurized through a threaded hole in the plate and a leak rate test was performed on the entire penetration. The soap solution indicated a large leak with many small leaks similar to that encountered during the ILRT. A leakage rate of 137 scfh was measured.

With the validity of the ILRT in question, the licensee tried to determine the sensitivity of the ILRT procedure to detect and quantify leaks. A 0.25-inch hole was drilled through the two bellows from the outer diameter to the inner diameter in the convolute adjacent to the LLRT taps on the bellows. A LLRT was performed and resulted in a small increase in leakage (from 6 scfh to 7 scfh). A second hole was drilled and the LLRT was repeated. The measured leakage was 8 scfh.

These circumstances indicated that the current method used to perform a LLRT on two-ply containment penetration bellows could identify leakage, but could not quantify the extent of the leakage. The licensee formally notified the Commission of these findings by letter dated March 27, 1991.

The bellows assembly for penetration X-25 at Quad Cities Station is typical of two-ply bellows for other containment penetrations at both Quad Cities and Dresden Stations. These assemblies are original plant equipment, which were manufactured and installed in the late 1960s and early 1970s. These flexible metallic bellows are constructed with two plies of austenitic type 304 stainless steel which are formed together into cylindrical corrugated bellows elements. This design configuration is typical of bellows penetrations which are used at all units at Dresden and Quad Cities Stations. The investigation conducted by the licensee, which included discussions with the supplier and an independent analysis at Argonne National Laboratory, revealed that the forming process can bring the plies into contact, thereby limiting the flow of the local leak test medium (inert gas or air) between the inner and outer plies. The X-25 LLRT and ILRT test results indicated that leakage can be detected under these conditions; however, the leakage cannot be accurately quantified.

In order to achieve full compliance with Type B testing requirements, both Dresden and Quad Cities would be required to replace all two-ply containment penetration bellows with a testable bellows design. The cost of replacement of two-ply bellows assemblies is projected to be approximately $400,000 per assembly, which would mean between approximately $7.1 million and $9.5 million per unit for both Dresden and Quad Cities Stations. The total cost of bellows replacement is projected to be approximately $33.35 million.
1. All two-ply bellows will be locally pressurized with air (between the plies) at a pressure of Pa. The leakage rate will be measured in accordance with station procedures. If leakage is less than 0.5 scfh, the bellows assembly will be considered to be intact and no further testing on that bellows assembly is necessary.

2. If the leakage rate is greater than or equal to 0.5 scfh, then the bellows assembly will be locally pressurized at the test taps with helium (between the plies) at a pressure of Ps. The outer ply will then be tested for the presence of helium with a helium sniff detector. If no helium is detected, the integrity of the outer ply will be considered to be intact, and no further testing on that bellows assembly is necessary.

3. If helium leakage is detected through the outer ply, then the inner ply will be tested for the presence of helium. If no helium is detected, the integrity of the inner ply will be considered to be intact, and no further testing on that bellows assembly is necessary.

4. If helium is detected through both the inner and outer plies, then the protective covers will be removed, and the outer ply will be examined by penetrant and/or snoop testing. All observed flaw indications will be measured and mapped. Bellows assemblies which indicate leakage through both plies will not be considered to be intact.

5. All crack indications will be evaluated by the [licensee’s] Nuclear Engineering Department (NED) and the current and projected leakage rate will be calculated. The NED review will include a structural assessment of the bellows with regards to critical flaw size.

6. Upon completion of the two-ply bellows testing program, a Type A ILRT test will be performed to verify primary containment integrity.

7. All two-ply bellows assemblies which demonstrate leakage through both plies will be replaced during the subsequent refueling outage, unless Commonwealth Edison Company provides justification for continued operation greater than one operating cycle.

This Exemption and associated testing program is requested for each nontestable two-ply bellows assembly (original design). Upon replacement with a testable bellows assembly, that bellows will no longer be included in the Exemption and will be required to be tested in accordance with the normal Type B test program. Similarly, if a method is developed which insures a valid Type B test on one or more bellows assemblies, those bellows will also be excluded from the Exemption and will be required to be tested in accordance with the normal Type B test program.

This testing program is intended to assure that at least one ply of a two-ply bellows is intact and that overall containment leakage is within its allowable limit as shown by Type A testing. The Type A test is essential to this program, because it is the only test available that can properly quantify the bellows’ leakages, albeit not individually. This is especially important for those bellows which are known to leak but will not be replaced until after another cycle.

It is also important to be assured that a leaking bellows will not degrade excessively during the period that ends with its replacement. The licensee examined the X-25 bellows assembly from Quad Cities and determined that the crack mechanism was transgranular stress corrosion cracking (TGSCC). The licensee stated that this mechanism, which has caused previous bellows assembly deterioration at Dresden and Quad Cities, is normally characterized by the slow development and propagation of cracks. The X-25 penetration deterioration is unique in the licensee’s experience since the bellows appeared to exhibit a large increase in leakage during one operating cycle based upon the potential impact of 137 scfh on the ILRT results. This large amount of leakage would have had a noticeable impact upon ILRT results during previous outages (although it would not have led to the failure of the ILRT). This significant leakage increase may have occurred as a result of maintenance work associated with the replacement of a valve which is directly in-line with the bellows. During the replacement of the in-line valve (1-1601-23), which is located approximately 12 inches from the bellows assembly, excessive force was used to remove the valve. Resultant torsional and/or translational forces may have caused an accelerated growth of existing TGSCC in the bellows. The metallurgical investigation also identified the presence of several corrosive species which contribute to TGSCC. These included chlorides, fluorides, and sulfides. The original form of this material could not be determined and the method substance deposit is therefore unknown.

The licensee has performed a fracture mechanics evaluation, detailed in their submittal, which concludes that substantial structural margin exists to ensure that, during one operating cycle, catastrophic failure should not occur for bellows assemblies with cracks and holes of the type and size that would be detected by the proposed surveillance procedure.

Recent tests at Dresden, using the proposed procedures, have detected flaws of the anticipated size in several bellows assemblies. The staff questions, however, whether the LLRT with air could detect all significant flaws, since the flow of air between the plies is so restricted. In order to insure that the air test and associated threshold of 0.5 scfh would, with sufficient sensitivity, detect leakage from a bellows assembly, the licensee performed a one-time helium flow rate validation test on the two-ply bellows assemblies at Dresden Station during October 1991. This validation consisted of two separate pressurizations and leak rate measurements, one with air at Pa, and one with helium at Pa. By pressurizing with helium, the licensee would then be able to detect if an obstruction between the plies was preventing air from reaching a leak. The helium flow rate compared favorably with the expected flow rates of helium calculated for turbulent and laminar flow conditions, knowing the measured airflow rates.

Therefore, the initial air leakage test described in the proposed test program is a valid means to test for the presence of leaks in two-ply bellows assemblies. This in turn validates the ability of the proposed testing program (which includes a Type A test) to verify the integrity of a bellows assembly, and insure that primary containment leakage is less than 0.75 L/a.

The staff finds that the proposed testing program will detect bellows assemblies with significant flaws and result in replacement of flawed assemblies within one operating cycle, during which period there is reasonable assurance that the bellows assemblies will not suffer excessive degradation. If the licensee should propose to wait longer than one cycle to replace any bellows assembly, the staff must evaluate and approve the request at that time.

IV

Accordingly, the Commission has determined pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12(a)(1) and (a)(2)(ii), that (1) the Exemption from appendix J is authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and is consistent with the common defense and security, and (2) application of the regulation in this particular circumstance is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule. The Commission concludes the testing and replacement program for the containment penetration bellows assemblies is an acceptable alternative to the appendix J Type B testing requirement. Accordingly, the Commission hereby grants the Exemption from appendix J.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.32, the Commission has determined that the granting of this Exemption will have no significant impact on the quality of the environment (57 FR 4055).
To the extent necessary, the conference may address any person not a party to the proceeding, if necessary. The conclusion (on Thursday, March 12, 1992) will continue on Wednesday, March 11, 1992, beginning at 9 a.m. The purpose of the conference is to hear argument on Kerr-McGee's request for a hearing and petition for leave to intervene, including Kerr-McGee's standing to participate, either as a matter of right or of discretion, and its proposed contentions. In that connection, Kerr-McGee should be prepared to specify which, if any, of its proposed contentions remains viable under Envirocare of Utah's revised byproduct material (as defined in section 11e.(2) of the Atomic Energy Act, as amended) received from other persons, at a site near Clive, Utah. Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in this proceeding is scheduled for Tuesday, March 10, 1992, beginning at 9:30 a.m. and extending until 5 p.m., at room 8102, Wallace F. Bennett Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah. To the extent necessary, the conference will continue on Wednesday, March 11, 1992, beginning at 9 a.m. The purpose of the conference is to hear argument on Kerr-McGee's request for a hearing and petition for leave to intervene, including Kerr-McGee's standing to participate, either as a matter of right or of discretion, and its proposed contentions. In that connection, Kerr-McGee should be prepared to specify which, if any, of its proposed contentions remains viable under Envirocare of Utah's revised application for a license to accept and dispose of uranium and thorium byproduct material, as defined in section 11e.(2) of the Atomic Energy Act, as amended. The Board will hear oral statements at the outset of the prehearing conference on March 10, 1992. These statements do not constitute testimony or evidence in this proceeding, and the persons making such statements may not participate in any other way. The number of persons making oral statements and the time allotted for each statement may be limited depending on the number of persons present at the designated time. Written statements may be presented at any time. Written statements, and requests to make oral statements, should be submitted to the Office of the Secretary, Docketing and Service Branch, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 11555 Rockville Pike, Maryland 20852. A copy of such a statement or request should also be served on the Chairman of this Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, EW-439, Washington, DC 20555. Documents relating to this proceeding are on file at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20555. Dated: Bethesda, Maryland, February 7, 1992. For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman, Administrative Judge.

BILeING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 04008989-ML and ASLBP No. 91-638-01-ML]

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board; Prehearing Conference


This proceeding concerns an application for a license to accept and dispose of uranium and thorium byproduct material, as defined in section 11e.(2) of the Atomic Energy Act, as amended, received from other persons, at a site near Clive, Utah. Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in this proceeding is scheduled for Tuesday, March 10, 1992, beginning at 9:30 a.m. and extending until 5 p.m., at room 8102, Wallace F. Bennett Federal Building, 125 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah. To the extent necessary, the conference will continue on Wednesday, March 11, 1992, beginning at 9 a.m. The purpose of the conference is to hear argument on Kerr-McGee's request for a hearing and petition for leave to intervene, including Kerr-McGee's standing to participate, either as a matter of right or of discretion, and its proposed contentions. In that connection, Kerr-McGee should be prepared to specify which, if any, of its proposed contentions remains viable under Envirocare of Utah's revised application for a license to accept and dispose of uranium and thorium byproduct material, as defined in section 11e.(2) of the Atomic Energy Act, as amended. The Board will hear oral statements at the outset of the prehearing conference on March 10, 1992. These statements do not constitute testimony or evidence in this proceeding, and the persons making such statements may not participate in any other way. The number of persons making oral statements and the time allotted for each statement may be limited depending on the number of persons present at the designated time. Written statements may be presented at any time. Written statements, and requests to make oral statements, should be submitted to the Office of the Secretary, Docketing and Service Branch, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 11555 Rockville Pike, Maryland 20852. A copy of such a statement or request should also be served on the Chairman of this Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, EW-439, Washington, DC 20555. Documents relating to this proceeding are on file at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20555. Dated: Bethesda, Maryland, February 7, 1992. For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman, Administrative Judge. 

BILeING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-305]

Wisconsin Public Service Corporation; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-43 issued to Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (the licensee) for operation of the Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant located in Kewaunee County, Wisconsin. The proposed amendment would revise the Technical Specifications (TS) in 4.2, "ASME Code Class Inservice Inspection and Testing," and in Figure TS 4.2-1, "Application of Plugging Limits," and would revise 2.C(5), "Steam Generator Tube Repairs," of the Operating License. The proposed amendment would allow use of Combustion Engineering Nuclear Services sleeves and plugs for tube repair in the Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant steam generators. Administrative changes are also proposed dealing with format and typographical inconsistencies.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.91, this means that the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

(1) The proposed change will not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated. The intent of this proposed amendment is to allow WPSC to use CENS welded sleeves to repair the KNPP SG tubes showing degradation in sleevable regions of the tube sheet and the tube support plate crevice areas. To support this, changes are being proposed to TS 4.2.b.4.a to reference both WCAP 11543 and CEN-413-P as acceptable methods to repair the tubes, revise TS 4.2.b.4.b to state it is only applicable to Westinghouse mechanical sleeves, and to add a plugging limit for the CENS welded leak tight sleeves. In addition, the existing license condition 2.C(5) is being deleted.

Deleting license condition 2.C(5) is an administrative change. The information is being relocated to TS 4.2.b.4.a. This will consolidate the requirements for SG tube repair into one TS while still maintaining the original intent of the license condition; that is, repair methods shall be submitted to the NRC for prior review and approval. Therefore, this proposed change will not increase the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

Report CEN-413-P, "Kewaunee Steam Generator Tube Repair Using Leak Tight Sleeves", demonstrates that repair of degraded tubes using the CENS sleeves will result in tube bundle integrity consistent with the original design basis. The sleeve design, materials, and joints were designed to the applicable ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Codes. An extensive analysis and test program was undertaken to...
prove the adequacy of the CENS welded sleeve. This program determined the effect of normal operating postulated accident conditions on the sleeve-tube assembly, as well as the adequacy of the assembly to perform its intended function. The postulated LOCA and non-LOCA transients were assessed to determine the impact of sleeving. The installation of sleeves into a SG results in additional flow restriction within the primary system and associated increase in the pressure drop across the SG. The effects of this flow restriction for installing tube sheet and/or tube support plate sleeves were evaluated. The current safety analysis supports plant operation with up to 13.94% of the SG tubes plugged. Any combination of sleeving and plugging up to this limit are bounded by the existing analysis. WPSC maintains an administratively controlled program to assure that this allowable SG tube plugging level is not exceeded.

Furthermore, in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.121, the CENS sleeves can be regularly monitored by ET inspection techniques. A sleeve plugging limit is being proposed based on a Regulatory Guide 1.121 analysis.

Therefore, based on extensive analysis and test performed, the provisions not to exceed the current analyzed tube plugging limit, and the ability to monitor and remove degraded sleeves from service, it can be concluded that this proposed change will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

(2) The proposed change will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. Using CENS welded sleeves for tube repair in the KNPP SGs does not alter the design basis of the plant. The structural adequacy of the repair sleeve-tube assembly has been demonstrated to meet regulatory requirements, therefore, the overall fractional capability of the SG cross section remains unchanged. Use of CENS sleeves will not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

(3) The proposed change will not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety. Sleeve repair of degraded SG tubes has been demonstrated to maintain the structural ability of the SG tubing during normal and postulated accident conditions, and to prevent the effect of sleeving on the transients and accidents evaluated in the KNPP USAR has been reviewed. The existing safety analysis supports plant operation with up to 13.94% of the SG tubes plugged (USAR section 14.310). An administratively controlled program exists to assure that the allowable SG tube plugging level is not exceeded. In addition, the sleeve assemblies can be monitored through periodic inspection and a sleeve plugging limit has been established based on RG 1.121 criteria.

Use of CENS leak tight sleeves for the upcoming refueling outage provides several advantages. The current sleeving method permitted by the KNPP TSE only allows access to 84% of the SG tube bundle. The curved peripheral sleeves will extend the tubesheet sleeving boundary to all but the outermost tubes. Approval to use the tube support plate sleeves will allow the option to repair pluggable indications up to the sixth support plate in the cold leg and the unsleeved hot leg tubes prior to the approval of the alternate plugging criteria for the tube support plate sleeves. Repair of SG tubes by sleeving will decrease the number of tubes that would be taken out of service by plugging. Installation of tube plugs reduces the coolant flow rate available for core cooling, thus sleeving will maintain the margin of flow that would otherwise be reduced by plugging. Based on the above, it is concluded that the proposed change does not result in a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment requested poses no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within thirty (30) days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Regulatory Publications Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room P-223, Phillips Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555. The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By March 19, 1992, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission’s Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings.” in 10 CFR part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission’s Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room located at the Government Documents Section, Library Learning Center, University of Wisconsin, 2420 Nicolet Drive, Green Bay, Wisconsin. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been advised as a party may amend the petition without request of leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above. Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the
A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission’s Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-800-325-6000 (in Missouri 1-800-342-5700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to John N. Hannon: petitioner’s name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and to David Baker, Esq., Foley and Lardner, P.O. Box 2103, Orlando, Florida 32802, attorney for the licensee.

Non timely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated January 27, 1992, which is available for public inspection at the Commission’s Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555 and at the local public document room located at the Government Documents Section, Library Learning Center, University of Wisconsin, 2420 Nicolet Drive, Green Bay, Wisconsin.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of February 1992.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jon B. Hopkins,
Acting Director, Project Directorate III-3, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV/V, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 92-3607 Filed 2-10-92; 04:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-30358; File No. SR-AMEX-91-35]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by the American Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Development of Stock Indexes for Index Option Trading


Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on December 26, 1991, the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("AMEX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The AMEX, pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Act, proposes to amend Exchange Rules 900C and 901C in order to provide greater flexibility in developing stock indexes for index option trading.

The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit A.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and statutory basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(1) Purpose

In 1982, the Exchange adopted rules for the development and trading of options on broad-based and narrow-
based stock indexes. Exchange Rule 900C defines the term "stock index group" as a group of stocks each of whose inclusion and relative representation in the group is determined by the inclusion and relative representation of their current market values or market prices in a widely disseminated stock index. Exchange Rule 901C describes the types of stock indexes upon which options may be traded on the Exchange.

Due to concerns that stock indexes with a small number of stocks and with a substantial portion of those stocks traded on the exchange where the index option is also trading may result in increased opportunities for manipulation and other improper trading activities, the Exchange placed limitations on the number of AMEX-traded stocks that could be included in a stock index upon which an option is also traded on the AMEX. However, since 1982, the options industry has evolved considerably; options are now traded on a variety of broad-based and narrow-based indexes and indexes are being created that use new and different methods for calculation and determination of the relative representation of the stocks within the index.

In an effort to continue to encourage the development of indexes that fit investors' needs and strategies, the Exchange is proposing to revise Exchange Rules 900C and 901C to provide for greater flexibility in the design and development of new and useful stock index options. The Exchange proposes to change the definition of "stock index group" in Rule 900C to eliminate references to either market value or market price as the method of determining the relative representation of a stock within an index. This will clarify that an index need not based on a strictly proportional representation of the prices or market values of its component stocks. This clarification is appropriate since the Exchange is currently developing a Biotechnology Index option which will be based on an "equal weighting" calculation method that will take into consideration both the market price and the capitalization value of the component stocks.

The Exchange also proposes to revise Rule 901C to remove the limitation on the number of AMEX stocks that can be included in an index which underlies a stock index option traded on the Exchange. This revision will allow indexes of less than 50 stocks to have AMEX-listed stocks account for more than 10% of the index's market value, and will allow AMEX-listed stocks to be included in indexes that are composed of less than 25 stocks.

The Exchange states that concerns that certain market participants would have an informational advantage when trading an option on an index that is comprised of stocks that also trade on the same exchange have lessened considerably since index options were first developed, due in large part to the real-time electronic quotation and transaction information dissemination systems that now link participants in today's sophisticated market. The Exchange also states that there is less concern that an index value can be influenced by any one stock; given the clear preference for insuring that no one individual security represents an excessive portion of any index. In fact, options on indexes where one component represents a large portion of the index's value, i.e., the Computer Index, have not traded successfully, so that indexes currently being developed for options trading generally use component stocks whose market values and/or capitalization values are generally similar.

(2) Basis

The AMEX believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in general, and furthers the objectives of section 6(b)(5), in particular, that it is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Amex believes that the proposed rule change will impose no burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(a) By order approve such proposed rule change, or

(b) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number in the caption above and should be submitted by March 6, 1991.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Exhibit A

Italics indicates material proposed to be added; [brackets] indicate material proposed to be deleted.

Section II. Stock Index Options

Rule 900C Applicability and Definitions

(a) Applicability. No change.

(b) Definitions—The following terms as used in the Rules in this Section shall, unless the context otherwise indicates, have the meaning herein specified.

(1) Stock Index Group: Broad Stock Index Group; Stock Index Industry Group. The term "stock index group" means a group of stocks each of whose inclusion and relative representation in the group is determined by
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[Release No. 34-38356; File No. SR-GSCC-92-02]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Government Securities Clearing Corp.; Filing Relating to the Netting of Forward-Settling Trades in Government Securities


Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, ("Act") 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on January 23, 1992, the Government Securities Clearing Corporation ("GSCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would revise GSCC's rules to allow for the netting of forward-settling trades.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, GSCC included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change, and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. GSCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C), below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) On April 12, 1990, the Commission approved a temporary basis, until April 30, 1992, a proposed rule change (SR-GSCC-90-01) 1 that expanded GSCC's netting service to include forward-settling trades in Government securities ("forward trades"). By this filing, GSCC requests that such authority be made permanent by the Commission or, in the alternative, that the Commission further extend on a temporary basis GSCC's authority to net forward trades.

In its approval order of April 12, 1990 (the "Approval Order"), the Commission stated that, "in light of its significance to GSCC and its membership, the proposed netting service for forward-settling transactions should be carefully monitored before it becomes a permanent feature of GSCC's netting system." The Approval Order was a lengthy one; however, the essence of the Commission's concerns regarding the proposal may be said to have been the adequacy of each of the following:

1. GSCC's forward mark allocation process;
2. the revised Clearing Fund formula; and
3. GSCC's system prices. Each of these concerns is discussed below.

1. The forward Mark Allocation Calculation

As was stated in the original rule filing (SR-GSCC-90-01), in designing a system for the netting of forward trades, GSCC considered fully applying mark-to-market requirements during the period between trade and settlement, in the same manner as is done for regular-way trading. That is, GSCC considered requiring Netting Members (hereinafter "members") to pay on a daily basis in cash the full amount of mark payments stemming from net settlement positions in forward-settling securities.

In view, however, of the potential for significant amounts of money to have to be passed through GSCC on a daily basis, which might on any particular day drain liquidity from a firm in an unpredictable manner, GSCC chose an alternative approach that realistically reflects, and sufficiently minimizes, the risk of disruption to the settlement process. This method provides for the daily collection of a percentage of any debit mark amount allocable to a forward-settling position (the "forward mark allocation amount") that ensures, on a per-CUSIP basis, that the failure of up to all of the five members with the largest debit mark levels on any given day would not disrupt GSCC's ability to successfully settle that day's Government securities trades.

GSCC's experience to date shows that this approach to the marginaling of forward trades strikes an appropriate balance between the need for a sufficient margin to ensure GSCC's liquidity and to prevent a loss upon liquidation of a member's position versus the desire not to unduly drain funds from members. (The sufficiency of GSCC's margining process for forward trades also is supported by the preliminary conclusions of a comprehensive risk assessment of GSCC that will be forwarded to the Commission later this year.) Analyses done by GSCC indicate that, in the morning of a typical date for forward trades, when GSCC faces exposure equal to the difference between the amount of forward mark allocation ("FMA") payments collected on the previous business day (which has not yet been returned) and the amount of transaction adjustment payments ("TAP") owed to GSCC on such day (and not yet paid), the amount already "pre-collected" in FMA payments is a majority (often a large majority) of that day's TAP amount.

To the extent that GSCC has had concerns with its FMA process, it has been with the increasing activity in non new-issue securities (in particular, zero coupon securities). Such activity typically is not as evenly spread among members as the activity in normally recurring issues (such as the weekly Bill issues and the monthly two-year and five-year Note issues). Instead, it tends to be more concentrated in a few members. For a particular CUSIP, this often leads to the total debit mark level of the five members with the largest such debit marks constituting a higher percentage of the daily liquidity exposure incurred by GSCC as regards that CUSIP than if the activity were more evenly spread. Currently, only a maximum of 75 percent of a member's debit mark is collected as FMA.

This matter, together with numerous other margining issues, was addressed in a recent filing (SR-GSCC-91-04) by GSCC, wherein GSCC requested authority to raise the cap on a member's daily FMA payment amount from 75 percent of the calculation to 100 percent. This will increase the dollar amount collected by GSCC in the event that certain members create a relatively large exposure for GSCC vis-a-vis other members.

2. GSCC's Clearing Fund Formula

With regard to the sufficiency of FMA payments, GSCC notes that the Commission, in the Approval Order, indicated a concern that the FMA payment process provide "adequate collateral protection for forward-settling transactions independently of other liquidity sources designed to protect against risks stemming from the settling of regular-way trades." Of course, the source of liquidity protection for next-day trades are Clearing Fund deposits. Thus, the Commission has, in effect, indicated that the Clearing Fund formula must factor in exposure arising from next-day and forward trades independently of each other and cumulatively. GSCC's experience to date confirms that the formula does in fact do so, and that the nature of GSCC's margining process for forward trades, wherein such trades are both margined for Clearing Fund purposes and are subject to a separate margin requirement (the FMA payment process), is quite conservative and prudent in nature. This is particularly true in light of GSCC's recent rule filing (SR-GSCC-91-04) noted above.

GSCC's Clearing Fund formula provides for the collection of 125 percent of the member's average daily funds-only settlement amount over the most recent 20 business days and the greater of:

1. The margin amount on the member's net settlement positions taking into account offsetting positions averaged over the most recent 20 business days or
2. 50 percent of the margin amount for that business day on the member's net settlement positions calculated without taking into account offsetting positions. Currently, a member's net securities and funds-only settlement obligations arising from forward trades are factored into the calculation of such member's Clearing Fund requirement during the post-auction forward-settling period, except that such positions are factored into the 20-day averages only for purposes of determining the current day's margin calculation. The rule filing changes this to provide for GSCC to treat forward settlement positions for Clearing Fund calculation purposes essentially as it does all other net settlement obligations, thus providing for a smoother Clearing Fund collection process and greater amounts of margin received from members.

3. Prices

A significant event that has occurred since the issuance of the Approval Order is that GSCC now has close to two-years' worth of its own price volatility data. This data base now is used in assessing and monitoring the adequacy of its margin factors. GSCC hereby represents that the information contained in this data base is being and will continue to be considered on a periodic basis by GSCC's Membership and Standards Committee in reviewing the sufficiency of GSCC's margin factors.

It is noteworthy that GSCC has ensured, and will continue to ensure, the sufficiency of its margining process through the use of conservative margin factor criteria.
With regard to obtaining additional third party Government securities price volatility data in the past, there has been no available source of data that was sufficiently comprehensive and accurate to consider as an alternative to GSCC’s internal database. Indeed, GSCC’s own database is likely always to be more precise than any third-party data source for off-the-run issues, because GSCC receives price data across a broad spectrum of issues and products and is not focused on leading issues within a maturity or product range.

Recently, however, private sector initiatives in the Government securities marketplace have arisen, such as the establishment of GOVPX, Inc., which have made significant steps toward disseminating a broad spectrum of Government securities price information that would be of particular benefit to GSCC. In view of this, GSCC continues to evaluate the types of third-party price volatility information that are available and the usefulness of such information. GSCC notes in this regard that it continues to believe that its own database would be able to serve as the most accurate and meaningful source of price volatility data on Government securities in existence if it were to receive trade data from its members on a time-stamped basis.

In sum, in view of GSCC’s positive experience to date in the netting of forward trades, the conservative nature of its margining process for forwards and the general strengthening of the process that has taken place, and its ability now to use internal price volatility data to assess the adequacy of its margin factors, GSCC believes that its method for netting forward trades is an appropriate one and that its authority to net forward trades should be made permanent.

(b) The proposed rule change will encompass forward-settling Government securities transactions within the Netting System and, thus, will further promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions for which GSCC is responsible. It is therefore consistent with section 17A of the Act and section 17A(b)(9)(A) of the Act in particular.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

GSCC does not believe that the proposed rule will have an impact or impose a burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of Comments on the Proposed Rule Changes Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Comments on the proposed rule changes have not yet been solicited or received. Members will be notified of the rule filings, and comments will be solicited, by an Important Notice. GSCC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by GSCC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reason for so finding, or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission’s Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principle office of GSCC. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-GSCC-92-02 and should be submitted by March 6, 1992.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland, Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. 92-3551 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-30355; File No. SR-PHLX-91-12]

Self-Regulatory Organizations;
Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.;
Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Crossing of Options Orders and the Execution of Solicited Options Orders


On July 5, 1991, the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. (“PHLX” or “Exchange”) submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, 2 a proposed rule change to provide that a floor broker, when crossing orders, must bid and offer at prices differing by the minimum fractional amount and must improve the market by bidding above the highest bid or offering below the lowest offer. In addition, with regard to solicited orders, the proposal would require disclosure of the identity of a solicited party to the trading crowd by the solicitor of an order. Currently, the solicitor must disclose to the trading crowd all information which was given to the solicited member.

The proposed rule change was published in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 29558 (August 14, 1991), 56 FR 41714. No comments were received on the proposed rule change.

Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend PHLX Rule 1064 and Options Floor Procedure Advice (“OFPA”) B-11 relating to the execution of orders to be crossed and solicited orders. First, the PHLX has sought to clarify that a floor broker, when undertaking a crossing transaction, must bid and offer at prices differing by the minimum fractional amount.3 In addition, under the proposal, the floor broker must improve the market by bidding above the highest bid or offering below the lowest offer. Presently, PHLX Rule 1064(a)(ii) requires that a floor broker undertaking a cross transaction must bid or offer at a price better than the market price.

Second, the PHLX proposes to clarify a floor broker’s responsibility with respect to notifying the trading crowd of a solicited order.4 In particular, the

3 For example, in the case of stock options, the minimum fractional change is 1/8 of a point for those contracts trading at $3.00 or higher, and 1/16 of a point for those contracts trading under $3.00.
4 A solicited order is an order, other than a cross, presented for execution in the trading crowd as a result of an away-from-the-crowd expression of interest by one broker-dealer to another.
proposal would require that the identity of the solicited party be disclosed to the trading crowd. PHLX Rule 1084(c) presently requires that the solicitor or his representatives share with the trading crowd all the information which was given to the solicited member and the other members in the trading crowd must be given a reasonable opportunity to respond to the order. The PHLX represents that the disclosure of the solicited party's identity maximizes the available information to the trading crowd, and, thereby, places all potential participants on an equal foundation.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange. In particular, the requirements of section 6(b)(5) and the rules and regulations thereunder. Specifically, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change dealing with the execution of crossed orders will benefit investors since the rule will ensure that both the buy side and the sell side to a cross transaction will receive an execution price better than the prevailing best offer or bid, respectively, when the orders were presented to the trading crowd. Previously, the PHLX's rules mandated that only one side to a cross transaction receive a price better than the prevailing bid or offer. The Commission also believes that the proposed rule governing the disclosure of a solicited party's identity in a solicited trade promotes the concept of a free and open market since this additional information provided to the trading crowd will enable a trader in the crowd to make a better informed decision on whether to participate in a particular trade. In this regard, the Commission agrees with the PHLX that such information ensure that all trading crowd participants have the same information about an order presented in the crowd.

It is therefore ordered, Pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (SR-PHLX-91-12) is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary

[FR Doc. 92-3612 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

Order also authorizes LP&L to use the net proceeds derived from the issuance and sale of Bonds and other securities in addition to other available funds, for general corporate purposes, which may include the acquisition of certain outstanding securities at any time or from time-to-time prior to December 31, 1992, in whole or in part, through tender offer, negotiated, open market or other forms of purchase or otherwise by means other than redemption, prior to their respective maturities.

LP&L now proposes to increase, under its authorization under the March 1991 Order: (1) From $200 million to $500 million the amount of its Bonds which it is authorized to issue and sell; and (2) from $250 million to $550 million the amount of its outstanding first mortgage bonds which it may repurchase. LP&L proposes to issue the additional Bonds and to acquire such outstanding first mortgage bonds subject to the same terms, conditions and reservations of jurisdiction as the March 1991 Order.

LP&L further requests authorization to begin negotiations, pursuant to an exception from the requirements of Rule 50(a)(5) thereunder, with respect to the terms of any additional series of Bonds to be sold by negotiated public offering or private placement. It may do so.

Western Massachusetts Electric Co. (70-7898)

Western Massachusetts Electric Company ("WMECO"), 174 Brush Hill Avenue, West Springfield, Massachusetts 01089, an electric utility subsidiary company of Northeast Utilities, a registered holding company, has filed an application-declaration ("Application") under sections 6(b) and 12(c) of the Act and Rules 42, 50 and 50(a)(5) thereunder.

WMECO proposes to issue and sell first mortgage bonds ("Bonds") or preferred stock ("Preferred"), or a combination of both (together, the Securities) through December 31, 1993. WMECO first proposes to issue and sell up to $50 million in principal amount of Bonds or up to $50 million aggregate par value of Preferred, or a combination of both not exceeding $50 million, for the purpose of repaying short-term borrowings consisting of bank loans, commercial paper and system company money pool borrowings, which were incurred or are expected to be incurred to finance WMECO's construction program and for general working capital purposes.

WMECO also proposes to issue and sell up to $152 million in principal amount of Bonds or up to $15 million aggregate par value of Preferred or a combination of both, not to exceed $187 million, for the purpose of redeeming and replacing high interest rate outstanding first mortgage bonds and high dividend preferred stock, to purchase these securities on the open market, to refinance maturing long-term debt and to finance long-term debt and preferred stock sinking fund obligations. The total amount of Bonds and Preferred proposed to be issued herein would not exceed $217 million. WMECO will not enter into a refunding transaction unless the break-even rate, defined below, for each series of bonds or preferred stock to be refunded exceeds the then current market rate for first mortgage bonds and preferred stock of similar amount and maturity or average life. The break-even rate is a discount rate that equates the present value of the cash flow associated with the new series of Securities, including premiums and issuing expenses, to the present value of the cash flow associated with the series to be redeemed, and assuming comparable maturities or average life.

Each series of Bonds would have a maturity of not less than two nor more than thirty years. The interest rate and the price, exclusive of accrued interest, which will not be less than 94% nor more than 100% of the principal amount, will be determined by the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 under the Act, as modified by the Commission's Statement of Policy Concerning the Application of Rule 50 under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (HCAR No. 22623, September 2, 1982).

The Preferred would have a fixed dividend rate or would be an auction rate preferred stock. With the exception of the auction rate preferred stock, the dividend rate for the preferred would be determined through the receipt of competitive offers.

In the event that it issues an auction rate Preferred, WMECO has requested an exception from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 pursuant to subparagraph (a)(5) thereunder, in order to negotiate the initial dividend rate and the underwriters compensation. Dividend rates for auction rate Preferred would be established for relatively short, successive periods (generally 49 days) through an auction process among holders and prospective purchasers of the securities. If the auction fails for any reason, or in the event of default in the payment of dividends, maximum dividend rates will apply and will be based on specified percentages (no higher than 110% to 250%) of certain defined composite commercial paper rates that will be determined by WMECO in consultation with the underwriter. The auction Preferred will be redeemable in whole or in part at the end of each dividend period at a specified redemption price, plus accrued and unpaid dividends to the date ending the dividend period. WMECO may negotiate the initial terms of the auction rate Preferred.

The Connecticut Light and Power Co. (70-7900)

The Connecticut Light and Power Company ("CL&P"), Selden Street, Berlin, Connecticut 06037, a public-utility subsidiary company of Northeast Utilities, a registered holding company, has filed an application-declaration under sections 6(b) and 12(c) of the Act and Rules 42, 50 and 50(a)(5) thereunder.

CL&P proposes to issue and sell in one or more series, from time to time through December 31, 1993, up to $540 million principal amount of its first mortgage bonds ("Bonds") and/or up to $182 million aggregate par value of preferred stock ("Preferred") (collectively, "Securities"), such that the aggregate principal amount of the Securities does not exceed $632 million.

Each series of the Bonds will have a maturity of not less than two nor more than thirty years and will be issued under the Indenture of Mortgage and Deed of Trust dated as of May 1, 1921 as supplemented and amended between CL&P and Bankers Trust Company, Trustee ("Indenture"). The interest rate shall be a multiple of 4/1% and the price, exclusive of accrued interest (which shall be between 96% and 100% of the principal amount), will be determined by the competitive bidding standards of Rule 50 of the Act, as modified by the Commission's Statement of Policy Concerning the Application of Rule 50 under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, HCAR No. 22623 (Sept. 2, 1982) ("SOP").

If the Bonds have a maturity of more than five years, the Bonds will be subject to a requirement that none of the Bonds of that series may be redeemed at the applicable general redemption price before a date in 1997 or 1998 if the redemption is for the purpose of or in anticipation of refunding the Bonds through the use, directly or indirectly, of funds borrowed by CL&P at an effective interest cost to CL&P of less than the effective interest cost to CL&P of the applicable series of Bonds. If the Bonds of any series have a maturity of five years or less, they will not be refundable with lower cost funds throughout the life of the Bonds.
with the use of sinking and improvement fund moneys.

The Stock will have either a fixed dividend rate ("Fixed Rate Stock") or will be an auction rate preferred stock ("Auction Rate Stock"). The Stock will be sold at par in one or more offerings and will have a $50 par value, $25 par value, or a combination of both. The dividend rate and the underwriters' compensation of the Fixed Rate Stock will be determined through the receipt of competitive offers under Rule 50 of the Act, as modified by the Commission's SOP.

The Fixed Rate Stock may provide for a cumulative sinking fund pursuant to which, commencing no earlier than five years subsequent to the first day of the month in which the Fixed Rate Stock is issued, CL&P will redeem, to the extent any funds of CL&P are legally available therefor, at the initial public offering price per share (plus accrued dividends), 5% to 20% annually of the number of shares initially issued, with the option for CL&P to credit against the sinking fund requirement any shares of Fixed Rate Stock previously purchased and canceled or otherwise acquired and canceled by CL&P in the preceding twelve-month period. The terms of the Fixed Rate Stock may also provide CL&P with the non-cumulative option on any sinking fund date of redeeming or purchasing for said sinking fund an additional number of shares in any such year.

The Fixed Rate Stock will be subject to a requirement that no Fixed Rate Stock may be redeemed before a date in 1997 or 1998 if the redemption is for the purpose of or in anticipation of refunding the Fixed Rate Stock through the use, directly or indirectly, of borrowed funds or of the proceeds of the issue or shares of any stock ranking prior to or on a parity with the Fixed Rate Stock as to dividends or assets, if such borrowed funds or such shares have an effective interest cost or effective dividend cost of less than the effective dividend cost of the Fixed Rate Stock.

In the event that it issues the Auction Rate Stock, CL&P has requested an exception from Rule 50, under subsection (a)(5), to engage the services of an investment banking firm in order to negotiate the initial dividend rate and the underwriters' compensation. It may do so. The dividend rates for the Auction Rate Stock will be established for relatively short, successive periods (generally 49 days) through an auction process among holders and prospective purchasers of the Auction Rate Stock. If the auction fails for any reason, or in the event of default in the payment of dividends, maximum dividend rates will apply and will be based on specified percentages (no higher than 110% to 250%) of certain defined composite commercial paper rates that will be determined by CL&P in consultation with the underwriter. The Auction Rate Stock will be redeemable in whole or in part at the end of each dividend period at a specified redemption price, plus accrued and unpaid dividends to the date ending the dividend period.

Up to $150 million of the proceeds from the issue and sale of the Securities would be used to repay short-term borrowings which were incurred or are expected to be incurred to finance CL&P's construction program and for general working capital purposes. Up to $463 million of the remaining net proceeds from the issue and sale of the Securities may be used to refund outstanding first and refunding mortgage bonds bearing relatively high interest rates or high dividend rate preferred stock or to refinance maturing debt and/or to fund debt and preferred stock sinking funds. CL&P temporarily may invest the net proceeds from the sale(s) of the Securities in the NU System Money Pool.

CL&P will not enter into a refunding transaction unless the breakeven rate, defined below, for each series of bonds or preferred stock to be refunded exceeds the then current market rate for first mortgage bonds and preferred stock of similar amount and maturity or average life. The breakeven rate is a discount rate that equates the present value of the cash flows associated with the series to be redeemed, and assumed comparable maturities or average life.

General Public Utilities Corp. (70–7933)

General Public Utilities Corporation ("GPU"), 100 Interpace Parkway, Parsippany, New Jersey 07054, a registered holding company, has filed a declaration under section 6(a) and 7 of the Act and Rule 50 thereunder.

GPU proposes to make cash capital contributions, through December 31, 1993, to its three electric public-utility subsidiary companies ("Subsidiaries") in the following amounts: $100 million for Jersey Central Power & Light, $50 million for Metropolitan Edison Company and $50 million for Pennsylvania Electric Company.

During 1992 and 1993, the Subsidiaries estimate that their construction programs will require gross capital expenditures total of approximately $300 million and $650 million, respectively. Authorization to make cash capital contributions will provide GPU with the needed flexibility to meet the Subsidiaries' financing and cash working capital requirements, while enabling the Subsidiaries to remain within the short-term debt limits of their respective charters. GPU states that the funds to make the proposed cash capital contributions will be principally derived from short-term bank borrowings previously, or hereafter, authorized by the Commission.

Southwestern Electric Power Co. (70–7934)

Southwestern Electric Power Company ("SEPCO"), 428 Travis Street, Shreveport, Louisiana 71101, an electric public-utility subsidiary company of Central and South West Corporation, a registered holding company, has filed a declaration under section 6(a) and 7 of the Act and Rule 50 thereunder.

SEPCO proposes to issue and sell first mortgage bonds ("New Bonds") in an aggregate principal amount up to $40 million, in one or more series, from time-to-time through December 31, 1993. The New Bonds will have maturities of not less than five nor more than thirty years.

The proceeds from the sale of the New Bonds will be used, among other things, to redeem all or a portion of SEPCO's outstanding first mortgage bonds, Series M, due August 1, 2005, at the then current general redemption price plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date.

Transok, Inc. (70–7935)

Transok, Inc. ("Transok"), 2 West Sixth Street, P.O. Box 3008, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, a natural gas gathering and transmission subsidiary company of Central and South West Corporation ("CSW"), a registered company, has filed a declaration under sections 6(a) and 7 of the Act and Rule 50 thereunder. Transok proposes to issue and sell, in one or more transactions from time-to-time through December 31, 1993, up to an aggregate principal amount of $200 million of its medium-term notes ("MTN"). Transok may privately place the MTN with qualified institutional buyers through one or more agents, or sell them to one or more investment bankers as principal(s) for resale to investors at a discount. The MTN would be offered from time-to-time as the need for funds arises and as opportunities arise in the marketplace to issue at favorable terms. Transok will use the proceeds from the sale of the MTN to: (1) Reduce its debt to CSW (HCAR No. 25385, September 28, 1991);
Transok proposes to begin negotiations for the issuance and sale of the MTN without further Commission approval, which will conform to the following terms and conditions: (1) The MTN would have maturity dates not less than nine months from the date of issuance; (2) the MTN may be redeemable, pursuant to agreement between Transok and the respective purchasers; (3) the price to be paid to Transok for the MTN will not be less than 98%, and will not exceed 102%, of their principal amount; (4) the MTN will bear a fixed or floating rate and will be sold at a cost of money not exceeding the rate prevailing at the time of issuance for medium-term notes of comparable quality and of the particular maturity; and (5) the MTN may be issued at a discount of up to 2%, or Transok may pay a commission or similar fee not greater than 2% of the principal amount of the MTN.

Transok requests an exception from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 under the Act pursuant to subparagraph [a)(5) thereunder in order to enter into negotiations for the issuance and sale of the New Bonds.

Appalachian Power Co., et al. (70-7955)

Appalachian Power Company ("APC") to the AT
to the 

APC proposes to issue and sell, in one or more series from time-to-time through December 31, 1992, $50 million of cumulative preferred stock, without par value for APC and with par values of $25 and/or $100 for CSPCO ("Preferred Stock"). APC and CSPCO seek authorization to acquire the Preferred Stock, up to $50 million for APC and up to $50 million for CSPCO, through the operation of a sinking fund or pursuant to a voluntary redemption provision.

APC and CSPCO expect that the Preferred Stock will be subject to optional redemption at the par share price of par value for CSPCO and of the involuntary liquidation price for APC (collectively, "Par Value") plus the annual dividend per share declining on an annual basis to Par Value not later than the year in which the Preferred Stock would be retired pursuant to the provisions of the mandatory sinking fund. However, it may be that during the first five years the Preferred Stock could only be redeemed at a price equal to Par Value plus the annual dividend, and could be subject to a provision prohibiting any redemption if accomplished through a borrowing or stock issuance at an effective cost less than the effective dividend cost of the Preferred Stock.

The terms of the Preferred Stock may also include a sinking fund provision ("Sinking Fund Provision"). Under the Sinking Fund Provision, APC and CSPCO would be required to retire annually at Par Value, commencing not earlier than two years after the first day of the month in which the Preferred Stock is first sold, a number of shares equal to between 5% and 20% of the number of shares of such series initially issued. The Sinking Fund Provision may also include a sinking fund requirement Preferred Stock therefore purchased or otherwise acquired by APCO and CSPCO and not previously credited against any sinking fund requirement.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

Margaret H. McFarland,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-3810 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration

Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Lawton Municipal Airport, Lawton, OK

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comments on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Lawton Municipal Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 16, 1992.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Mr. William Perkins, Planning and Programming Branch, ASW-610D, Airports Division, Southwest Region, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0611.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Charles P. Beller of the city of Lawton, Metropolitan Area Airport Authority, at the following address: Metropolitan Area Airport Authority, P.O. Box 531, Lawton, Oklahoma 73502.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the city of Lawton, Metropolitan Area Airport Authority, under § 158.23 of part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. William Perkins, Federal Aviation Administration, Planning and Programming Branch, ASW-610D, Airports Division, Southwest Region, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0611, (817) 624-5979.
The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comments on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Lawton Municipal Airport, under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). On February 5, 1992, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the city of Lawton was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than May 8, 1992.

The following is a brief overview of the application:

- **Level of the proposed PFC:** $2.00.
- **Proposed charge effective date:** August 1, 1992.
- **Proposed charge expiration date:** July 31, 1998.
- **Total estimated PFC revenue:** $363,803.00.

**Brief description of proposed project(s):**

- Construct terminal expansion.
- Purchase ARFF vehicle and mechanical lift.
- Reconstruct portions of ARFF station access road.
- Construct warmup apron and distance-to-go markers.
- Rehabilitate T-hangar taxiways and construct drainage improvements.
- Rehabilitate airport access roads.
- Rehabilitate cracks and joints on runway, taxiways and aprons.
- Update airport Master Plan.
- Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFC's: None.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT" and at the FAA regional Airports office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Branch ASW-610D, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0611.

In addition, anyone may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the city of Lawton.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Stapleton International Airport/Denver International Airport and use the revenue from the PFC at Denver International Airport, Denver, Colorado.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application to impose a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Stapleton International Airport/Denver International Airport, CO, Intent To Rule

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 16, 1992.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Alan E. Wiechmann, Manager, Denver Airports District Office, 5440 Roslyn, suite 300, Denver, CO 80216-6026.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose a PFC at Tulsa International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158). In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to: Mr. George F. Doughty, Director of Aviation, of the City and County of Denver, at the following address: Terminal Building, room 3227, Stapleton International Airport, Denver, CO 80207.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 16, 1992.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Mr. William Perkins, Planning and Programming Branch, Northwest Mountain Region.
Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under “FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT” and at the FAA regional Airports office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, Planning and Programming Branch, ASW—610D, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas 76193—0611.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the city of Tulsa.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas on February 5, 1992.

John M. Dempsey,
Manager, Airports Division.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Internal Revenue Service
[Delegation Order No. 11(Rev. 22)]

Delegation of Authority

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Delegation of Authority.

SUMMARY: Allows for the delegation of authority to accept or reject offers in compromise to the level of Division Chief. The authority delegated to Division Chiefs does not include the authority to reject offers in compromise for public policy reasons. Authority to reject offers in compromise for public policy reasons is restricted to the Deputy Assistant Commissioner (International), Associate Chief Counsel, Regional Counsel, and Associate Chiefs, Appeals Offices, and District Directors. This authority may not be redelegated. The authority delegated to Regional Counsel may not be redelegated, except that the authority to reject offers in compromise for other than public policy reasons may be redelegated, but not lower than District Counsel. Regional Director of Appeals, Chief, and Associate Chiefs, Appeals Offices, may not redelegated this authority.

2. Chief, Field Branch and Chief, Special Procedures are delegated authority under section 7122 of the Internal Revenue Code, to accept offers in compromise in cases in which the unpaid liability (including any interest, penalty, additional amount or addition to tax) is $100,000 or less and to reject offers in compromise for other than public policy reasons, regardless of the amount of the liability sought to be compromised. The authority delegated to Chief, Field Branch and Chief, Special Procedures are delegated authority to acknowledge withdrawal of any offer regardless of the amount of the liability sought to be compromised. The authority delegated to Chief, Field Branch and Chief, Special Procedures may not be redelegated.

3. Assistant Service Center Directors and Assistant Director, Austin Compliance center are delegated authority, under section 7122 of the Internal Revenue Code, to accept offer in compromise, limited to penalties.
based on solely on doubt as to liability, and to reject offers in compromise, limited to penalties, regardless of the amount of the liability sought to be compromised, and to summarily reject without further investigation, offers based solely on doubt as to liability regardless of the amount of the liability sought to be compromised, limited to obvious offers that are frivolous, groundless or dilatory, or where the liability has been finally determined by the Tax Court or other courts, or by a Commissioner's final closing agreement, or where the offer is based upon an agreed liability in which administrative appeal rights has been exercised or waived. This authority may be redelegated only to the Chief, Compliance Division (Service Centers) and Chief Collection Division (Austin Compliance Center).

4. To the extent that the authority previously exercised consistent with this Order may require ratification, it is hereby approved and ratified. Delegation Order No. 11 (Rev. 21), effective October 1, 1991, is superseded.


Approved:
David G. Blattner, Chief Operations Officer.

[FR Doc. 92-3549 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]
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BLACKSTONE RIVER VALLEY NATIONAL HERITAGE CORRIDOR COMMISSION

Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, that a business meeting of the Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor Commission will be held on Monday, March 2, 1992.

The Commission was established pursuant to Public Law 99-647. The purpose of the Commission is to assist federal, state and local authorities in the development and implementation of an integrated resource management plan for those lands and waters within the Corridor.

The meeting will convene at 7:00 p.m. at the North Smithfield Public Library, 20 Main Street, N. Smithfield, RI for the following reasons:

1. To Review and Approve Demonstration Projects.

It is anticipated that about twenty people will be able to attend the session in addition to the Commission members.

Interested persons may make oral or written presentations to the Commission or file written statements. Such requests should be made prior to the meeting to:

James Pepper, Executive Director, Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor Commission, P.O. Box 34, Uxbridge, MA 01569. Telephone: (508) 278-9400.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from James Pepper, Executive Director of the Commission at the address below.

[FR Doc. 92-3746 Filed 2-12-92; 2:16 pm]
BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-92-08]

Emergency Notice

TIME AND DATE: February 20, 1992 at 2:00 p.m.
PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436.
STATUS: Open to the public.
MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda for future meeting
2. Minutes
3. Ratification List
4. Petition and complaint—Certain single in-line memory modules and products containing the same (Docket Number 1689)
5. Any items left over from previous agenda

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Kenneth R. Mason, Secretary, (202) 205-2000.


Kenneth R. Mason,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 92-3745 Filed 2-12-92; 2:15 pm]
BILLING CODE 7020-02-M
Corrections

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains editorial corrections of previously published Presidential, Rule, Proposed Rule, and Notice documents. These corrections are prepared by the Office of the Federal Register. Agency prepared corrections are issued as signed documents and appear in the appropriate document categories elsewhere in the issue.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A-583-009]

Color Television Receivers, Except for Video Monitors, From Taiwan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

Correction

In notice document 91-29977, beginning on page 65218, in the issue of Monday, December 16, 1991, make the following correction:

On page 65226, in the third column, after "Comment 42", insert "Shirasuna claims that the department neglected to insert into the program credit expense data for thirteen third-country sales." Also, a new paragraph headed with "Department's Position", should precede "We Disagree."

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Computer Matching Program; Notice Correction

In notice document 92-2911 appearing on page 4542 in the issue of Wednesday, February 5, 1992, at the end of the document the date filed should read, "2-4-92".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
47 CFR Parts 43 and 63
[CC Docket No. 90-337; FCC 91-401]

Common Carrier Services: In the Matter of Regulation of International Accounting Rates

Correction

In rule document 92-57 beginning on page 646, in the issue of Wednesday, January 8, 1992, make the following correction:

On page 647, in the second column, in the third full paragraph, in the third line, the effective date "February 6, 1992" should read "April 7, 1992".

BILLING CODE 1505.01-

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY
44 CFR Part 67
[Docket No. FEMA-7032]

Federal Insurance Administration; Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

Correction

In proposed rule document 91-29839, appearing on page 65037, in the issue of Friday, December 13, 1991, the headings for the table were printed incorrectly, and the table should read as set forth below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSED MODIFIED BASE (100-YEAR) FLOOD ELEVATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depth in feet above ground</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
Food and Drug Administration
[Docket No. 91E-0492]

Determination of Regulatory Review Period for Purposes of Patent Extension; Accupril

Correction

In notice document 92-2633 beginning on page 4212 in the issue of Tuesday, February 4, 1992, make the following correction:

On page 4213, in the first column, in the third line, "1991" should read "1992".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service
50 CFR Part 17
RIN 1018-AB80
Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Notice of Intent Concerning Manatee Protection Areas in Lake Woodruff National Wildlife Refuge, Florida
Correction
In proposed rule document 91-2954, beginning on page 4745, in the issue of Friday, February 7, 1992, make the following correction:
BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
[Release No. 34-30296; File No. SR-PTC-90-02]
Self Regulatory Organizations; Participants Trust Company; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Formation of a Subsidiary
Correction
In notice document 92-2590, beginning on page 4232, in the issue of Tuesday, February 4, 1992, make the following correction:
On page 4232, in the second column, in the first line, the release no. was printed incorrectly and should read as set forth above.
BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Internal Revenue Service
26 CFR Parts 1 and 602
[TD 8380]
RIN 1545-AP76
Treatment of Partnership Liabilities
Correction
In rule document 91-30596 beginning on page 66348, in the issue of Monday, December 23, 1991, make the following corrections:
1. On page 66348, in the third column, in the table of contents, in § 1.752-2(b)(6), "or" should read "of".
2. On the same page, in the same column, in the table of contents, in § 1.752-2(d)(2), in the 14th line, after "which" insert "would".
3. On page 66352, in the first column, in § 1.752-2(b)(2)(i), in the fifth line, "1.701-1(b)(4)(ii)" should read "1.704-1(b)(4)(ii)".
4. On the same page, in the second column, in the same section, paragraph designation "(5)" should read "(6)".
5. On the same page, in the same column, in § 1.752-2(d)(2), in the 14th line, after "which" insert "would".
6. On page 66353, in the second column, in § 1.752-2(e)(4), in Example 2., in the third line under the table, "Capital" should read "capital".
7. On the same page, in the same column, in the same section, in Example 3., in the fourth line, after the "F" insert "i".
BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

§ 1.752-2 [Corrected]
3. On page 66352, in the first column, in § 1.752-2(b)(2)(i), in the fifth line, "1.701-1(b)(4)(ii)" should read "1.704-1(b)(4)(ii)".
4. On the same page, in the second column, in the same section, paragraph designation "(5)" should read "(6)".
5. On the same page, in the same column, in § 1.752-2(d)(2), in the 14th line, after "which" insert "would".
6. On page 66353, in the second column, in § 1.752-2(e)(4), in Example 2., in the third line under the table, "Capital" should read "capital".
7. On the same page, in the same column, in the same section, in Example 3., in the fourth line, after the "F" insert "i".
BILLING CODE 1505-01-D
Part II

Department of Housing and Urban Development

Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing

24 CFR Parts 905, 968, and 990
Public and Indian Housing
Comprehensive Grant Program and Amendments to the Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program; Final Rule
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing
24 CFR Parts 905, 968, and 990
[Docket No. R-92-1531; FR-2980-F-02]

RIN 2577-AB06
Public and Indian Housing
Comprehensive Grant Program and Amendments to the Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule amends 24 CFR parts 905, 968 and 990 to establish the new Comprehensive Grant program for public housing agencies (PHAs) and Indian Housing Authorities (IHAs) that own or operate 500 or more public or Indian housing units. The Comprehensive Grant program, which allocates modernization funds on the basis of a formula, is designed to provide larger housing authorities with greater discretion in the planning and implementation of modernization activities. The rule also revises the existing Comprehensive Improvement Assistance program (CIAP) to limit its applicability to PHAs and IHAs that own or operate fewer than 500 public or Indian housing units. The Comprehensive Grant program, which allocates modernization funds on the basis of a formula, is designed to provide larger housing authorities with greater discretion in the planning and implementation of modernization activities. The rule also revises the existing Comprehensive Improvement Assistance program (CIAP) to limit its applicability to PHAs and IHAs that own or operate fewer than 500 public or Indian housing units.

In addition, the rule also implements: (1) Section 509 of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act ("the NAHA"); as amended by the HUD Appropriations Act for FFY 1992, which established the formula process for allocating modernization funds under the Comprehensive Grant program; (2) section 509(e) of the NAHA which, beginning with funding for FY 1993, reduces the threshold for participation in the Comprehensive Grant program from 500 or more public or Indian housing units to 250 or more units. Also beginning in FY 1993, the ceiling for participation in the CIAP shall be reduced from fewer than 500 public or Indian housing units, to fewer than 250 units. Because the Department considers section 509(e) to be a self-executing provision, it is implementing this section in this rulemaking for effect in FFY 1993; (3) section 516 of the NAHA which provides for the comprehensive modernization of Mutual Help homeownership units using no more than a single CIAP or CGP grant; (4) amendments to the Indian Housing CIAP under 24 CFR part 905, subpart I; and (5) various technical and conforming amendments contained in the NAHA.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule shall be effective on March 16, 1992.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janice D. Rattley, Director, Office of Construction, Rehabilitation and Maintenance, Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, room 4122, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-1000.

Indian housing authorities may contact Dom Nessi, Director, Office of Indian Housing, Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, room 4230, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-1015.

Hearing or speech impaired individuals may call HUD's TDD number (202) 708-1013.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Paperwork Burden

The Department has submitted this final rule to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980. The OMB control number, when assigned, will be announced by separate document in the Federal Register.

HUD received a number of public comments on the paperwork burden associated with the Comprehensive Grant program. In response to these comments, the Department has made significant changes to the CGP requirements by reducing the number of paperwork submissions and eliminating duplicative paperwork requirements. For a complete summary of the public comments concerning the CGP paperwork burden, and the Department's response to these comments, see Section IV of this preamble.

Public reporting burden for the collection of information requirements contained in this rule are estimated to include the time for reviewing the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Information on the estimated public reporting burden is provided under the Preamble heading, Findings and Certifications. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Department of Housing and Urban Development, Rules Docket Clerk, 451 Seventh Street, SW., room 10276, Washington, DC 20410; and to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

II. Background

Section 14 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 14371) ("Act") established the Department's current public housing modernization program: The Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (CIAP). The CIAP was designed to improve the physical condition of existing public housing developments, and to upgrade the management and operation of these developments to ensure that the developments continue to be available to serve low income families. The Department promulgated regulations for the CIAP at 24 CFR part 968, and these regulations have governed the modernization of all public Housing assisted under the Act.

The reader should note that, for ease of discussion, the preamble to this final rule uses the terms "public housing" to refer to both public and Indian Housing, and "PHA" or "public housing agency," to refer to both PHAs and IHAs, unless otherwise stated. In addition, the term "development" is used to refer to "low income projects," as defined at section 3(b)1 of the Act.

On February 5, 1988, the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987 (Pub. L. 100-242) ("1987 Act") was enacted, and contained a provision which revised significantly the public housing modernization program. Section 119 of the 1987 Act established the new Comprehensive Grant program (CGP) which was designed to govern the modernization needs of PHA's that owned or operated 500 or more public housing units. In addition, section 119 amended the CIAP to limit its applicability only to PHAs that owned or operated fewer than 500 public housing units.

On May 28, 1987, the Department published a proposed rule on October 27, 1986 (53 FR 43648) to implement the amendments contained in section 119 of the 1987 Act, and also to propose changes to the CIAP which were intended to simplify and streamline the program. HUD did not, however, publish a final rule to implement most of the amendments contained in the proposed rule because of language contained in section 14(k)1 of the Act.
of the Act, which directed HUD to fund public housing modernization in substantial accordance with the allocation method in effect on the date of enactment of the Act, "(i.e., to continue funding modernization activities using the CIAP allocation method). The Department was directed to use the CIAP allocation method until such time as Congress established a revised method for allocating modernization assistance under section 14(k)(1).

Accordingly, the Department published a final rule on December 21, 1989 (54 FR 52686) which implemented only those provisions of HUD's October, 1988 proposed rule which pertained to the streamlined CIAP application process, and to the reorganization of part 968 into a subpart A (with generally applicable provisions), and subpart B (containing the revised CIAP provisions). The final rule did not contain any provisions relating to the Comprehensive Grant program, since Congress had not yet enacted a law governing the funds allocation process for that program. Thus, the CIAP remained HUD's sole vehicle for providing modernization funds to PHAs of all sizes.

The Department subsequently incorporated the streamlined CIAP into its consolidated rulemaking for the Indian housing program (see 24 CFR part 905, subpart I, of the interim rule published on June 18, 1990 at 55 FR 24722), and solicited public comment on those provisions. As a result of public comments received on the June, 1990 interim rule, HUD is including in this final rule amendments to the CIAP portion of the Indian Housing Consolidated rule. For a further discussion of these public comments, and the Department's responses to the comments, see Section V of this preamble.

On November 28, 1990, President Bush signed into law the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act (Pub. L. 101-625) ("the NAHA"). Section 509 of the NAHA established a formula allocation method for the Comprehensive Grant program, which HUD is required to implement following notice and comment rulemaking.

On April 26, 1991, HUD published a proposed rule (see 56 FR 19434) to implement the formula allocation process contained in section 509 of the NAHA, and also to republish with modifications the program requirements that were originally contained in the October, 1988 proposed CGP rule. Accordingly, the public was provided with an additional opportunity to comment on the CGP requirements, and to review it in conjunction with the formula allocation method.

III. Program Objectives

The Comprehensive Grant program has two basic objectives for PHAs that own or operate 500 or more public housing units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993): (1) To provide greater discretion to PHAs in carrying out their modernization programs, thereby returning it to local control; and (2) to establish reliable funding for capital improvements through the use of formula funding for the annual accrual of capital improvement needs and for current needs.

Under the program, larger PHAs receive capital improvement funds by a formula allocation method, instead of the current competitive, discretionary CIAP in which HUD decides which developments are to be funded by setting priorities, establishing a ranking system, and reviewing and approving individual applications. The program provides PHAs with much greater discretion in planning and carrying out modernization of their public housing developments.

This final rule: (1) Amends the CIAP at 24 CFR part 968, subpart B, to limit its applicability to PHAs that own or operate fewer than 500 public housing units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993); (2) adds a new Subpart C to Part 968, which sets forth the new Comprehensive Grant program for PHAs that own or operate a total of 500 or more public housing units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993); and (3) revises both the CIAP and Comprehensive Grant programs for purposes of implementing various technical and substantive program amendments contained in sections 509 (b) through (f) of the NAHA.

In the Indian Housing program, the final rule renames part 905, subpart I, as "Modernization;" and reorganizes subpart I into three undesignated headings: (1) The first heading contains provisions which are generally applicable to both the CIAP and Comprehensive Grant modernization under part 905, subpart I; (2) the second heading contains the streamlined CIAP requirements with amendments reflecting public comments received on the CIAP portions of the June, 1990 Indian Housing Consolidated interim rule, and with modifications which limit the applicability of the CIAP to IHAs that own or operate fewer than 500 Indian housing units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993); and (3) the third heading adds the new Comprehensive Grant program for IHAs that own or operate 500 or more Indian housing units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993); and (4) In addition, the rule revises both the CIAP and Comprehensive Grant programs under subpart I to implement various technical and substantive program amendments contained in sections 509 (b) through (f) of the NAHA, as well as section 516 of the NAHA which provides for the comprehensive modernization of a Mutual Help unit using a single CIAP or CGP grant.

The following schedule specifies the location of comparable provisions for the Indian Housing and Public Housing Modernization programs codified in parts 905 and 968, respectively:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location in part 968</th>
<th>Section heading</th>
<th>Location in part 905 (subpart I)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 968.101...........</td>
<td>Purpose and applicability</td>
<td>$ 905.600.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.103...........</td>
<td>Allocation of funds under Section 14</td>
<td>$ 905.601.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.105...........</td>
<td>Definitions</td>
<td>905.102.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.110...........</td>
<td>Other program requirements</td>
<td>905.120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.112...........</td>
<td>Special requirements for homeownership developments</td>
<td>905.602.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.115...........</td>
<td>Modernization and energy conservation standards</td>
<td>905.203.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.120...........</td>
<td>Preemption of State prevailing wage requirements</td>
<td>905.120.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subpart B...........</td>
<td>Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (for PHAs that own or operate fewer than 500 public housing units)</td>
<td>$ 905.609.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ 968.201...........</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>905.102.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.203...........</td>
<td>Definitions</td>
<td>905.615.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.205...........</td>
<td>Eligible costs</td>
<td>905.618.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>968.210...........</td>
<td>Procedures for obtaining approval of a modernization program</td>
<td>905.618.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. Public Comments

The Department received 48 comments on its April 26, 1991 proposed rule (56 FR 19434). The commenters included 30 public and Indian housing authorities, three municipalities, two resident organizations, the Council for Large PHAs (CLPHA), the National Association of Housing Redevelopment Officials (NAHRO), the PHA Directors Association (PHADA), and the National Housing Law Project.

Following the publication of the proposed rule, HUD officials met with representatives from CLPHA, NAHRO and PHADA to discuss their comments on the proposed rule. Representatives of resident organizations and IHAs were also invited to the meeting, but were unable to attend. This final rule reflects public comments received during the rulemaking process, many of which were reiterated at HUD's July 18, 1991 meeting with public housing organizations.

Paperwork Burden

Numerous objections were raised by commenters in response to the proposed paperwork requirements in the CGP rule. Many of these commenters indicated that the proposed CGP paperwork requirements reflected a significant increase in the paperwork burden currently being imposed upon larger PHAs and IHAs under the CIAP. Others asserted that HUD's estimate of the "burden hours" and "hours per response" were unrealistic, and argued further that merely consolidating paperwork into "fewer primary documents" would not necessarily result in PHAs spending less time preparing those documents.

Two commenters, a housing authority and a municipality, maintained that HUD had failed to fully recognize the significant departure in modernization management spawned by the Housing Act of 1987 and the NAHA. They asserted that the Department continued to rely on the CIAP model, "...with its corresponding over-regulation, federal control, and burdensome paperwork requirements," rather than to envision the broader array of mod activities that a PHA might now pursue.

Another commenter asserted that the proposed level of detail in the comprehensive plan and annual statement exceeded the level authorized by the 1987 Act and, therefore, runs contrary to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

In response to these public comments, the Department has reassessed the CGP submission and reporting requirements and made significant changes. For example, the executive summary has been simplified, and the high needs component has been omitted. In addition, the required level of detail for the physical and management needs assessments and the performance and evaluation report has been reduced significantly. Although the 1987 Act does not require an assessment of "each project" of the PHA, the final rule nevertheless permits a more general description of the needed improvements (e.g., bathrooms) at each development. HUD has also made substantial changes in the overall program approach so as to increase the level of discretion accorded to PHAs, while lessening the degree of oversight by HUD.

Although the Department has reduced the level of detail, it acknowledges that the paperwork burden for the submission and reporting requirements in this final rule are greater than the estimates provided in the proposed rule. Specifically, the Department has recognized the intensity of effort that PHAs must undertake to thoroughly assess both physical and management needs, initially, and revised the burden hours accordingly. In the first year of program implementation (i.e., in FY 1992, for PHAs with 500 or more units and, in FY 1993, for PHAs with 250 to 499 units), the burden hours have been revised to reflect the level of effort required to develop the comprehensive plan, inclusive of the resident and local government involvement. In subsequent years, however, the submission and reporting requirements will be greatly reduced from that of the initial year. HUD believes that the revised paperwork burden now accurately reflects the submission and reporting requirements in this final CGP rule.

Another commenter questioned HUD's capacity to implement the new CGP modernization program, as proposed in the April 1991 rulemaking. This commenter asserted that the amount of paperwork to be submitted to HUD for review and approval under the CGP represented a significant increase over the current CIAP submission and reporting requirements, and expressed doubt as to whether HUD staff could respond appropriately to these expanded program requirements. In
addition, HUD was asked to extend the implementation date for the CGP because the Department "appeared to have some difficulty in developing the PHMAP."

While the Department appreciates these concerns, it believes that it has the capacity to carry out the requirements of the CGP, even more so now that the program requirements have been scaled back significantly. In addition, the implementation of the program has been greatly assisted as a result of joint HUD/NAHRO training conducted for PHAs, residents and HUD staff at various sites around the country during the spring and summer of 1991. HUD believes that although there are always uncertainties at the beginning of any new program, it will be possible to effectively implement the CGP in FY 1992, thereby providing the benefits of predictable formula funding to PHAs at the earliest possible time.

Nevertheless, the Department does concede that additional time is needed before it can implement the mod troubled portion of the PHMAP program. Hence, the Department will designate PHAs as mod troubled under PHMAP in FY 1993 and will implement the authority under section 500(a)(5)(A) to reduce a PHA's formula allocation under the CGP based upon its designation as a mod troubled agency under PHMAP in that FY. HUD intends to use such designations for purposes of reducing mod troubled PHAs' formula allocations beginning in FY 1993. HUD fully intends in each succeeding year to carry out the statutory mandate to reduce a mod troubled PHA's formula allocation under the CGP.

Purpose and Applicability (§§ 905.600 and 908.101)

A. General

A commenter urged HUD to adopt as the title of the program the name given to it by NAHA, i.e., "the Public and Indian Housing Modernization program." The commenter stated that such a change was appropriate since annual grants to PHAs will no longer be for the "comprehensive modernization" of any individual development, but will be used flexibly by the PHA according to the modernization strategy it deems best.

HUD has not adopted this comment since, although section 509(g) establishes the "Public and Indian Housing Modernization" as the name of the modernization programs under section 14, there nevertheless remain two separate modernization programs within this umbrella designation. Namely the CIAP under 24 CFR part 908 (Subpart B), and 24 CFR part 905 (Subpart I), for modernization grants to PHAs and IHA's with fewer than 500 public housing units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FY 1993), and the CGP under CFR part 906 (Subpart C), and 24 CFR part 905 (Subpart I), for formula funded grants to PHAs with 500 or more public housing units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FY 1993). Moreover, HUD notes that the designation, "Comprehensive Grant," has a statutory basis since it derives from the House Committee Report to the 1987 Act (see reference to "[P]ublic Housing Comprehensive Grants" contained in H.R. Rep. No. 122, 100th Cong. 1st Sess. 20, 1987).

Further, under the CGP, PHAs will plan comprehensively for all developments in their inventory, although the improvements may be carried out over numerous years. HUD does not intend that the CGP will be operated in the same manner as "comprehensive modernization" under the CIAP. Rather, HUD supports emphatically the statutory mandate under the CGP which requires PHAs to identify the total needs of all developments and, thereafter, to prioritize the use of available funds to meet all or some of those needs over the next one-year period in the annual statement (or up to two years, if so elected by a PHA), and over the next five-year period in the action plan. Under the CGP approach, a PHA may also spend its grant funds at various developments and for various purposes, consistent with the action plan and annual statement. This program approach differs significantly from the CIAP where funds have to be spent for specific mod types, i.e., comprehensive, special purpose, emergency, lead-based paint or homeownership modernization.

One housing authority, however, objected to the difference in program approaches between the CGP and CIAP modernization programs, arguing that the proposed CGP abandons the CIAP's emphasis on "comprehensive" improvements and, when combined with the final PHMAP regulations, sets up PHAs to fail, albeit under the guise of greater PHA discretion and flexibility. The Department does not believe that this prediction is accurate. The Comprehensive Grant program permits a PHA to totally modernize its developments over a short or long period of time, depending upon the availability of funds and its own competing priorities. HUD notes also that, in response to public comments, it has made significant changes to the PHMAP program, which are reflected in the published PHMAP interim rule.

Many of these revisions affect the timeframes in which a PHA must improve performance in failed areas. Accordingly, HUD believes that the CGP, in conjunction with the PHMAP, will provide greater PHA discretion and flexibility over modernization activities, while more effectively and efficiently upgrading the public housing stock.

Three commenters noted that HUD appeared to imply in its April 1991 proposed rule that a new modernization program was limited by statute to larger PHAs. These commenters stated that nothing in the 1987 Act prevented HUD from adopting for PHAs with fewer than 500 units a modernization program or allocation system that is simpler than the CIAP. Furthermore, they contended that Congress intended expressly that the revised modernization program under section 14 would provide to all PHAs (both large and small) greater discretion, local control and flexibility in the planning and implementation of modernization activities. Consequently, these commenters urged HUD to establish simplified procedures for making modernization grants to small PHAs, citing in particular that PHAs with fewer than 250 units should not be required to prepare CPMs at all, while the CPM requirements for PHAs with 250-500 units should be greatly simplified.

Initially, HUD notes that section 119 of the 1987 Act, which established the Comprehensive Grant program, applies expressly to PHAs that own or operate 500 or more units. This is clear from numerous and explicit references throughout the legislative text to the 500-units threshold for participation in the CGP (see, for example, section 14(e)(1), (e)(2)(A), (e)(4)(A), and (e)(8)(B) of the Act, as added by section 119).

Furthermore, the purpose of section 119 is limited almost exclusively to establishing the Comprehensive Grant program, and to making conforming amendments to the CIAP so as to limit its applicability to PHAs with fewer than 500 units [fewer than 250 units beginning in FY 1993]. Hence, when section 119(a) provides that "[i]t is the purpose of the amendments made by this section" to provide "considerable discretion to PHAs to decide the specific improvements, the manner of their execution, and the timing of the expenditure of funds in the modernization of projects," it is referring to the amendments establishing the Comprehensive Grant Program, and not the CIAP.

This interpretation is supported by the fact that section 119(a) states that another statutory purpose of the section
119 amendments is to provide PHAs with “greater control in planning and expending funds” under the Act. This statutory purpose is implemented expressly in the CGP by section 14[e][4][D] of the Act which states that, “[I]n administering this section, the Secretary shall, to the greatest extent possible, respect the professional judgment of the administrators of the public housing agency.” There is no comparable language in the CIAP, either as a result of section 119 of the 1987 Act, or subsequent enactments (including the NAHA).

Finally, it should be noted that HUD does not have the discretion unilaterally to abolish in the CIAP the statutory requirement for a comprehensive assessment of needs. Section 14(d) of the Act expressly states that:

Except as provided in subsection (f)(4), no assistance may be made available under subsection (b) to a public housing agency that owns or operates less than 500 units (250 units beginning in FY 1989) unless the Secretary has approved an application from the public housing agency which has been developed in consultation with appropriate local officials and with tenants of the housing projects for which assistance is requested. Such application shall contain at least—** [list of mandatory comprehensive assessment requirements.]

Consequently, HUD may not remove the requirement for a comprehensive assessment of need under the CIAP in the absence of a legislative amendment to section 14. Hence, a PHA receiving modernization funds under the CIAP must submit to HUD a comprehensive assessment (except in the case of emergencies). Nevertheless, there is no legal prohibition to HUD’s simplifying (as opposed to eliminating) the comprehensive assessment of need, as well as other facets of the CIAP, and the Department fully intends to propose such modifications in a separate rulemaking.

A couple of commenters criticized HUD for publishing the October 1988 proposed rule for the Comprehensive Grant program, stating that there had been no Congressional authorization for a “new comprehensive grants program.” They maintained that the 1987 Act amended section 14, primarily by adding statutory requirements concerning modernization plans, action strategies and procedural simplifications for PHAs with more than 500 units. Thus, these commenters maintained that the statutory provisions could have been implemented as changes to the CIAP, rather than as authority for an “entirely new” Comprehensive Grant program. Accordingly, they urged HUD to remove from the final CGP rule any reference to a “new program of comprehensive grants” being authorized by the 1987 Act.

The Department has not adopted this comment since it believes that section 119 of the 1987 Act did, indeed, intend to establish a new program of “comprehensive grants” for large PHAs, rather than simply to amend the existing CIAP. This view is supported by the House Committee Report to the 1987 Act (H.R. Rep. No. 122, 100th Cong., 1st Sess. 21 (1987)) which provides under the heading of “Public housing comprehensive grants:”

The Committee is interested in considering an alternative system for providing sufficient funds on a reliable and consistent basis to permit PHAs to develop and implement a comprehensive rehabilitation and maintenance program ** * [Emphasis added.]

HUD's view is supported further by express statutory language as well. Section 509 of the NAHA established a 500-unit threshold (to be reduced to 250 units beginning in FY 1989) as the cutoff for PHA participation in the CGP, and provided expressly in section 509(k)(2)[D][iii][e] that “the amount determined under the formula for each agency that owns or operates 500 or more units shall be allocated to each qualifying agency in accordance with subsection (e) [i.e., in accordance with the requirements of the Public Housing Comprehensive Grant program].

Finally, section 509(g) establishes a “transition” provision from the CIAP to the CGP, and states:

Any amount that the Secretary has obligated to a public housing agency under this section other than pursuant to the program established under subsection (e) [i.e., under the Comprehensive Grant program], shall be used for purposes consistent with an action plan submitted by the agency under subsection (e) and approved by the Secretary, as the agency determines to be appropriate. [Emphasis added.]

HUD construes these provisions as evidencing a clear congressional intent to establish an alternative, new program of modernization for larger PHAs, to be designated as “Public Housing Comprehensive Grant.” Accordingly, the Department has retained without modification its proposed distinction between the CIAP and Comprehensive Grant programs.

A housing authority asserted that early discussions on the CGP considered the notion of fungibility of operating and CGP funds. This commenter urged that a HUD-PHA dialogue be established to discuss the development of a system which would allocate to a PHA both PFS and CGP funds at the beginning of the PHA's fiscal year, and which would permit the PHA to use the combined income to develop its operating and capital budgets.

HUD has not adopted this recommendation since operating subsidy and CGP funding cannot, in the absence of a legislative amendment, be combined into one income source for PHAs because they derive from separate appropriations. Operating subsidy supplements a PHA’s income to ensure that its developments are properly maintained and managed. CGP funds, on the other hand, are intended to provide for long-term capital improvements. While a PHA may utilize its operating subsidy (i.e., the extraordinary maintenance account) to fund certain capital improvements, it may not use modernization funds for routine operating expenses.

A number of commenters asserted that, although section 119 of the 1987 Act provided explicit guidance to HUD concerning the purposes of the Act, HUD chose to ignore these statutory objectives when it promulgated its April 1981 proposed rule. As an example, these commenters stated that even though section 119(a) states that HUD is to provide PHAs with greater authority and flexibility in planning and administering their own modernization programs, the Department actually proposed to increase its control over a PHA’s modernization activities. These commenters also pointed to section 14[e][4][D] of the Act, which requires HUD "** * to respect, to the greatest extent possible, the professional judgment of a PHA’s administrators," and asserted that HUD had actually afforded very little discretion to PHAs under the proposed rule.

As a result of these comments, the Department has reassessed its overall program approach under the proposed CGP rule, and has revamped significantly the requirements contained in this final rule so as to decrease the level of HUD control over PHA modernization activities, while increasing PHA discretion and responsibility. These changes will be discussed throughout this preamble, under the appropriate program components (e.g., needs assessments, action plan, annual statement, viability determinations, program monitoring, etc.).

The New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) objected to HUD’s failure to create finer distinctions among PHAs with more than 500 units. The NYCHA maintained that although HUD recognized its uniquely large size by excluding it from the formula, the
Department had failed to propose abbreviated program submission requirements for very large PHAs, such as the NYCHA. Initially, the Department wants to point out that section 14 of the Act does not differentiate between the types of submissions to be made by PHAs participating in the CGP, irrespective of their size. Nevertheless, HUD believes that the NYCHA will be benefited, along with all other PHAs participating in the CGP, as a result of the simplified program submission requirements contained in this final rule.

A PHA organization urged HUD to remove the provision in the proposed rule which would permit a PHA to use modernization funds for homeownership development, claiming that section 14(b) and (c) of the Act restricts the use of modernization funds to rental housing developments. This commenter maintained that, since homeownership developments do not provide "rental housing," the provision of the rule which authorizes the use of modernization funds for homeownership developments must be removed. In addition, the commenter requested that HUD add to the final rule the statutory objective of ensuring the continued availability of public housing units "as rental housing."

Initially, the Department wants to clarify that the issue raised by this commenter, as well as all of the ensuing discussion, pertains to Turnkey III and Mutual Help homeownership developments. Public housing rental developments which are planned for homeownership conversion under sections 5(h) or 21 of the Act, or pursuant to HOPE I or II, but which have not yet been sold by a PHA, continue to qualify for assistance under parts 905 and 906 as "low-rent" developments.

With respect to the issue raised by the commenter, HUD has considered this matter in some detail and, as a result, is making a number of changes in this final rule with respect to Turnkey III and Mutual Help homeownership developments operating under the CIAP and CCP. HUD's approach, and its rationale for this approach, is set forth below:

The Department believes, notwithstanding section 14(b) and (c) of the Act which limit the use of modernization assistance for "low-rent housing projects which * * * are operated as rental housing projects * * *" that it has implicit authority under section 509(k)(2)(D)(i) of the NAHA to permit a PHA to use CIAP and CCP modernization funds for a homeownership development. That section establishes the method for counting public housing units, not only for purposes of determining the threshold for participation in the CGP and CIAP, but also for assessing the relative modernization needs of PHAs, and provides specifically that:

In determining how many units an agency owns or operates and the relative modernization needs of agencies, the Secretary shall count each existing unit under the annual contributions contract, except that an existing unit under the Turnkey III and Mutual Help programs may be counted as less than one unit, to take into account the responsibility of families for the costs of certain maintenance and repair * * *

(Emphasis added.)

Thus, this provision authorizes HUD to affirmatively count (to a greater or lesser extent) the number of Turnkey III and Mutual Help units owned or operated by a PHA for purposes of determining its relative modernization needs. Since Congress clearly intended to provide additional assistance under the formula for a PHA's homeownership units, the Department believes that it is implicitly authorized, notwithstanding section 14(b) and (c) of the Act, to permit PHAs to use modernization assistance for their homeownership developments.

In addition, section 516 of the NAHA provides express authority for an IHA to comprehensively modernize it Mutual Help units using no more than a single CIAP grant (or to "substantially rehabilitate" its Mutual Help units using no more than a single CCP grant). In this final rule, HUD is providing that it will count as one unit (instead of as three-quarters of a unit, as proposed in the April 1991 rule), each existing Mutual Help unit under the CGP. However, once a Mutual Help unit has been funded for substantial rehabilitation under CCP, it will be phased out over a period of three years for purposes of the threshold for participation in the CGP, and for the formula. As a result, to the extent that an IHA wants to provide additional limited physical and management improvements for a Mutual Help unit which has already been substantially rehabilitated, it would have to do so using modernization funds allocated on behalf of its other units.

Under both the CIAP and CCP, HUD is limiting the improvements which may be carried out in a Mutual Help homeownership development (aside from the comprehensive modernization/substantial rehabilitation authorized by section 516 of the Act) to those authorized under the definition of "homeownership modernization." In addition, HUD is implementing section 509(d) of the NAHA with respect to homeownership developments, so that a PHA may carry out special purpose modernization for such developments under CIAP.

HUD is providing a different modernization approach for Turnkey III developments, because of a lack of statutory authority similar to that provided by section 516 of the NAHA for Mutual Help developments, and also because of differences in the statutory requirements under the CIAP and CGP.

For Turnkey III developments under the CIAP only, section 14(i)(1)(C), (D) and (E) of the Act prescribes the types of improvements which may be carried out in homeownership developments, i.e., emergency and special purpose physical and management improvements. After careful consideration, the Department has come to the conclusion that this statutory provision does not authorize the comprehensive modernization of a Turnkey III development under CIAP, as proposed by HUD in the April 1991 rule. For this reason, the Department has removed in this final rule the proposed provision which appeared at §§ 905.615(f)(3) and 905.625(f)(2), and which provided for the comprehensive modernization of a Turnkey III development using a "single CIAP grant."

In its place, HUD is providing that vacant or non-homebuyer occupied units in Turnkey III developments are eligible under the CIAP not only for the physical improvements authorized under the definition of emergency and special purpose modernization, but also for special purpose management improvements, as authorized by section 509(d) of the NAHA. HUD intends to broadly construe by handbook the definition of "special purpose modernization" so as to give PHAs the greatest amount of latitude in carrying out physical and management improvements in vacant or non-homebuyer occupied Turnkey III developments.

The Department also is removing for purposes of vacant or non-homebuyer-occupied Turnkey III developments the one-time limitation on special purpose modernization, which currently exists under the CIAP. It should be noted, however, that before a PHA may be approved for special purpose modernization of a unit in this section, it must first deplete all funds remaining in any Earned Home Payments Account (EHPA) or Non-Routine Maintenance Reserve (NMR) which pertains to the unit and, to prevent duplicate funding of any activity, exhaust the maximum operating subsidy for which the vacant unit is eligible. In this manner, the
Department hopes to promote the Department's priority objective of accelerating the rate at which homeownership opportunities are provided for low-income families.

In the case of homebuyer-occupied Turnkey III developments under the CIAP, HUD is continuing to limit the improvements which may be carried out in such developments to those authorized under the definition of "homeownership modernization." HUD's approach is based on the fact that the homebuyer family is responsible for the costs of certain maintenance and repair. However, HUD is allowing Turnkey III developments to be funded for management improvements under special purpose modernization.

Finally, HUD notes that, unlike the CIAP, there are no restrictions in the Comprehensive Grant program on the type or extent of assistance which may be provided by a PHA on behalf of its Turnkey III developments, i.e., there is no language under the CGP which is comparable to that of section 14(i)(1)(C), (D) and (E). Hence, HUD has the discretion under the CGP to permit a PHA to substantially rehabilitate its vacant or non-homebuyer-occupied Turnkey III units.

For this reason, HUD has decided to retain with three modifications the provision which appeared at §§ 905.615(f)(6) and 985.310(b)(2) of the April 1991 proposed rule, and which authorized a PHA to comprehensively modernize its vacant or non-homebuyer-occupied Turnkey III units using no more than a single CGP grant. The first modification consists of removing the reference to "comprehensive modernization," and using the term "substantial rehabilitation" instead. Moreover, HUD has included a definition of the term "substantial rehabilitation," which is defined to mean a modernization program for a development which provides for all physical and management improvements needed to meet the modernization and energy conservation standards and which ensures its long-term physical and social viability.

Second, HUD is removing the language which limited the substantial rehabilitation of the development to "no more than a single CGP grant." Instead, HUD is providing in this final rule that a PHA may substantially rehabilitate its Turnkey III units whenever they become vacant or are occupied by a non-homebuyer. As stated above, this approach is intended to modernize and upgrade the units so as to facilitate the sale of homeownership developments to low-income families.

The third modification consists of requiring a PHA to deplete all funds remaining in any tenant equity account or Non-Routine Maintenance Reserve (NRMR) pertaining to the unit before approving funds for the substantial rehabilitation of the unit, and to request the maximum operating subsidy. The April 1991 proposed rule authorized a PHA to retain certain funds in the NRMR even though it was comprehensively modernizing the unit.

Finally, a Turnkey III unit under the CGP which is homebuyer-occupied may receive only limited physical and management improvements, to take into account the responsibility of the homebuyer family for certain maintenance and repair costs. The final rule has been revised to reflect the changes discussed above with respect to Mutual Help and Turnkey III homeownership developments.

B. Transition

HUD was urged to ease the transition from the CIAP to the Comprehensive Grant program in the first year by allowing any PHA with over 500 units to use, without modification, the needs assessments contained in the PHA's existing CPM. In addition, a number of commenters stated that the proposed rule failed to consider the effect of the new CGP on MROP or comprehensive modernization that is underway, but not yet completely funded. These commenters urged that money should be set aside during a transition period to complete such work, or that modernization activities under pending multistage CIAP developments be "melded" into the CGP comprehensive plan, in a manner deemed appropriate by the PHA. Alternatively, they asserted that if PHAs have to "scale down" their planned mod improvements at certain developments, the PHAs should have the option to adjust the intended use and the expenditure schedule for previously received but unspent CIAP funds.

Initially, the Department notes that it does not have the authority to waive the statutory requirement under section 14(e)(1)(A) and (B) that a PHA must submit a physical and management needs assessment. Nevertheless, a PHA may complete its physical needs assessment for the CCP using information which already exists, and which is available to the PHA. Moreover, HUD has eliminated the requirement that a PHA complete Form HUD-52827 with respect to each of its developments, and has simplified significantly the physical and management needs assessments by permitting the assessments to cover broad categories of work, rather than detailed and itemized listings of work. These modifications should facilitate greatly the PHA's ability to use its existing CPM without major modifications.

The Department also wants to point out that it has no statutory authority to establish a set-aside to complete existing CIAP projects. Pursuant to section 14(o) of the Act, each PHA must operate within its formula amount, combined with its unobligated CIAP funds, to complete work already approved under CIAP or work approved in the action plan under the CGP. This means that the PHA may revise work previously planned to make way for competing priorities.

Allocation of Funds Under Section 14 (§§ 905.601 and 905.103).

A. General

One commenter stated that, although the 1987 Act required HUD to propose to Congress alternative methods for allocating modernization funds to PHAs, the Department had failed to do so. The Department disagrees with this comment, noting that in April of 1990, HUD submitted to the Congress the statutorily required report entitled, "Alternative Methods for Funding Public Housing Modernization," which analyzed nine alternative methods for funding the backlog of modernization needs, and three alternative methods for funding the accrual of new modernization needs.

A housing authority objected to HUD's proposal to delete the requirement contained in the October, 1988 proposed rule which stated that, "[T]he Secretary shall review the relative needs for restoring public housing shown by the comprehensive plans in HUD's regional or field offices." The commenter stated that since it is uncertain what kind of plan will be adopted for allocating assistance, any such change to the CPM must be adopted by Congress.

The Department has not adopted this suggestion, since the NAHA established a formula which provides for the allocation of funds under the Comprehensive Grant program. Thus, this statutory allocation of funds supersedes the requirement contained in the 1987 Act which states that the Secretary, in establishing the funding for any agency, shall review the relative needs shown in comprehensive plans. Thus, the statutory language under the 1987 Act is now ineffective and may not be implemented.
Another housing authority asked HUD to spell out in the final rule the allocation formula so that PHAs can run for themselves the formula, using their own numbers. The Department wants to point out that the proposed rule established the explicit methods by which HUD will derive estimates of PHA backlog and accrual need. A PHA can compute these estimates itself, provided it has the necessary validated data. However, in order to compute the actual formula amounts, a PHA would also have to know the validated estimates for all other PHAs. These estimates will require a computer computation that can only be generated at HUD using the complete database for all PHAs and PHA aggregates.

A PHA organization urged HUD to develop and distribute, before the formula is implemented as well as on a continuing basis thereafter, the formula’s predictions of individual development-level, and PHA-level modernization needs. The commenter requested that such predictions be based upon estimates of the modernization needs of those developments (either as developed by the PHA or the HUD field officer; as contained in a PHA’s comprehensive plan; or based upon the actual costs that have been required to complete the modernization of a development with similar characteristics and needs).

The Department notes that there is merit to the commenter’s request to evaluate predictions of need at the development level, and PHA level, in comparison to actual need. However, with respect to the current implementation of the formula, the Department notes that the allocation method provided in this regulation is statutorily mandated. However, HUD will consider this commenter’s recommendation for purposes of developing its plans for the statutorily required evaluation of the CGP.

A commenter asked that each PHA annually be given a full explanation of its annual grant award amount, which would include for each development: (1) The backlog need for each development (as estimated by the formula, unadjusted by other factors); (2) the adjustments made by HUD (stated separately for inflation, accrual and the deduction of previously received CIAP and MROP funds); and (3) the amount of annual accrual funding for the development, as predicted by the formula. The commenter also requested that HUD include the characteristics of each development, as used by HUD in the formula, so that the PHA could check these factors for accuracy and conformity with the development characteristics supplied to HUD by the PHA.

The Department notes that prior to implementing the formula in FY 1992, HUD will provide PHAs with the formula characteristics of each development, which the PHA must validate and review for accuracy. Once the data are reexamined by HUD and prepared for use in the formula, they will be provided to the PHA.

Two commenters asked that the formula provide explicitly that, whenever the number of units owned or operated by a PHA is reduced as a result of “breakthroughs” (i.e., the conversion of smaller units into larger ones), HUD will not adjust the PHA’s formula share. These commenters also requested that HUD adopt a method for including in the formula those units which are added to a PHA’s inventory via development.

The Department wants to clarify that for purposes of determining a PHA’s formula allocation amount, it is required by the NAHA to utilize the number of existing units under the ACC.

Consequently, to the extent that a breakthrough results in a diminished unit count under the ACC, HUD is required to adopt this reduced unit count for formula purposes. However, HUD intends to phase in over a three-year period the reduced ACC unit count, in a manner similar to that provided for units lost through demolition or disposition. It should be noted, however, that even though breakthrough units result in a reduced unit count under the ACC, the reduction in units will be counterbalanced under the formula, at least to some extent, by the expanded size of the units.

In response to the commenters’ second concern, the Department notes that new units added via development will be added to the overall unit count, and their characteristics reflected in the PHA Formula Characteristics Report, as long as they have a DOFA date before the first day of the FFY in which the formula is being run (e.g., a DOFA date of September 30, 1991 or earlier for the 1992 FFY appropriation). New units that are added to the ACC after that date will be counted for formula purposes as of the following FFY.

A couple of housing authorities asserted that the proposed formula allocation system is based upon data which did not take into consideration the cost of lead-based paint abatement. These commenters urged that allocations for lead-based paint abatement be separate from, and in addition to, CGP formula allocations. Although the Department has not adopted these comments in this rulemaking, it appreciates the concerns raised by these commenters and intends to examine this issue further in the course of developing its report to the Congress on the relative needs of PHAs for lead-based paint testing and abatement.

A housing authority commented that Congress should appropriate money for various “funding categories,” including a Secretary’s Discretionary and Emergency fund, as well as individual funds for Indian Housing, Major Redevelopment, Hazardous Materials, the Annual Grants program for large PHAs, and a simplified CIAP for small PHAs. While HUD believes that this comment should more appropriately be addressed to the Congress than to HUD, the Department nevertheless notes that it would not support the establishment of “funding categories.” It is HUD’s view that a broad-based formula funded program like the Comprehensive Grant program permits PHAs to address any needs they may have, rather than being bound by a categorical grant system, such as the one proposed by the commenter.

B. Formula Factors

Several commenters raised concerns about the adequacy of the statutory formula for allocating modernization funds. One housing authority asserted that the CGP tends to favor PHAs who are mod complete or close to mod complete, while it disfavors PHAs with a large backlog of modernization needs. Another housing authority asserted that the formula favors large inner-city and East Coast housing authorities, while a third commenter asserted that the formula favors large family developments, and suggested that instead of adopting a bedroom standard, that buildings be weighted instead by type of construction and total square footage.

A housing authority stated that the formula fails to consider modernization of a PHA’s elderly housing stock, and does not take into account all unique regional needs. Another commenter maintained the formula failed to take into account the degree to which a development had been modernized, even though “this was the most important factor affecting developments’ modernization needs at the time of the 1985 inspections.” The commenter urged
that this factor be reflected in the formula, rather than as a deduction for past modernization.

A commenter also objected to the requirement contained in the formula which states that HUD shall allocate 50 percent of mod funding via a backlog formula, and 50 percent via an accrual formula, arguing that the backlog formula should play a much greater role in distributing mod money than the accrual formula.

Finally, a commenter asserted that the formula failed to estimate adequately the modernization needs of higher need developments, and suggested that this might be because the HUD/Abt study defined "modernization needs" as the combination of "FIX" plus "ADDS," which effectively eliminated such excluded activities as redesign and reconstruction. The commenter asserted that because redesign and reconstruction activities were not encompassed by the definitions of "FIX" and "ADDS," they were not included in the formula, even though funding for these activities is desperately needed.

The formula factors for assessing a PHA's backlog and accrual needs are, of course, spelled out in the statute. The Department believes that it is not appropriate to seek any change in the formula elements at this time, but rather will consider these concerns in developing its plans for the statutorily required evaluation of the CGP. The Department wants to point out that, consistent with the HUD Report to Congress, it is including in this final rule a provision which authorizes HUD to evaluate upward by a constant ratio of 1.5 the level of backlog need for developments constructed prior to 1985. The purpose of calibrating a PHA's backlog need in this manner is to update the costs of modernizing the categories of backlog need for a PHA's public housing stock.

A commenter objected to the fact that, under the formula, many PHAs are assigned similar annual formula allocations, even though their actual modernization needs are widely divergent. As an example, this commenter pointed out that the public housing stock of Washington, DC and Seattle, Washington are highly divergent, but nevertheless lead to similar per unit allocation amounts under the formula.

The Department concedes that while the formula may result in certain anomalies, by and large these anomalies are infrequent. Moreover, sometimes apparent anomalies are based upon sound reasons. For example, the cost estimates used by the independent contractor, and which are reflected in the formula, take into account the inter-area costs of production. Assuming that all other factors are equal, a high cost area can expect to receive 20 to 30 percent more per unit than a low cost area.

In addition, while a PHA may be known to have certain high need developments, it might also have numerous low and low need developments which tend to lower its average need. Meanwhile, a PHA in the more favored setting, and with the more favorable reputation, might have many large family developments with significant needs.

Thus, HUD believes that the backlog formula represents well the needs found in the Inspections conducted for the Abt study of the backlog of modernization needs. While it is true, as stated in the HUD report to Congress, that the backlog portion of the formula is based upon a model, rather than upon direct estimates, the accrual model was the subject of substantial discussion with representatives of public housing agencies and Congressional staff, and was considered to be the best available method for estimating the future accrual of capital needs in public housing developments.

A housing authority requested that HUD establish a minimum set-aside of $10 million per region to address local "unique circumstances," and to complement or "lend balance" to the nationally-based formula. A similar proposal was advanced by two other commenters, who asked that HUD establish a "high needs fund." These commenters urged that the "high needs fund" could be used to provide additional funds for developments or PHAs whose actual needs for modernization or redevelopment significantly exceeded the level of need predicted by the formula.

Although HUD can appreciate these commenters' concerns, it does not have the statutory authority under either the 1987 Act or the NAHA to establish such a set-aside. To the extent, however, that a PHA can establish that it has "unique circumstances," in accordance with the regulatory criteria established in this final rule, it may appeal the amount of its formula allocation, and HUD will make any necessary adjustments to the subsequent year's appropriation.

A couple of commenters maintained that the funding levels determined by the backlog formula are determined almost entirely by two characteristics of a PHA's developments: The number of bedrooms per unit, and the proportion of a PHA's family units that are in a high-rise building. These commenters pointed out that there are a large number of older low-rise developments, which do not have a higher-than-average number of bedrooms per unit, and which have received below-average amounts of mod funding in the past, which appear to be significantly discriminated against by the formula. The commenter argued that this is the largest group of developments for which a systematic adjustment to the formula needs to be made.

The Department notes that, although certain formula factors refer to the number of bedrooms per unit, these factors have different meanings and funding results. For instance, the total number of two-bedroom units in a PHA is a measure of PHA size. However, the average number of bedrooms per unit and the proportion of large-family units proxy the elderly, family, and large family proportions of a PHA, while the high-rise indicator emphasizes the high-rise aspect of family developments.

It should be noted, moreover, that the age and population loss indicators, as well as the cost indicators, can also play a significant role in the backlog formula. Under the formula, the population loss indicator can be expected to assist PHAs facing difficult urban circumstances, many of whom may have large, older, low-rise buildings.

Moreover, all of the statutory formula indicators were based upon previous studies of the factors that cause differences in PHA needs, and those factors were then compared to PHA needs as shown by actual inspections of a large sample of public housing units. The comparison showed very similar measures of need. The comparison method tended to prevent systematic bias for or against certain types of PHAs, although it is very possible that individual PHAs will be overfunded or underfunded relative to some measure of need, such as standard inspections undertaken for all developments in all PHAs. However, the Department believes that only greater inequity would result if it were to replace a formula which compares well actual inspection data with subjective judgments of need. HUD will consider further this issue.

Two commenters asserted that the regional cost variation factor should not be an independent variable in the formula, but rather an adjustment to both the inputs and outputs of the formula. In this way, they stated, each development would receive 100 percent of the regional cost adjustment that it merits.

The Department wants to point out that, as indicated in the HUD report to Congress, the method used in the formula provided a better fit between
the formula estimates and the cost estimates derived from the inspections than a method that adjusted both the inputs and outputs of the formula. It should be noted, also, that the cost variable adjusts below the regional level, typically to the metropolitan area (or non-metro area) in which the PHA is located.

These same commenters also contended that the population decline variable used in the formula has only a "tenously plausible" relationship to variations in mod need at the development level. They urged HUD to eliminate this variable, and to add other variables which improve substantially the "fit," such as: degree days (operating budget); household income; prior mod funding received (1981-84); number of children; and percentage of elderly. Both commenters asserted that much of this information is readily available to HUD from Form-50058 and PHA budget submissions.

The Department disagrees with this comment, since the population decline variable has been proven to be effective not only in the statistical sense of fitting the formula to direct inspection costs at the development and PHA level, but also as a proxy of urban social and fiscal stress. In addition, HUD notes that this variable, like all of the other formula variables, is statutorily mandated and HUD has no discretion to eliminate or modify this factor.

Another commenter maintained that the sampling plan for the study was not "adequately stratified" to capture adequate information concerning the needs of high needs developments. As a result, the commenter contended that the total mod needs at the national level are somewhat underestimated, while the needs of higher need developments are greatly underestimated in the formula predictions of PHA backlog at these developments. Moreover, the commenter asserted that the PHAs most likely to be affected by this bias are those that have high-need developments with characteristics for which there are no compensating counter-biases in the formula.

The Department disagrees with this comment, since the sampling was, in fact, stratified to oversample high needs developments. This sampling plan was developed by an independent contractor, and was scrutinized by a research advisory group which had technical advice provided by representatives of PHAs with a large proportion of high needs developments. A couple of commenters complained that the accrual formula is primarily based upon a set of hypothetical constructs, which does not include certain important factors that contribute to modernization costs, such as the cost of lead paint abatement, improved handicapped accessibility, and other factors required by law. The Department agrees that the accrual formula is based upon a model set of hypothetical constructs; however, this model was based on the actual age of systems data and was constructed with the assistance of public housing experts.

Other commenters pointed out that the actual formula contains no variable indicating that high-rise buildings have a higher rate of accrual of mod needs, even though high-rises are given greater weight in the backlog formula. They suggested that the accrual formula be changed to include either an independent variable, or a proportionality factor, which would scale the rate of accrual for any development to its backlog need.

As noted in HUD's report to Congress, the backlog formula was based on actual inspections, while the accrual formula was based on a physical model. The actual inspections showed that high-rise family units, indeed, had very high backlog needs. On the other hand, the accrual model, in which square footage and average number of systems per unit played a major role, would often predict a lower accrual cost per unit for a high-rise family development than for a comparable low-rise development, because the high-rise development had physical economies of scale such as less square footage of roof per unit. Therefore, the accrual formula reflects these predictions of the accrual model on which the formula is based.

(For purposes of clarity, HUD notes that in construing all terms used in the statutory indicators for estimating backlog and accrual need, it will utilize the meanings cited in Appendix B of the HUD Report to the Congress on Alternative Methods for Funding Public Housing Modernization (April 1990).)

C. Deduction for Prior CIAP and MROP

The Department received several comments concerning the proposed method for deducting prior years' CIAP and MROP funding. One commenter asserted that, given the "rapidly expanding requirements" for PHAs to spend mod funding for purposes other than those included in the "FIX" and "ADDS" categories, the deduction for CIAP funding should represent no more than 60% of the CIAP funding each PHA has received beginning with FY 84. In addition, the deduction for MROP funding should represent no more than 40% of the MROP funding each PHA has received, since an even higher proportion of MROP than of CIAP funding must be spent by the PHA for items that exceed "FIX" and "ADDS."

In addition, a number of commenters urged that the deductions for both CIAP and MROP be made on a development-specific basis, provided that the deduction for any development never exceed the mod backlog need predicted by the formula for that development as of January, 1986 (i.e., there should never be a net negative figure as a result of the deduction which would be carried over and applied to the PHA's other developments). On the other hand, two other housing authorities and PHADA asserted that the deduction for past mod should not exceed 60%, and should be applied on a PHA-wide basis.

Meanwhile, a housing authority maintained that the deduction for previously received mod funding should be totally disregarded. It stated that the enormous amount of backlog and unmet need, along with the additional costs of testing and abatement of lead-based paint and 504 requirements would, in most cases, offset any mod funding received previously. The commenter concluded that, since neither one of the proposed systems for deducting prior mod seemed to be adequate, HUD should allocate mod funds without any deductions for previously-received modernization funds.

A municipality commented that the two methods proposed by HUD for deducting previously received mod funds would produce such different results that each method should be tested on a series of PHAs before a decision is reached. However, the commenter added that the deduction of funds for any specific development should never exceed the amount of need predicted for that development by the formula.

A PHA organization asserted that the proposed rule failed to provide sufficient information on the differences between the two methods of deducting prior years' mod funding to enable the public adequately to compare their impact.

Another commenter disagreed with the proposed adjustment to reduce substantially the backlog formula amount for funds received in the mid-1980's, but less substantially for funds received in the most recent years.

Another municipality argued that since the PHA has not always been involved in determining the level of need for which funding has been provided under the CIAP and MROP programs, it was unfair to apply a deduction based upon their mod funding under these programs. This commenter also asked that, in any event, the 60% deduction should be applied for 1984
through 1991 based upon real dollars, rather than as a straight percentage.

Another commenter asserted that it made little sense to use development funding in general as an adjustment to a formula which already considers the age of developments.

In addition, a commenter maintained that it was unclear whether HUD intended, after deducting the amount of CIAP and in FY 1989 and 1990 and 1985, to continue deducting mod funds received under the CGP. This commenter pointed out that if HUD intended to follow the latter approach, the backlog needs of most PHAs could become negative in a short period of time.

A couple of commenters asserted that the Abt/ICF study substantiated the fact that a relatively high proportion of each PHA’s CIAP funding was being spent for items which fell within the “FIX” plus “ADDS” categories. However, these commenters stated that since that time, PHAs have been spending a larger proportion of their mod money for activities that do not fall within the original “FIX” plus “ADDS” categories. These commenters attribute this pattern to the fact that PHAs have been spending a significant amount of their mod funds on activities that are related to hazardous materials abatement and handicapped accessibility. Consequently, the commenters concluded that the proportion of these expenditures that would be deducted from the backlog estimate needs to be reduced accordingly.

Finally, a commenter maintained that although HUD proposed in its April 1991 rule various methods for making deductions to the backlog amount, the Department failed to propose any particular method. This commenter then asserted that such a provision should have been addressed in the notice-and-comment rulemaking, rather than being left to handbook instructions. In addition, the commenter asserted that HUD should have calculated and specified the impact for individual PHAs of alternative methods of deducting prior mod, including the impact of HUD’s own proposed method, and any other methods considered by HUD.

Initially, HUD notes that the deduction for prior CIAP and MROP is mandated by statute pursuant to section 14(k)(2)(A) of the Act, and HUD does not have the discretion to eliminate this requirement. However, after considering all of the comments, the Department has decided that, because CIAP and MROP met some needs other than those estimated in the formula, it will deduct from the backlog estimate 60 percent of previously received CIAP funds, and 40 percent of previously received MROP funds (and only that amount of MROP which does not exceed the estimated backlog need of a specific MROP development).

In addition, HUD will deduct no more than 50 percent of a PHA’s total estimated backlog need to reflect amounts previously received for CIAP and MROP funding. Equally important, prior to any deduction of CIAP or MROP, all developments constructed prior to 1985 (the date of the Abt inspections) will have their estimated backlog multiplied by 1.5 (or 50 percent)—an adjustment which updates inflation, accrued need, and pipeline funding, and brings the dollar amount of modernization need in 1989 to the equivalent dollar amount of unfunded modernization need. This adjustment provides a current base from which to deduct past modernization funding.

As an example, suppose that a PHA has one development constructed prior to 1985 which: (1) Consists of 500 units; (2) has an estimated formula backlog need of $1 million total; and (3) received a total of $1 million in CIAP funding from 1984 onwards. Prior to the partial deduction of recent CIAP funding, this PHA would have its estimated backlog (based on statistical analysis of a national sample of 1985 inspection cost patterns) raised by 50 percent to estimate its need as of 1989. Thus, its estimate would be raised from $1 million to $1.5 million. Only then would 60 percent of its recent CIAP funding be deducted, subject to an overall cap of 50 percent on the PHA. In this example, 60 percent of $1 million in CIAP comes to $0.6 million. Since this amount is less than half of the adjusted backlog estimate ($0.75 million is half of $1.5 million), the net unfunded backlog used for computing formula shares of backlog would be $0.6 million (or the difference between the adjusted estimated backlog of $1.5 million and the partial deduction of recent CIAP of $0.6 million).

This procedure corresponds to the method used in Chapter Two of the 1990 HUD report to Congress. Moreover, the HUD report to Congress suggests why a straight percentage adjustment would be more equitable for undated unfunded backlog, rather than a method which combines backlog and accrual estimates. The primary reasons given is that this method avoids indiscriminately mixing a backlog formula based upon inspections, with an accrual formula based upon a model.

Several Indian housing authorities objected to the proposed requirement that the deduction for past modernization funds in Mutual Help developments begin with FY 1984, and asked that FY 1986 be used instead. The IHAs asserted that only four Mutual Help developments and 27 low-rent developments were inspected for sample estimates of backlog and accrual needs. In addition, the IHAs pointed out that the work items addressed in Mutual Help developments during the deductible funding years were not comprehensive repair programs.

HUD has not adopted this comment, since it believes that there is no basis for treating IHAs differently than PHAs with respect to deducting recent CIAP funds from 1984 onwards. As part of the Abt study, 354 units were inspected in 31 Indian Housing developments, which were spread across 20 IHAs and six HUD regions. As with other PHAs (except for the New York City Housing Authority), IHAs under the CGP will have their funding based upon formula estimates. Because the backlog and accrual formulae give high funding weight to high average number of bedrooms per unit, and because the accrual formula additionally gives a high funding weight to single-family units, IHAs will be treated equitably under the formula. Moreover, because IHAs tended to be underfunded with respect to the CIAP relative to their estimated formula backlog need, the effects of the CIAP deduction will also be less for IHAs than for the average funded PHA.

D. Newly Constructed Units.

One housing authority agreed explicitly that some portion of mod funds should be deducted for newly constructed developments in the manner that recent mod funds are partially deducted from the estimated backlog need for older developments. However, two other commenters objected to such a deduction, claiming that the premise that newly constructed units do not have a backlog of needs is faulty. Specifically, one commenter maintained that development cost containment guidelines may have led to significant maintenance and modernization problems much earlier in the physical life cycle of the units than expected, which would not then be included in the accrual needs estimates.

Another commenter asked HUD to clarify that the date of the initial backlog estimates is January 1986, and requested specifically that the backlog be adjusted forward to a specific date (e.g., through January 1989 or January 1991). In this way, the commenter contended that developments
constructed after that time would simply have no backlog needs, and the value for their backlog estimate in the formula would be set at zero. These developments would, however, have an accrual estimate based upon the characteristics of HUD.

The Department has decided not to change the formula to adjust a PHA’s backlog for newly constructed units which entered its inventory between 1985 and September 30, 1991. However, newly constructed units with a DOFA date as of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will have their backlog set to zero. HUD also wants to point out that for purposes of computing unfunded backlog need, the formula procedure will adjust backlog to 1991 levels. Developments also wants to point out that for purposes which entered its inventory between October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be assigned zero formula backlog, but will receive their full formula share of accrual.

In addition, the Department notes that this final rule includes a provision on acquired developments. Such developments with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog, but will receive their full formula share of accrual.

**Modernization and Energy Conservation Standards (§§ 905.603 and 968.115)**

A PHA organization asserted that since the appropriate standard for assessing the quality of physical improvements is the modernization standards, HUD should remove from the comprehensive plan all references to the Housing Quality Standards. The Department wants to point out that while the provision rule contained a reference to the HGQS, this was contained in the preamble and not in the regulation itself. Since the Department recognized that it may take several years for a PHA to meet the modernization and energy conservation standards, the HGQS serve as a useful, interim measure of progress toward those standards. While the Department is aware that the HGQS do not address all physical deficiencies, they can be used as a relative measure of whether the PHA is providing “decent, safe, and sanitary living conditions.” The PHMAP contains the HGQS requirement.

A housing authority asserted that the HGQS conflict with the Lead-Based Paint Guidelines concerning defective paint surfaces. In addition, this commenter pointed out that the HGQS do not deal with any other hazardous materials which need to be addressed in any “bona fide” needs assessment. As a result, the commenter stated that the HGQS must be revised before it can be used to determine improvement needs under the comprehensive plan.

The Department wants to clarify that under the PHMAP it intends to use the HGQS (codified at § 882.109) as a relative measure of whether the PHA is providing “decent, safe, and sanitary living conditions. However, HUD will not utilize the provisions under the HGQS which address lead based paint requirements, but will use instead the Lead Based Paint Interim Guidelines, published on April 18, 1990 at 55 FR 14556, which were subsequently revised and redistributed in October 1990, and which are applicable to the public housing program to determine “decent, safe and sanitary living conditions” with respect to lead based paint. Other environmental concerns will continue to be governed by the HGQS requirements (see § 882.109(k)). HUD received a comment claiming that the “mandatory standard” concept has no statutory basis under the Act, and should be dropped by HUD. Another commenter asserted that the rule is overly restrictive in terms of only allowing improvements necessary to meet HUD modernization and energy conservation standards. This commenter stated that the intent is for residents to have the discretion to play a major role in determining the needs for their development, and their recommendations may go well beyond HUD’s “modernization and energy conservation standards.”

The Department wants to clarify that the modernization standards are comprised of both mandatory and development-specific standards. The mandatory standards are intended to provide decent, safe, and sanitary living conditions for all public housing, including corrections of violations of basic health and safety codes, and to address all deficiencies, including those related to deferred maintenance. A PHA may choose to exceed the mandatory standards by carrying out improvements to the development-specific standards. These standards permit a PHA to undertake improvements that are “necessary or highly desirable” for the long-term physical and social viability of a particular development, which include site and building security. While HUD cannot prohibit a PHA from carrying out with non-Federal funds improvements which exceed the development-specific standards, it cannot condone the use of HUD funds for such a purpose.

A commenter maintained that any modernization funds that the PHA obtains from non-HUD sources should not be used to decrease a PHA’s funding eligibility under any HUD modernization project. The Department wants to emphasize that it does not intend to, nor does it have any authority to, reduce the level of the CGP modernization grant as a result of State or local government contributions to a PHA, and strongly encourages PHAs to explore with their State and local governments the possibility of obtaining additional funding to meet their modernization needs.

**Definitions (§§ 905.102 and 968.305)**

A commenter asked that the definition of “action plan” be clarified to state that the plan is supposed to be not only realistic, but also based upon a PHA’s and HUD’s best estimate of funding likely to become available. In addition, this commenter urged that the definition of “action plan” be clarified to state that physical and management improvements are to be included in the action plan. HUD agrees with these comments, and has made the necessary changes in this final rule.

Another commenter objected to HUD’s reserving in its April 1992 proposed rule the definitions of “improvement plan” (IP) and “memorandum of agreement” (MOA). It asserted that these definitions must be included in a proposed rule subject to public comment. While the Department agrees that these definitions are subject to notice and comment rulemaking, they were, in fact, included as part of HUD’s proposed PHMAP rule on which public comments were solicited. Accordingly, HUD is including in this final rule the definitions of “improvement plan” and “memorandum of agreement” which were incorporated into the interim PHMAP rule.

A PHA organization commented that HUD’s proposed definition of “lack of management capability” was unclear, and should either be dropped, or clarified and made appropriate to the CGP. The Department agrees with this comment, and has removed this definition from its entirety from the final rule.

A commenter noted that HUD’s definition of “modernization project” would result in each year’s funding allocation being a separate “modernization project,” which would result in an extremely complicated way of accounting for such funds. The commenter urged that the definition be revised so that the CGP accounting system for the various annual allocations can be consolidated and streamlined.

The Department has decided to eliminate the proposed reference to “modernization project” in order to
provide greater flexibility to PHAs in using each annual grant. Although each annual grant must have a unique grant number, it will incorporate by reference all of a PHA's developments. This approach will enable the PHA to add or delete developments from each annual statement, as necessary, without a formal ACC amendment.

HUD received a number of comments concerning the proposed definition of "PHA-wide resident group." The commenters asserted that the requirements far exceeded those authorized by statute and were unreasonable. These commenters stated that the special election of a resident from each development was an expensive, cumbersome, and unnecessary procedure, and that residents and resident councils could represent themselves. HUD was asked to remove the definition in its entirety, and to permit PHAs to work with the duly elected resident groups that are available. Other commenters asserted that the term should be amended to require consultation only where a PHA-wide resident group actually exists.

The Department has eliminated the requirement for a PHA-wide resident group. Instead, the Department has included a definition of "resident groups" and of "partnership process" to assure that the PHA/resident group partnership process is implemented in all aspects of the CGP, and throughout all of its developments. The PHA, however, has the option of implementing the partnership process through various alternative types of democratically elected resident groups, such as PHA-wide resident groups, area-wide resident groups; newly elected resident groups; RMCs; or other existing resident groups. HUD believes that this modification to the program will provide PHAs with greater flexibility in meeting the resident participation requirements established under the rule, while ensuring that residents are involved in a meaningful way in all aspects of the planning, development, implementation and monitoring of the CGP.

Several Indian housing authorities objected to the definition of "PHA-Wide Resident Group," maintaining that the definition is inappropriate for the Mutual Help program. They recommended instead that the IHA-wide resident group be composed of two percent of all homebuyers occupying units in all developments listed in the IHA's comprehensive plan, not to exceed five persons. The commenters urged that such individuals be elected by homebuyers from each geographical area in which developments are located.

The Department is not adopting the recommendation to cap Mutual Help representation at two percent of the homebuyers, since it believes that this would establish an arbitrary cap on the statutory requirement that residents of each of the developments covered by the comprehensive plan be involved in the modernization process. However, as discussed above; HUD has made a number of changes with respect to the composition of "resident groups" so that, to the extent that a democratically-elected resident group already exists within a Mutual Help development, it may be used for purposes of meeting the resident participation requirements established in this final rule.

An IHA asked HUD to revise the definition of "homeownership developments," so that items which otherwise would have been excluded as eligible costs would now be regarded as eligible costs, e.g., nonroutine maintenance of replacements, additions, and items that are the responsibility of the homebuyer families. HUD notes that, in addition to the physical improvements currently authorized by §§ 905.615(f)(1), 905.666(d)(1), 968.205(f)(1) and 968.310(d)(1), the Department is also implementing in this final rule section 516 of the NAHA which provides for the comprehensive modernization of a Mutual Help unit using no more than a single CIAP grant, or the substantial rehabilitation of such a unit using no more than a single CGP grant. As such, all needed repairs can be completed at no cost to the homebuyer. However, other than the one-time comprehensive modernization/substantial rehabilitation authorized by section 516, maintenance for a homeownership unit (including nonroutine maintenance, replacements and additions) remains the responsibility of the homebuyer and is not an eligible CIAP/CGP cost.

It should be noted, however, that the Department has decided to implement with respect to Mutual Help (and Turnkey III) developments section 509(f) of the NAHA to permit such homeownership developments to carry out special purpose management improvements. Consequently, the current CIAP prohibition on management improvements for homeownership developments is being removed in this rulemaking.

A housing authority commented that it did not believe a definition of "special purpose modernization" was necessary under the new flexible grants program, since PHAs would have flexibility to do this type of work anyway. However, another housing authority asked HUD to clarify in the final rule that special purpose modernization is permissible under the CGP, as long as the costs are included in the 5-year plan. Similarly, a third commenter asked HUD to clarify that the CGP is designed to allow PHAs to utilize their funding for a comprehensive list of modernization activities, and not necessarily to comprehensively modernize any particular development.

The Department notes that "special purpose modernization" is a term used in the CIAP, but which is obsolete (along with other "mod types") under the Comprehensive Grant program. A PHA may set forth in its action plan a variety of approaches to modernization, depending upon the type of work needed at its developments and its estimated funding amounts. For example, a PHA may plan substantial rehabilitation at one development, but only select work items at another development. Any work items which formerly were eligible under special purpose mod under CIAP continue to be eligible under the CGP.

Finally, one commenter asked that the definition of "emergency work" be expanded to include not only an "immediate" threat to resident life, health and safety, but also an "imminent" threat. HUD has not adopted this suggested language, since section 14 refers specifically to "an immediate threat." The Department notes, however, that to the extent that fire safety costs meet the statutory definition of "emergency work," they would qualify as eligible costs under this section.

**Eligible Costs (§§ 905.666 and 968.310)**

A number of IHAs urged HUD to remove the proposed requirement that Mutual Help homebuyers who agree to have their units comprehensively modernized must agree to have their homebuyer agreements amended to reflect the cost of the comprehensive modernization. These commenters asserted that amending the homebuyer agreement would only circumvent the residents' and HUD's goal of homeownership. Moreover, they contended that since IHA's will continue to pay payment-in-lieu of taxes (PILOT), as well as insurance coverage under the minimum administrative payments, most residents will continue to pay the minimum amount only and none of the actual comprehensive repair cost will be reimbursed by the residents.

The Department is persuaded by these comments and, since it firmly supports the goal of promoting homeownership opportunities for residents, it is removing the requirement in the Mutual
Help program that the homebuyer agreement must be amended to reflect the cost of comprehensive modernization under CIAP, or substantial rehabilitation under CGP. Moreover, the Department notes that not charging the homebuyer is fully consistent with the Department's goals for homeownership, as stated in HUD Notice PIH 91-29, which provides IHAs with an option for determining purchase price schedules. This may result in homebuyers acquiring title to homeownership units earlier than anticipated.

The Department is not removing the requirement in the Mutual Help or Turnkey III programs that the homebuyers agreement be amended to reflect the cost of certain limited physical improvements under homeownership modernization in CIAP and the CGP. The Department had previously excluded the costs of correcting development deficiencies, energy audits, cost-effective energy conservation measures, and lead-based paint testing and abatement from this requirement. In this regulation, the Department is excluding costs associated with physical repairs necessary for handicapped accessibility. However, the Department believes that work necessary to correct health and safety items was originally the responsibility of the homebuyer which has been deferred to the point where further deferral could threaten the health or safety of the occupants.

Therefore, the Department believes it is appropriate to charge homebuyers for the repair of health and safety items.

A housing authority maintained that the task of defining eligible improvements under the CGP should be left to the PHAs, not HUD, and that HUD's role should be geared toward assisting in the preparation and implementation of the comprehensive grant program. Generally, the Department intends to provide additional guidance in the CGP handbook concerning eligible activities under the CGP.

Several comments were received concerning the proposed 10 percent cap on administrative costs, with some commenters requesting that alternative caps of 15, 20, or 25 percent be used instead. A number of commenters maintained that an increase in the cap was necessary to take into account the cost of obtaining professional assistance to prepare and revise periodically the comprehensive plan, while others urged that the cap be increased only for the first year of the program to take into account the need for planning. Other commenters noted that it would be helpful to apply the limitation on soft costs over a longer timeframe, either over the five-year period covered by the action plan, or for a shorter period of time. Yet another commenter urged that the category of fees and costs be considered under a separate limitation, based on a relationship to the "design package's associated hard costs," while architectural and engineering fees could be included within the minimum 80 percent hard costs funds. In addition, a commenter asked that the final rule authorize explicitly the use of modernization funds for outside architectural, engineering, or other assistance needed to prepare a PHA's comprehensive plan.

The Department has also reconsidered the eligibility of certain administrative costs charged to account 1410, such as telephone and facsimile, and has included these items as eligible costs in this final rule.

However, HUD has decided to impose any limitation on architectural/engineering or consultant fees charged to account 1430, since such a limitation appears unnecessary in light of previous funding history. The Department has also reconsidered the eligibility of certain administrative costs charged to account 1410, such as telephone and facsimile, and has included these items as eligible costs in this final rule.

The Department has reconsidered the eligibility of certain administrative costs charged to account 1410, such as telephone and facsimile, and has included these items as eligible costs in this final rule.

Since these percentages include costs which the Department is now excluding, HUD believes that the seven percent cap on administrative costs is realistic and generous.

However, HUD has included a provision in this final rule which authorizes a PHA whose jurisdiction covers an unusually large geographic area to utilize an additional two percent of its annual grant for costs related to travelling to its developments on CGP-related business, where specifically approved by HUD. For this purpose, "an unusually large geographic area" is an area served by a PHA whose offices are physically separated from the majority of its developments by distances which require overnight travel and/or travel by air or other commercial carriers, e.g., a statewide PHA with developments in multiple counties or states; or an Alaska IHA with developments in multiple villages.

A housing authority asserted that PHAs with scores below "C" on PHMAP indicators should have the flexibility to increase management improvement costs to twenty-five percent, provided that a maximum of 10 percent would be used for management improvements not directly triggered by PHMAP deficiencies.

The Department rejects this suggestion since it believes that this would be a perverse incentive for poor management. In addition, the CGP is primarily intended to be a physical improvement program, with management improvements, either PHA-wide or development-specific, being eligible for funding only to upgrade the general operation, sustain the physical improvements, or correct management deficiencies identified in the comprehensive plan. The Department would like to point out that operating subsidies should be the primary source for special administrative costs required by State, local or tribal law. The Department believes that the seven percent cap is generous in view of previous funding history, as determined by a HUD survey of the administrative costs of all PHAs funded in FY 1990, which reflected the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of PHA</th>
<th>Average percentage of grant used for administrative expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-249 units</td>
<td>3.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-499 units</td>
<td>3.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-1249 units</td>
<td>3.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250-6599 units</td>
<td>3.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 6599 units</td>
<td>6.88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since these percentages include costs which the Department is now excluding, HUD believes that the seven percent cap on administrative costs is realistic and generous.
of funding for management improvements, not CGP funds, and that many management improvements may be undertaken within the PHA's operating budget, or at no extra cost. Accordingly, the Department is retaining the 10 percent annual limitation on management improvements, which is consistent with the existing limitation on management improvements in the CIAP.

A housing authority asked that salaries, including benefits for craftsmen and superintendents to perform construction work as "force account," be charged against physical improvement costs, not as administrative costs for technical salaries. The Department notes that, in accordance with instructions set forth in the Low-Rent Housing Accounting Handbook, 7510.1, the salaries of force account labor are charged to the appropriate development account related to the type of work being carried out, i.e., the appropriate physical improvement costs. As such, if work is being carried out on dwelling structures, then force account labor costs would be charged to account 1460 (dwelling structures). Force account labor costs should not be charged to administration.

A commenter noted that, since the proposed rule would prohibit a PHA's use of grant funds for luxury improvements, it should similarly prohibit such by RMCs. The Department agrees that the prohibition against using grant funds for luxury improvements applies equally to a PHA as it does to an RMC acting on a PHA's behalf. HUD has made the necessary clarifications in this final rule.

A housing authority expressed its agreement with the provision in the proposed rule which stated that social service types of activities would not be considered eligible management improvements. The Department has retained this provision without modification; thus, the provision of direct social services by either contract or force account labor is not an eligible cost under the CGP.

A PHA organization objected to HUD's proposed two-prong test for determining when a PHA can allocate funds to its reserve fund, arguing that the test cannot be expected to cover the variety of situations which may arise. This commenter maintained that a third, more general, provision should be added to the final rule, which would permit funds to be allocated to a PHA's reserve "for other reasons, as contained in the PHA's approved comprehensive plan."

Other commenters objected to HUD's imposing a test for determining when to establish a reserve account, arguing that since section 14 of the Act does not impose any restrictions upon the ability of a PHA to allocate its modernization funds to a reserve account, neither should HUD.

Two housing authorities maintained that the proposed two-prong test for arguing that since section 14 of the Act establishes a reserve account, neither should HUD.

Two housing authorities maintained that the establishment of a reserve account for future needs is too limited, and recommended that HUD authorize PHAs to set aside a certain percentage (e.g., five to ten percent a year), into a general reserve to supplement future years' funding, as needed.

However, one housing authority asserted that PHAs should be precluded from developing huge unused capital reserves. This commenter maintained that limits should be established and enforced, and that amounts greater than the established limits could be credited to the PHA by formula or other procedure, and retained for the PHA. This method, according to the housing authority, would allow PHAs to build up money to carry out ongoing mod programs.

Finally, a couple of commenters urged HUD to require each PHA which proposes to set aside some of its annual grant in a reserve to establish a separate modernization reserve account to ensure that funds provided for modernization (and any accruing interest) will be accounted for and used for the intended purposes.

In response to these comments, the Department notes that it has decided to retain the proposed two-prong test for the establishment of a reserve fund. Under this test, the PHA must be able to establish that: (1) Annual CGP funds are not needed for existing needs, as identified by the PHA in its needs assessments; or (2) a proposed physical improvement requires more funds than the PHA would receive under its annual formula allocation. However, HUD has included in this final rule a provision which permits a PHA to allocate a portion of its annual CGP funds to its replacement reserve for management improvements if: (1) The particular management improvements require more funds than the PHA can use under its annual formula allocation (i.e., greater than 10 percent of its annual grant); or (2) the PHA needs to save a portion of its annual grant in order to combine it with a portion of its subsequent year[s]' grants to fund the work item.

Funds may still be obtained for natural disasters. PHAs are required by the statute to include in their physical needs assessments the replacement needs that will be required to be met in the next five years. Action plans should respond to these needs by stating which replacements are anticipated to be funded in the five years of the plan. The reliable nature of the annual grant should eliminate the need for a replacement reserve in most cases and allow PHAs to use all of their currently awarded funds to meet existing needs.

Finally, HUD wants to clarify that a PHA that has funds in its replacement reserve must apply these funds to an emergency before it is eligible to receive funds from the emergency reserve under §§ 905.601(b) and 905.103(b).

A number of commenters stated that if HUD required PHAs to transfer all interest on the reserve account to the operating budget, such a policy would serve only as a disincentive to PHAs to save funds from one year to the next. These commenters asserted that interest received by the PHA on any funds in reserve accounts (including those into which some or all of a PHA's formula allocation funds have been placed) should be retained in those accounts and continue to be available for use in conjunction with the PHA's mod program, rather than transferred to other purposes.

The Department agrees with these comments, and notes that it intends to exclude the CGP from the existing requirements under §§ 905.725(e)[1] and 990.106[e][1], which provide that regular program income includes interest on grant funds. As such, HUD will not consider as program income the interest accrued by a PHA on its replacement reserves, so that these funds are not subject to offset against operating subsidy amounts. A PHA may use its accrued interest for any eligible modernization activity under the CGP, and there is no time period in which either the replacement reserves or the accrued interest must be used. The Department has included a requirement, however, that a PHA must report in its annual performance and evaluation report on the obligation and expenditure of these funds. In addition, the PHA shall invest the replacement reserve funds in order to generate a return equal to or greater than the average 91-day Treasury bill rate.

A housing authority asserted that the proposed CGP requirements fail to provide PHAs with adequate flexibility in combining several years of formula allocation funding, and asked that the final rule provide for a carryover of funds to accomplish comprehensive modernization. The Department disagrees with this comment. Since PHAs will be receiving funding every year, there is no need to carry over funds from one year to the next in order to accomplish comprehensive modernization. Moreover, PHAs are not required to obligate and expend all
funds from an annual allocation in that year; these funds may be obligated and expended over a period of several years if necessary to accomplish the work identified in the annual statement.

Reserve for Emergencies and Disasters (§§ 905.667 and 988.312)

A housing authority commented that a $75 million set aside for emergencies is insufficient for 3,200 housing authorities, and questioned whether HUD derived this figure based on past actual natural disaster and emergency needs.

Another housing authority maintained that funding for unpredictable and uncontrollable events should not be reimbursed from future years' grants. The commenter suggested, instead, that a national pool be established in which funds could be obtained as needed, and that only when a disaster results in a work item being moved up in scheduled replacement timeframes should some future adjustment in grants be attempted.

The Department wants to point out that the $75 million set-aside is mandated by statute pursuant to section 14(k)(1) of the Act, and exceeds HUD's estimate, based upon its past experience, of what would be required to meet emergencies and natural or other disasters. The size of the reserve for natural disasters was based upon a year in which several disasters of the scale of Hurricane Hugo and the Loma Prieta earthquake occurred. With respect to the reserve for emergency modernization needs, HUD analyzed data pertaining to prior years CIAP emergency funding, as discussed in the HUD Report to Congress.

The Department believes on the basis of these historical analyses, and the fact that the reserve is available only to PHAs and IHA's operating under the CGP, that the $75 million reserve will be more than adequate to meet the need for emergencies and natural or other disasters, since PHAs must first use their annual formula allocation of CGP funds, any other unobligated CIAP or CGP funds, or replacement reserve, for emergencies before they can apply for funds from the $75 million reserve. (PHAs and IHA's under the CIAP will continue to receive assistance for emergencies and disasters in accordance with the existing CIAP requirements and procedures.)

The Department also notes that funding for repair and replacement needs which arise from natural and other disasters will not be required to be reimbursed from future years' grants, since these unpredictable and uncontrollable events cannot, by their nature, be accounted for under the formula.

On the other hand, emergency repair needs are not different in kind from the types of repair and replacement needs identified in the Abt survey, and which are estimated under the formula for both the backlog of modernization need, and the accrual of new need. Rather, they differ only in their relative urgency. For example, a boiler failure may lead to an immediate need for replacement in order to provide heat and hot water for tenants, and thus fall into the category of emergency need. However, a planned replacement of the same boiler would not be an emergency but would be part of ongoing modernization work, and would be identified as either a backlog or an accrual need. Thus, since the repair and replacement work which becomes an emergency is already included in the work on which the formula is based, and for which the formula provides funding, it is inappropriate for a PHA which has no unobligated formula or other funds available to address emergency needs to be required to repay emergency funding out of future years allocations of CGP funds, as mandated by NAHA.

A housing authority stated that although the proposed rule provided that HUD would process immediately any requests for funds from the emergencies and natural disaster reserve, the final rule should specify a maximum response time. The PHA maintained that 20 days to respond would be a reasonable period of time, and that HUD's failure to respond to a request within that time period should result in automatic approval.

Although the Department intends to process requests for funds for emergencies and natural disasters as expeditiously as possible, it believes that a processing timeframe is more appropriate for the CGP companion handbook, rather than this final rule. Consequently, HUD has not made any modifications in response to this comment.

Allocation of Assistance ($905.669); and Allocation of Assistance for Troubled and Non-Troubled PHAs ($988.315)

A. Submission of Data

A commenter asserted that since the backlog need of individual developments represents their needs at a particular point in time (i.e., 1985), and since changes in a development's characteristics after 1985 will not affect the estimate of its backlog needs in 1985, PHAs should only have to submit one time data that are used solely to estimate backlog needs of individual public housing developments.

The Department agrees with this comment and is providing in this final rule that each PHA, in its first year of participation in the CGP, must verify and provide to HUD, in a form and at a time to be prescribed by HUD, data concerning PHA and development characteristics so that HUD can develop the PHA's annual funding allocation. After its first year of participation in the CGP, and PHA is not required to submit formula characteristics data to HUD, but is required to respond to data transmitted by HUD if there have been changes to its inventory from that previously reported, or where requested by HUD.

A housing authority objected to the provision in the proposed rule requiring that the formula characteristics report be certified and approved by Board resolution. It stated that the information to be contained in the report is already on file at HUD, and is submitted by PHAs in other required reports. Consequently, the commenter requested that the report be provided by HUD, and simply verified and signed by the PHA's executive director.

The Department wants to clarify that while it does have most of the information to be contained in the formula characteristics report, and plans to supply this information to PHAs for verification, it does not have all of the necessary information on file (e.g., the actual construction date for acquired properties). Since this information is crucial in determining the funds to be allocated to a PHA, the Department believes it is important for the PHA Board to make the determination that the information in the report reflects its understanding of the characteristics of the PHA, as well as its belief that this is the basis on which the PHA should receive mod funding. However, as noted above, a PHA shall only be required to supply and verify information for the formula characteristics report for its first year of participation in the CGP. Thereafter, such data shall be limited to verifying changes to the PHA's reported inventory, or otherwise responding to requests by HUD.

A commenter urged HUD to establish a timetable for the CGP, whereby HUD would notify PHAs of their expected formula amounts by November 1; PHAs would submit their plans to HUD no later than January 1; HUD would approve the plans by February 1; and funds would be obligated by March 1. This commenter also noted that to meet this schedule, any revisions to the PHA's comprehensive plan (including
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the five-year action strategy, and the approval of any amendments to the plan, would need to be completed each year no less than 90 days before the beginning of the new FFY.

The Department intends to notify PHAs as early as possible of the schedule, although it is unable to specify in this final rule the exact dates, since the schedule will vary from year to year depending upon the availability of the Department's appropriation.

For FFY 1992, HUD intends to provide PHAs with preliminary formula estimates in the winter of 1991, and with final formula amounts in the spring of 1992. PHAs will submit comprehensive plans and annual statements in the summer of 1992. HUD will review these plans and complete grant reservations by the end of the 1992 fiscal year (i.e., September 30, 1992).

For FFY 1993 and most other years, HUD intends to provide PHAs with preliminary estimates based on the President's budget in the previous summer and revised estimates based on the congressional appropriation of modernization funds in the fall. The summer estimate will enable the PHAs to begin the planning process early. PHAs will submit annual statements in early winter. After they have submitted their annual statements to HUD for review, PHAs will receive their final formula amounts. This will permit PHAs to receive their annual grant early in the year. HUD will complete its review of the annual statements and complete grant reservations by the spring. Each year, the program year will close on June 30, and PHAs will submit their performance and evaluation report by September 30.

A commenter noted that the proposed rule failed to address the possibility that an IHA may elect to enter the new program in the initial funding cycles, yet still wish to do so at a later date without the imposition of penalties or other serious repercussions. The Department agrees with this comment and, accordingly, has made a couple of changes in this final rule. First, HUD is eliminating the requirement that a PHA must affirmatively state on an annual basis that it intends to participate in the CGP. Any PHA which elects not to participate in the CGP in any FFY may nevertheless participate in the program in any succeeding year without adverse consequences.

In addition, the Department would like to clarify that if a PHA fails to submit the comprehensive plan/annual statement by the HUD-established deadline, the PHA may still submit such data to HUD at any time prior to 75 calendar days before the end of the FFY. However, it should be noted that the purpose of the HUD-established deadline is to make the CGP funds available to PHAs as early as possible in the FFY.

B. Appeals Based Upon "Unique" Circumstances and Error

Several commenters complained that HUD had very narrowly construed a PHA's right to appeal its formula allocation based upon unique circumstances. They maintained that by requiring PHAs to specify "the way in which it is different from all other PHA's" participating in the formula-funded modernization program, HUD had virtually closed off any opportunity for a PHA to wage a successful appeal based upon unique circumstances. They also contended that the term "unique" could have been construed more broadly by HUD to mean, "unlike, unequal, or unusual."

After considering all of these comments, the Department has decided to retain its proposed standard for sustaining an appeal based upon "unique circumstances." HUD notes that an appeal based upon "unique circumstances" does not require the PHA to prove that the formula is in error; rather, it requires that the PHA be able to establish that there is something so uncommon at this particular PHA that the formula, by its nature, could not have addressed it.

However, HUD cannot make a determination based upon the numerous scenarios posed by commenters as to whether unique circumstances would, indeed, exist in a given situation so as to justify increasing a PHA's formula allocation and decreasing the formula allocations of all other PHAs. A PHA which believes that it has conditions which make it unique should submit an appeal as prescribed in the regulation, stating how it is different from all other PHAs participating in the CGP.

A commenter urged that the appeals process for unique circumstances be extended from 30 days to 90 days, following the initial notification of a PHA's formula allocation. Another commenter asserted that PHAs should have a least 60 days to appeal their formula allocation, irrespective of the basis for the appeal. The Department agrees that more time is necessary for an appeal based upon unique circumstances, and has extended from 30 to 60 days the period for this appeal. Any adjustments resulting from unique circumstances will be made in the following FFY.

However, the Department believes that 30 days is adequate for a PHA to identify an error and submit an appeal. Appeals will be reviewed at the Field Office level and forwarded to the Regional Office with a recommendation for approval or disapproval. The Regional Office will render the final decision on the approval or disapproval of appeals. Hence, HUD is providing that it will inform a PHA within 60 days of its receipt of a request for an appeal of a PHAs estimated formula amount as to whether the appeal can be resolved so that adjustments can be made to the formula in the current FFY. If HUD determines that there are no issues in dispute, a decision on the appeal will be issued at the earliest possible time but no later than 60 days from the date of the PHA's appeal request. Any adjustments resulting from a successful appeal would be made to the current year's allocation of funds from the CGP.

Alternatively, if HUD determines that there are issues in dispute, HUD will notify the PHA within 60 days of the date of its receipt of the appeal request that it will have to extend its review period. In this case, or in the case of a PHA appeal of its final formula amount, any adjustments resulting from a successful appeal based on error will be made to the following year's allocation of funds for the CGP.

C. Reduced Formula Allocation for PHAs Designated as Mod Troubled Under PHMAP

A number of commenters objected to HUD's proposed method under the PHMAP rule for designating PHAs as mod troubled agencies. Many asserted that the number of criteria to be used to establish mod troubled status under PHMAP were excessive, while others maintained that there was an inconsistency between the criteria to be used to establish mod troubled status and those to be used to remove the designation. While the Department has considered and taken into account all of these comments on the PHMAP, it believes that these comments should more properly be addressed in the separate PHMAP rule. HUD will only address in this final rule those PHMAP comments which directly relate to the CGP. The reader is therefore advised to refer to the interim PHMAP rule for a full discussion of the PHMAP issues raised in this rulemaking.

A commenter urged HUD to expedite the release of each year's mod funds by computing well before the beginning of the FFY the determination as to whether a PHA is initially designated as mod troubled under PHMAP. The commenter also asked that HUD's review of a PHA's status with respect to whether it continues to be "troubled with respect
to the modernization program" be completed no later than 90 days before the beginning of the FFY, so as to provide PHAs with adequate time to appeal. Finally, the commenter requested that mod-troubled PHAs be notified early in the year as to the amounts of funding they will receive, so they can submit annual statements on the same schedule as other PHAs.

The Department recognizes the validity of many of these comments but notes, initially, that HUD's Appropriation for the 1992 Fiscal Year eliminates the distinction which previously existed between PHAs which are initially designated as mod troubled under PHMAP, and those which are subsequently so designated. Moreover, as discussed above, HUD does not intend to designate PHAs as mod troubled under FFY 1992. Such designations and resulting formula reductions will not be implemented until FFY 1993.

Additionally, each PHA will know at the time it develops its annual statement whether or not it is designated mod troubled, based on its most recent management assessment. All PHAs will submit annual statements on the same schedule. The Department notes, however, that it may still condition a PHA's annual grant based upon its prior performance under the CIAP and, if the deficiencies noted by HUD are not corrected within a specified period of time, HUD may withhold a PHA's grant, in accordance with section 14(e)(4)(D) of the Act.

Nevertheless, in response to the commenter's concern, HUD intends to notify mod troubled PHAs as early as possible in FFY 1993, and in each succeeding year, of their tentative formula allocations.

One commenter recommended that HUD adopt a technical assistance approach for PHA's which have failed to perform, rather than withhold their modernization grant funds. The Department does intend to provide technical assistance to PHAs with respect to their MOAs. However, HUD is statutorily required to withhold a certain portion of CGP modernization funds from a PHA that is designated as mod troubled under PHMAP. In addition, all PHAs are authorized to use CGP funds for the purpose of obtaining technical assistance with respect to their modernization programs.

A PHA organization objected to provision in the April 1991 proposed rule which stated that HUD would reallocate funding withheld from mod troubled PHAs to PHAs which have not been determined to be either troubled or mod troubled under PHMAP, as well as to IHAs which have been determined to be administratively capable. This commenter asserted that since the NAHA does not restrict troubled PHAs from receiving reallocated funds, HUD's proposed language exceeds the statute and must be removed.

The Department is not persuaded by these comments, since HUD believes that it has the administrative discretion under section 14(k)(6) of the Act to restrict troubled PHAs from receiving reallocated funds. Moreover, HUD believes that its decision to limit the receipt of CGP reallocated funds to PHAs and IHAs which have been determined to have a satisfactory level of management performance will serve as an incentive for well managed PHAs. The Department was asked by one commenter to revise the language concerning the treatment of credits which exceed the five percent reserve. This commenter asked that the language be clarified by stating that the PHA remains entitled to receive its remaining balance of credits in future FFY, including any credit not actually received because of a pro rata reduction. HUD agrees with this suggestion, and has made the necessary modifications in this final rule.

Two commenters objected to the proposed four-year "step program," in which a PHA would be able to get no more than 10 percent of its credits in the first year. The commenters maintained that such an approach is too slow, especially in light of the urgency of the PHA's modernization needs which would be accumulating during the period in which funds are withheld. They maintained further that when a PHA has been designated as mod troubled, HUD should negotiate with the PHA for a change in the PHA's five-year action plan so as to increase as rapidly as possible the PHA's receipt of its credits. At a minimum, the commenters contended, HUD should provide the PHA with an opportunity to appeal the proposed new distribution scheme.

The Department wants to emphasize that the four-year step program, in which a PHA would be able to get 10 percent of its credits in the first year, serves only as a general guideline, rather than as an absolute standard. Nevertheless, HUD intends to consult with formerly mod troubled PHAs to determine the schedule of credit repayment in order to step up mod activities. This change is reflected in this final rule.

Numerous commenters objected strenuously to HUD's proposal to withhold all funds (with certain limited exceptions) from PHAs that are subsequently designated as mod troubled under PHMAP. Similarly, the commenters objected to HUD's proposal to disqualify such PHAs from obtaining credits for amounts previously withheld. The commenters urged HUD to treat PHAs that are subsequently designated as mod troubled under PHMAP in a manner similar to those that are initially so designated.

As discussed above, HUD's FFY 1992 Appropriations Act expressly eliminated section 14(k)(5)(E) of the Act, which served as the authority for HUD to promulgate special rules with respect to PHAs subsequently designated as mod troubled under PHMAP. Accordingly, the Department has eliminated the provisions of the proposed rule which established different requirements for such PHAs. In this final rule, all PHAs which are designated as mod troubled under PHMAP will be treated in the same manner, pursuant to the method set forth in the April 1991 proposed rule.

A housing authority asked HUD to clarify in the final rule how much funding can be given to "troubled" PHAs versus "mod-troubled" PHAs. Another commenter stated that troubled PHAs should be given a "clean slate" before they are required to meet the "stringent" performance standards established under PHMAP.

Alternatively, the commenter urged HUD to establish a separate set of criteria especially for troubled PHAs. The commenter added that PHAs operating under a MOA should be excluded from the CGP requirements until they meet the PHMAP standards, and should be able to submit an action plan which is graded on a pass/fail manner so that the PHA is able to receive all of its grant. The Department wants to clarify that there is an automatic statutory reduction in funding for a troubled PHA. The authority to reduce funding applies only to mod troubled PHAs, although HUD has the authority to withhold grant funds from any PHA based upon program performance, in accordance with § 908.345 of this final rule. In addition, the statute does not exclude from the CGP those PHAs which meet the unit threshold requirements but which do not meet the PHMAP standards. In fact, such PHAs may use CGP funds to help them make the improvements or corrections which are needed to attain the PHMAP standards.

Another commenter asserted that whenever a PHA is determined to be mod troubled, HUD should notify development residents so that they can be apprised of the impact of a mod troubled designation, particularly with respect to withheld modernization funds. The Department agrees that
residents should be informed of the estimated formula amount to be provided to the PHA, including any potential reduction in this amount due to the PHA’s designation as a mod troubled agency.

D. Appeal Based Upon Formula Reduction.

A couple of commenters urged HUD, in evaluating a mod troubled PHA’s appeal of its allocation amount, consider factors other than a PHA’s progress in meeting goals and targets set forth in its MOA under PHMAP. These commenters maintained that there are a variety of other factors which HUD should also consider as a basis for an appeal, including factors related to the PHA’s staff to effectively carry out the modernization work.

The Department can appreciate these concerns but notes that at the present time it has decided to limit the bases upon which a PHA can appeal the reduction in its formula allocation, i.e., based upon the PHA’s progress toward modernizing its physical plant. However, if HUD decides at a later date that it wants to adopt additional factors for purposes of considering an appeal under this section, it shall do so after notice and comment rulemaking.

Comprehensive Plan (Including Action Plan) (§§ 905.672 and 868.320)

A. General

A commenter complained that there is some ambiguity concerning the word “binding,” as it is used with respect to the comprehensive plan. On the one hand, the commenter states that PHAs are intended to be given maximum flexibility, according to the purposes of the Act. However, under the proposed rule, once HUD approves a comprehensive plan it is considered to be “binding” upon both HUD and the PHA. Thus, this language would appear to eliminate the very flexibility which the Act purports to promote.

Consequently, this commenter asked that the term, “binding” be clearly defined in the final rule, and that its applicability be highly limited. The Department wants to clarify that, although the comprehensive plan is “binding” in nature, it nevertheless is subject to modification at any time. Moreover, the action plan is binding only to the extent that funding by HUD is made available.

Other commenters asserted that the five-year action plan “duplicates much of the material from the comprehensive plan.” These commenters also stated that the executive summary is too long and duplicative of the comprehensive plan, while the annual statement is “too inflexible.” One commenter urged HUD to eliminate “unnecessary detail” from the comprehensive plans, and requested specifically that the detailed statement of proposed work and costs be required only for modernization activities which the PHA proposes to carry out within the first two years of the five-year action plan.

The Department believes that it has added significantly the level of detail required under the executive summary, needs assessments, action plan and annual statement. Moreover, it has increased the level of flexibility in the annual statement by providing PHAs with the option of submitting up to a two-year annual statement. For a further discussion of these changes, see the appropriate section headings under this preamble (e.g., needs assessment, action plan, annual statement, etc.).

B. Resident and Local Government Participation

One commenter which supported the involvement of residents in planning and establishing priorities for a PHA’s modernization program urged HUD to provide additional funding for PHA operating budgets so that PHAs can develop and implement resident training and employment programs designed to foster greater participation in mod activities.

HUD has clarified the description of eligible expenses under the CGP to specifically cover economic development activities, including job training and resident employment for the purpose of carrying out activities related to improvements under the CGP.

HUD agrees with the commenter that resident training is important, and encourages all PHAs to invest the maximum amount prudent in this endeavor. In addition, HUD wants to point out that PHAs are provided with operating subsidy in accordance with the formula established under the Performance Funding System (PFS). Any non-utility costs are subsidized through the PHA’s Allowable Expense Level. PHAs are free to make their own decisions as to how to spend their available resources for eligible expenses, including resident training.

Two commenters asserted that, while they did not object to requiring significant resident participation in the annual update of the comprehensive plan, any hearings, participation and involvement should be limited solely to the new year being added to the plan, and to any major changes proposed for the other four years of the plan. The Department disagrees with this comment and believes that the five-year action plan and annual statement should be subject to resident review and comment every year.

A number of resident organizations urged HUD to “quantify and qualify” in the final rule the specific requirements for a PHA/resident partnership. They maintained that without such requirements, the rule would become a vehicle to empower PHAs at the expense of both residents and the broader community.

The Department agrees that further clarification of resident requirements is necessary, and is providing in this final rule that after a PHA is notified of its tentative formula allocation, it must notify residents that the physical and management needs assessments are about to begin; describe the process by which the PHA proposes to carry out these assessments, and for including residents in the process (including assisting the PHA in the prioritization of needs).

In addition, the PHA is required to hold one advance meeting, in addition to the statutorily required public hearing, so as to increase resident participation in the modernization process. Moreover, residents must be involved in the ongoing implementation and monitoring of the modernization program, and the PHA must set forth in its Executive Summary the proposed process for resident participation, and report on the results of that participation in its annual performance and evaluation report.

HUD received differing views concerning the type of notice which should be given to residents concerning any public hearing or meeting. One commenter stated that, rather than requiring the PHA to undertake reasonable efforts to provide advance notice to “each resident,” HUD should instead state that “the PHA will make reasonable efforts to advise residents, including all elected representatives of a PHA-wide resident group, of the proposed meeting.” However, one organization asserted that every resident should be given notice of such meetings or hearings, either by mail or by delivering the notice to an adult who answers the door.

The Department has decided to require PHAs to undertake reasonable efforts to provide written notice to all residents and resident groups of the advance meeting. The notice required under this section may be in the form of flyers or advertisements, although HUD is not prescribing the particular form of the written notice so that PHAs will have maximum discretion in
determining the method best suited to their own circumstances. However, with respect to the statutory required public hearing, HUD is requiring that the PHA undertake reasonable steps to provide written notice to each resident of the date and time of the public hearing, and other pertinent information. In this case, the PHA must provide individual notices to its development residents, rather than a more generalized form of notice.

Three housing authorities asked HUD to adopt for the CGP the current CIAP rules governing resident meetings and comments, and consultation with local government officials. Another housing authority commented that HUD's proposed method of resident participation is unnecessarily cumbersome and would actually reduce effective participation as compared to CIAP rules. This commenter suggested that the pre-hearing meeting be eliminated; that the special elections requirement be removed; and that each resident and resident council be mailed a copy of the executive summary of the plan, with the notice of public hearing, and be advised that they may submit written comments as well as appear and speak at the hearing. Other commenters maintained that the public hearing requirement is an unnecessary burden, costly both in terms of time and money, and should be eliminated.

Two other commenters objected to HUD's attempt to specify the method of resident involvement, claiming that in many PHAs there are no PHA-wide resident groups. These commenters pointed out that, in other PHAs, there are both PHA-wide resident organizations and individual development resident organizations specializing in different types of activities. These commenters maintained that the PHA should be able to adopt the method of resident involvement which it believes to be most appropriate for its particular circumstances.

The Department appreciates many of these comments, but notes that it strongly supports resident involvement as a critical and integral part of the CGP modernization process. Initially, though, HUD wants to point out that the CGP public hearing is required by statute and HUD has no authority to waive this requirement. As discussed above, HUD is persuaded that PHAs must be able to work with existing, democratically elected resident groups, rather than being required to establish entirely new entities as a pre-condition for participation in the CGP. Hence, HUD has eliminated the requirement that a PHA must establish a PHA-wide resident group; instead, the final rule now provides maximum flexibility and discretion to PHAs by permitting them to deal with a broad range of existing, democratically elected resident groups.

Nevertheless, the Department is continuing to prescribe the nature of the partnership process since HUD believes that this is a critical element of the CGP, which not only promotes resident participation in the modernization process but also fosters a system of checks and balances which enhances program accountability. Thus, the Department believes it is important to set resident expectations regarding resident capacity building and anti-drug activity be included as eligible costs under the CGP. The Department agrees emphatically with this comment, since it is fully consistent with the notion of implementing a partnership process to insure PHA and resident participation in the development, implementation and monitoring of the CGP.

A municipality commented that HUD failed to provide guidance on the incorporation into the annual plan of the local community's comments. The Department agrees with this comment and has included a requirement which states that the PHA must give full consideration to the comments and concerns not only of residents and local government officials, but also of other interested parties. Moreover, HUD is requiring a PHA to summarize in the executive summary comments received on the comprehensive plan.

Finally, HUD has revised the local government statement to include a certification by the chief executive officer (CEO) that the PHA developed the comprehensive plan in consultation with interested members of the community, in addition to residents and local government officials. In the case of a PHA with developments in multiple jurisdictions, the PHA may meet this requirement by consulting with an advisory group representative of all jurisdictions.

One commenter asked that PHAs be required to provide residents with copies of all relevant materials, including the draft or working copy of the plan, amendments or annual statement, in addition to the final plan, amendments, or statement. The Department agrees with this comment, and has decided to require PHAs to make available to residents, on-site, such as in the PHA development offices, where possible, all of the components of the comprehensive plan, including the needs assessments, action plan, annual statement, etc., rather than to overburden the PHA by requiring it to provide each resident with copies of these documents at its own expense.

The same commenter also asserted that the PHA should not be limited to considering only the comments of the residents of the affected developments. In particular, the comments of individuals on the waiting list should be solicited and considered, the commenter maintained. Especially if the PHA plans to reduce the number of units, convert units into units of different bedroom sizes, or convert family units into elderly housing, etc. The Department notes that the comprehensive plan provides for input by "other interested parties," and not just residents of the covered developments. Hence, residents on a waiting list may comment on the proposed plan at the public hearing, and their comments must be given full consideration by the PHA and included in the Executive Summary portion of the plan. However, given the fact that the term "other interested parties" encompasses an indeterminable number of people, the PHA cannot be expected to provide notice to the members of this class. As a result, HUD is requiring a PHA to provide general notice (e.g., in the form of flyers, advertisements, etc.) to the community at large concerning the public hearing on the comprehensive plan, rather than individual notices.

One PHA proposed that, instead of requiring a state-wide meeting, HUD should permit meetings to be held in those communities in which residents reside, and only to the extent affordable. In addition, the PHA asked that such meetings, at the option of the housing authority, be conducted through the use of telephonic services. The Department has decided that, where geographic constraints or other conditions (e.g., size of the PHA), limit the possibility that a single resident group can provide for meaningful
resident participation, an alternative form of participation may be developed (e.g., an area-wide resident group). Thus, it is not necessary that a PHA hold a PHA-wide or state-wide meeting, so long as residents, members of the resident group, local government officials, and interested members of the community are given an adequate opportunity to participate in the public hearing and other meetings established under this final rule.

With respect to the commenter’s second concern, HUD has decided not to permit meetings to be conducted by telephone, since the Department believes that this would greatly hamper the ability of residents to comprehend and contribute to the development of the comprehensive plan.

One commenter stated that the local political leadership be involved on an advisory basis in the CGP modernization process. However, a contrary view was expressed by two housing authorities which asserted that including the political element into public hearings would not serve any useful purpose other than to provide a platform for campaign and re-election rhetoric. These commenters stated that only by holding a public hearing in a non-governmental, non-political forum can residents have the kind of control over the CGP that the rule intends.

Other commenters stated that the degree of local participation provided for in the proposed rule appeared to be excessive, and stated that a better method would be to include the comprehensive plan in the locality’s comprehensive housing affordability strategy, or to simply invite the local government to provide input at the level it deems to be appropriate. Another commenter stated that only work items which involve new construction or demolition should be brought to the community-at-large during a public hearing.

The Department wants to point out that the participation of local government officials in the development of the comprehensive plan is statutorily mandated. Hence, HUD does not have the administrative discretion to eliminate this requirement. In addition, the Department strongly favors a public hearing and democratic process wherein an informed community is involved in the decision making process within a partnership framework. Thus, HUD has retained without modification the provisions of the rule governing local government participation.

A housing authority commented that the rule should clarify that local government approval is limited strictly to sign-off by the CEO (e.g., the mayor) or his or her designee, and that approval by the city council or other legislative body is not required. The Department agrees that the local government certification need only be signed by the CEO (or his or her designee) of the unit of local government in which the PHA is located. Hence, it is not statutorily required (nor is HUD requiring) that the local government statement also be approved by a legislative body.

However, HUD notes that, to the extent a PHA has developments in more than one unit of general local government, it is required to submit a certification from the CEO of each such governmental entity. Finally, for purposes of clarity, the Department has included in this final rule a definition of the term “chief executive officer of a unit of general local government” (CEO).

Two housing authorities stated that HUD’s reference to local government approval of the CGP was an undue expansion of the statute and should be withdrawn. The Department disagrees with this comment, since section 14(e)(1)(E)(1) of the Act prescribes explicitly that a PHA must include in its comprehensive plan a statement signed by the chief local government official concerning, among other things, compliance by the PHA with the public participation requirements. In addition, the CEO must certify that the PHA’s proposed comprehensive plan is consistent with the locality’s assessment of its lower income housing needs. HUD has construed this latter provision to mean that the comprehensive plan must be consistent with the locality’s Comprehensive Housing Assistance Strategy (CHAS), if applicable.

C. Executive Summary

A number of commenters asserted that the executive summary, as proposed by HUD, was too long and needed to be abbreviated significantly. In particular, several commenters asked HUD to remove the reference to “high need developments,” claiming that there was no need to establish different requirements with respect to high need developments. Other commenters questioned the criteria proposed by HUD to determine whether a development is a “high need development.”

The Department wants to clarify that the purpose of the Executive Summary is to promote understanding of the comprehensive plan by residents, local government officials, and the public. Consequently, while HUD has reduced the paperwork submission requirements under the Executive Summary, it has attempted to retain those features of the Executive Summary which are needed to carry out this purpose. The Department has, however, removed in this final rule all references to a separate category of “high needs” developments. In addition, HUD has further abbreviated the Executive Summary by limiting it to the following items:

1. A summary of total preliminary estimated costs for the physical needs of each development, as well as for PHA-wide management and operations needs;

2. A PHA statement concerning its overall modernization strategy, and its rationale for the approach and priorities adopted in the plan;

3. A statement by the PHA of its plans for development(s) currently funded for comprehensive modernization under the CIAP; and

4. A narrative description of the PHA’s proposed process for maximizing the level of participation by residents in planning, implementing, and monitoring of the plan, accompanied by a summary of the general issues raised on the plan by residents and others during the public comment period process, and the PHA’s response to the general issues.

Another commenter asked HUD to clarify that the executive summary should summarize the resident concerns, and not just those which are addressed in the plan. The Department believes that the PHA must summarize those comments that are related to the modernization of the PHA’s developments.

D. Physical and management needs assessments.

Several housing authorities argued that the requirement that a PHA must provide a physical needs assessment of all of its development is an unnecessary expense. A PHA organization stated further that HUD is requiring “an excessive amount of detail” in comprehensive plans, especially for needs that are unlikely to be addressed within the next two or three years.

The commenter proposed an alternative approach for the needs assessment in which a PHA’s mod strategy would start out with a general (not detailed) assessment of the mod needs of its various buildings. Thereafter, the PHA would use this information to establish general priorities among its modernization needs at these various developments. The PHA would then develop a timeframe for the modernization of the various buildings, indicating which of those buildings would be modernized within the next two, three-to-five, and five-to-ten year periods. The PHA could authorize the preparation of detailed
mod plans for the developments which are scheduled to be modernized in the next two years, while authorizing the preparation of preliminary evaluations and analyses for developments scheduled for modernization within three to five years.

The Department agrees to reduce significantly the level of detail required in the physical needs assessment under the comprehensive plan. As provided in this final rule, a PHA would need to submit the following information in its physical needs assessment with respect to each of its developments:

1. A brief summary of the physical improvements necessary to bring each of a PHA’s developments to a level at least equal to the modernization and energy conservation standards, with the relative urgency of need indicated;
2. The replacement needs of equipment systems and structural elements that will be required to be met (assuming routine and timely maintenance is performed) during the period covered by the action plan;
3. A preliminary estimate of the cost to complete the physical work;
4. The projected FFY in which the PHA anticipates that each development will meet the modernization and energy conservation standards;
5. Any physical disparities between buildings occupied predominantly by one racial or ethnic group and buildings occupied predominantly by other racial or ethnic groups and, in such cases, the physical improvements required to correct the conditions;
6. With respect to vacant or non-homebuyer-occupied Turnkey III units, the estimated number of units that the PHA is proposing for substantial rehabilitation and subsequent sale; and
7. The sources from which the PHA derived the data used to develop the physical needs assessment, viability assessment and reasonable cost.

Thus, HUD has eliminated the requirement that a PHA use Form HUD-52827 to identify the needs of each of its developments, and is providing instead that physical needs may be described in broad categories, e.g., kitchens, electrical systems, with the relative urgency of need indicated.

Other commenters objected to the requirement that the PHA must submit detailed cost estimates as part of its comprehensive plan, arguing that most PHAs will not have prepared detailed mod plans for their developments at the time they submit their comprehensive plan to HUD. These commenters asked that detailed cost estimates be developed, instead, at the end of the mod planning process, not at the beginning. The Department agrees with these commenters and, as noted above, is providing only for a total gross cost estimate for each development.

One housing authority maintained that since the CGP is intended to be a capital improvement program, PHAs should not be required to submit comprehensive physical and management needs assessments for each development in the plan, but rather should be asked to justify the work being proposed. The Department has not adopted this suggestion, since the requirement that a PHA submit a comprehensive assessment of its physical and management needs on a development-by-development basis is mandated by statute and cannot be modified by HUD.

Two commenters asked HUD to revise the language in the rule which states that the physical needs assessment identifies all of the work that a PHA must undertake to bring its developments up to the mod and energy conservation standards. Instead, these commenters asked that this language be revised to reflect the conditional nature of a PHA’s obligation to meet such standards, depending upon whether HUD provides adequate funding.

Consequently, they urged HUD to revise this provision to state specifically, “subject to the availability of funding,” HUD has not adopted this comment, since the mandatory needs assessment is not subject to the availability of funding, but rather is intended to identify all physical and management deficiencies within a PHA’s developments or PHA-wide.

Several commenters objected to the requirement for a cost certification by an architect or engineer in the case of high needs developments, claiming that architects and engineers are being taxed with increasing liabilities and, in most cases, are unwilling to “certify” the very rough estimates of mod work items that will be available at the time a PHA prepares its comprehensive plan. The Department notes that, since it has eliminated the separate category of “high needs developments,” this issue is now moot.

One commenter indicated that some PHAs may wish to include in their action plans some of their newly accruing needs even though they will not be able to specify precisely which of these actions will be taken. By including them in the plan in general terms, the commenter stated, PHAs will be able to set aside some funding to meet these newly accruing needs on an on-going basis. As a result, this commenter recommended that the comprehensive plan may include a very general estimate of additional broad categories of modernization needs that can reasonably be expected to occur due to normal aging and deterioration of the stock over the ensuing five year period. The Department agrees that this is required pursuant to the authority under section 14(e)(1)(A)(iii), and notes that such a provision was included in the April 1991 proposed rule (see § 968.320(c)(2)(i)(B) at 56 FR 19488), and is being retained without modification in this final rule.

A housing authority objected to the proposed provision which requires a PHA to specify whether its substandard developments are predominantly occupied by a particular racial group, claiming that such an admission might be grounds for litigation on the basis of civil rights violations. Instead, the housing authority maintained that the building condition analysis based on racial or ethnic occupancy should be based upon an objective standard, e.g., whether the units meet HQS. In addition, the PHA stated that the reference to “significantly worse condition” should be removed from the rule, since it is a highly subjective judgment and is not further defined in the regulation.

HUD has not adopted this comment, since the Secretary has determined that such a comparative analysis of racial and ethnic data is necessary to enable the Department to fulfill its responsibilities pursuant to sections 808(e)(6) and 808(a) of the Fair Housing Act. Nevertheless, HUD has removed the reference to “significantly worse conditions,” and has provided instead that the PHA must specify whether there are any physical disparities between buildings occupied predominantly by one racial or ethnic group and buildings occupied predominantly by other racial or ethnic groups and, in such cases, the physical improvements that are necessary to correct those conditions.

The Department wants to clarify that this requirement does not apply to IHAs organized under tribal law, and only applies to IHAs organized under state law which have been determined on a case-by-case basis to be subject to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968.

A number of commenters asserted that HUD interpreted the “management improvement” requirements of the 1967 Act in the broadest possible manner, so as to increase its control over PHA management activities. Several of these commenters maintained that any detailed management improvement strategies should flow out of the PHMAP program, and need not be repeated and incorporated in the CGP comprehensive
plan. They asked that PHAs be permitted to refer in their comprehensive plans to their PHMAP evaluations, in addition to any corrective actions agreed to by the PHA. The commenter also asked that the PHA be required to make management improvements (if any) which are proposed for funding under the CGP modernization program. In addition, they asked that PHAs only be required to provide substantial details in the comprehensive plan for those management improvement actions which the PHA plans to carry out in the near future (e.g., with the next two years) using modernization funds. These commenters maintained that HUD's attempt to approve all of a PHA's modernization and operational activities in advance is directly contrary to the clearly stated purposes of the 1987 Act.

The Department agrees that the statutorily mandated management needs assessment should be simplified and has made a significant number of changes. This needs assessment now consists of the following components (with the relative urgency of need indicated):

1. An identification of a PHA's management and operations needs for each of the statutory areas prescribed by section 14(e)(1)(B), in addition to areas prescribed by HUD in the regulation. To the extent that any of these needs is addressed in a HUD-approved MOA or IP (applicable to a PHA) or MIP (applicable to an IHA), the PHA may simply include a cross-reference to these documents;

2. Any additional deficiencies identified through PHMAP, audits, and HUD monitoring reviews, and which are not otherwise addressed in paragraph (1). To the extent that any of these needs is addressed in a HUD-approved MOA or IP/MIP, the PHA may simply include a cross-reference to these documents;

3. Any other management and operations needs which the PHA wants to address at the PHA-wide or development level;

4. A PHA-wide preliminary cost estimate for addressing all the needs identified in the management needs assessment, without regard to the availability of funds; and

5. An identification of the sources from which the PHA derived its data for the management needs assessment.

In this manner, HUD has greatly simplified the management needs assessment by permitting a cross-reference to a HUD-approved MOA or IP/MIP for PHAs which have deficiencies identified and which are operating under these documents. The PHA also would not be required to submit to HUD copies of its MOA or IP/MIP since these documents are already on file with the Department (although copies would need to be made available as part of the resident and local community participation process). A PHA which wants to carry out improvements not covered by a MOA or IP/MIP must identify those needs as part of the assessment. It should be noted, however, that needs identified by the PHA, or as a result of the resident and local government consultation process, must be added to the PHA's needs assessment.

One housing authority asserted that if HUD intends that grant-funded management improvements must be tied to specific needs identified in the five-year plan, then the Department should encourage explicitly a broader approach to the assessment of management needs than may be assumed from HUD's concentration on the correction of PHMAP fail grades (e.g., maintaining state-of-the-art capabilities).

HUD intends that grant-funded management improvements must be tied to specific needs identified in the five-year plan, but the Department wishes to clarify that a PHA may include in its comprehensive plan management needs other than those required to correct deficiencies identified under PHMAP, provided there is adequate justification. For example, a PHA which obtains a satisfactory rating under PHMAP may want to provide for improvements to raise its capability to a higher level of performance, and this certainly is permissible under the CGP.

A PHA organization recommended that HUD delete its proposed requirement of including the PHA's score on all PHMAP factors, and suggested instead that only the scores for PHMAP factors which are to be addressed with CGP funds need to be included in the needs assessment, action plan, and annual statement. The Department wants to clarify that, while a PHA is not required to indicate its PHMAP score in its needs assessment, it must list all management deficiencies identified under PHMAP, irrespective of the source of funding to be used to correct those deficiencies. Moreover, HUD is permitting in this final rule that a PHA with management deficiencies identified under PHMAP may simply cross-reference to those deficiencies in its CGP comprehensive plan. In the action plan and annual statement, a PHA is only required to list those PHMAP-related deficiencies which it intends to address with CGP funds.

E. Physical and Social Viability

A number of commenters contended that all of the procedures for establishing modernization cost reasonableness and viability should have been included in the proposed rule for public comment. These commenters asserted that HUD should not now adopt such procedures without going through notice-and-comment rulemaking procedures. The Department believes that it was justified in providing the basic standards for physical and social viability in the proposed rule, even though it intended to elaborate upon those standards in the HUD Handbook for the CGP.

In addition, a couple of commenters maintained that since many PHAs will be proposing on-going rather than comprehensive modernization in their action plans, it is unreasonable to require that these limited numbers of on-going modernization improvements "ensure the long-term viability" of that development. They contended that HUD is carrying over from the CIAP an obsolete approach to viability which needs to be changed in light of the changed modernization approaches that will be taken by PHAs under the CGP.

One commenter recommended specifically that PHAs be allowed to present a variety of arguments concerning factors they believe relate to a development's viability, not just a cost factor.

Another commenter stated that the proposed rule was unclear as to the timing and measurement of viability. To avoid any "possible conflict," this commenter recommended that repeat viability reviews of properties be abandoned after 25 percent of the total estimated mod costs have been expended on the units. Meanwhile, another commenter maintained that the viability reviews should be eliminated altogether, claiming that it is a "fruitless exercise which yields meaningless information."

A number of commenters stated that the concept of a "total project cost" is no longer an appropriate concept for evaluating the reasonableness of a PHA's modernization expenditures. They contended that, under the revised mod program, a PHA will no longer be required to carry out its mod activities solely in terms of a "comprehensive modernization project" which would have a corresponding "total project cost." Instead, they claim that most PHAs probably will propose a variety of ongoing mod activities rather than "comp mod projects," for which there is no "total project cost" that can be compared to the "total development cost" concept used under the development program.

Other commenters objected to HUD's proposal to use the total cost of all the activities which the PHA believes would
be required to correct all of the physical and management defects in the comprehensive assessments, as the basis of cost reasonableness. They maintained that this approach is unrealistic, since the sum of all these costs would be a highly hypothetical figure and would not serve as a good basis for estimating the real modernization expenditures a PHA is likely to make at a particular housing development. As an alternative, the commenters recommended that the rule provide PHAs with the option of deciding whether to choose a comprehensive approach to modernization or piecemeal modernization, depending upon which strategy it believes to be most appropriate.

In the case of ongoing modernization, the commenters maintained that cost reasonableness and viability could not be evaluated in terms of the costs of a hypothetical comp mod development, but should be based upon unit costs of the major categories of modernization work proposed to be undertaken by the PHA. Under this approach, HUD would evaluate whether or not a particular cost component, e.g., a completely renovated kitchen, is reasonable, while reviewing these components using widely available private market cost indices for general, not highly detailed, categories of improvements proposed by the PHA.

Another commenter asked that the definition of “reasonable cost” be revised to include certain soft costs (e.g., relocation costs). Moreover, because of the significant cost of lead-based paint abatement and section 504 accessibility modifications, this commenter recommended that the test for viability be reexamined and revised, so that these costs are excluded from the total mod cost when compared to a percent of total development cost (TDC). A couple of commenters stated that the definition of “reasonable cost” establishes a ratio of modernization costs to TDC (i.e., 62.5% and 69%), which are carry overs from the CIAP. Not only were these figures never adequately justified in the CIAP, the commenters maintained, but they also appeared to be inconsistent with HUD’s definition of “high needs development.” The commenters urged that costs higher than 62.5% and 69% should automatically be approvable.

The Department agrees that the current viability test under CIAP, including financial feasibility, is difficult to apply to the CGP since there is no requirement for a comprehensive approach to modernization. However, section 14(e)(3)(C) of the Act does require that improvements “will reasonably ensure the long-term physical and social viability of each project at a reasonable cost.” Therefore, the Department has adopted a new and more workable approach to “reasonable cost,” which is a HUD review standard required under the statute. The Department is requiring the PHA to estimate the total hard costs of meeting the modernization and energy conservation standards for each development in its inventory at the time it prepares its physical needs assessment. If the total preliminary estimated hard costs for a development are at or below 90% of the computed TDC limit for a new development, and the PHA determines that, upon completion of the improvements and replacements, the development can reasonably be expected to be viable, i.e., to be structurally sound and achieve full occupancy, the PHA shall determine the development to be viable at a reasonable cost, and so state in the plan.

Where the total preliminary estimate of hard costs exceeds 90% of the computed TDC limit for a new development, but the PHA nevertheless wants to modernize the development, the PHA shall complete and submit to HUD with its plan a viability analysis of the development, as prescribed by HUD, which demonstrates that, upon completion of the improvements and replacements identified as needed for the development, the development can reasonably be expected to be structurally sound and achieve full occupancy. The PHA shall also submit to HUD a request to exceed 90 percent of TDC in modernization hard costs for the development. HUD will review such requests on a case-by-case basis, in accordance with criteria set forth in the final rule.

Where a PHA’s analysis determines that a development cannot be made viable at a reasonable cost, the PHA shall not expend CGP funds, except for emergencies, at that development. The plan must indicate what action the PHA proposes to take with respect to the development, such as demolition or disposition.

F. Action Plan

A commenter pointed out that if HUD is proposing to restrict the comprehensive plan and the action plan to only those improvements which are eligible under the CGP, it needs to clarify the regulatory language under these sections. The Department concurs with this comment, and has included clarifying language in this final rule.

A couple of commenters objected to HUD’s statement in the proposed rule that the comprehensive plan is not linked to the availability of funds. These commenters stated that, while this statement might be true with respect to the needs assessments, it is not true with respect to the action plan, which is also a part of the comprehensive plan. They contended that the action plan was clearly intended by Congress to be a realistic statement of what the PHA actually proposes to do, given the likely availability of funding over that time period. The Department agrees that the action plan, but not the needs assessment, is linked to the availability of funds.

A number of commenters asserted that, even though HUD acknowledged in its October 1988 proposed rule that it had erroneously referred to a “comprehensive modernization project,” the proposed rule still seemed to imply the notion of a comprehensive project, i.e., one in which a five-year period can at least come close to meeting all of HUD’s standards and requirements. These commenters stated that such a notion will not be possible for most PHAs, and asked that the five-year action plan include only those actions which the PHAs can feasibly include within the five-year period covered by the plan, based upon the funding likely to become available during that period. Another commenter also asserted that the rule should state that all deficiencies may not be addressed within the initial 5-year plan and allow for indication by the PHA of its unfunded need at the end of the 5-year plan.

The Department notes that the language regarding the action plan has been revised to reflect that it shall include how to address the deficiencies (or a portion of the deficiencies) identified under its management and physical needs assessments. Also, the requirement for updating the comprehensive plan every six years implies that all deficiencies may not be addressed during the initial five years. Although there are no specific provisions for a PHA to identify its unfunded needs at the end of five years, the update of the comprehensive plan will accomplish this end since it will eliminate needs funded in previous years, and will add new needs which have arisen in that time.

The Department also wants to point out that, in order to develop its action plan and establish priorities, a PHA must make a determination regarding the strategy for all of its developments. Where there are extensive needs which may be difficult to address in the near future with the annual CGP allocation, PHAs are encouraged to seek other sources of funding. PHAs may not,
however, reference other funds as a means for taking corrective action unless there is a reasonable expectation that such funds will be forthcoming, e.g., the City has provided CDBG funds in the past and expects to continue such funding. If there is no reasonable expectation of other funds, the PHA must address its corrective actions within the confines of its expected CDBG funding.

Some commenters complained that the five-year action plan duplicates much of the material from the comprehensive plan, while two commenters specifically urged HUD to delete the requirement for "hypothetical data," e.g., "the length of time, target date and the cost needed to upgrade each development to the mod standards . . . ."

In this final rule, the Department has reduced substantially the amount of detail required in the action plan. For example, with respect to physical improvements, the PHA must now indicate in the action plan the work to be undertaken by the PHA in major work categories (e.g., kitchens, electrical systems, etc.); establish priorities among the major work categories by development and year, based upon the relative urgency of need; and estimate the cost of each of the identified major work categories.

Insofar as management improvements are concerned, the PHA must now identify how it intends to address the deficiencies (or a portion thereof) identified in the management needs assessment, including work identified and cross-referenced through PHMAP (or, for IHAs, the ACA), audits and HUD monitoring findings; and provides a preliminary PHA-wide cost estimate by major work category. These changes to the action plan should eliminate any duplication, and reduce significantly the paperwork burden associated with the action plan.

G. Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan

Two commenters asserted it was the intent of the 1987 Act that, once a comprehensive plan is completed by a PHA, it would remain a reasonably stable document, acting as a general guide for a PHA's mod activities over a five-year period. These commenters contended further that any major revisions to the comprehensive plan should be incorporated at the end of the five-year period, so that while a PHA might propose changes to its comprehensive plan during the five-year period, HUD should not require it to do so, but should itself be bound to the provisions of the approved comprehensive plan. Thus, HUD's implementation of management changes via PHMAP should not require changes to a PHA's comprehensive plan, except when it proposes as part of the normal annual update of the comprehensive plan to change the management improvement activities which will be funded with modernization funds.

The Department does not agree that the approved comprehensive plan is a static document which may not be modified or revised within the five-year period since, clearly, there are events which may occur which can affect the comprehensive plan, including a significant change in the PHA's physical and modernization needs, or in the PHA's proposed strategy for addressing those needs. Although the plan is binding, the statute contemplates amendments to the plan, and HUD is providing for such amendments in the case of major changes to the annual statement, annual updates of the rolling base five-year action plan, and the ongoing identification of deficiencies under PHMAP. HUD further believes that a dynamic comprehensive plan will serve as a more useful planning tool than a static document.

A commenter objected to the proposed requirement that all amendments to a PHA's comprehensive plan must be accompanied by the certification and PHA resolution required for the initial submission of the plan. This commenter maintained that there should be a threshold which establishes when an amendment will require HUD approval, such as an amendment that would significantly change the scope of the action plan by adding the equivalent of new items. Only in such cases, argues the commenter, should the PHA be required to submit an additional resolution.

The Department disagrees with this comment since it believes that an amendment to the comprehensive plan, constituting a major change to the approved annual statement (the first year of the action plan) or otherwise amending portions of the plan, must be accompanied by the same certifications and resolutions as an original submission. This is especially true for purposes of ensuring adequate opportunity for resident participation on amendments to the comprehensive plan. It should be noted that a PHA which wants to use modernization funds for new activities under the action plan, which were not included in the needs assessment, must also submit an amendment to its needs assessments, as well as its action plan. Although amendments to the needs assessments may be submitted at any time, they must be submitted at least once every sixth year.

H. Local Government Statement

A commenter asserted that the rule should remove the requirement that a comprehensive plan must be consistent with a locality's Comprehensive Housing Assistance Strategy (CHAS). For most communities, the commenter stated, the CHAS will include only limited statements concerning public housing modernization needs, and will not include the PHA's comprehensive plan. The requirement of consistency with the locality's assessment of its housing assistance needs is statutory and cannot be waived by HUD.

The CHAS regulation requires "a description of the number of public housing units in the jurisdiction, their physical condition, and the restoration and revitalization needs of public housing projects within the jurisdiction. The strategy of the jurisdiction and the public housing agency for improving the management and operation of public housing projects and for improving the living environment of low- and very low-income families residing in public housing must be included." (See 24 CFR 91.15(i)) Thus, HUD expects the CHAS to include substantial information about public housing modernization needs and hopes that the PHA and local government will work closely together to identify and plan for these needs.

A housing authority recommended that HUD delete the requirement that the local government cooperate in providing resident programs and services, since the rule does not address HUD or PHA actions when the local government declines to provide the requested certifications. The Department has not adopted this suggestion, since this requirement is statutory. (See section 14(e)(1)(E)(ii) of the Act.) Thus, HUD may not approve a comprehensive plan which fails to contain the appropriate local government certification.

I. PHA resolution

A number of commenters asserted that the PHA certification which obligates a PHA to carry out all requirements (e.g., lead based paint, etc.) should be made conditional upon funding being received by the PHA to do these tasks.

HUD agrees that a PHA's certification to carry out certain required activities is conditional upon the PHA's receiving modernization funds from HUD. Nevertheless, the Department wants to point out that a PHA should also seek sources of funding in addition to CGP
funding to carry out its needed modernization work. Secondly, HUD is requiring that after a PHA addresses its emergency work items that it then respond to statutorily mandated activities, such as lead based paint testing and abatement. In this manner, the PHA will be assured that it can submit a certification indicating that it will comply with these statutorily mandated requirements.

A housing authority stated that the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) checklist seemed to be excessive for remodeling work, and asserted that a much lower standard of review is appropriate. Although HUD appreciates these concerns, the Department is statutorily responsible for determining NEPA compliance. HUD intends to pursue a statutory change to NEPA, which would permit PHAs to certify to NEPA compliance, similar to the procedure permitted for entitlement cities under the Community Development Block Grant program. However, until such legislation is enacted, the existing NEPA requirements must remain in effect.

A commenter noted that because the comprehensive occupancy plans (COPs) are expected to undergo major changes in the future, HUD should simply ask PHAs to refer to their comprehensive plans to their COPs, rather than to require that the COPs be incorporated directly into the comprehensive plan. The Department agrees with this comment, and is providing that PHAs may reference their COPs in their CGP comprehensive plan.

J. Extension of Time for Performance

A commenter objected to HUD's listing of only two possible bases for an extension of project implementation schedules, claiming that the list of reasons for such extensions should be greatly expanded and left generally to the judgment of the PHA. The commenter maintained that the 1987 Act intended that PHAs have this flexibility.

In order to preserve the integrity and accountability of the CGP modernization program, HUD has decided to retain the original bases for extending project implementation schedules. Nevertheless, HUD is providing pursuant to section 14(e)(3)(B) that a PHA shall have a right to amend its comprehensive plan and related statements to extend the time for performance whenever HUD has not provided the amount of assistance set forth in the annual statement, or has not provided the assistance in a timely manner.

In addition, a PHA may revise the target dates for fund obligation and expenditure in the approved annual statement without prior HUD approval whenever any valid delay outside of the PHA's control occurs. In this latter situation, HUD will review the revisions and the PHA's justifications for those revisions during its end-of-the-year evaluation of the PHA's performance for purposes of determining whether the PHA's revisions were based on valid delays and, therefore, the PHA has a continuing capacity to carry out its comprehensive plan.

HUD Review and Approval of Comprehensive Plan (Including Action Plan) (§§ 905.675 and 968.325)

Two commenters argued that HUD has no statutory authority to propose a partial approval of the comprehensive plan. The commenters stated that this proposed provision attempts to "sidestep the statute" and attempts to "control PHAs at an excessive level of detail" since the 1987 Act provides specifically that if the plan is not approved by HUD within 75 days, it shall be deemed to be approved, and that HUD can only disapprove a comprehensive plan under certain circumstances.

In addition, several commenters maintained that the HUD approval process is unclear, and that criteria for disapproval are "foggy," as is the process for what happens when HUD disapproves a plan. The commenters also noted that the rule should specify the nature of a PHA's recourse if HUD disapproves the plan.

The Department notes initially that it concurs with the commenters' recommendations, and has removed the provision concerning partial approval of the comprehensive plan. In addition, this final rule attempts to clarify what constitutes disapproval of a comprehensive plan, what happens next, and the timeframe for getting the plan approved. Furthermore, HUD is providing that it has 75 calendar days in which to review the comprehensive plan. Once it has been accepted by HUD for review, if HUD fails to disapprove the comprehensive plan by the 75th day, the plan will automatically be approved. However, if HUD notifies the PHA within the 75 day review period that it needs to make modifications to the plan, the PHA will need to submit the revised plan to HUD by a specified date. Failure to submit the revised plan by the specified deadline will result in that year's CGP funds being withheld and reallocated to PHAs in the subsequent FFY.

Another commenter stated that the proposed rule should specify the process by which a PHA can correct any deficiencies in the comprehensive plan which result in the plan being unapprovable. The Department agrees with this comment, and has clarified in this final rule the process for correcting deficiencies in the comprehensive plan.

Two housing authorities and two resident organizations commented that once a PHA grant program is approved and thresholds established, HUD's only role should be to review program progress and to provide requested technical assistance. The Department notes that with respect to monitoring, the statute mandates that at least annually HUD must carry out reviews necessary to determine if: (1) a PHA's mod work is timely and in accordance with the comprehensive plan; (2) the PHA has continuing capacity; and (3) the PHA is making satisfactory progress towards meeting the performance standards. Thus, HUD is responsible for ensuring that PHAs follow all applicable program requirements in implementing their comprehensive plans. HUD intends to implement this statutory mandate in monitoring the CGP.

Another commenter noted that the comprehensive plan should not be approved or binding if the requirements for tenant participation are not fully complied with. The Department concurs with this comment, since section 14 expressly requires that the resident participation requirement must be complied with before a PHA can have a "complete" (and, hence, approvable) plan.

Some commenters objected to HUD's statement in the proposed rule that it would disapprove a PHA's comprehensive plan "if the proposed work fails to address all management and operation areas that HUD has determined to be deficient," claiming that use of the word "all" sets too strict a standard. These commenters maintained that this provision should be qualified to state, "subject to the availability of funding being provided to the PHA." However, the Department has decided to retain this provision without modification since the requirement that a PHA specify all management and operations deficiencies in its comprehensive plan is statutory.

Consequently, a PHA's failure to address all such deficiencies in its needs assessment is a basis for disapproval under the statute, and may not be modified.

Nevertheless, HUD recognizes that the action plan and annual statement are subject to the availability of funding, so that a PHA cannot be expected to address all physical and management deficiencies in its five-year action plan, when it lacks the funds to do so.
Annual Statement of Activities and Expenditures (§§ 905.876 and 686.330)

A housing authority commented that the proposed PHMAP program stresses repeatedly a PHA's compliance with "program implementation schedules." Consequently, this commenter asserted that HUD should more clearly delineate in the CPG exactly what those program implementation schedules consist of.

Another commenter urged HUD to limit its monitoring role under the rule, claiming that HUD should not monitor a PHA's project implementation schedule by reviewing whether or not the work on developments has been completed within a reasonable time. The commenter cautioned HUD against attempting to specify precisely when the proposed mod work would actually begin, and without referring to a variety of intermediate deadlines.

H UD agrees with the comments, and has clarified that the annual statement must include estimated target dates for the obligation and expenditure of the current year's funds on a development by development basis. Under this formulation, PHAs will not be required to provide target dates on a work item basis, nor will they be required to establish any intermediate deadlines. For example, a PHA which proposes several work items at a development, e.g., windows, doors, roofs, etc., will provide a single target date for the obligation and expenditure of all funds at that development, rather than provide deadlines for the completion of each of the individual work items at that development.

The Department agrees with this recommendation and wants to clarify that the implementation schedule will apply to the annual statement for the obligation of funds on a development by development basis. A PHA will be required to report in its end-of-year performance and evaluation report its progress against the target dates. In this final rule, HUD is also providing that it expects all of a PHA's current year CFP funds to be obligated within two years of the date such funds were provided to the PHA, and expended within three years of such date, unless longer timeframes are approved by HUD. If a PHA fails to meet its approved deadlines and thereby fails to carry out its comprehensive plan in a timely manner, HUD may issue a notice of deficiency and request corrective action or may issue a corrective action order. If the PHA fails to take appropriate corrective action to obligate and expend the funds, as specified by HUD in a corrective action order, pursuant to the procedures established in this final rule, HUD may declare a breach of the grant agreement with respect to some or all of the PHA's functions so that the PHA or the modernization function may be administrated by another entity; or HUD may withhold some or all of the PHA's annual grant.

Another housing authority objected to the language in the proposed rule which states that HUD promptly will notify a PHA that it has disapproved its annual statement, claiming that "prompt notification" is too vague and arbitrary a timeframe.

The Department notes that although it is unable to specify exactly when it will notify a PHA that its annual statement has been disapproved, it wants to reiterate that it will do so within the 75-day statutory deadline. A number of comments objected to the proposed requirement that any "major change" in a PHA's annual statement must be approved by HUD, since the definition of "major change" as involving more than 10 percent of a PHA's annual grant would mean that virtually any change would require HUD approval. One commenter proposed an alternative approach, namely that if a proposed mod activity is included in a PHA's HUD-approved action plan, the PHA should have the latitude to reschedule that item within its five-year framework without the need to obtain HUD approval. According to this view, the PHA should only be required to submit to HUD a statement explaining the nature of, and the reasons for, the change. Furthermore, only when a PHA adds items which previously were not contained in the comprehensive plan, or where a PHA completely abolishes such items, should the PHA be required to obtain HUD approval.

Another commenter asserted that HUD should not be concerned about variations in the annual statement from planned expenditures, so long as the Resident Advisory group agrees with the PHA's decision to vary these expenditures.

A third commenter suggested that HUD allow major changes of up to 20 percent without prior HUD approval, and prescribe a time period in the final rule for HUD approval of major changes. Some commenters stated that the rule provided little flexibility with regard to permitting PHAs to shift funds from one year's mod developments to another, and requested that HUD expressly authorize PHAs to move such funds without HUD approval so long as the new mod activity was included in the original five-year plan.

A PHA organization complained that the proposed rule failed to implement the statutory goal of providing PHAs with greater flexibility in using mod funds, and mentioned specifically that HUD is placing too much emphasis on section 504-related activities. The commenter maintained that HUD must grant PHAs the flexibility to apply funds where they are most needed. This final rule provides PHAs with flexibility in establishing their funding priorities and in planning work to meet statutorily mandated deadlines, such as for section 504 and lead-based paint requirements.

The Department is providing in this final rule that in addition to the first year of funding, a PHA may, at its option, submit an annual statement in part or in toto for the second year of funding. If the PHA exercises this option, it may shift work items in the approved annual statement(s) between years 1 and 2. The PHA would still be required to submit a new annual statement each year. If a PHA elects to submit a two-year annual statement, all work items in the first two years would be interchangeable without prior HUD approval.

In addition, major changes up to 10 percent of the annual grant would be permitted without HUD approval for any items in the five-year plan (years 2 to 5) or, in the case of a two-year annual statement, years 3 to 5.

In order for the funds to be fungible, HUD would require that a PHA submit a detailed annual statement for both years covered by the statement. Thereafter, the PHA would be required to explain in its performance and evaluation report where it has deviated from the order of work presented in the annual statement. This will provide PHAs with flexibility for purposes of shifting funds over and above that provided with regard to major changes.

A PHA may review the target dates for fund obligation and expenditure in the approved annual statement without prior HUD approval whenever any valid delay outside the PHA's control occurs. Such revision is subject to post-review by HUD in determining whether the PHA has a continuing capacity to carry out its comprehensive plan in a timely manner. Revisions to target dates to reflect work shifted from year two to year one of the approved annual statement are permitted.

In addition, a PHA may revise its comprehensive plan and related statements whenever HUD does not provide the amount of assistance set forth in the plan or has not provided the assistance in a timely manner. Such revisions are not subject to post-review by HUD for purposes of determining whether the PHA has a continuing capacity to carry out the comprehensive plan.

A housing authority maintained that the proposed rule would not address whether the 10 percent major change threshold applied to each annual grant, or to the aggregate of grants under the program. The Department wants to clarify that the 10 percent major change...
threshold applies to each annual grant under the program. Two commenters recommended that as soon as the President signs the appropriation bill for a particular FFY, PHAs should be notified of their probable funding amounts, so that they can begin to prepare their one-year annual statements. They contended that the advantages of expediting the release of mod funds outweigh the disadvantages of any last minute tinkering required when the precise formula allocations are known. The Department agrees that tentative estimates for planning are useful, and plans to notify PHAs of their estimated formula amounts as expeditiously as possible.

A housing authority asserted that the annual statement duplicates much of the material from the five-year action plan. However, after considering this comment, HUD notes that the annual statement does not duplicate material contained in the action plan since it is the first year of the action plan. The annual statement sets forth in much greater detail the actual work to be carried out using the year's annual grant. This detail is necessary for greater understanding by the residents, local government, the public and HUD as to what exactly the PHA intends to do with the annual grant. Hence, HUD has not revised the annual statement in response to this comment.

Another housing authority stated that the proposed requirement that any substitutions in the annual statement must be included in the five-year plan is an incentive to PHAs to include everything they possibly can from the physical needs assessment into the action plan, which commenter asserted that this approach compromises the supposed intent of identifying specific needs. HUD disagrees with this comment, since the action plan is necessarily restricted by the availability of funds.

Conduct of Modernization Activities (§§ 905.681 and 908.335)

A commenter objected to HUD’s statement in the proposed rule that it would not permit a PHA to draw down funds from its estimated five-year allocation on an up-front basis. This commenter maintained that although the Department’s decision was apparently premised upon 24 CFR §211[b], which requires HUD to ensure that its methods and procedures for payment to a grantee “minimize” the time elapsing between HUD’s transfer of funds and the subsequent disbursement of those funds by the grantee, a reasonable interpretation of the word “minimize” does not necessarily mean “eliminate.” Hence, the commenter asserted that a reasonable time elapsing between the transfer and disbursement of funds is consistent with the purposes of the Act and should be acceptable.

Another commenter stated that it would support at least a 15 percent drawdown up front from the first year’s approved allocation to facilitate timely vendor payments. However, other PHAs asserted that once the annual statement has been approved, the full amount of the PHA’s annual funding level should be advanced to the PHA.

The Department cannot accept these comments because Treasury regulations (31 CFR part 205) require PHAs to disburse funds within 3 days of receipt. However, funds approved for the replacement reserve may be drawn down up front in order for the PHA to accrue and retain the interest earned for future work. Funding replacement reserves must generate a return equal to or greater than the average 91-day Treasury bill rate.

One commenter asserted that the proposed rule failed to set forth the procedures that HUD will use to distribute modernization funding under the program, and stated that the schedule and method of fund requisitioning and disbursement was a significant matter which should have been included in the rule. Another commenter asserted that if HUD adopts a disbursement method which does not supply funding from a PHA’s annual formula grant, it will submit documentation to HUD that it is ready to expend such funds, this would substantially subvert the purposes and intent of the 1987 Act that PHAs receive their funds in a timely, expedited and uncomplicated way. The commenter maintained that it would also rule out HUD’s providing funds to a PHA for a mod reserve account for purposes of expenditure in future years, as reflected in the approved comprehensive plan.

Three commenters pointed out that the current “Rapid Payment System” is not expeditious, and requires a substantial amount of time by the PHA to supply necessary paperwork to HUD. These commenters contended that if the Treasury Department or OMB require this payment system, HUD should attempt to obtain a legislative amendment to eliminate this requirement.

One housing authority recommended that HUD utilize the same letter of credit system for the CGP payment system that it uses for the CDBG program, claiming that this approach assures the availability of funds when needed and provides ample controls for HUD and the Treasury.

The Department intends that CGP funds will be requisitioned through the Voice Response System/Letter of Credit Control System (VRS/LOCCS) which is used for some other HUD programs. The Department believes this will streamline significantly PHA requisitions and reduce the corresponding paperwork burden. HUD intends to implement a requisitioning system handbook as expeditiously as possible.

Another commenter asserted that the proposed rule failed to set forth the procedures that HUD will use to distribute mod funding under the program, and stated that the schedule and method of fund requisitioning and disbursement was a significant matter which should have been included in the rule. The Department can appreciate this concern, but notes that it was unable to provide greater detail concerning the method of fund requisitioning and disbursement at the time the proposed rule was published, since it was looking into several alternative methods. HUD intends to provide greater specificity in a separate requisition handbook concerning the method and procedures that are ultimately adopted in the CGP.

This commenter also objected to the proposed requirement that prior HUD approval is required for all proposed contract modifications, including modifications to construction and equipment contracts, and management contracts, claiming that the detailed level of HUD approval is inappropriate and unreasonable. Instead, the commenter maintained that PHAs should be given the discretion over their own day-to-day mod activities, as intended by statute. Other commenters asserted, however, that HUD should utilize “thresholds,” as it has in the past, whereby PHAs can self-certify on certain contracts, change orders, etc.

The Department agrees with these comments and is providing in this final rule that HUD may request or require a PHA where deficiencies have been identified to submit contracts and other documents to HUD for prior approval. This eliminates the method and nature of the submission requirements, as proposed in the April 1991 rule. The thresholds used in the CIAP program will not automatically roll over and apply to the CGP. Instead, thresholds may be established under the CGP where HUD has issued a notice of deficiency or order of corrective action and, where established, will also apply to CIAP programs in progress. The Department will try to establish any thresholds as high as possible to allow for maximum PHA discretion.

A commenter asserted that PHAs should be required to employ (or to require contractors to employ) residents in their modernization efforts. The Department notes that both the old CIAP, and the new CGP, have provisions governing the employment of residents in the modernization program, and contracting with resident-controlled
businesses, and the Department strongly encourages that PHAs implement these provisions.

One housing authority objected to the proposed requirement under § 968.335(c)(1) which states that a payment and performance bond is required for all contracts greater than $25,000. The housing authority maintained that this requirement also exists under CIAP, and has hampered its ability to obtain adequate competition on small contracts, i.e., $25,000 to $100,000. The housing authority proposed an alternative standard, namely, to only require payment and performance bonds for developments greater than $100,000, or to require bonds that comply with State or local requirements, whichever is less.

The $25,000 threshold is the threshold which applies government-wide and is set forth in 24 CFR Part 85, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements for States and Local Governments. The Department believes that the $25,000 threshold is necessary to protect the PHA's, as well as the Federal, interest by assuring the completion of the contract work. As a result, the comment has not been adopted. However, the Department notes that, in addition to a performance and payment bond, there are two alternative methods to assure completion, namely, a 20 percent cash escrow, and a 25 percent irrevocable letter of credit.

A number of commenters asserted that there should be a maximum time limit for HUD to respond concerning ACC approval and NEPA compliance. They maintained that without specific time guidelines upon which the PHA can rely, the implementation schedule and work plan contained in the comprehensive plan would be seriously compromised and would have little effect other than as an "administrative exercise."

The Department recognizes that any delay on HUD's part in preparing and executing the ACC amendment, and completing determinations of NEPA compliance, will affect a PHA's implementation schedule for the annual statement. The Department fully intends to prepare the ACC amendments and to complete the NEPA determinations as expeditiously as possible, but believes that a processing time frame is more appropriate for the companion handbook. HUD notes, however, that any delay by HUD is a valid reason for a PHA to extend the target dates for the obligation and expenditure of funds in the approved implementation schedule.

Performance and evaluation report (§§ 905.684 and 968.340)

A housing authority asserted that a PHA should only be required to submit a statement saying that it has complied with the comprehensive plan. The Department has not adopted this comment, since section 14 requires each CGP agency to submit "a performance and evaluation report concerning the use of funds made available under this section." The report is to include an assessment of the use of funds in relation to the needs of the agency and purposes of the modernization program and is to be made available to residents for review and comment prior to submission to HUD. It seems clear that the report is intended to be substantive and not merely a statement of compliance.

A housing authority objected to the proposed requirement that a PHA provide notice to "each" resident concerning the availability of the performance and evaluation report, and an opportunity to comment on the draft report.

The Department concurs with this comment and is providing in this final rule that a PHA must make reasonable efforts to notify residents and local government officials of the availability of the draft report, and to make copies available to residents on-site, such as the development office, where feasible, upon request. Residents and local government officials have 30 days in which to comment on the draft performance and evaluation report. Also, PHAs must include as part of the performance and evaluation report a summary of any resident comments included on the report.

HUD Review of PHA Performance (§§ 805.687 and 968.345)

A couple of commenters objected to HUD's statement in the proposed rule that "[A] PHA which has been determined to be mod troubled under the PHMAP will automatically be considered not to have a continuing capacity." These commenters contended that if a PHA fails any of the "mod troubled" standards under the PHMAP, HUD will have the authority under this provision to shut down the PHA's entire mod program by ordering it not to incur any further financial obligations, or by suspending payments.

A number of commenters objected to HUD's imposing penalties upon PHAs that have been determined to lack "modernization capability," even though they are not "mod troubled" under PHMAP. They contended that section 509 of the NAHA authorizes HUD to reduce the amount of the annual modernization grant, as determined under the formula, only if a PHA has been "designated as a troubled agency with respect to the program under section 14." Hence, these commenters maintained that HUD is not authorized by the Act to provide PHAs with less than the full formula share of mod funds using standards and criteria other than those applicable specifically to the mod program.

Two commenters asserted that HUD failed to explain in the proposed rule how it intends to implement the authority to condition a PHA's CGP grant under § 968.345, and thereby precluded commenters from determining whether the proposed level of conditioning is appropriate and authorized by statute.

In response to these comments, the Department has made major changes to this section of the rule. First of all, the statement that any troubled PHA would "automatically be considered not to have a continuing capacity" has been stricken. Secondly, the section on conditioning has been deleted. Thirdly, the remaining provisions regarding HUD's ability to ensure compliance with program requirements have been reorganized and clarified. These provisions are based on section 14(e)(4)(D) of the Act, a section which was created by amendments to the HCD Act of 1987. Unlike section 509 of the NAHA and certain provisions of HUD's FY 1992 Appropriations Act which specify the extent to which mod troubled PHAs may have funds withheld and reallocated based on their ratings on certain performance indicators, the compliance provisions of the HCD Act of 1987 focus on PHA failure to take corrective action to remedy specific deficiencies after notice and a reasonable opportunity to do so, and apply to all PHAs.

The final rule provides for three stages in the compliance process. First, if HUD makes a determination of a deficiency in program operations as a result of a monitoring review or review of the performance and evaluation report, HUD may issue a "notice of deficiency" stating the specific program requirement which has been violated, and requesting corrective action. Second, if the deficiency continues, HUD may issue a "corrective action order," again identifying the specific program requirement which has been violated, but in this case ordering corrective action and making the corrective action a condition of the grant agreement. Corrective action may include such actions as requiring the provision of additional information: submission of documents to HUD prior to their implementation; suspension of payments for one or more activities; or simply requiring correction of the deficiency. In cases of an extremely serious deficiency or a deficiency which has existed for a substantial period of time, HUD may issue a corrective action order without a prior notice of deficiency. For example, this may occur in the first year of the program where serious operating deficiencies have existed in the CIAP and seem likely to carry over in the CGP unless corrective action is required.

If the PHA fails to take the corrective action, HUD will have several options.
available. One avenue would be to increase the stringency of the corrective action order. Another would be to declare a breach of the grant agreement with respect to some or all of the PHA's functions. If a breach of the agreement is declared, HUD could provide for continued administration of the PHA or administration of the modernization function through a contractor. Another option would be a temporary withholding of CGP funds until the PHA is able to utilize the funds legally and responsibly. It is not HUD's intention to withhold funds and then reallocate them to other PHAs, except in the most extreme and rare cases where other, more satisfactory options are not available or are not likely to succeed.

The withholding provisions of §§ 905.687 and 963.345 apply on a case-by-case basis to all PHAs, including those designated as mod-troubled. Hence, these provisions are independent of the requirements applicable to mod troubled PHAs under § 968.312(c) for purposes of reducing their formula funding.

Two commenters stated that HUD has no authority to impose the "severe corrective actions" included in the proposed rule, and requested that all provisions which refer to HUD's withholding, recapturing or reallocating a PHA's modernization funding, except as authorized explicitly by statute, be removed.

One commenter objected to the interpretation that because section 14 provides no opportunity for preventive measures, HUD had no authority to establish the modernization grants. The commenter asserted that since the NAHA specified precisely the conditions under which HUD may withhold formula grants from PHAs, as well as the procedures to be used by HUD to reallocate any available funds, the Department cannot claim to have broad "inherent powers" to take away and reallocate a PHA's modernization grant on a solely discretionary basis.

Three commenters stated that HUD granted itself almost unlimited authority to withhold, recapture and reallocate a PHA's modernization grant under § 963.345 of the proposed rule. In particular, the commenters maintained that HUD provides no opportunity for appeal, only "an opportunity within a prescribed period of time, to consult with HUD regarding the proposed action."

HUD's power to withhold funds is specified in the HCD Act of 1987 amendments to section 14, and relates to specific failures to take corrective action, as described above. HUD's power to recapture "for good cause" is mentioned in section 14(k)(6), in amendments made in the NAHA. The ability to reallocate funds that have been withheld under the HCD Act of 1987 is inherent in the right to withhold. It is not reasonable to assume that Congress would intend funds that have been withheld to be held forever in situations where they cannot be responsibly managed by the PHA from which they have been withheld. Given the serious and widespread need for modernization funds, it is important that they be obligated where they can be utilized. However, as stated above, it is not HUD's intention to use this provision except in the most extreme circumstances.

The regulation has been revised with respect to "the opportunity for consultation" to specify more clearly a right to be heard by and present facts and data to the Assistant Secretary, who will make all decisions regarding withholding or recapture of funds.

One housing authority stated that the annual HUD review would be much more meaningful if it was framed as a joint review at which time HUD and the PHA would review the PHA's progress and develop the final report. It is HUD's intention to conduct monitoring reviews of PHAs in close communication with the PHA, encouraging correction of deficiencies through discussion, negotiation and technical assistance. Before HUD issues any notification of deficiency or corrective action order, the PHA will have an opportunity to discuss the proposed action with HUD and to present alternative information or analysis.

Another housing authority encouraged HUD to establish sufficient program guidelines so as to prevent future abuses of the program. This commenter stated that the safeguards should be put in place immediately so that there will be no excuses to "discontinue a program that good PHAs have clamored over for years." The Department believes that the CGP will be managed well by the overwhelming proportion of CGP agencies, and hopes to provide adequate guidance in the program handbook, high quality training, and reasonable monitoring and compliance actions to prevent and correct abuses.

V. Public Comments on the CIAP Portion of the Indian Consolidated Rule

The Indian Housing Revised Consolidated program regulation was published as an interim rule on June 18, 1990 with an effective date of October 1, 1991. The interim rule was published as an interim rule so that public comment could be solicited. One area where public concern was specifically requested was subpart I, Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program. The preamble to the consolidated rule stated that the Department was considering changes to the program which would broaden the applicability of CIAP for homeownership developments. Several comments were received based on our request.

Also on November 28, 1990, the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act was signed. Section 516 of the Act authorized the Secretary to provide for comprehensive modernization of homeownership units under Section 14 of the Act. The Act further states that any assistance shall be provided only in the form of a single grant for each housing development (or unit within a development) selected for such assistance.

Based on the above, HUD believes it would be useful to develop a final rule on subpart I prior to finalizing the entire consolidated rule so that the rule can be effective for the 1992 FFY. For that reason, HUD is responding in this final rule to the public comments submitted on the CIAP portion of the Indian Housing Consolidated rule. In addition, HUD is amending subpart A of part 905 ( Definitions ) in order to implement the comprehensive modernization of Mutual Help units.

HUD received approximately 31 public comments requesting that the Department broaden the scope of the CIAP for homeownership units, both with respect to physical and management improvement needs. In response to these comments, as well as the recently enacted NAHA, the Department has revised subpart I to provide for "comprehensive modernization for the Mutual Help program which shall be available in the Mutual Help program only, and shall be similar to the current provision for comprehensive modernization of rental units. Comprehensive modernization for Mutual Help will provide expanded eligibility for physical improvements as well as management improvements needs on a one-time per development, or per unit, basis only.

One commenter requested that the Department remove the requirement that a unit must be ten years old before it is eligible for comprehensive homeownership funding. The Department believes that restricting comprehensive modernization to Mutual Help units which are at least 10 years old is necessary to obtain the maximum benefits from the one-time comprehensive modernization, and that a Mutual Help unit should not need comprehensive modernization before that time. Additionally, Notice PH 91-29 provides PHAs with an option for re-determining purchase price schedules. This may result in homeowners acquiring title to homeownership units earlier than anticipated. To comprehensively modernize Mutual Help units before they are at least 10 years old also could result in units needing substantial
modernization work, for which they are no longer eligible, at the time of conveyance. The Department is, therefore, not removing the requirement that comprehensive modernization cannot be approved for Mutual Help until the units become 10 years old. This requirement, however, will not preclude an IHA from applying for homeownership modernization for construction deficiencies, energy conservation items, lead based paint testing and abatement and health and safety items, as provided under the existing CIAP.

One commenter requested a clarification in the final rule concerning an IHA's ability to apply only once every three years for the CIAP. In response, this comment, HUD notes that this was never a requirement under CIAP, hence no regulatory clarification is necessary.

Three commenters requested that because of the complexity of the CIAP regulation, HUD provide funding to IHAs to develop viable CIAP applications. The Department notes that § 905.605(d) states that planning costs necessary for developing the application are eligible modernization costs. Furthermore, it states that financially distressed IHAs may request approval from HUD for up-front funding of planning costs where the HUD office determines that developing the application would otherwise present an undue financial hardship. Since these provisions already exist in the current regulation, HUD has not made any further modifications in this final rule.

One commenter disagreed with the notion that the CIAP can be used to fund Resident Management Corporations. However, it is HUD's policy to encourage participation by residents in the management of Indian housing, as may be determined appropriate by an IHA after consultation with the residents. In addition, an IHA may request CIAP funds to assist in developing or improving resident management capabilities as part of management improvements under comprehensive homeownership modernization. Based on the Department's policy, no change is being made to the regulation in this regard.

Several commenters requested changes in the regulations which would add the eligibility of certain physical improvements to the regulation, such as fenced park and playground areas for safety, fire stations and equipment, solid waste landfills or transfer stations, and deferred maintenance. The regulations do not provide a detailed list of eligible physical improvements. However, § 905.670 states that all improvements funded under subpart I shall meet the HUD modernization standards so as to provide decent, safe and sanitary living conditions in IHA-owned and IHA-administered housing. The standards are designed to provide decent, safe and sanitary living conditions in Indian housing, including corrections of violations of basic health and safety codes, and address all deficiencies, including those related to deferred maintenance. In addition, these standards cover improvements relating to site and building security. The modernization standards are contained in HUD Handbook 4785.2, as revised. Any questions regarding the eligibility of work items may be referred to the appropriate HUD Office of Indian Programs or to HUD Headquarters.

HUD notes that fenced playground areas are currently eligible modernization costs under the CIAP. However, it is not clear whether the language in § 905.605(b)(2)(iii)(a) be revised to include as eligible management improvements "certain drug elimination activities," instead of the current language which states "certain drug elimination activities," the Department believes that, at this time, available resources should be concentrated on the very critical area of drug elimination and, therefore, has not adopted this comment. Additionally, there are other sources of funds available for substance abuse programs, such as those administered by the Indian Health Service.

One commenter requested clarification as to where the Secretary's Initiative for Economic Development appears as an economic activity. The Department agrees that additional guidance is necessary and intends to provide such guidance in the near future.

VI. Other Changes

In this final rule, HUD also is implementing a number of technical and substantive amendments to the CIAP and Comprehensive Grant programs contained in sections 509(b) through (f) and section 516 of the NAHA. A brief discussion of HUD's implementation of these amendments follows:

a. Section 509(b)—Removal of certain requirements for PHAs with fewer than 500 units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993). Section 509(b) amended section 14(d)(4) of the Act by removing the requirement under the CIAP for replacement needs and planning estimates, operating budget, and financial resources estimates. (See §§ 905.616(e); 968.211(e).)

b. Section 509(c) Limitation of special purpose modernization to PHAs with fewer than 500 units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993). Section 509(c) amended section 14(f)(2)(B) of the Act by limiting the availability of special purpose modernization funding to PHAs participating under the CIAP.

c. Section 509(d)—Special purpose management modernization for agencies with fewer than 500 units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993). Section 509(d) amended section 14(f)(1) of the Act by adding special purpose management modernization as a new category of eligible activities under the CIAP. The term "special purpose management modernization" is defined under section 509(b) to mean "management improvement needs which are not otherwise eligible for assistance under the CIAP, and which pertain to any low-income housing development other than a development assisted under section 8 of the Act. HUD is implementing this provision not only with respect to rental housing developments, but also for purposes of homeownership developments assisted under the Act. (See §§ 905.615(b)(3); 968.205(b)(3).)

d. Section 509(e)(1)—Establishment of 250-unit threshold beginning in FFY 1993—Effective October 1, 1992. Section 509(e)(1) of the NAHA amended section 14 of the Act by reducing the threshold for participation under the Comprehensive Grant program from 500 or more units to 250 or more units. Furthermore, section 509(e)(2) of the NAHA amended section 14 of the Act by reducing the threshold for continued participation by a PHA which has already qualified for assistance under the CGP from at least 400 units to at least 200 units. (See §§ 905.600(b)(1) and 905.601(f); 968.101(b)(1) and 968.102(f)).

e. Section 509(f)—Transition—Section 509(f) amended section 14 of the Act by providing that any amount that the Secretary has obligated to a PHA under the CIAP shall be used for the purposes for which the funding was provided, or for purposes consistent with an action plan submitted by the PHA under the CGP and approved by HUD, as the PHA determines to be appropriate. (See §§ 905.600(c); 968.101(f).)

f. Section 516—Eligibility of Indian Mutual Help Housing for Comprehensive Improvement
Assistance. Section 516 of the NAHA amended section 202(b) of the Act to provide that, notwithstanding the provisions of section 14(c) of the Act, the Secretary may provide comprehensive modernization assistance to Mutual Help developments (or units within a development) under both the CIAP and Comprehensive Grant program. This section further provides that any such assistance shall be provided "... only in the form of a single grant for each housing development (or unit within a development) selected for such assistance." For a full discussion of HUD's implementation of section 516 of NAHA, see Section V of this preamble.

VII. Findings and Certifications

A Finding of No Significant Impact with respect to the environment has been made in accordance with HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 50 that implement section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332. The Finding of No Significant Impact is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours (7:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. weekdays) in the Office of the Rules Docket Clerk, room 2027, 551 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410.

This rule does not constitute a "major rule" as that term is defined in section 1(b) of Executive Order 12291 on Federal regulations issued by the President on January 17, 1991. Analysis of the rule indicates that it does not: (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; (2) cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or (3) have a significant adverse effect on competition, employment, investment, productivity, or innovation or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

This rule was listed as sequence number 1515 in the Department’s Semiannual Regulatory Agenda published on October 21, 1991 (56 FR 53380, 53431) under Executive Order 12291 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) (the Regulatory Flexibility Act), the undersigned hereby certifies that this rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The rule establishes a new Comprehensive Grant program under which larger PHAs receive modernization assistance from HUD on a formula basis. HUD does not anticipate a significant economic impact on small entities since PHAs will continue to carry out their modernization activities by entering into contracts for the work as they now do.

On February 26, 1990, the Department published an interim final rule (24 CFR part 87) advising recipients and subrecipients of Federal contracts, grants, cooperative agreements and loans of a new prohibition recently mandated by Congress. Section 319 of the Department of the Interior Appropriations Act (Pub. L. 101-121, approved October 23, 1989) generally prohibits recipients of Federal contracts, grants, and loans from using appropriated funds for lobbying the Executive or Legislative branches of the Federal Government in connection with a specific contract, grant, or loan. The interim final rule generally prohibits the awarding of contracts, grants, cooperative agreements, or loans unless the recipient has made an acceptable certification regarding lobbying. In addition, the recipient must also file a disclosure if it has made or has agreed to make any payment with nonappropriated funds that would be prohibited, if paid with appropriated funds. These requirements do not apply to IHAs organized under tribal law.

The certification and disclosure requirements apply to all grants in excess of $100,000. All potential grantees are required to submit the certification, and to make the required disclosure if the grant amount exceeds $100,000. Potential grantees should refer to 24 CFR part 87 for the language for the certification and disclosure. The law provides substantial monetary penalties for failure to file the required certification or disclosure.

The information collection requirements for the Comprehensive Grant program have been submitted to OMB for review under section 350(h) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980. Information on these requirements is provided as follows:

#### SUMMARY OF BURDEN HOURS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of PHAs/IHAs</th>
<th>Annual total burden hours</th>
<th>Average annual burden hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CIAP</td>
<td>Comp grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Program</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first two years of the Comprehensive Grant Program will be an anomaly because PHAs/IHAs are required to submit a Comprehensive Plan for approval. This document provides the basic framework for the Program and will be submitted during the first year. PHAs/IHAs, will be required to thoroughly analyze all physical and management needs and this requires burden hours that will not be required after the initial submission.

* 427 hours have been allocated for the 407 PHAs/IHAs that have 500 units or more (all except 38 IHAs have plans that will be adapted to current program requirements).

* 305 hours have been allocated for the 447 PHAs/IHAs that have 250-499 units. Although these PHAs/IHAs have never developed Comprehensive Plans, the unit inventory is smaller and they will not require as many total burden hours to thoroughly assess their needs.

The total burden hours for both the CIAP and Comp Grant Program will be 112,781 (after the initial years requiring the submission of comprehensive plans) reflecting a decrease in total annual burden hours of 14,691 hours.

#### COMPREHENSIVE GRANT PROGRAM PAPERWORK BURDEN HOURS 1ST YEAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of information collection</th>
<th>Section of 24 CFR affected</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>No. of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Total annual responses</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Summary of Preliminary Estimated Costs—Form HUD-52483.1</td>
<td>905.672(d)(1)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Needs Assessment—Form HUD-52483.2</td>
<td>905.672(d)(2)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>102,564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### COMPREHENSIVE GRANT PROGRAM PAPERWORK BURDEN HOURS 1ST YEAR—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of information collection</th>
<th>Section of 24 CFR affected</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>No. of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Total annual responses</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management Needs Assessment—Form HUD-52833.</td>
<td>968.320(d)(3)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>44,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five-Year Action Plan—Form HUD-52834.</td>
<td>905.672(d)(3)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Statement—Form HUD-52835.</td>
<td>968.320(d)(6)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>203.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA/IHA Board Resolution Approving Comprehensive Plan or Annual Statement—Form HUD-52836.</td>
<td>905.672(d)(7)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Statement/Performance Evaluation Report—Form HUD-52837.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior to Fund Reservation</td>
<td>968.330(a)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of Program Year 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Comprehensive Grant Cost Certificate—Form HUD-52839.</td>
<td>968.335(e)</td>
<td>905.672(d)(5)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Grant Program Amendment—Form HUD-52840.</td>
<td>968.330(d)(5)</td>
<td>905.672(d)(5)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report of Replacement Review for Form HUD-52842.</td>
<td>968.340(b)</td>
<td>905.684(b)</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Paperwork Burden Hours.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>188,237.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 During the first year, the approval of the Comprehensive Plan and fund reservation will not occur until September. Therefore, there will be no activity in these categories during the initial year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of information collection</th>
<th>Section of 24 CFR affected</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>No. of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Total annual responses</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive Summary of Preliminary Estimated Costs—Form HUD-52831.</td>
<td>968.320(d)(1)</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Needs Assessment—Form HUD-52832.</td>
<td>905.672(d)(11)</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>75,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Needs Assessment—Form HUD-52833.</td>
<td>968.320(d)(3)</td>
<td>905.672(d)(2)</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five-Year Action Plan—Form HUD-52834.</td>
<td>968.320(d)(5)</td>
<td>905.672(d)(5)</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Government Statement—Form HUD-52835.</td>
<td>968.320(d)(6)</td>
<td>905.672(d)(6)</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHA/IHA Board Resolution Approving Comprehensive Plan or Annual Statement—Form HUD-52836.</td>
<td>905.672(d)(7)</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Statement/Performance Evaluation Report—Form HUD-52837.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior to Fund Reservation</td>
<td>968.330(a)</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20,350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End of Program Year 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual Comprehensive Grant Cost Certificate—Form HUD-52839.</td>
<td>968.335(e)</td>
<td>905.672(d)(5)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Grant Program Amendment—Form HUD-52840.</td>
<td>968.330(d)(5)</td>
<td>905.672(d)(5)</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>854</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Statement/Performance and Evaluation Report of Replacement Review for Form HUD-52842.</td>
<td>968.340(b)</td>
<td>905.684(b)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Paperwork Burden Hours.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>177,277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Forms are completed by HUD for PHA/IHA Representative's signature.

### COMPREHENSIVE GRANT PROGRAM PAPERWORK BURDEN HOURS 3RD YEAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of information collection</th>
<th>Section of 24 CFR affected</th>
<th>No. of respondents</th>
<th>No. of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Total annual responses</th>
<th>Hours of response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Five-Year Action Plan—Form HUD 52834.</td>
<td>968.320(d)(5)</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>905.672(d)(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,235</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This final rule has been developed in accordance with Executive Order 12861, Federalism, and determined by the General Counsel not to have substantial, direct effects on PHAs. The Comprehensive Grant program simply provides an alternative means of funding PHAs, based on the allocation method contained in section 509 of the NAHA. PHAs no longer have to compete for funding, and are given greater discretion in carrying out their modernization activities. The new program is consistent with federalism principles since it reduces unnecessary burdens on PHAs. While it is a “new” program, it is primarily a change only in the way that HUD funds PHA modernization activities, and not as to the modernization activities.

In addition, since the changes in this final rule primarily relate to the allocation system for providing modernization assistance, and participation by PHAs is discretionary, the rule lacks the direct and substantial effects on PHAs required for a policy with federalism implications under the Order.

This rule has been developed in accordance with Executive Order 12866, the Family. The rule does not have the potential for significant impact on family formation, maintenance, or general well-being, since its effect is limited to providing funding for large PHAs on a formula grant basis. Families are not affected since PHAs will continue to carry out modernization activities at public housing developments.

The Catalog of Domestic Assistance numbers for the programs affected by this rule are 14.146, 14.147, 14.850, 14.851, 14.852, and 15.141.

List of Subjects
24 CFR Part 905
Aged, Grant programs—housing and community development, Grant programs—Indians, Handicapped, Homeownership, Indians, Loan and moderate income housing, Public housing, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

24 CFR Part 968
Grant programs—housing and community development, Indians, Loan programs—housing and community development, Public housing, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

24 CFR Part 990
Grant programs—housing and community development, Public housing, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, parts 905, 968, and 990 of title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations are amended as follows:

PART 905—INDIAN HOUSING PROGRAMS
1. The authority citation for 24 CFR part 905 continues to read as follows:
Authority: Secs. 201, 202, 203, 205, United States Housing Act of 1937, as added by the Indian Housing Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 100-356) (42 U.S.C. 1437a, 1437b, 1437c, 1437e); sec. 7(b), Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450c(b)); sec. 7(f), Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(d)).

2. Section 905.102 is amended by adding in alphabetical order definitions of “action plan”; “administrative capabilities assessment”; “annual statement”; “chief executive officer”; “comprehensive grant number”; “comprehensive plan”; “emergency work”; “hard costs”; “major changes”; “management improvement plan”; “partnership process”; “resident groups”; “soft costs”; “special purpose management modernization”; and “substantial rehabilitation”; and by revising the definitions of “homeownership modernization”; “modernization funds”; “modernization project”; first sentence of paragraph (b) of “nonroutine maintenance”; and introductory text of “special purpose modernization”, to read as follows:

§ 905.102 Definitions.
   * * * * *

   Action plan. A plan of the actions to be funded by an IHA over a period of five years (including an IHA’s proposed allocation of its modernization funds to a reserve established under § 905.900(a)(3)) to make the necessary physical and management improvements identified in the IHA’s comprehensive plan. The plan shall be based upon HUD’s best estimates of the funding reasonably expected to become available over the next five-year period. The action plan is updated annually to reflect a rolling five-year base. See the Comprehensive Grant program under subpart I of this part.
   * * * * *

   Administrative Capabilities Assessment (ACA). An annual
evaluation of the IHA’s administrative capability to administer programs in compliance with the Act and all applicable HUD regulations, contracts, HUD handbooks, and other applicable requirements. (See § 905.135).

* * * * *

Annual statement. A statement submitted annually by an IHA to HUD of the activities and related costs it expects to fund with the annual grant. An IHA may also elect to submit an annual statement covering work proposed for up to a two-year period, so that it can increase its flexibility in carrying out work items in the coming year. Soon the Comprehensive Grant program under Subpart I of this part.

* * * * *

Chief executive officer (CEO). The CEO of a unit of general local government means the elected official or the legally designated official, who has the primary responsibility for the conduct of that entity’s governmental affairs. Examples of the CEO of a unit of general local government are: the elected mayor of a county; the elected county executive of a county; the chairperson of a county commission or board in a county that has no elected county executive; or the official designated pursuant to law by the governing body of a unit of general local government (e.g., tribal administrator). The CEO for an Indian tribe is the tribal governing official.

* * * * *

Comprehensive grant number. A grant number which is unique to each annual statement covering the improvements to one or more existing Indian housing developments.

Comprehensive plan. A plan prepared by an IHA, and approved by HUD, under the Comprehensive Grant Program setting forth all of the physical and management improvement needs of the IHA and its Indian housing developments, indicating the relative urgency of needs, and which includes the IHA’s action plan, cost estimates, and required local government and IHA certifications. The comprehensive plan may be revised, as necessary, but must be revised at least every sixth year. See Subpart I of this part.

* * * * *

Emergency work. Physical work items of an emergency nature, posing an immediate threat to the health or safety of residents, which must be completed within one year of funding. Under the Comprehensive Grant program, management improvements are not eligible as emergency work and, therefore, must be covered by the comprehensive plan (including the action plan), before the IHA may carry them out. See Subpart I of this part.

* * * * *

Hard costs. The physical improvement costs in development accounts 1450 through 1475 of the Low-Rent Housing Accounting Handbook, 7510.1, as revised, which include: Account 1450 Site Improvements; Account 1460 Dwelling Structures; Account 1465.1 Dwelling Equipment—Nonexpendable; Account 1470 Nondwelling Structures; and Account 1475 Nondwelling Equipment.

* * * * *

Homeownership modernization. A modernization program for a development that is under the Turnkey III Homeownership Opportunities Program or the Mutual Help Homeownership Opportunity Program. Under homeownership modernization, limited physical improvements and special purpose management improvements are eligible modernization costs.

* * * * *

Major changes. Major changes means additions, deletions or modifications of work items cumulatively totaling 10 percent or more of an IHA’s annual grant allocation, excluding emergencies. Major changes require prior HUD approval. Any changes with respect to work items cumulatively totaling less than 10 percent of an IHA’s annual grant allocation, excluding emergencies, do not require prior HUD approval, so long as the work is covered under the IHA’s action plan. (See § 905.678(h).)

* * * * *

Management improvement plan. A document developed by the IHA in accordance with § 905.135 which specifies the actions to be taken, including timetables, to correct deficiencies identified as a result of a management assessment.

* * * * *

Modernization funds. Funds derived from an allocation of budget authority for the purpose of funding physical and management improvements.

* * * * *

Modernization project. The improvement of one or more existing Indian housing developments, under a new project number designated for modernization under the Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (CIAP).

* * * * *

Nonroutine maintenance. * * *

(b) For purposes of the CIAP and Comprehensive Grant Modernization Programs under subpart I of this part and the applicability of wage rates, nonroutine maintenance refers to work items that ordinarily would be performed on a regular basis in the course of upkeep of a property, but have become substantial in scope because they have been put off, and that involve expenditures that would otherwise materially distort the level trend of maintenance expenses. * * *

* * * * *

Partnership Process. A specific and ongoing process that is designed to ensure that residents, resident groups, and the IHA work in a cooperative and collaborative manner to develop, implement and monitor the Comprehensive Grant program. At a minimum, the IHA shall ensure that this Partnership Process incorporates full resident participation in each of the required program components.

* * * * *

Resident groups. Democratically elected resident groups such as IHA-wide resident groups, area-wide resident groups, single development resident groups, or RMCs.

* * * * *

Soft costs. The non-physical improvement costs which exclude any costs in development accounts 1450 through 1475.

* * * * *

Special purpose management modernization. Mutual Help, Turnkey III, and rental developments are eligible for this category of special purpose modernization.

* * * * *

Special purpose modernization. A modernization program for a development that is limited to any one or more of the following types of physical and management improvements otherwise eligible for CIAP funding under this part, subject to a HUD determination that the physical or management improvements are necessary and sufficient to extend substantially the useful life of the development, beyond which it would have if such improvements were not made (examples cited in each category are for illustration only):

* * * * *

Substantial rehabilitation. A modernization program for a development which provides for all physical and management improvements needed to meet the modernization and energy conservation standards and to ensure long-term physical and social viability.

* * * * *

3. Section 905.120 is amended by adding a new paragraph (k) to read as follows:
Subpart I—Modernization

General Provisions

§ 905.600 Purpose and applicability.

(a) Purpose. Section 14 of the United States Housing Act of 1937 established the Indian Housing Modernization program, authorizing HUD to provide financial assistance to Indian Housing Authorities (IHAs) to improve the physical condition and upgrade the management and operation of existing Indian housing developments to assure that such developments continue to be available to serve lower income families. These physical and management improvements are authorized under sections 5(c) and 14(b)(2) of the Act. The funding method prescribed under section 14(k) of the Act. This subpart prescribes the requirements and procedures for the Indian Housing Modernization program.

(b) Applicability. (1) The undesignated heading entitled, General Provisions, applies to all modernization under this subpart. The undesignated heading entitled, Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (CIAP), sets forth the requirements and procedures for the CIAP for IHAs that own or operate fewer than 500 Indian housing units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993). The undesignated heading entitled, Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (CIAP), sets forth the requirements and procedures for the CIAP for IHAs that own or operate fewer than 500 Indian housing units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993). An IHA that qualifies for participation in the CGP is not eligible to participate in the CIAP. The undesignated heading entitled, Comprehensive Grant Program (CGP), sets forth the requirements and procedures for the CGP for IHAs that own or operate 500 or more Indian housing units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993). For purposes of the 500 or more unit threshold for participation in the CGP (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993), and for the formula allocation under § 905.601, an existing rental and section 23 bond-financed unit under the ACC shall count as one unit; and a unit under the Turnkey III program shall count as one-fourth of a unit. A unit under the Mutual Help program shall count as one unit. An IHA that has already qualified to participate in the CGP because it owns or operates 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993), may elect to continue to participate in the CGP so long as it owns or operates at least 200 units.

(2) This subpart applies to IHA-owned low income Indian housing developments (including developments managed by a Resident Management Corporation pursuant to a contract with the IHA), and to Section 23 Leased Housing Bond-Financed developments, for which IHAs request assistance under the CIAP or CGP. This subpart also applies to the implementation of modernization programs which were approved before FFY 1992. Rental developments which are planned for conversion to homeownership under sections 5(h), 21, or 301 of the Act, but which have not yet been sold by an IHA, continue to qualify for assistance under this part. This subpart does not apply to developments under the Section 23 Leased Housing Non-Bond Financed program, the Section 10(c) Leased program, or the Section 23 or Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments programs.

(c) Transition. Any amount that HUD has obligated to an IHA under the CIAP program must be used for the purposes for which the funding was provided, or for purposes consistent with an approved action plan submitted by the IHA under the CGP, as the IHA determines to be appropriate.

(d) See subpart A of this part for applicable requirements, other than the Act, that apply to modernization under this subpart.

§ 905.601 Allocation of funds under section 14.

(a) General. This section describes the process for allocating modernization funds to the aggregate of IHAs and PHAs participating in the CIAP (i.e., agencies that own or operate fewer than 500 units, or fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993), and to individual IHAs and PHAs participating in the CGP (i.e., agencies that own or operate 500 or more units, or 250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993). The program requirements governing PHA participation in the CIAP and CGP are contained in 24 CFR part 968.

(b) Set-aside for emergencies and disasters for CGP agencies. For each FFY, HUD shall reserve from amounts approved in the appropriation act for grants under this part, $75 million (which shall include unused reserve amounts carried over from previous FFYs), which shall be made available to IHAs under the CGP and to PHAs under 24 CFR part 968 (subpart C), for modernization needs resulting from natural and other disasters, and from emergencies. HUD shall replenish this reserve at the beginning of each FFY so that it always begins with a $75 million balance. Any unused funds from previous years will remain in the reserve until allocated. The requirements governing the reserve for disasters and emergencies, and the procedures by which an IHA may request such funds, are set forth in § 905.687.
(c) Set-aside for credits for mod troubled PHAs under 24 CFR part 968, subpart C—(1) General. After deducting amounts for the reserve for natural and other disasters and for emergencies under paragraph (b) of this section, HUD shall set aside no more than five percent under paragraph (e)(4) of this section. HUD shall allocate half of the formula amount of this section, which is designed to measure the backlog of needed repairs and major rehabilitation with a year cap. (Weighted at 5585.7). The stated backlog need, as determined under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, shall be adjusted upward for developments constructed prior to 1985 by a constant ratio of 1.5 to more accurately reflect the costs of modernizing the categories of backlog need under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, for the Indian housing stock as of 1991; (3) Deduction for prior modernization. HUD shall deduct from the estimated backlog need, as determined under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section, amounts previously provided to an IHA or PHA for modernization, using one of the following methods:

(i) Standard deduction for prior CIAP and MROP. HUD shall deduct 60 percent of the CIAP funds made available on an IHA-wide or PHA-wide basis from FFY 1984 to 1991, and 40 percent of the funds made available on a development-specific basis for the Major Reconstruction of Obsolete Projects (MROP) (not to exceed the estimated formula need for the development), subject to a maximum fifty percent deduction of an IHA's or PHA's total need for backlog funding; (ii) Newly constructed units. Units with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog; or (iii) Acquired developments. Developments acquired by an IHA with major rehabilitation, with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog.

(4) Categories of backlog need. The most recently available data to be used under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section must pertain to the following categories of backlog need: (i) Backlog of needed repairs and major rehabilitation, with a year cap. (Weighted at 5585.7). The stated backlog need, as determined under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, shall be adjusted upward for developments constructed prior to 1985 by a constant ratio of 1.5 to more accurately reflect the costs of modernizing the categories of backlog need under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, for the Indian housing stock as of 1991; (3) Deduction for prior modernization. HUD shall deduct from the estimated backlog need, as determined under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section, amounts previously provided to an IHA or PHA for modernization, using one of the following methods:

(i) Standard deduction for prior CIAP and MROP. HUD shall deduct 60 percent of the CIAP funds made available on an IHA-wide or PHA-wide basis from FFY 1984 to 1991, and 40 percent of the funds made available on a development-specific basis for the Major Reconstruction of Obsolete Projects (MROP) (not to exceed the estimated formula need for the development), subject to a maximum fifty percent deduction of an IHA's or PHA's total need for backlog funding; (ii) Newly constructed units. Units with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog; or (iii) Acquired developments. Developments acquired by an IHA with major rehabilitation, with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog.

(4) Categories of backlog need. The most recently available data to be used under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section must pertain to the following categories of backlog need: (i) Backlog of needed repairs and major rehabilitation, with a year cap. (Weighted at 5585.7). The stated backlog need, as determined under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, shall be adjusted upward for developments constructed prior to 1985 by a constant ratio of 1.5 to more accurately reflect the costs of modernizing the categories of backlog need under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, for the Indian housing stock as of 1991; (3) Deduction for prior modernization. HUD shall deduct from the estimated backlog need, as determined under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section, amounts previously provided to an IHA or PHA for modernization, using one of the following methods:

(i) Standard deduction for prior CIAP and MROP. HUD shall deduct 60 percent of the CIAP funds made available on an IHA-wide or PHA-wide basis from FFY 1984 to 1991, and 40 percent of the funds made available on a development-specific basis for the Major Reconstruction of Obsolete Projects (MROP) (not to exceed the estimated formula need for the development), subject to a maximum fifty percent deduction of an IHA's or PHA's total need for backlog funding; (ii) Newly constructed units. Units with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog; or (iii) Acquired developments. Developments acquired by an IHA with major rehabilitation, with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog.

(4) Categories of backlog need. The most recently available data to be used under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section must pertain to the following categories of backlog need: (i) Backlog of needed repairs and major rehabilitation, with a year cap. (Weighted at 5585.7). The stated backlog need, as determined under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, shall be adjusted upward for developments constructed prior to 1985 by a constant ratio of 1.5 to more accurately reflect the costs of modernizing the categories of backlog need under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, for the Indian housing stock as of 1991; (3) Deduction for prior modernization. HUD shall deduct from the estimated backlog need, as determined under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section, amounts previously provided to an IHA or PHA for modernization, using one of the following methods:

(i) Standard deduction for prior CIAP and MROP. HUD shall deduct 60 percent of the CIAP funds made available on an IHA-wide or PHA-wide basis from FFY 1984 to 1991, and 40 percent of the funds made available on a development-specific basis for the Major Reconstruction of Obsolete Projects (MROP) (not to exceed the estimated formula need for the development), subject to a maximum fifty percent deduction of an IHA's or PHA's total need for backlog funding; (ii) Newly constructed units. Units with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog; or (iii) Acquired developments. Developments acquired by an IHA with major rehabilitation, with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog.

(4) Categories of backlog need. The most recently available data to be used under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section must pertain to the following categories of backlog need: (i) Backlog of needed repairs and major rehabilitation, with a year cap. (Weighted at 5585.7). The stated backlog need, as determined under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, shall be adjusted upward for developments constructed prior to 1985 by a constant ratio of 1.5 to more accurately reflect the costs of modernizing the categories of backlog need under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, for the Indian housing stock as of 1991; (3) Deduction for prior modernization. HUD shall deduct from the estimated backlog need, as determined under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section, amounts previously provided to an IHA or PHA for modernization, using one of the following methods:

(i) Standard deduction for prior CIAP and MROP. HUD shall deduct 60 percent of the CIAP funds made available on an IHA-wide or PHA-wide basis from FFY 1984 to 1991, and 40 percent of the funds made available on a development-specific basis for the Major Reconstruction of Obsolete Projects (MROP) (not to exceed the estimated formula need for the development), subject to a maximum fifty percent deduction of an IHA's or PHA's total need for backlog funding; (ii) Newly constructed units. Units with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog; or (iii) Acquired developments. Developments acquired by an IHA with major rehabilitation, with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog.

(4) Categories of backlog need. The most recently available data to be used under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section must pertain to the following categories of backlog need: (i) Backlog of needed repairs and major rehabilitation, with a year cap. (Weighted at 5585.7). The stated backlog need, as determined under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, shall be adjusted upward for developments constructed prior to 1985 by a constant ratio of 1.5 to more accurately reflect the costs of modernizing the categories of backlog need under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, for the Indian housing stock as of 1991; (3) Deduction for prior modernization. HUD shall deduct from the estimated backlog need, as determined under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section, amounts previously provided to an IHA or PHA for modernization, using one of the following methods:

(i) Standard deduction for prior CIAP and MROP. HUD shall deduct 60 percent of the CIAP funds made available on an IHA-wide or PHA-wide basis from FFY 1984 to 1991, and 40 percent of the funds made available on a development-specific basis for the Major Reconstruction of Obsolete Projects (MROP) (not to exceed the estimated formula need for the development), subject to a maximum fifty percent deduction of an IHA's or PHA's total need for backlog funding; (ii) Newly constructed units. Units with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog; or (iii) Acquired developments. Developments acquired by an IHA with major rehabilitation, with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog.

(4) Categories of backlog need. The most recently available data to be used under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section must pertain to the following categories of backlog need: (i) Backlog of needed repairs and major rehabilitation, with a year cap. (Weighted at 5585.7). The stated backlog need, as determined under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, shall be adjusted upward for developments constructed prior to 1985 by a constant ratio of 1.5 to more accurately reflect the costs of modernizing the categories of backlog need under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, for the Indian housing stock as of 1991; (3) Deduction for prior modernization. HUD shall deduct from the estimated backlog need, as determined under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section, amounts previously provided to an IHA or PHA for modernization, using one of the following methods:

(i) Standard deduction for prior CIAP and MROP. HUD shall deduct 60 percent of the CIAP funds made available on an IHA-wide or PHA-wide basis from FFY 1984 to 1991, and 40 percent of the funds made available on a development-specific basis for the Major Reconstruction of Obsolete Projects (MROP) (not to exceed the estimated formula need for the development), subject to a maximum fifty percent deduction of an IHA's or PHA's total need for backlog funding; (ii) Newly constructed units. Units with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog; or (iii) Acquired developments. Developments acquired by an IHA with major rehabilitation, with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog.
for PHAs in accordance with the requirements of 24 CFR part 968 (subsection C). An IHA that is eligible to receive a grant under the CGP may appeal the amount of its formula allocation under this section in accordance with the requirements set forth in § 905.699(b)(2). An IHA which is eligible to receive modernization funds under the CGP because it owns or operates 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993), is disqualified from receiving assistance under the CIAP for this part.

(i) Use of formula allocation. Any amounts allocated to an IHA under paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section may be used for any eligible activity under this subpart, notwithstanding that the allocation is determined by allocating half based on the relative backlog needs and half based on the relative accrual needs of IHAs and PHAs.

(j) Calculation of number of units. For purposes of determining under this section the number of units owned or operated by an IHA or PHA, and the relative modernization needs of IHAs and PHAs, HUD shall count as one unit each existing rental and Section 23 Bond-Financed unit under the ACC, except that it shall count as one-fourth of a unit each existing unit under the Turnkey III program. In addition, HUD shall count as one unit each existing unit under the Mutual Help program, except that once the unit has been funded for substantial rehabilitation under the CGP, it will be phased out over a period of three years for purposes of the threshold for participation in the CGP and for the formula.

(k) Demolition, disposition and conversion of units—(1) General. Where an existing unit under an ACC is demolished, disposed of, or converted into a larger or smaller unit, including the substantial rehabilitation of a Mutual Help unit, HUD shall not adjust the amount the IHA or PHA receives under the formula, unless more than one percent of the units are affected on a cumulative basis. Where more than one percent of the existing units are demolished, disposed of, or converted, HUD shall reduce the formula amount for the IHA or PHA over a 3-year period to reflect removal of the units from the ACC.

(2) Determination of one percent cap. In determining whether more than one percent of the units are affected on a cumulative basis, HUD will compare the units eligible for funding in the initial year under formula funding with the number of units eligible for funding for formula funding purposes for the current year, and shall base its calculations on the following:

(i) New units which are added to an IHA’s or PHA’s inventory (or increases resulting from the conversion of existing units) will be added to the overall unit count so long as they are covered by an ACC amendment as of the first day of the FFY in which the formula is being run. Any increase in ACC units as of the beginning of the FFY, including increases as a result of conversion, shall result in an adjustment upwards in the number of units under the formula. New units added to the ACC after this date will be counted for formula purposes as of the following FFY:

(ii) Units which are lost as a result of demolition, disposition or conversion shall not be offset against units subsequently added to an IHA’s or PHA’s inventory;

(iii) For purposes of calculating the number of converted units, HUD shall regard the converted size of the unit as the appropriate unit count (e.g., a unit that originally was counted as one unit under paragraph (j) of this section, but which later was converted into two units, shall be counted as two units under the ACC).

(3) Phased-in reduction of units. (i) Reduction less than one percent. If HUD determines that the reduction in units under paragraph (k)(2) of this section is less than one percent, the IHA or PHA will be funded as though no charge had occurred;

(ii) Reduction greater than one percent. If HUD determines that the reduction in units under paragraph (k)(2) of this section is greater than one percent, the number of units which formula funding is based will be the number of units reported as eligible for funding for the current program, plus two thirds of the difference between the initial year and the current year in the first year, plus one third of the difference in the second year, and at the level of the current year in the third year.

(iii) Exception. A unit which is conveyed under the Mutual Help or Turnkey III programs will result in an automatic (rather than a phased-in) reduction in the unit count.

(4) Subsequent reductions in unit count. (i) Once an IHA’s or PHA’s unit count has been fully reduced under paragraph (k)(3)(ii) of this section to reflect the new number of units under the ACC, this new number of units will serve as the base for purposes of calculating whether there has been a one percent reduction in units on a cumulative basis;
(ii) A reduction in formula funding, based on additional reductions to the number of an IHA’s or PHA’s units, will also be phased in over a three-year period, as described in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

§ 905.602 Special requirements for Turnkey III and Mutual Help developments.

(a) Promptly after HUD approval of the application, each homebuyer family shall execute an amendment to its Homebuyer Agreement, reflecting an increase in the purchase price of its home and an extension of the amortization period in accordance with paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, except:

(1) Eligible homeownership costs, as set forth in §§ 905.615(f)(1) and 905.666(d)(1);

(2) Comprehensive modernization of a Mutual Help unit pursuant to § 905.615(f)(2), or the substantial rehabilitation of a Mutual Help unit pursuant to § 905.666(b)(2);

(3) Special purpose modernization of a vacant or non-homebuyer occupied Turnkey III unit pursuant to § 905.615(f)(3), or the substantial rehabilitation of a vacant or non-homebuyer occupied Turnkey III unit pursuant to § 905.666(b)(3).

(b) For Turnkey III developments that have purchase price schedules and for Mutual Help developments placed under ACC on or after March 9, 1976:

(1) The amount of modernization cost attributable to the home, as shown in the HUD-approved application, shall be added to the homebuyer’s purchase price as initially determined for Turnkey III or Mutual Help developments;

(2) The period of the homebuyer’s current purchase price schedule shall be extended by the same percentage as the percentage of increase in the homebuyer’s purchase price. The new purchase price schedule shall:

(i) Show monthly amortization of the new purchase price over a period commencing on the same day as the original purchase price schedule and terminating at the end of the extended period; and

(ii) Be computed on the basis of the same interest rate as used for the current purchase price schedule. (For Mutual Help grant funding, no interest rate is used when computing the new purchase price schedule.)

(3) If a modernization program is approved for a development after one or more earlier modernization programs for the same development, the total amount of modernization cost attributable to the home under the prior modernization program(s) shall be included as part of the homebuyer’s initial purchase price in applying the provisions of paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this section.

(c) For Turnkey III developments that do not have purchase price schedules and Mutual Help developments placed under ACC before March 9, 1976 and not converted:

(1) These developments do not involve purchase price schedules for amortization of the homebuyer’s purchase price over a fixed period of time because the homebuyer’s purchase price in these developments is based on the unamortized balance of the portion of the development debt attributable to the home. Consequently, it is necessary to establish a separate schedule for the amortization of the estimated modernization cost attributable to the home, as shown by HUD-approved application;

(2) The IHA shall furnish to the homebuyer a schedule showing monthly amortization of the modernization cost attributable to the home, at the minimum loan interest rate specified in the ACC for the modernization project, over a period commencing on the first day of the month after the date of original occupancy of the home by the homebuyer and terminating at the end of the period determined as follows:

(i) Divide the amount of the modernization cost attributable to the home (including the total amount of modernization cost attributable to the home under prior modernization programs, if any) by the amount of the current HUD-approved estimated replacement cost of the home;

(ii) Multiply this amount by 25, round the result to the next higher number, and add that number to 25. This is the number of years to be used as the period for the modernization amortization schedule; and

(iii) The purchase price for the unit shall be the sum of:

(A) the balance of the debt attributable to the home and

(B) the amount remaining on the modernization schedule at the time of settlement.

§ 905.603 Modernization and energy conservation standards.

(a) All improvements funded under this subpart, which may include alterations, betterments, additions, replacements or non-routine maintenance, shall meet the HUD modernization standards, described in paragraph (b) of this section and to comply with lead-based paint testing and abatement requirements in Subpart H of this Chapter and established to provide decent, safe, and sanitary living conditions in IHA-owned and IHA-operated housing. All improvements funded under this part shall meet the HUD-energy conservation standards for cost-effective energy conservation measures in such developments, described in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section.

(b) The modernization standards are comprised of both mandatory and development-specific standards. The mandatory standards are intended to provide decent, safe, and sanitary living conditions in Indian housing, including corrections of violations of basic health and safety codes, and to address all deficiencies, including those related to deferred maintenance. The development-specific standards permit an IHA to undertake improvements that are necessary or highly desirable for the long-term physical and social viability of a development, which includes site and building security. The modernization standards are contained in HUD Handbook 7485.2, as revised, Public and Indian Housing Modernization Standards, and in other documents cited in the Handbook. Copies may be obtained by writing to the HUD Regional Office of Indian Programs within the applicant’s jurisdiction.

(c) The energy conservation standards are standards for the installation of cost-effective energy conserving improvements, including solar energy systems. The energy conservation standards provide for the conducting or updating of energy audits, including cost-benefit analyses of energy saving opportunities, in order to determine which measures will be cost effective in conserving energy. The energy conservation standards are contained in the HUD Workbook, Energy Conservation for Housing, and in other documents cited in the Workbook.

(d) Life-cycle cost-effective energy performance standards established by HUD to reduce the operating costs of the Indian housing developments over the estimated life of the buildings shall apply to developments modernized under this subpart. These standards are contained in HUD Handbook 7418.1, as revised, Life-Cycle Cost Analysis for Utility Combinations. Copies may be obtained by writing to the HUD Regional Office of Indian Programs within the applicant’s jurisdiction.
Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (For IHAs That Own or Operate Fewer Than 500 Indian Housing Units) (Fewer Than 250 Units Beginning in FFY 1993)

§ 905.600 Purpose.
The purpose of the undesignated heading entitled, Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (CIAP), is to set forth the policies and procedures for the CIAP under which IHAs that own or operate fewer than a total of 500 units of Indian housing (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993) may receive financial assistance for the modernization of Indian housing developments, including comprehensive, emergency, lead-based paint, homeownership, and special purpose modernization. Funding for this program is provided under section 5(c) of the Act, pursuant to section 14(k) of the Act (see § 905.601 for the formula allocation process for the aggregate of CIAP agencies under this subpart.

§ 905.615 Eligible costs.
(a) Physical improvements. Physical improvements eligible for modernization funding include alterations, betterments, additions, replacements, and non-routine maintenance that are necessary to meet the modernization and energy conservation standards prescribed in § 905.603. These standards may be exceeded only when necessary or highly desirable for the long-term physical and social viability of the individual development. If demolition is proposed, the IHA shall comply with subpart M.

(b) Management improvement costs—
(1) Eligibility. Management improvements that are development-specific or IHA-wide in nature are eligible costs only under special purpose management modernization under paragraph (b)(3) of this section, or comprehensive modernization, subject to all of the following conditions:
   (i) The management improvements are necessary to correct identified management problems and to sustain the physical improvements at the development to be modernized comprehensively, or pursuant to special purpose modernization, as set forth in this section;
   (ii) The management improvements require additional funds for implementation and the funds are not available from other sources;
   (iii) The combined costs for management improvements and planning under paragraph (d) of this section do not exceed 10 percent of the total estimated physical improvement costs for a multi-stage project (from all FFYs), unless specifically approved by HUD. Under paragraph (d) of this section, planning costs shall not exceed five percent of the funds available to the HUD office in a particular FFY;
   (iv) Management improvement costs are funded only for the implementation period of the physical improvements. In rare cases, the HUD office may approve a longer period, up to a maximum of five years, where it is clearly shown to be necessary to complete the initial installation and demonstrate that the management work item will bring about needed management improvements; and
   (v) Where an approved modernization program includes management improvements that involve ongoing costs, HUD is not obligated to provide continued funding or additional operating subsidy after the end of the implementation period of the management improvements. The IHA is responsible for finding other funding sources, reducing its ongoing management costs, or terminating the management activities.

(2) Eligible management areas. Subject to the conditions set forth in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, management improvements may involve or upgrade the following areas:
   (i) Management, financial and accounting control systems of the IHA;
   (ii) Adequacy and qualifications of personnel employed by the IHA in its management and operation, for each significant category of employment;
   (iii) Adequacy and efficacy of the following for the development to be modernized:
      (A) Resident programs and services, including certain drug elimination activities;
      (B) Resident and development security;
      (C) Resident selection and eviction;
      (D) Occupancy;
      (E) Rent collection;
      (F) Maintenance; and
      (G) Equal opportunity; and
   (iv) Resident management corporations under paragraph (i) of this section.

(3) Special purpose management modernization. Special purpose management improvements are eligible modernization costs under the category of special purpose modernization, if they address needs which are not otherwise eligible for assistance under paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(c) Relocation and moving costs. (1) Temporary relocation. The following policies cover residential residents who are moved temporarily due to rehabilitation or demolition of a development assisted under this subpart, but are offered the opportunity to return to the same development at the same site, although not necessarily the same unit or building in the development. The IHA shall provide such residents:
   (i) All actual reasonable moving and related costs incurred in connection with the temporary relocation, by either undertaking the move itself or reimbursing for such costs; and
   (ii) Appropriate advisory services, including reasonable advance written notice of the date and approximate duration of the temporary relocation; the suitable, decent, safe, and sanitary temporary housing that will be made available; and the provisions of paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section;

(2) Relocation assistance for displaced persons. An IHA must provide relocation assistance to displaced persons, as defined in paragraph (c)(6)(i) of this section, at the levels described in, and in accordance with, the provisions of 49 CFR part 24, which implements the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (URA), 42 U.S.C. 4691;

(3) Real property acquisition requirements. The acquisition of real property for a development by an IHA is subject to the URA and the requirements described in 49 CFR part 24, subpart B, whether it is organized pursuant to State law or Tribal law;

(4) Responsibility of the IHA. The IHA shall certify compliance with URA and 49 CFR part 24 and with the requirements of this section. This certification shall be included in the agreement between HUD and the IHA. The cost of assistance required by this section may be paid from local public funds or tribal funds, funds provided in accordance with this subpart, or funds available from other sources;

(5) Appeals. A person who disagrees with the IHA’s determination concerning a payment or other assistance required by this section may file a written appeal of that determination with the IHA. The appeal procedures to be followed are described in 49 CFR 24.10;

(6) Definition of displaced person. (i) The term displaced person means a person (family, individual, business, nonprofit organization, or farm) that moves from real property, or moves personal property from real property, permanently and involuntarily, as a direct result of acquisition, rehabilitation, or demolition for a development assisted under this subpart. Permanent, involuntary moves for an assisted development include:
   (A) A permanent move from the real property [development/site] following notice by the IHA to move permanently from the property, if the move takes...
place on or after the date that HUD approves the IHA's application for assistance; 

(B) A permanent move from the real property that occurs before HUD's approval of the IHA's application, if the IHA or HUD determines that the displacement resulted directly from acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition for a development; 

(C) A permanent move from the real property by a resident of a dwelling unit that occurs after the execution of the ACC between the IHA and HUD if: 

(i) The resident has not been provided a reasonable opportunity to lease and occupy a suitable, decent, safe and sanitary dwelling in the same development/site following the completion of the development at a rent, including estimated average utility costs, that does not exceed the greater of the resident's rent and estimated average utility costs before the initiation of negotiations (as defined in 49 CFR 24.2(k)), or 50 percent of gross household income; or 

(ii) The resident has been required to relocate temporarily as described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section, but the resident is not offered payment for all actual reasonable moving and related expenses incurred in connection with the temporary relocation or other conditions of the temporary relocation are not reasonable; or 

(iii) The resident is required to move to another unit in the same development/site, but is not offered reimbursement for all moving and related expenses incurred in connection with the move; 

(ii) A person shall not qualify as a displaced person, if: 

(A) The person has been evicted for cause based upon a serious or repeated violation of material terms of the lease or occupancy agreement and the IHA determines that the eviction was not undertaken for the purpose of evading the obligation to provide relocation assistance; 

(B) The person moved into the property after HUD approval of the application and, before commencing occupancy, received written notice of the expected displacement; 

(C) The person is ineligible under 49 CFR 24.2(g); or 

(D) The IHA determines and HUD concurs that the person was not displaced as a direct result of acquisition, rehabilitation, or demolition for the development; 

(iii) The IHA may, at any time, request a HUD determination as to whether a displacement is or would be covered by 49 CFR part 24. 

(a) Planning costs. Planning costs necessary for developing the application (i.e., costs incurred before modernization program approval) are eligible modernization costs. These costs may be reimbursed after application approval. Financially distressed IHA's may request approval from HUD for upfront funding of planning costs where the HUD office determines that developing the application would otherwise present an undue financial hardship. For this purpose, a financially distressed IHA is an IHA that has an operating reserve level of 20 percent or less of its authorized maximum or other level as determined by HUD, as office, and the latest year-end financial statement. Not more than five percent of the funds available to the HUD office in a particular FFY shall be used for planning costs. 

(b) Administrative costs. Administrative costs necessary for the additional design and implementation of the physical and management improvements (i.e., costs to be incurred after modernization program approval) are eligible modernization costs, as follows: 

(1) Nontechnical and technical salaries. The salaries of nontechnical and technical IHA personnel assigned full-time or part-time to the modernization program are eligible modernization costs. Any proration of salaries shall be justified by the IHA, authorized by the HUD office, and reflected by an appropriate revision to the IHA's operating budget; and 

(2) Employee benefit contributions. IHA contributions to employee benefit plans on behalf of nontechnical and technical IHA personnel are eligible modernization costs in proportion to the amount of salary charged to the modernization program. 

(f) Turnkey III and Mutual Help developments. (1) General. Eligible physical improvement costs for existing Turnkey III and Mutual Help developments are limited to work items which are not the responsibility of the homebuyer families, and which are related to health and safety, correction of development deficiencies, physical accessibility, energy audits and cost-effective energy conservation measures, and lead-based paint testing and abatement. Nonroutine maintenance or replacements, additions, and item that are the responsibility of the homebuyer families are ineligible modernization costs for homeownership developments. Management improvements are eligible based upon a single CIAP grant under this subpart for purposes of comprehensively modernizing a Mutual Help unit which is at least 10 years old, and which the IHA has identified in its CIAP application; 

(2) Exception for Mutual Help units. Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraph (f)(1) of this section, an IHA may use no more than a single CIAP grant under this subpart for purposes of comprehensively modernizing a Mutual Help unit which is at least 10 years old, and which the IHA has identified in its CIAP application; 

(3) Exception for vacant or non-homebuyer-occupied Turnkey III units. Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraph (f)(1) of this section, an IHA may carry out special purpose modernization in a Turnkey III development whenever a Turnkey III unit becomes vacant or is occupied by a non-homebuyer family. An IHA that intends to use funds under this paragraph must identify in its application the estimated number of units that the IHA is proposing for special purpose modernization and subsequent sale. In addition, the IHA must demonstrate in its application that: the proposed modernization under this paragraph would result in bringing the identified units into full compliance with the homeownership objectives under the Turnkey III program (see Subpart G of this part); and the IHA has homebuyers who are both eligible for homeownership, in accordance with the requirements of 24 CFR part 905, and who have demonstrated their intent to be placed into each of the Turnkey III units proposed for special purpose modernization. Before an IHA may be approved for the special purpose modernization of a unit under this paragraph, it must first deplete any Earned Home Payments Account (EHPA), or Non-Routine Maintenance Reserve (NRMR) pertaining to the unit, and request the maximum operating subsidy. Any increase in the value of unit caused by its special purpose modernization under this paragraph shall be reflected solely by its subsequent appraised value and not by an automatic increase in its selling price. 

(g) Resident management corporations. Eligible modernization costs include use of management improvement funds to assist a resident management corporation, as defined in § 905.355, to develop its management capabilities and carry out management improvements identified as IHA-wide or development-specific in nature, under the terms of a management contract between the IHA and the resident management corporation. Such funding
§ 905.618 Procedures for obtaining approval of a modernization program.

(a) HUD notification. As soon as possible after modernization funds for a particular FFY become available, HUD shall give written notification of the availability of such funds and the time frame for submission of the application.

(b) IHA consultation with local officials and residents/homebuyers. The IHA shall develop the application in consultation with local officials and residents/homebuyers. The IHA shall submit to HUD an application, in a form prescribed by HUD, which shall include, but not be limited to the following:

(1) A five-year funding request plan, which includes the IHA's estimate of the total physical and management needs of each development for which the IHA is requesting modernization funds and the specialized needs for each development for which the IHA is requesting special purpose, emergency or homeownership modernization funds; and the IHA's expenditure of modernization funds for each development.

(2) A preliminary assessment of the IHA's current FFY budget, including the IHA's estimate of the total physical and management needs of each development for which the IHA is requesting comprehensive modernization funds and the specialized needs for each development for which the IHA is requesting special purpose, emergency or homeownership modernization funds.

(3) For each development proposed for comprehensive modernization in the current FFY, an identification of an estimate of the total costs of replacement of the equipment, systems, or structural elements that would normally be replaced (assuming routine and timely maintenance is performed) over the remaining period of the ACC or during the 30-year period beginning on the date of submission of the application, whichever period is longer.

(4) A resolution by the IHA Board of Commissioners, approving the application and containing certifications as required by HUD.

(5) Other data related to the operation of the program, as may be required to comply with other Federal laws and regulations.

(d) HUD screening and review. The HUD office shall screen and review the applications, and select applications for further processing, on the basis of such factors as the extent and urgency of the need and the IHA's management and modernization capability. Management capability will be deemed to be adequate unless, in accordance with § 905.135, HUD has determined that management practices are inadequate. Among the IHA practices considered for this determination are the management, financial, and accounting controls; resident programs and services; resident and development security; resident selection and eviction; occupancy; and maintenance. Modernization capability is adequate if the IHA obligations approved modernization funds within the HUD-approved schedule, except in circumstances beyond the IHA's control, and the IHA's expenditure of modernization funds assures the long-term social and physical viability of the modernized units. Funds are considered obligated when the IHA awards a contract or starts force account work for the modernization project. Circumstances beyond the IHA's control may be found by the HUD office in such cases as delays resulting from litigation, environmental review or strikes.

(e) IHA preparation for joint review. The IHA shall prepare for the joint review by:

(1) Reaching agreement with the HUD office on the specific development(s) to be covered during the joint review;

(2) Completing an assessment of the needs of each development for which the IHA is requesting funds in the current FFY;

(3) Developing an application for the IHA's modernization program, including the IHA's assessment of the needs of each development for which the IHA is requesting funds in the current FFY;

(4) A resolution by the IHA Board of Commissioners, approving the application and containing certifications as required by HUD;

(5) Other data related to the operation of the program, as may be required to comply with other Federal laws and regulations.

(f) Joint review. If determined by HUD to be necessary, the IHA and the HUD office may conduct a joint review to discuss the proposed modernization program, as set forth in the application, and reach tentative agreement on the IHA needs. The joint review may include an on-site inspection of the property and resolution of the relevant issues as prescribed by HUD.

(g) Comprehensive modernization approach. HUD will fund proposed modernization in one stage, or, on an exception basis, in more than one stage, subject to future fund availability. Grounds for exception including an IHA's lack of management capability, as determined in accordance with § 905.135, or lack of modernization capability, as described in paragraph (d) of this section (which necessitates multi-stage funding).

(1) One-stage funding. Under one-stage funding, the total amount of modernization funds for all required physical and management improvements at the development shall be approved at one time, out of funds for a single FFY, under one application;

(2) Multi-stage funding. Under multi-stage funding, the total amount of the modernization funds for all required physical and management improvements at the development shall be approved in the fewest number of stages that are feasible, over several different FFYs. The first stage will include funds for architectural/ engineering work and/or a portion of the physical improvements. Management improvements may be included in the first stage to the extent they are eligible costs under § 905.615(b);

(i) First stage. At the first stage of funding, the application shall include a comprehensive assessment of the development's physical and management improvement needs and a plan under paragraph (c) of this section addressing the work items to be completed during this stage. When approving the first stage, the HUD office will indicate the approximate balance of the funds required to complete the comprehensive modernization, but also will indicate that future funding will be subject to all of the following conditions: the availability of funds, satisfactory progress by the IHA in obligating first stage and subsequent stage funds, IHA submission of additional documents, and IHA compliance with HUD regulatory and statutory requirements;

(ii) Subsequent stages. Where the IHA is requesting funds for a subsequent stage of a multi-stage comprehensive modernization, the HUD office will determine whether the IHA has made satisfactory progress in obligating prior stage funds, whether it has submitted
necessary additional documents, and whether it has complied with HUD regulatory and statutory requirements. If the IHA has not satisfied these conditions, the HUD office will not approve that subsequent stage of funding at this time. The IHA submission for any subsequent stage should not duplicate items previously submitted.

(3) Implementation. After the application for each stage is approved, the IHA and the HUD office shall agree on an implementation period that is appropriate for that funding stage, not to exceed five years for any stage from the date on which that stage is first funded.

(b) HUD funding decisions. After all of the joint reviews, the HUD office will determine whether the IHA will be approved for funding and whether any further modifications to the application are required, including IHA submission of the budget. HUD will give preference to IHAs that request assistance for:

(1) Group 1, developments having emergency conditions that pose an immediate threat (i.e., must be corrected within one year of funding approval) to resident life, health, or safety, or are related to fire safety. Funding is limited to correction of emergency conditions and may not be used for substantial rehabilitation;

(2) Group 2, developments:

(i) Having conditions that threaten resident life, health, or safety or having a significant number (10 percent or more) of vacant or substandard units; and

(ii) Located in IHAs having demonstrated a capability of carrying out the proposed modernization activities (comprehensive, special purpose, and homeownership modernization); and

(iii) Within this group, the Secretary may give priority to additional factors, such as whether the development is at the second or subsequent stage of comprehensive modernization, and the cost benefit.

(3) Group 3, other developments located in IHAs that have demonstrated a capability of carrying out the proposed modernization activities (comprehensive, special purpose, and homeownership modernization). The Secretary may give priority to factors which demonstrate that the modernization will result in the greatest cost benefit.

(4) HUD may set aside for special purpose modernization a portion of the total modernization funds available for any FFY, as determined by HUD to be necessary to assure that special purpose needs are appropriately addressed;

(i) ACC amendment. After HUD approval of the application, HUD and the IHA shall enter into an ACC amendment for modernization funds.

(ii) Implementation schedule. After HUD executes the ACC, the IHA shall submit for HUD approval an implementation schedule for each development in the approved modernization program.

§ 905.621 Modernization project.

(a) Modernization projects. For purposes of funding modernization, each modernization program approved for an IHA shall be treated as a separate modernization project. The modernization project may include improvements to one or more developments. Improvements to a single development may be included in more than one modernization project.

(b) ACC. HUD and the IHA shall enter into an ACC amendment for each modernization project. The ACC amendment shall require lower income use of the housing for not less than 20 years from the date of the ACC amendment (subject to sale of homeownership units in accordance with the terms of the ACC).

(c) Declaration of trust. The IHA shall execute and file for record a Declaration of Trust as provided under the ACC to protect the rights and interests of HUD throughout the 20-year period during which the IHA is obligated to operate the individual developments receiving modernization trust funds in accordance with the ACC, the Act, the HUD regulations and requirements.

(d) Other program requirements. The IHA shall comply with 24 CFR part 65, except as modified by § 905.639, and with other program requirements, as enumerated in § 905.120. In addition, in accordance with the ACC, the IHA shall maintain insurance, as prescribed by HUD, to cover the additional exposures created by the modernization activities and to reflect the increased value of the buildings after modernization.

§ 905.624 Resident participation.

For a rental development only, before submission of the application, the IHA shall consult with the residents (including, for purposes of this section, resident organizations and resident management corporations, if any) regarding its intent to submit an application for modernization funds. Before the joint review, the IHA shall notify the residents of the development to be modernized of the proposed modernization program, give residents a reasonable opportunity to present their views on the proposed program and alternatives to it, and give full and serious consideration to resident recommendations. At the Joint Review, or upon request, the IHA shall provide the residents and HUD with a copy of, and an evaluation of, residents' recommendations, indicating the reasons for IHA acceptance or rejection, consistent with HUD requirements and the IHA's own determination of efficiency, economy, and need. After HUD approval of the modernization program, the IHA shall inform the residents of the approved work items. The provisions of this section do not apply to proposed work items of an emergency nature, affecting the life, health, and safety of residents, which are processed in a "first track" mode outside the normal processing schedule. However, the IHA shall inform residents of approved emergency work items.

§ 905.627 Homebuyer participation.

(a) For a homeownership development only, before the joint review (if one is held), the IHA shall discuss the modernization program with the homebuyer families of the development to be modernized and advise them of the effect of the modernization on the terms of the homebuyer agreements. The IHA shall afford the homebuyer families a reasonable opportunity to present their views on the proposed program and give full and serious consideration to their recommendations, consistent with HUD requirements and the IHA's own determination of efficiency, economy, and need.

(b) The IHA shall inform each homebuyer family that:

(1) To participate, it must be in compliance with its financial obligations under its homebuyer agreement;

(2) It will have an opportunity to express its views and preferences with respect to the modernization of its home;

(3) The purchase price and the amortization period will be increased as provided in § 906.602;

(4) It will have an opportunity to participate in the final inspection of the work to determine completion in accordance with the requirements; and

(5) Participation in the program is optional.

(c) The IHA shall provide each homebuyer family with a copy of the IHA's evaluation of its recommendations, the tentative decisions reached on the modernization program to be submitted to the HUD office, the estimated cost of the proposed modernization program, and the amount of the cost to be distributed to its home.

(d) If the homebuyer family decides to participate in the modernization
program with respect to any of the proposed work items, it must agree in writing that its homebuyer agreement will be amended upon approval of the application to provide that, as a result of the amount of modernization cost attributed to its home, the purchase price and the amortization period will be increased as provided in § 905.602.

(c) Any homebuyer family may decline to participate without risk to the homebuyer status.

(d) Before HUD approval of the application, the IHA shall obtain a signed agreement from each participating homebuyer family that it will amend its homebuyer agreement upon approval of the application. The IHA shall retain copies of the signed agreements in its files for inspection by the HUD office.

(e) The provisions of paragraphs (b) through (f) of this section apply only where modernization work relates to health and safety items.

§ 905.633 Special Requirements for Section 23 Leased Housing Bond-Financed Developments.

(a) A Section 23 Leased Housing Bond-Financed development is eligible for modernization only if HUD determines that the development has met the following conditions:

1. The development was financed by the issuance of bonds;
2. Clear title to the development will be conveyed to or vested in the IHA at the end of the Section 23 lease term;
3. There are no legal obstacles affecting the IHA’s use of the property as Indian housing during the 20-year period of the modernization;
4. After completion of the modernization, the development will have a remaining useful life of at least 20 years and it is in the financial interest of the Federal Government to improve the development; and
5. The development is covered by a cooperation agreement between the IHA and local governing body the 20-year period of the modernization.

(b) A Section 23 Leased Housing Bond-Financed development that has been conveyed to the IHA after bonds have been retired is similarly eligible for modernization if the conditions specified under paragraph (a) of this section have been satisfied.

§ 905.636 Additional limitations for special purpose modernization.

(a) For each of the three types of special purpose modernization relating to major equipment systems or structural elements, security, and reduction of vacant, substandard units, an IHA may obtain special purpose modernization funding only once for a development that has not been comprehensively modernized, except as provided in § 905.615(f)(2) for the special purpose modernization of vacant or non-homebuyer occupied Turnkey III units. Subsequent funding for the same development for any additional physical improvements of these types may be provided only as a part of a program that addresses all of the physical and management improvement needs of the development under a comprehensive modernization program. This limitation does not apply to a development that has been comprehensively modernized.

(b) Special purpose modernization to reduce the number of vacant, substandard units will be limited to physical improvements that are necessary to meet local code requirements and return such units to a condition that is comparable to the condition of occupied units in the same development, except as provided in § 905.615(f)(3).

§ 905.639 Contracting requirements.

(a) Compliance with State, Tribal and local law and Federal requirements. The IHA shall comply with State, Tribal and local laws and Federal requirements applicable to bidding and contract awards.

(b) IHA agreement with architect/engineer. The IHA shall obtain architectural/engineering services through the competitive proposal process, as described in § 905.175(d). Notwithstanding 24 CFR 85.36(g), the IHA shall comply with HUD requirements either to:

1. Submit the contract for prior HUD approval before execution; or
2. Certify that the scope of work is consistent with any agreements reached with HUD, and that the fee is appropriate and does not exceed the HUD-approved budget amount.

(c) Sealed bid (formal advertising) requirements. For each construction or equipment contract over $25,000, the PHA shall conduct formal advertising as provided in § 905.175(e), except for procurement under the HUD Consolidated Supply Program, as described in § 905.175(f).

(d) Assurance of completion. For each construction or equipment contract over $25,000, the contractors shall furnish a performance and payment bond for 100 percent of the contract price, notwithstanding 24 CFR 85.36(h), part B of this part, and as may be required by law, one of the following:

1. Separate performance and payment bonds, each for 50 percent or more of the contract price;
2. A 20 percent cash escrow; or
3. A 25 percent letter of credit.

(e) Construction and bid documents. Notwithstanding 24 CFR 85.36(g) and subpart B of this part, the IHA shall comply with HUD requirements either to:

1. Submit complete construction and bid documents for prior HUD approval before inviting bids; or
2. Certify to receipt of the required architect/engineer’s certification that the construction documents accurately reflect HUD-approved work and that the bid documents are complete and include all mandatory items.

(f) Contract award. The IHA shall contain HUD approval of the proposed award of modernization construction and equipment contracts if the bid amount exceeds the HUD-approved budget amount or if the procurement meets the criteria set forth in § 85.36(g)(2)(i) through (iv). In all other instances, the IHA shall make the award without HUD approval after the IHA has certified that:

1. The bidding procedures and award were conducted in compliance with State, Tribal or local laws and Federal requirements;
2. The award does not exceed the approved budget amount and does not meet the criteria in § 85.36(g)(2)(i) through (iv) for prior HUD approval; and
3. HUD clearance has been obtained for the award under previous participation procedures, including absence of the contractor from the GSA List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs.

(g) Contract modifications. Notwithstanding 24 CFR 85.36, except in an emergency endangering life or property, the IHA shall comply with HUD requirements either to submit the proposed contract changes for prior HUD approval or to certify that the proposed work is within the scope of the contract and that any additional costs are within the latest HUD-approved budget or otherwise approved by HUD.

(h) Construction requirements. The IHA shall submit to the HUD office periodic progress reports and shall submit all contract settlement documents for prior HUD approval.

(i) Management improvement contracts. The IHA shall obtain consultant services through the competitive proposal process, as described in § 905.175(d). The IHA shall comply with HUD requirements either to:

1. Submit contracts for management improvements, as well as contract changes, for prior HUD approval; or
§ 905.642 Fund requisitions.

To request modernization funds against the total approved modernization budget, the IHA shall submit a request to the HUD office in accordance with HUD requirements.

(Approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2577-0104)

§ 905.645 Progress reporting.

For each quarter until completion of the modernization program, the IHA shall submit, in a form prescribed by HUD, to the HUD field office:

(a) A report on modernization fund obligations and expenditures; and

(b) A narrative report on management improvement progress, where applicable.

(Information collection requirements were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2377-0046)

§ 905.648 Budget revisions.

The IHA shall not incur any modernization cost in excess of the total approved budget. The IHA shall submit a revision of the budget, in a form prescribed by HUD, if the IHA plans (within the total approved modernization budget) to incur modernization costs in excess of the approved budget amount for any development. The IHA also shall comply with HUD requirements either to:

(a) Submit the proposed budget revision for prior HUD approval if the IHA plans to delete or substantially revise approved work items, add new work items, or incur modernization costs in excess of the approved budget amount for a work item; or

(b) Certify that the revisions are necessary to carry out the approved work and do not result in the approved budget amount for any development being exceeded.

§ 905.651 On-site inspections.

The IHA shall provide, by contract or otherwise, adequate and competent supervisory and inspection personnel during modernization, whether work is performed by contract or force account labor and with or without the services of an architect/engineer, to assure work quality and progress.

§ 905.654 Fiscal closeout of a modernization program.

Upon completion of a modernization program, the IHA shall submit the actual modernization cost certificate, in a form prescribed by HUD, to the HUD field office for review, audit verification, and approval. The IHA shall immediately remit any excess funds provided by HUD. The audit shall follow the requirements of 24 CFR Part 44, Non-Federal Government Audit Requirements. If the audited modernization cost certificate indicates that there are still excess funds, the IHA shall remit the excess funds as directed by HUD. If the audited modernization cost certificate discloses unauthorized expenditures, the IHA shall take such corrective actions as HUD may direct.

7. 24 CFR part 905, subpart I is amended by adding a new undesignated center heading to follow immediately after § 905.654 and by adding new §§ 905.660, 905.666, 905.667, 905.669, 905.672, 905.675, 905.676, 905.681, 905.684, and 905.687, to read as follows:

Comprehensive Grant Program (For IHA's That Own or Operate 500 or More Indian Housing Units) (250 or More Units Beginning in FY 1993)

§ 905.660 Purpose.

(a) Purpose. (1) The purpose of the Comprehensive Grant program (CGP) under this subpart is:

(i) To provide modernization assistance to IHA's that own or operate a total of 500 or more units of Indian housing (250 or more units beginning in FY 1993) on a reliable and more predictable basis, to enable them to operate, upgrade, modernize, and rehabilitate Indian housing developments, to ensure their continued availability for low income families as decent, safe, and sanitary rental housing at affordable rents;

(ii) To provide considerable discretion to IHA's to decide the specific improvements to the manner of their execution, and the timing of the expenditures of funds;

(iii) To simplify significantly the program of Federal assistance for capital improvements in Indian housing developments;

(iv) To provide increased opportunities and incentives for more efficient management of Indian housing developments;

(v) To give IHA's greater control in planning and expending funds for modernization, rehabilitation, maintenance, and improvement of Indian housing developments to benefit low income families.

(2) The purpose of the sections under the undesignated heading entitled, Comprehensive Grant Program (CGP), is to set forth the policies and procedures for the CGP under which IHA's own or operate a total of 500 or more units of Indian housing (250 or more units beginning in FY 1993) receive financial assistance on a formula grant basis in accordance with § 905.601 (e) and (f) for the modernization of Indian housing developments.

§ 905.666 Eligible costs.

(a) General. An IHA may use financial assistance received under the CGP for the following eligible costs:

(1) Understanding activities described in its approved action plan under § 905.672(d)(5) and its annual statement under § 905.678: (2) Carrying out emergency work, whether or not the need is indicated in the IHA's approved comprehensive plan (including the action plan) or annual statement;

(3) Funding a replacement reserve to carry out eligible activities in future years, subject to the restrictions set forth in paragraph (g) of this section;

(4) Preparing the comprehensive plan and action plan under § 905.672, including reasonable costs necessary to assist residents to participate in a meaningful way in the planning, implementation and monitoring process; and

(5) Carrying out an audit, in accordance with 24 CFR part 44 and § 905.120.

(b) Demonstration of viability. Except in the case of emergency work, an IHA shall only expend funds on a development for which the IHA has demonstrated that completion of the improvements and replacements identified in the comprehensive plan will reasonably ensure the long-term physical and social viability of the development at a reasonable cost.

(c) Physical improvement costs.

Eligible costs included alterations, betterments, additions, replacements, and non-routine maintenance that are necessary to meet the modernization and energy conservation standards prescribed in § 905.603. These mandatory standards may be exceeded only when the IHA determines that it is necessary or highly desirable for the long-term physical and social viability of the individual development. Such development specific work may include property purchases. If demolition or disposition is proposed, the IHA shall comply with 24 CFR part 905, subpart M.

(d) Costs for Turnkey III and Mutual Help developments—(1) Eligible costs.

Eligible physical improvement costs for existing Turnkey III and Mutual Help developments are limited to work items which are not the responsibility of the homebuyer families and which are related to health and safety, correction of development deficiencies, physical accessibility, energy audits and cost-effective energy conservation measures, and lead-based paint testing and
abatement. In addition, management improvements are eligible modernization costs for existing homeownership developments. Costs of health and safety work items shall increase the purchase price and amortization period for homebuyer families, in accordance with § 905.902; other eligible costs shall not increase the purchase price and amortization period.

(2) Ineligible costs. Nonroutine maintenance or replacements, additions, and items that are the responsibility of the homebuyer families are ineligible costs:

(3) Exception for vacant or non-homebuyer-occupied Turnkey III units. (i) Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraph (d)(2) of this section, an IHA may substantially rehabilitate a Turnkey III development whenever a unit becomes vacant or is occupied by a non-homebuyer family. An IHA that intends to use funds under this paragraph must identify in its needs assessment the estimated number of units that the IHA is proposing for substantial rehabilitation and subsequent sale. In addition, an IHA must demonstrate in its needs assessment that: The proposed modernization under this paragraph would result in bringing the identified units into full compliance with the homeownership objectives under the Turnkey III program (see subpart G); and the IHA has homebuyers who are both eligible for homeownership, in accordance with the requirements of 24 CFR part 905 (Subpart G), and who have demonstrated their intent to be placed into each of the Turnkey III units proposed to be substantially rehabilitated;

(ii) Before an IHA may be approved for the substantial rehabilitation of a unit under this paragraph, it must first deplete any Earned Home Payments Account (EHPA) or Non-Routine Maintenance Reserve (NRMR) pertaining to the unit, and request the maximum amount of operating subsidy. Any increase in the value caused by its substantial rehabilitation under this paragraph shall be reflected solely by its subsequent appraised value, and not by an automatic increase in its selling price.

(4) One-time exception for Mutual Help units. Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraph (d)(2) of this section, an IHA may use no more than a single CGP grant under this subpart for purposes of substantially rehabilitating a Mutual Help unit, and may do so only with a unit which is at least 10 years old, and which the IHA has identified in its comprehensive plan (including its action plan and annual statement);

(5) The IHA must maintain records by unit of the work carried out under this section to permit HUD to review the extent to which Mutual Help and Turnkey III units have been substantially rehabilitated.

(e) Demolition and conversion costs. Eligible costs include:

(1) Demolition of dwelling units or nondwelling facilities, where the demolition is approved by HUD under 24 CFR part 905 (Subpart M), and related costs, such as clearing and grading the site after demolition and subsequent site improvement to benefit the remaining portion of the existing development; and

(2) Conversion of existing dwelling units to different bedroom sizes.

(f) Replacement reserve costs. (1) Funding a replacement reserve to carry out eligible activities in future years is an eligible cost, subject to the following restrictions:

(i) Annual CCP funds are not needed for existing needs, as identified by the IHA in its needs assessments; or

(ii) A physical improvement requires more funds than the IHA would receive under its annual formula allocation; or

(iii) A management improvement requires more funds than the IHA may use under its 10% limit for management improvements, and the IHA needs to save a portion of its annual grant in order to combine it with a portion of subsequent year(s) grants, to fund the work item;

(2) The IHA shall invest replacement reserve funds so as to generate a return equal to or greater than the average 91-day Treasury bill rate;

(3) Interest earned on funds in the replacement reserve will not be added to the IHA’s income in the determination of an IHA’s operating subsidy eligibility, but must be used for eligible modernization costs;

(4) To the extent that its annual formula allocation and any unobligated balances of modernization funds are not adequate to meet emergency needs, an IHA must first use its replacement reserve, where funded, to meet emergency needs, before requesting funds from the $75 million reserve. An IHA is not required to use its replacement reserve for natural and other disasters.

(g) Management improvement costs. Management improvements that are needed to upgrade the operation of the IHA’s developments, sustain physical improvements at those developments or correct management deficiencies identified by the IHA in its comprehensive plan are eligible costs. An IHA’s ongoing operating expenses, including direct provision of social services through either either contract or force account labor, are ineligible management improvement costs.

(1) Economic development activities costs. Economic development activities such as job training, resident employment and resident businesses, for the purposes of carrying out activities related to the eligible management and physical improvements are eligible costs, as approved by HUD. HUD encourages IHA’s, to the greatest extent feasible, to hire residents as trainees or employees to carry out the modernization program under this subpart, and to contract with resident-owned businesses for modernization work:

(2) Resident management costs. Technical assistance to a resident council or resident management corporation (RMC), as defined in § 905.455, in order to determine the feasibility of the resident management entity or assist in its formation is an eligible cost;

(3) Resident homeownership costs. The study of the feasibility of converting rental to homeownership units, as well as the preparation of an application for conversion to ownership, is an eligible cost.

(h) Drug elimination costs. Drug elimination activities, involving management or physical improvements are eligible costs, as specified by HUD.

(i) Administrative costs. Administrative costs necessary for the planning, design, implementation and monitoring of the physical and management improvements are eligible costs and include the following:

(1) The salaries of nontechnical and technical IHA personnel assigned full-time or part-time to modernization are eligible costs only where the scope and volume of the work are beyond that which could be reasonably expected to be accomplished by such personnel in the performance of their nonmodernization duties. The IHA shall properly apportion to the appropriate program budget any direct charges for the salaries of assigned full- or part-time staff (e.g., to the CIAP, CCP or operating budgets);

(2) IHA contributions to employee benefits plans on behalf of nontechnical and technical IHA personnel are eligible costs in direct proportion to the amount of salary charged to the CGP; and

(3) Other administrative costs, such as telephone and facsimile, as specified by HUD.

(j) Audit costs.

(k) Architectural/engineering and consultant fees. Fees for planning, preparation of needs assessments and
required documents, detailed design work, preparation of construction and bid documents, lead-based paint testing, etc., are eligible costs.

(1) Relocation costs. Relocation costs as a direct result of rehabilitation, demolition or acquisition for a CGP-funded activity are eligible costs, as required by § 905.120.

(m) Cost limitations. (1) An IHA shall not use more than a total of 10 percent of its annual grant for management improvement costs in account 1408, unless specifically approved by HUD.

(2) An IHA shall not use more than a total of 7 percent of its annual grant on administrative costs in account 1410, excluding any costs related to in-house lead based paint testing, in-house architectural/engineering (A/E) work, or other special administrative costs required by state, tribal or local law, unless specifically approved by HUD. In the case of an IHA whose jurisdiction covers as unusually large geographic area, an additional two percent of the annual grant may be spent on costs related to travelling to the IHA's developments for CGP-related business, as specifically approved by HUD. (For purposes of this subparagraph, "an unusually large geographic area" means an area served by an IHA whose offices are physically separated from the majority of its developments by distances which require overnight travel and/or travel by air or other commercial carriers, e.g., a statewide IHA with developments in multiple localities; a regional IHA with developments in multiple counties or states; or an Alaska IHA with developments in multiple villages.);

(3) Where the physical or management improvement will benefit programs other than Indian Housing, such as Section 8, local renewal, etc., eligible costs are limited to the amount directly attributable to the Indian Housing Program.

(n) Ineligible costs. An IHA (or an RMC acting on behalf of an IHA) shall not make luxury improvements, or carry out any other ineligible activities, as specified by HUD.

§ 905.667 Reserve for emergencies and disasters.

(a) Emergencies.—(1) Eligibility for assistance. An IHA (including an IHA which has been determined by HUD not to be administratively capable under § 905.135) may request funds, at any time, for any eligible emergency work item under § 905.102, from the reserve established under § 905.601(b), except that such funds may be provided to an IHA that has the necessary funds available from any of the following sources: under its annual formula allocation under § 905.601(e) and (f); from other unobligated modernization funds; or from its replacement reserves under § 905.666. An IHA is not required to have an approved comprehensive plan under § 905.672 before it can request emergency assistance from this reserve;

(2) Procedure. To obtain emergency funds, an IHA must submit a request, in a form to be prescribed by HUD, which demonstrates that it meets the requirements of paragraph (b)(1) of this section. HUD will immediately process a request for such assistance and, if it determines that the request meets the requirements under paragraph (b)(1) of this section, it will approve the request, subject to the availability of funds in the reserve;

(3) Repayment. Funds provided to an IHA under paragraph (b)(1) of this section for natural and other disasters shall be in the form of a grant, and are not required to be repaid.

§ 905.669 Allocation of assistance.

(a) Submission of formula characteristics report.—(1) Formula characteristics report. In its first year of participation in the CGP, each IHA shall verify and provide data to HUD, in a form and at a time to be prescribed by HUD, concerning IHA and development characteristics, so that HUD can develop the IHA's annual funding allocation under the CGP in accordance with § 905.601(e) and (f). If an IHA fails to submit to HUD the formula characteristics report by the prescribed deadline, HUD will use the data which it has available concerning IHA and development characteristics for purposes of calculating the IHA's formula share. After its first year of participation in the CGP, an IHA is required to respond to data transmitted by HUD if there have been changes to its inventory from that previously reported, or where requested by HUD;

(2) IHA Board Resolution. The IHA must include with its formula characteristics report under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, a resolution adopted by the IHA Board of Commissioners approving the report, and certifying that the data contained in the formula characteristics report are accurate.

(b) HUD notification of formula amount; appeal rights.—(1) Estimated formula amounts. After HUD determines an IHA's estimated formula allocations under § 905.601(e) and (f) based upon the IHA, development, and community characteristics, it shall notify the IHA of its estimated formula amount;

(2) Appeal based upon unique circumstances. An IHA may appeal in writing HUD's determination of its estimated formula amount within 60 calendar days of the date of HUD's determination on the basis of "unique circumstances." The IHA must indicate how it is unique, and specify the manner in which it is different from all other IHAs participating in the CGP, and provide any necessary supporting documentation. HUD shall render a
written decision on an IHA's appeal under this paragraph within 60 calendar days of the date of its receipt of the IHA's request for an appeal. HUD shall publish in the Federal Register a description of the facts supporting any successful appeals based upon "unique" circumstances. Any adjustments resulting from a particular FFY from successful appeals under this paragraph shall be made from the subsequent year's appropriation of funds under this part.

(3) Appeal based upon error. (i) Estimated formula amount. An IHA may appeal in writing HUD's determination of its estimated formula amount within 30 calendar days of the date of HUD's determination on the basis of an error. The IHA must describe the nature of the error, and provide any necessary supporting documentation. HUD shall respond to the IHA's request within 60 calendar days of the date of its receipt of the IHA's request for an appeal. If HUD determines that there are no issues in dispute, it will inform the IHA within the 60-day period. Any adjustment resulting from successful appeals shall be made from the current year's allocation of funds under this subpart.

(ii) Final formula amount. An IHA may appeal in writing HUD's determination of its estimated formula amount within 30 calendar days of the date of HUD's determination on the basis of an error. The IHA must describe the nature of the error, and provide any necessary supporting documentation. HUD shall respond to the IHA's request within 60 calendar days of the date of its receipt of the IHA's request for an appeal. If HUD determines that there are no issues in dispute, it will inform the IHA within the 60-day period. Any adjustment resulting from successful appeals shall be made from the succeeding year's allocation of funds under the CGP.

(iii) Final formula amount. An IHA may appeal in writing HUD's determination of its estimated formula amount within 30 calendar days of the date of HUD's determination on the basis of an error. The IHA must describe the nature of the error, and provide any necessary supporting documentation. HUD shall respond to the IHA's request within 60 calendar days of the date of its receipt of the IHA's request for an appeal. If HUD determines that there are no issues in dispute, it will inform the IHA within the 60-day period. Any adjustment resulting from successful appeals shall be made from the succeeding year's allocation of funds under the CGP.

(4) Advance meeting for resident groups. The IHA shall hold, at least three weeks before the public hearing under paragraph (b)(5) of this section, a meeting for residents and resident groups at which the IHA shall provide residents with draft copies of the executive summary, and shall explain the components of the comprehensive plan. The IHA shall make reasonable efforts to provide advance notice to all residents of the date and time of the meeting (in the form of flyers, advertisements, etc.). Residents shall be advised prior to the meeting that the comprehensive plan shall be available for their review at specified locations within the IHA's jurisdiction. The meeting shall be open to all residents and resident groups;
concerns of residents, local government officials, and other interested parties.

(c) Local governments participation. An IHA shall consult with appropriate local government officials with respect to the development of the comprehensive plan. In the case of an IHA with developments in multiple jurisdictions, the IHA may meet this requirement by consulting with an advisory group representative of all the jurisdictions. At a minimum, such consultation must include providing such officials with:

(1) Advance written notice of the public hearing required under paragraph (b)(5) of this section;
(2) A copy of the executive summary; and
(3) An opportunity to express their priorities and concerns to ensure due consideration in the IHA's planning process.

(d) Contents of comprehensive plan. The comprehensive plan shall identify all of the physical and management improvements needed for an IHA and all of its developments, and that represent needs eligible for funding under §905.606. The plan shall also include preliminary estimates of the total cost of these improvements. The plan shall set forth general strategies for addressing the identified needs, and highlight any special strategies, such as major redesign or partial demolition of a development, that are necessary to ensure the long-term physical and social viability of the development. Each comprehensive plan shall contain the following elements:

(i) Executive summary. An IHA shall include as part of its comprehensive plan an Executive Summary to facilitate review and comprehension by development residents and by the public. The Executive Summary shall include:

(A) A brief summary of the physical improvements necessary to bring each development to a level at least equal to the modernization standards contained in HUD Handbook 7485.2 (Public and Indian Housing Modernization Standards), and to the energy conservation and life-cycle cost-effective performance standards, as required in §905.603, and to comply with the Lead-Based Testing and Abatement requirements under §905.120(i), and the relative urgency of need indicated:

(B) The replacement needs of equipment systems and structural elements that will be required to be met (assuming routine and timely maintenance is performed) during the period covered by the action plan;

(C) A preliminary estimate of the cost to complete the physical work;

(D) Any physical disparities between buildings occupied predominantly by one racial or ethnic group and buildings occupied predominantly by other racial or other ethnic groups and, in such cases, the physical improvements required to correct the conditions. This requirement shall apply only to IHAs which are determined, on a case-by-case basis, to be subject to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968;

(ii) Sources of data. The IHA shall identify in its needs assessment the sources from which it derived data to develop the physical needs assessment under this paragraph, and shall retain such source documents in its files.

(3) Management needs assessment. (i) Requirements. The plan shall include a comprehensive assessment of the management needs needed to upgrade the management and operation of the IHA and of each viable development so decent, safe and sanitary living conditions will be provided. The management needs assessment shall include the following, with the relative urgency of need indicated:

(A) An identification of the most current needs related to the following areas (to the extent that any of these needs is addressed in a HUD-approved management improvement plan, the IHA may simply include a cross-reference to these documents):

(1) The management, financial, and accounting control systems of the IHA;

(2) The adequacy and qualifications of personnel employed by the IHA in the management and operation of its developments, for each significant category of employment;

(B) Any additional deficiencies identified through audits and HUD monitoring reviews which are not
addressed under paragraph (d)(3)(i)(A) of this section. To the extent that any of these is addressed in a HUD-approved management improvement plan, the IHA may include a cross-reference to these documents;
(C) Any other management and operations needs which the IHA wants to address at the IHA-wide or development level;
(D) An IHA-wide preliminary cost estimate for addressing all the needs identified in the management needs assessment, without regard to the availability of funds; and
(E) The projected FFY in which the IHA anticipates that all identified management deficiencies will be corrected.

(ii) Sources of data. The IHA shall identify in its needs assessment the sources from which it derived data to develop the management needs assessment under this paragraph, and shall retain such source documents in its files.

(4) Demonstration of long-term physical and social viability. (i) General. The plan shall include, on a development-by-development basis, an analysis of whether completion of the improvements and replacements identified under paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section will reasonably ensure the long-term physical and social viability of the development at a reasonable cost;
(ii) Developments with hard cost of 90 percent or less of TDC. Where the preliminary estimate of hard cost for work proposed at a development is 90 percent or less of TDC, the IHA determines that, upon completion of the improvements and replacements identified under paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section, the development can reasonably be expected to be structurally sound and achieve full occupancy, the IHA may determine that the development has long-term physical and social viability at a reasonable cost;
(iii) Developments with hard cost of greater than 90 percent of TDC. Where the preliminary estimate of hard cost for work proposed at a development exceeds 90 percent of TDC, the IHA shall complete and submit to HUD, as part of its comprehensive plan, a viability analysis of the development, as prescribed by HUD. Where the IHA determines that completion of the improvements and replacements identified under paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section, the development can reasonably be expected to be structurally sound and achieve full occupancy, the IHA shall also submit to HUD a request to exceed the 90 percent of TDC. The Field Office shall review such requests on a case-by-case basis, in accordance with the following criteria:
(A) The IHA has adequately explained any special or unusual conditions, justified all work as necessary to meet the modernization and energy conservation standards, provided reasonable cost estimates, and made every effort to reduce costs;
(B) Rehabilitation of the existing development is more cost-effective in the long-term than construction or acquisition of replacement housing; and
(C) The IHA has no practical low-income housing alternatives.

(v) Determination of non-viability. Where an IHA's analysis of a development, either under paragraphs (c) or (d) of this section, establishes that completion of the identified improvements and replacements will not result in the long-term physical and social viability of the development at an affordable cost, the IHA shall not expend CP funds for development, except for emergencies. The IHA shall specify in its comprehensive plan the actions it proposes to take with respect to the non-viable development (e.g., demolition or disposition under 24 CFR part 905, subpart M).

(5) Five-year action plan. (i) General. The comprehensive plan shall include a rolling five-year action plan to carry out the improvements and replacements (or a portion thereof) identified under paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section. The IHA shall develop the action plan based on estimates provided by HUD of the amount of assistance the IHA will receive annually for a five-year period under § 905.103(e) and (f) (for this purpose, the IHA should assume that the current year level of funding will be available for each year of its five-year plan). The IHA's estimate of the funds that will be available from other sources, such as State, local and tribal governments. All activities specified in an IHA's action plan are contingent upon the availability of funds;
(ii) Requirements. Under the action plan, an IHA must indicate how it intends to use the funds available to it under the CP to address the deficiencies, or a portion of the deficiencies, identified under its physical and management needs assessments, as follows:
(A) Physical condition. With respect to the physical condition of an IHA's developments, an IHA must indicate in its action plan how it intends to address, over a five-year period, the deficiencies (or a portion of the deficiencies) identified in its physical needs assessment so as to bring each of its developments up to a level at least equal to the modernization and energy conservation standards and the projected FFY the IHA anticipates that such standards will be met. This would include specifying the work to be undertaken by the IHA in major work categories (e.g., kitchens, electrical systems, etc.); establishing priorities among the major work categories by development and year based upon the relative urgency of need; and estimating the cost of each of the identified major work categories. In addition, an IHA must estimate the FFY in which it anticipates that the development will meet the modernization and energy conservation standards. In developing its action plan, an IHA shall give priority to the following:
(1) Activities required to correct emergency conditions;
(2) Activities required to meet statutory (or other legally mandated) requirements;
(3) Activities required to meet the needs identified in the Section 504 needs assessment within the regulatory timeframes; and
(4) Activities required to complete lead-based paint testing and abatement requirements by December 6, 1994.

(B) Management and operations. An IHA must address in its action plan the management and operations deficiencies (or a portion of the deficiencies) identified in its management needs assessment, as follows:
(1) With respect to the management and operations needs of the IHA, the IHA must identify how it intends to address with CP funds, if necessary, the deficiencies (or a portion thereof) identified in its management needs assessment, including work identified through audits, the ACA, HUD monitoring reviews, and self-assessments (this would include establishing priorities based upon the relative urgency of need); and
(2) A preliminary IHA-wide cost estimate, by major work category.
(iii) Procedure for maintaining current five-year action plan. The IHA shall maintain a current five-year action plan by annually amending its action plan, in connection with the submission of its annual statement, so that the previous year of the existing action plan is eliminated and an additional year is added.

(6) Local government statement. The comprehensive plan shall include a statement signed by the chief executive officer of the appropriate governing body (or, in the case of an IHA with developments in multiple jurisdictions,
from the CEO of each such jurisdiction], certifying as to the following:

(i) The IHA developed the comprehensive plan/annual statement in consultation with officials of the appropriate governing body and with development residents covered by the comprehensive plan/annual statement, in accordance with the requirements of § 905.672(b)(3);

(ii) The comprehensive plan/annual statement is consistent with the appropriate governing body’s assessment of its low income housing needs and that the appropriate governing body will cooperate in providing resident programs and services; and

(iii) The IHA’s proposed drug elimination activities are coordinated with, and supportive of, local drug elimination strategies and neighborhood improvement programs, is applicable.

7 IHA resolution. The plan shall include a resolution adopted by the IHA Board of Commissioners, and signed by the Board Chairman of the IHA, approving the comprehensive plan or any amendments thereto and certifying that:

(i) The IHA will comply with all policies, procedures, and requirements prescribed by HUD for modernization, including implementation of the modernization in a timely, efficient, and economical manner;

(ii) IHA has established controls to assure that any activity funded by the CGP is not also funded by any other HUD program, thereby preventing duplicate funding of any activity;

(iii) The IHA will not provide any development more assistance under the CGP than is necessary to provide affordable housing, after taking into account other government assistance provided;

(iv) The proposed physical work will meet the modernization and energy conservation standards under 24 CFR 905.603;

(v) The proposed activities, obligations and expenditures in the Annual Statement are consistent with the proposed or approved Comprehensive Plan of the IHA;

(vi) The IHA will comply with applicable civil rights requirements under 24 CFR 905.115, and, where applicable, will carry out the Comprehensive Plan in conformity with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Housing Act, and section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973;

(vii) The IHA will, to the greatest extent feasible, give preference to the award of modernization contracts to Indian organizations and Indian-owned economic enterprises under 24 CFR 905.165;

(viii) The IHA has provided HUD with any documentation that the Department needs to carry out its review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other related authorities in accordance with 24 CFR 905.120 (a) and (b), and will not obligate, in any manner, the expenditure of CGP funds, or otherwise undertake the activities identified in its Comprehensive Plan/Annual Statement, until the IHA receives written notification from HUD indicating that the Department has complied with its responsibilities under NEPA and other related authorities;

(ix) The IHA will comply with the wage rate requirements under 24 CFR 905.120 (c) and (d);

(x) The IHA will comply with the relocation assistance and real property acquisition requirements under 24 CFR 905.120(e);

(xi) The IHA will comply with the requirements for physical accessibility under 24 CFR 905.120(f);

(xii) The IHA will comply with the requirements for access to records and audits under 24 CFR 905.120(g);

(xiii) The IHA will comply with the uniform administrative requirements under 24 CFR 905.120(h);

(xiv) The IHA will comply with lead-based paint testing and abatement requirements under 24 CFR 905.120(i);

(xv) The IHA has complied with the requirements governing tribal government and resident participation in accordance with 24 CFR 905.672(b), 905.678(b), and 905.684, and has given full consideration to the priorities and concerns of tribal government and residents, including any comments which were ultimately not adopted, in preparing the Comprehensive Plan/Annual Statement and any amendments thereto;

(xvi) The IHA will comply with the special requirements of 24 CFR 905.668(d) with respect to a homeownership development; and

(xvii) The IHA will comply with the special requirements of § 905.633 with respect to a Section 23 leased housing bond-financed development.

(e) Amendments to the comprehensive plan—(1) Extension of time for performance. An IHA shall have the right to amend its comprehensive plan (including the action plan) to extend the time for performance whenever HUD has not provided the amount of assistance set forth in the comprehensive plan or has not provided the assistance in a timely manner;

(2) Amendments to needs assessments: The IHA must amend its plan by revising its needs assessments whenever it proposes to carry out activities in its action plan or annual statement which are not reflected in its current needs assessments (except in the case of emergencies). When the bases for the needs assessment have changed substantially, an IHA may propose an amendment to its needs assessments, in connection with the submission of its annual statement (see § 905.672(b)), or at any other time. These amendments shall be reviewed by HUD in accordance with § 905.675;

(3) Six-year revision of comprehensive plan. The physical and management needs assessments, and the executive summary, are required to be revised only every sixth year, although the IHA may elect to revise some or all of these assessments more frequently. Consequently, every sixth year, an IHA must submit to HUD, with its annual statement, a complete revision of its comprehensive plan;

(4) Annual revision of action plan. Annually, the IHA shall submit to HUD, with its annual statement, an update of its five-year action plan, eliminating the previous year and adding an additional year;

(5) Required submissions. Any amendments to the comprehensive plan under this section must be submitted with the IHA resolution under § 905.672(d)(7).

(f) Prerequisite for receiving assistance—(1) Prohibition of assistance. No financial assistance, except for emergency work to be funded under §§ 905.601(b) and 905.660(a)(2), and for modernization needs resulting from disasters under § 905.601(b), may be made available under this subpart unless HUD has approved a comprehensive plan submitted by the IHA which meets the requirements of § 905.672. An IHA that has failed to obtain approval of its comprehensive plan by the end of the FFY shall have its formula allocation for that year (less any formula amounts provided to the IHA for emergencies) added to the subsequent year’s appropriation of funds for grants under this part. HUD shall allocate such funds to IHAs and PHAs participating in the CGP in accordance with the formula under § 905.601(e) and (f) in the subsequent FFY. An IHA which elects in any FFY not to participate in the CGP under this subpart may participate in the CGP in subsequent FFYs;

(2) Requests for emergency assistance. An IHA may receive funds from its formula allocation to address emergency modernization needs where HUD has not approved an IHA’s comprehensive plan. To request such
assistance, an IHA shall submit to HUD a request for funds in such form as HUD may prescribe, including any documentation necessary to support its claim that an emergency exists. HUD shall review the request and supporting documentation to determine if it meets the definition of "emergency work," as set forth in § 905.102.

§ 905.675 HUD review and approval of comprehensive plan (including action plan).

(a) Submission of comprehensive plan. (1) Upon receipt of a comprehensive plan from an IHA, HUD shall determine whether:

(i) The plan contains each of the required components specified at § 905.672(d); and

(ii) Where applicable, the IHA has submitted any additional information or assurances required as a result of HUD monitoring, findings of inadequate IHA performance, audit findings, or civil rights compliance findings.

(2) Acceptance for review. If the IHA has submitted a comprehensive plan (including the action plan) which meets the criteria specified in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, HUD shall accept the comprehensive plan for review, within 14 calendar days of its receipt in the field office. The IHA shall be notified in writing that the plan has been accepted by HUD, and that the 75-day review period is proceeding.

(b) Time period for review. A comprehensive plan that is accepted by HUD for review shall be considered to be approved unless HUD notifies the IHA in writing, postmarked within 75 calendar days of the date of HUD's receipt of the comprehensive plan for review, that HUD has disapproved the plan, indicating the reasons for disapproval, and the modifications required to make the comprehensive plan approvable. The IHA must re-submit the comprehensive plan to HUD, in accordance with the deadline established by HUD, which may allow up to 75 calendar days before the end of the FFY for HUD review. If the revised plan is disapproved by HUD following its resubmission, or the IHA fails to resubmit the plan by the deadline established by HUD, any funds that would have been allocated to the IHA shall be added to the subsequent year's appropriation of funds for grants under this subpart. HUD shall allocate such funds to IHAs and PHAs participating in the CGP in accordance with the formula under § 905.102(e) and (f).

(2) HUD shall approve the comprehensive plan except where it makes a determination in accordance with one or more of the following:

(i) The comprehensive plan is incomplete in significant matters. HUD determines that the IHA has failed to include all required information or documentation in its comprehensive plan, e.g., the physical needs assessment does not provide all of the information required by HUD concerning all of its developments; or the IHA has supplied incomplete data on the current condition and other characteristics of its developments;

(ii) Identified needs are plainly inconsistent with facts and data. On the basis of available significant facts and data pertaining to the physical and operational condition of the IHA's developments or the management and operations of the IHA, HUD determines that the IHA's identification of modernization needs (see § 905.672(d)(2) and (3)) is plainly inconsistent with such facts and data. HUD will take into account facts and data such as those derived from recent HUD monitoring, audits, and resident comments and will disapprove a comprehensive plan based on such findings as:

(A) Identified physical improvements and replacement are inadequate. The completion of the identified physical improvements and replacements will not bring all of an IHA's developments to a level at least equal to the modernization and energy conservation and life-cycle cost-effective standards in § 905.603 (except that a development must meet the energy conservation standards under § 905.603 only when they are applicable to the work being performed);

(B) Identified management improvements are inadequate. The identified management and operations improvement needs do not address all of an IHA's areas of deficiency, or the completion of those improvements would not result in each area of deficiency under an IHA's management improvement plan under § 905.159 being brought up to an acceptable level of performance under the ACA and the Field Office Monitoring of IHAs Handbook 7443; or

(C) Proposed physical and management improvements fail to address identified needs. The proposed physical and management improvements in the action plan are not related to the identified needs in the needs assessments portion of the comprehensive plan, e.g., a heating plant renovation is in the action plan, but it was not included in the needs assessment for that development.

(iii) Action plan is plainly inappropriate to meeting identified needs. On the basis of the comprehensive plan, HUD determines that the action plan (see § 905.672(d)(5)) is plainly inappropriate to meet the needs identified in the comprehensive plan, e.g., the proposed work item will not correct the need identified in the needs assessment. HUD will take into account the availability of funds. In addition, HUD will take into account whether the action plan fails to address work items that are needed to correct known emergency conditions or which are otherwise needed to meet statutory or other legally mandated requirements, as identified by the IHA in its comprehensive plan;

(iv) Inadequate demonstration of long-term viability at reasonable cost. HUD determines that the IHA has failed to demonstrate that completion of improvements and replacements identified in the comprehensive plan, as required by § 905.672(d)(2) and (3), will reasonably ensure long-term viability of one or more Indian housing developments to which they relate at a reasonable cost, as required by § 905.672(d)(4);

(v) Contradiction of local government statement or IHA resolution. HUD has evidence which tends to challenge, in a substantial manner, the appropriate governing body's statement or IHA resolution contained in the comprehensive plan, as required in § 905.672(d)(6) and (7). Such evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to:

(b) HUD approval of comprehensive plan (including action plan). (1) A comprehensive plan (including the action plan) that is accepted by HUD for review in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section shall be considered to be approved, unless HUD notifies the IHA in writing, postmarked within 75 days of the date of HUD's receipt of the comprehensive plan for review, that HUD has disapproved the plan, indicating the reasons for disapproval, and the modifications required to make the comprehensive plan approvable. The IHA must re-submit the comprehensive plan to HUD, in accordance with the deadline established by HUD, which may allow up to 75 calendar days before the end of the FFY for HUD review. If the revised plan is disapproved by HUD following its resubmission, or the IHA fails to resubmit the plan by the deadline established by HUD, any funds that would have been allocated to the IHA shall be added to the subsequent year's appropriation of funds for grants under this subpart. HUD shall allocate such funds to IHAs and PHAs participating in the CGP in accordance with the formula under § 905.102(e) and (f).

(2) HUD shall approve the comprehensive plan except where it makes a determination in accordance with one or more of the following:

(i) The comprehensive plan is incomplete in significant matters. HUD determines that the IHA has failed to include all required information or documentation in its comprehensive plan, e.g., the physical needs assessment does not provide all of the information required by HUD concerning all of its developments; or the IHA has supplied incomplete data on the current condition and other characteristics of its developments;

(ii) Identified needs are plainly inconsistent with facts and data. On the basis of available significant facts and data pertaining to the physical and operational condition of the IHA's developments or the management and operations of the IHA, HUD determines that the IHA's identification of modernization needs (see § 905.672(d)(2) and (3)) is plainly inconsistent with such facts and data. HUD will take into account facts and data such as those derived from recent HUD monitoring, audits, and resident comments and will disapprove a comprehensive plan based on such findings as:

(A) Identified physical improvements and replacement are inadequate. The completion of the identified physical improvements and replacements will not bring all of an IHA's developments to a level at least equal to the modernization and energy conservation and life-cycle cost-effective standards in § 905.603 (except that a development must meet the energy conservation standards under § 905.603 only when they are applicable to the work being performed);

(B) Identified management improvements are inadequate. The identified management and operations improvement needs do not address all of an IHA's areas of deficiency, or the completion of those improvements would not result in each area of deficiency under an IHA's management improvement plan under § 905.159 being brought up to an acceptable level of performance under the ACA and the Field Office Monitoring of IHAs Handbook 7443; or

(C) Proposed physical and management improvements fail to address identified needs. The proposed physical and management improvements in the action plan are not related to the identified needs in the needs assessments portion of the comprehensive plan, e.g., a heating plant renovation is in the action plan, but it was not included in the needs assessment for that development.

(iii) Action plan is plainly inappropriate to meeting identified needs. On the basis of the comprehensive plan, HUD determines that the action plan (see § 905.672(d)(5)) is plainly inappropriate to meet the needs identified in the comprehensive plan, e.g., the proposed work item will not correct the need identified in the needs assessment. HUD will take into account the availability of funds. In addition, HUD will take into account whether the action plan fails to address work items that are needed to correct known emergency conditions or which are otherwise needed to meet statutory or other legally mandated requirements, as identified by the IHA in its comprehensive plan;

(iv) Inadequate demonstration of long-term viability at reasonable cost. HUD determines that the IHA has failed to demonstrate that completion of improvements and replacements identified in the comprehensive plan, as required by § 905.672(d)(2) and (3), will reasonably ensure long-term viability of one or more Indian housing developments to which they relate at a reasonable cost, as required by § 905.672(d)(4);

(v) Contradiction of local government statement or IHA resolution. HUD has evidence which tends to challenge, in a substantial manner, the appropriate governing body's statement or IHA resolution contained in the comprehensive plan, as required in § 905.672(d)(6) and (7). Such evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to:
(A) Evidence that the IHA failed to implement the Partnership Process and to meet the requirements for resident participation, as set forth in § 905.672(b). In such cases, HUD shall review the IHA's participation process and any supporting documentation to determine whether the standards for participation under § 905.672(b) were met;

(B) With respect to an IHA established under state law and determined to be subject to the requirements of title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Fair Housing Act, HUD shall also consider as such evidence the following:

(1) A pending proceeding against the IHA based upon a charge of discrimination pursuant to the Fair Housing Act. (For purposes of this provision, "a charge of discrimination" means a charge, pursuant to Section 810(g)(2) of the Fair Housing Act, issued by the HUD General Counsel, or her legally authorized designee);

(2) A pending civil rights suit against the IHA instituted by the Department of Justice;

(3) Outstanding HUD findings of IHA noncompliance with civil rights statutes and executive orders under § 905.120, or implementing regulations, as a result of formal administrative proceedings, unless the IHA is implementing a HUD-approved resident selection and assignment plan or compliance agreement designed to correct the area(s) of noncompliance;

(4) A deferral of the processing of applications from the IHA imposed by HUD under title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Attorney General's Guidelines (28 CFR 50.3) and the HUD title VI regulations (24 CFR 1.8) and procedures (HUD Handbook 8040.1), or under section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and HUD section 504 regulations (24 CFR 8.57). (Copies of HUD Handbook 8040.1 may be obtained by writing to the HUD Regional Office of Indian Programs within the applicant's jurisdiction); or

(5) An adjudication of a violation under any of the authorities under § 905.120(a) in a civil action filed against the IHA by a private individual, unless the IHA is implementing a HUD-approved resident selection and assignment plan or compliance agreement designed to correct the area(s) of noncompliance;

(c) Effect of HUD approval of comprehensive plan. After HUD approves the comprehensive plan (including the action plan), or any amendments to the plan, it shall be binding upon HUD and the IHA, until such time as the IHA submits, and HUD approves, an amendment to its plan. If HUD determines as a result of an audit or monitoring findings that an IHA has provided false or substantially inaccurate data in its comprehensive plan, HUD may condition the receipt of assistance, in accordance with § 905.678(d). Moreover, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 1001, any individual or entity who knowingly and willingly makes or uses a document or writing containing any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States, shall be fined not more than $10,000 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both.

§ 905.678 Annual statement of activities and expenditures.

(a) General. HUD shall notify IHAs in writing of their estimated formula amount for use in developing the annual statement and an update of the five-year action plan. The annual statement is the first year of the five-year rolling base action plan, and is intended to provide a more detailed discussion of the activities, obligations and expenditures which the IHA plans to undertake, in whole or in part, with the assistance to be provided by HUD. Thus, the annual statement provides HUD, the residents, and the public with greater detail concerning the planned utilization of current year funds, than the remaining years covered by the action plan. An IHA may elect to submit an annual statement which covers up to a two-year period, to enable the IHA to shift work items within the two years of its approved annual statement. Such an IHA is still required to submit a new annual statement every year.

(b) Submission. After being advised by HUD of the estimated formula amount of assistance it will receive under this subpart with respect to any FY, and estimating how much funding will be available from other sources, such as State and tribal governments, the IHA shall submit an annual statement of activities and expenditures and an update of the action plan, in accordance with instructions provided by HUD.

(c) Acceptance for review. Since the annual statement constitutes the first year (or, if so elected by an IHA, any period up to two years) of an IHA's rolling base action plan under § 905.672(d)(4), the IHA shall submit its annual statement to HUD at the same time that it submits its amendment to the action plan under § 905.672. Upon receipt of an annual statement from an IHA, HUD shall determine whether:

(1) It is complete in all significant matters; and

(2) The IHA has submitted any additional information or assurances required as a result of HUD monitoring, findings of noncompliance, performance audit findings, and civil rights compliance findings. If the IHA has submitted a complete annual statement and all required information and assurances, HUD will accept the statement for review, as of the date of receipt. If the IHA has not submitted all required material, HUD will promptly notify the IHA that it has disapproved the statement as submitted, indicating the reasons for disapproval, the modifications required to qualify the annual statement of HUD review, and the date by which such modifications must be received by HUD.

(d) Resident and local government participation. An IHA is required to develop its annual statement, including any proposed amendments to its comprehensive plan as provided in § 905.672(d), in consultation with officials of the appropriate governing body (or, in the case of an IHA with developments in multiple jurisdictions, in consultation with the CEO of each such jurisdiction or with an advisory group representative of all jurisdictions) and with residents of the developments covered by the comprehensive plan, as follows:

(1) Notification. The IHA will undertake reasonable efforts to provide advance written notice to each of the residents in the affected housing development(s) and to officials of the appropriate governing body, of the date and time of the public hearing under paragraph (d)(3) of this section. In addition, the IHA shall undertake reasonable efforts to provide advance written notice (in the form of flyers, advertisements, etc.) to all residents of the affected housing development(s) by means of advertisements or flyers of any other meetings which the IHA intends to hold, including the meeting with resident groups under paragraph (d)(2) of this section;

(2) Meeting with resident groups. The IHA shall hold, at least three weeks before the public hearing under paragraph (d)(3) of this section, a meeting with residents and resident groups at which the IHA will provide residents with information concerning the contents of the IHA's annual statement (and any proposed amendments to the IHA's comprehensive plan to be submitted with the annual statement) so that residents can comment adequately at the public hearing on the contents of the
annual statement and any proposed amendments;

(3) Public hearing. The IHA shall hold a public hearing which allows residents of the developments covered by the comprehensive plan, democratically elected resident groups, officials of the appropriate governing body, and other interested parties, an opportunity to summarize their priorities and concerns. The IHA shall give full consideration to the comments and concerns of residents of the affected developments and officials of the appropriate governing body in developing its annual statement, or any amendments to its comprehensive plan (including its updated action plan).

(e) Contents of annual statement. The annual statement must include, for each development (or on an IHA-wide basis for management improvements) for which work is to be funded out of that year's grant:

(1) A list of development accounts (e.g., "dwelling structures") with a general description of work items (e.g., "replace kitchen cabinets," "repair bathroom floors");

(2) The cost for each work item, as well as a summary of cost by development account;

(3) The IHA-wide or development-specific management improvements to be undertaken during the year;

(4) For each development and for any management improvements not covered by a HUD-approved management improvement plan, a schedule for the use of current year funds, including target dates for the obligation and expenditure of the funds. In general, HUD expects that an IHA will obligate its current year's allocation of CGP funds (except for its funded replacement reserves) within two years, and expend such funds within three years, of the date of HUD approval, unless longer time-frames are approved by HUD due to local differences;

(5) A summary description of the actions to be taken with non-CGP funds to meet physical and management improvement needs which have been identified by the IHA in its needs assessments;

(6) Any documentation that HUD needs to assist it in carrying out its responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act and other related authorities in accordance with § 905.620 (a) and (b);

(7) Other information, as specified, by HUD, and as approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act; and

(8) An IHA resolution approving the annual statement or any amendments thereto, as set forth in § 905.872(e)(7).

(f) Additional submissions with annual statement. An IHA must submit with the annual statement any amendments to the comprehensive plan, as set forth in § 905.872(e), and such additional information as may be prescribed by HUD. HUD shall review any proposed amendments to the comprehensive plan in accordance with the review standards under § 905.875(b).

(g) HUD review and approval of annual statement. — (1) General. An annual statement acceptable in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section shall be considered to be approved, unless HUD notifies the IHA in writing, postmarked within 75 calendar days of the date that HUD accepts the annual statement for review under paragraph (c) of this section, that HUD has disapproved the annual statement, indicating the reasons for disapproval, the modifications required to make the annual statement approvable, and the date by which such modifications must be received by HUD. HUD shall not disapprove an annual statement on the basis that the Department cannot complete its review under this section within the 75-day deadline;

(2) Bases for disapproval of annual statement. HUD shall approve the annual statement, except where:

(i) Plainly inconsistent with comprehensive plan. HUD determines that the activities and expenditures proposed in the annual statement are plainly inconsistent with the IHA's approved comprehensive plan;

(ii) Contradiction of IHA resolution. HUD has evidence which tends to challenge, in a substantial manner, the certifications contained in the board resolution, as required by § 905.872(d)(7).

(h) Amendments to annual statement. The IHA shall submit to HUD for prior approval any major changes, as defined in § 905.102, except in the case of emergency work. Major changes shall be submitted in the form of an amendment to the IHA's approved annual statement. The IHA shall advise HUD of all changes due to emergencies in its performance and evaluation report submitted under § 905.664. HUD shall review a request to amend an annual statement in accordance with paragraph (f)(2) of this section. Any changes with respect to work items involving cumulatively less than 10% of an IHA's annual grant allocation do not require prior HUD approval, so long as the work is covered under the IHA's action plan. An IHA that has elected to submit an annual statement which covers up to a two year period may undertake without submitting an amendment for prior HUD approval the work items contained in either the first or second year of its annual statement. Such rescheduling of activities is not considered a major change.

(i) Extension of time for performance. An IHA may revise the target dates for fund obligation and expenditure in the approved annual statement whenever any valid delay outside the IHA's control occurs, as specified by HUD. Such revision is subject to HUD review and approval under § 905.689(a)(2) as to the IHA's continuing capacity. HUD shall not revise as to an IHA's continuing capacity any revisions to an IHA's comprehensive plan and related statements caused by HUD's failure to provide the amount of assistance set forth in the annual statement, or to provide such assistance in a timely manner.

(j) ACC Amendment. After HUD approval of each year's annual statement, HUD and the IHA shall enter into an ACC amendment to obtain modernization funds.

§ 905.681 Conduct of modernization activities.

(a) Initiation of activities. After HUD has approved the annual statement and entered into an ACC amendment or grant agreement with the IHA, the IHA shall undertake the modernization activities and expenditures set forth in its approved annual statement, subject to the following requirements:

(1) The IHA may undertake the activities using force account or contract labor, including contracting with an RMC. If the entirety of modernization activity (including the planning and architectural design of the rehabilitation) is administered by an RMC, the IHA shall not retain for any administrative or other reason, any portion of the CGP funds provided, unless the IHA and the RMC provide otherwise by contract; and

(2) All activities shall be monitored by resident groups within the framework and intent of the Partnership Process.

(b) Fund requisitions. To request modernization funds against the approved annual statement, the IHA shall submit a request to HUD in accordance with requirements to be prescribed by HUD.

(c) Contracting requirements. The IHA shall comply with the wage rate requirements in § 905.120. In addition, the IHA shall comply with the requirements, as set forth in subpart B of this part, except as follows:

(1) Assurance of completion. For each construction or equipment contract over $25,000, the contractors shall furnish a
performance and payment bond for 100 percent of the contract price, or, notwithstanding 24 CFR 85.36(h) and § 905.170, a 20 percent cash escrow, or a 25 percent letter of credit or, as may be required by law, separate performance and payments bonds, each for 50 percent or more of the contract price;

(2) Previous participation. The IHA shall obtain HUD clearance under previous participation procedures for construction or equipment contract awards, over a HUD-specified amount, which shall include verifying that the contractor is not included on the Lists of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement or Nonprocurement Programs.

(d) Assurance of non-duplication. The IHA shall ensure that there is no duplication between the activities carried out pursuant to the CGP, and activities carried out with other funds.

(e) Fiscal closeout of a comprehensive grant. Upon expenditure by an IHA of all funds, or termination by HUD of the activities funded by each annual grant, the IHA shall submit the actual modernization cost certificate, in a form prescribed by HUD, to HUD for review, audit verification, and approval. The audit shall follow the guidelines prescribed by 24 CFR part 44, Non-Federal Government Audit Requirements. If the audited modernization cost certificate discloses unauthorized expenditures, the IHA shall take such corrective actions as HUD may direct.

§ 905.684 IHA performance and evaluation report.

(a) Submission. For any FFY in which an IHA has received assistance under this subpart, the IHA shall submit a performance and evaluation report, in a form and at a time to be prescribed by HUD, describing its use of assistance in accordance with the approved annual statement. The IHA must make reasonable efforts to notify residents and officials of the appropriate governing body of the availability of the draft report, make copies available to residents in the development office, and provide residents with at least 30 calendar days in which to comment on the report.

(b) Content. The report shall include the following:

(1) An explanation of how the IHA has used the CGP funds to address the needs identified in its comprehensive plan and to carry out the activities identified in its approved annual statement, and shall specifically address:
   (i) Any funds used for emergency needs not set forth in its annual statement; and
   (ii) Any deviations within the 10% cap for “major changes” to the annual statement under § 905.678;

(3) In the case of an IHA that has elected to submit an annual statement which covers up to a two-year period, any deviations in the order of work presented between years one and two of the approved annual statement;

(4) The results of the IHA’s process for consulting with residents on the implementation of the plan;

(5) The current status of the IHA’s obligations and expenditures and specifying how the IHA is performing with respect to the implementation schedules provided in its approved annual statement, and an explanation of any necessary revisions to the planned target dates;

(6) A summary of resident, tribal or local government comments received on the report; and

(7) A resolution by the IHA Board of Commissioners approving the performance and evaluation report and containing a certification that the IHA has made reasonable efforts to notify residents in the development(s) of the opportunity to review the draft report and to comment on it before its submission to HUD, and that copies of the report were provided to residents in the development office, upon their request.

§ 905.687 HUD review of IHA performance.

(a) HUD determination. At least annually, HUD shall carry out such reviews of the performance of each IHA as may be necessary or appropriate to make the determinations required by this paragraph, taking into consideration all available evidence.

(1) Conformity with comprehensive plan. HUD will determine whether the IHA has carried out its activities under this subpart in a timely manner and in accordance with its comprehensive plan:

(i) In making this determination, HUD will review the IHA’s performance to determine whether the modernization activities undertaken during the period under review conform substantially to the activities specified in the approved annual statement, consistent with the approved comprehensive plan. HUD will also review an IHA’s schedules which are provided with its annual statement for purposes of determining whether the IHA has carried out its modernization activities in a timely manner;

(ii) HUD will review an IHA’s performance to determine whether the activities carried out comply with the requirements of the Act, including the requirement that the work carried out meets the modernization and energy conservation standards in § 905.603, this part, and other applicable laws and regulations.

(2) Continuing capacity. HUD will determine whether the IHA has a continuing capacity to carry out its comprehensive plan in a timely manner. After the first full operational year of CGP, CIAP experience will not be taken into consideration except where the IHA has not yet had comparable experience under the CGP.

(i) The primary factors to be considered in arriving at a determination that a recipient has a continuing capacity are those described in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(3) of this section as they relate to carrying out the comprehensive plan. HUD generally will consider an IHA to have a continuing capacity if it determines that the IHA has:

(A) Carried out its activities under the CGP program, as well as the CIAP, in a timely manner, taking into account the level of funding available and whether the IHA obligates and expends approved modernization funds in accordance with the approved implementation schedule, (except in circumstances beyond the IHA’s control);

(B) Adequately inspected the funded modernization to assure that the physical work is being carried out in accordance with the plans and specifications and the modernization and energy conservation standards (or, in the case of an IHA’s performance under CIAP, whether the IHA has carried out the physical work in accordance with the HUD-approved budget and in conformance with the modernization and energy conservation standards) and that any HUD monitoring findings relating to the quality of the physical work have been, or are being, resolved);

(C) Established and maintained internal controls for its modernization program in accordance with HUD requirements for financial management and accounting, as determined by the fiscal audit;

(D) Administered its modernization contracts in accordance with a HUD-approved procurement policy, which
meets the requirements of 24 CFR 85.36(a) and § 905.160;

(E) Carried out its activities in accordance with its comprehensive plan and HUD requirements; and

(F) Has satisfied, or made reasonable progress toward satisfying, the performance standards prescribed in paragraph (a)(3) of this section as they relate to activities under the CGP program:

(i) HUD will give particular attention to IHA efforts to accelerate the progress of the program and to prevent the recurrence of past deficiencies or noncompliance with applicable laws and regulations.

(3) Reasonable progress. HUD shall determine whether the IHA has satisfied, or has made reasonable progress towards satisfying, the following performance standards:

(i) With respect to the physical condition of each development, whether the work items being carried out by the IHA are in conformity with the modernization and energy conservation standards in § 905.603, and whether the IHA has brought, or is making reasonable progress toward bringing, all of its developments to these standards, in accordance with its physical needs assessment.

(ii) With respect to the management condition of the IHA, whether the IHA is making reasonable progress in implementing the work items necessary to eliminate the deficiencies identified in its management needs assessment.

(b) Notice of deficiency. Based on HUD reviews of IHA performance and findings of any of the deficiencies in paragraph (d) of this section, HUD may issue to the IHA a notice of deficiency stating the specific program requirements which the IHA has violated and requesting the IHA to take any of the actions in paragraph (e) of this section.

(c) Corrective action order. (1) Based on HUD reviews of IHA performance and findings of any of the deficiencies in paragraph (d) of this section, HUD may issue to the IHA a corrective action order, whether or not a notice of deficiency has previously been issued in regard to the specific deficiency on which the corrective action order is based. HUD may order corrective action at any time by notifying the IHA of the specific program requirements which the IHA has violated, and specifying that any of the corrective actions listed in section (e) must be taken. HUD shall design corrective action to prevent a continuation of the deficiency, mitigate any adverse effects of the deficiency to the extent possible, or prevent a recurrence of the same or similar deficiencies;

(2) Before ordering corrective action, HUD will notify the IHA and give it an opportunity to consult with HUD regarding the proposed action;

(3) Any corrective action ordered by HUD shall become a condition of the grant agreement; and

(a) If HUD orders corrective action by an IHA in accordance with this section, the IHA's Board of Commissioners must notify affected residents of HUD's determination, the bases for the determination, the conditioning requirements imposed under this paragraph, and the consequences to the IHA if it fails to comply with HUD's requirements.

(d) Basis for corrective action. HUD may order an IHA to take corrective action only if HUD determines:

(1) The IHA has not submitted a performance and evaluation report, in accordance with § 905.684;

(2) The IHA has not carried out its activities under the CGP program in a timely manner and in accordance with its comprehensive plan or HUD requirements, as described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section;

(3) The IHA does not have a continuing capacity to carry out its comprehensive plan in a timely manner or in accordance with its comprehensive plan or HUD requirements, as described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section;

(4) The IHA has not satisfied, or has not made reasonable progress towards satisfying, the performance standards specified in paragraph (a)(3) of this section;

(5) An audit conducted in accordance with 24 CFR part 44 and § 905.120, or pursuant to other HUD reviews (including monitoring findings) reveals deficiencies that HUD reasonably believes require corrective action;

(6) The IHA has failed to repay HUD for amounts awarded under the CGP program that were improperly expended; or

(7) The IHA has been determined not to be administratively capable, in accordance with § 905.133.

(e) Types of corrective action. HUD may direct an IHA to take one or more of the following corrective actions:

(i) Submit additional information:

(1) Proposing to the IHA's administrative, planning, budgeting, accounting, management, and evaluation functions, to determine the cause for a IHA not meeting the standards in orders corrective action (1), (2), or (3) of this section;

(ii) Explaining any steps the IHA is taking to correct the deficiencies;

(iii) Documenting that IHA activities were not inconsistent with the IHA's annual statement or other applicable laws, regulations, or program requirements; and

(iv) Demonstrating that the IHA has a continuing capacity to carry out the comprehensive plan in a timely manner;

(2) Submit schedules for completing the work identified in its annual statement and report periodically on its progress on meeting the schedules;

(3) Notwithstanding 24 CFR 85.36(g), submit to HUD the following documents for prior approval, which may include, but are not limited to:

(i) Proposed agreement with the architect/engineer (prior to execution);

(ii) Complete construction and bid documents (prior to soliciting bids);

(iii) Proposed award of contracts, including construction and equipment contracts and management contracts; or

(iv) Proposed contract modifications prior to issuance, including modifications to construction and equipment contracts, and management contracts.

(4) Submit additional material in support of one or more of the statements, resolutions, and certifications submitted as part of the IHA's comprehensive plan, annual statement, or performance and evaluation report;

(5) Not incur financial obligations, or to suspend payments for one or more activities;

(6) Reimburse, from non-HUD sources, one or more program accounts for any amounts improperly expended;

(7) Take such other corrective actions HUD determines appropriate to correct IHA deficiencies.

(f) Failure to take corrective action. In cases where HUD has ordered corrective action and the PHA has failed to take the required actions within a reasonable time, as specified by HUD, HUD may take one or more of the following steps:

(1) Withhold some or all of the IHA's grant;

(2) Declare a breach of the ACC grant amendment with respect to some or all of the IHA's functions; or

(3) Any other sanction authorized by law or regulation.

(g) Reallocation of funds that have been withheld. Where HUD has withheld for a prescribed period of time some or all of an IHA's annual grant, HUD may reallocate such amounts to other IHAs/PHAs under the CGP program, subject to approval in appropriations acts. The reallocation shall be made to IHAs which HUD has determined to be administratively
capable under § 905.135, and to PHAs under the CGP program which are not designated as either troubled or mod troubled under the PHMAP at 24 CFR part 901, based upon the relative needs of these IHAs and PHAs, as determined under the formula at § 905.601.

(b) Right to appeal. Before withholding some or all of the IHA’s annual grant, declaring a breach of the ACC grant amendment, or reallocating funds that have been withheld, HUD will notify the IHA and give it an opportunity, within a prescribed period of time, to present to the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing any arguments or additional facts and data concerning the proposed action.

(i) Notification of residents. The IHA’s Board of Commissioners must notify affected residents of HUD's final determination to withhold funds, declare a breach of the ACC grant amendment, or reallocate funds, as well as the basis for, and the consequences resulting from, such a determination.

(j) Recapture. In addition, HUD may recapture for good cause any grant amounts previously provided to an IHA, based upon a determination that the IHA has failed to comply with the requirements of the CGP program. Before recapturing any grant amounts, HUD will notify the IHA and give it an opportunity to appeal in accordance with § 905.687(b). Any reallocation of recaptured amounts will be in accordance with § 905.687(g). The IHA’s board of Commissioners must notify affected residents of HUD's final determination to recapture any funds.

6-8. Section 905.725 is amended by revising paragraph (e)(1), to read as follows:

§ 905.725 Projected operating income level.

   (e) IHA’s estimate of income other than dwelling rental income—(1) Investment income. IHAs with an estimated average cash balance of less than $20,000, excluding investment income earned from a funded replacement reserve under § 905.606(f), shall make a reasonable estimate of investment income for the Requested Budget Year. IHAs with an estimated average cash balance of $20,000 or more, excluding investment income earned from a funded replacement reserve under § 905.606(f), shall estimate interest on general fund investments based on the estimated average yield for 91-day Treasury bills for the IHA’s Requested Budget Year (yield information will be provided by HUD.

9. Section 905.950 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 905.950 Operating subsidy eligibility projects owned by IHAs in Alaska.

   (a) The provisions of this subpart N are applicable to the development, modernization, and operation of the Turnkey III and Turnkey IV Homeownership Opportunity Programs and the rental housing owned by the IHAs in the State of Alaska.

PART 968—PUBLIC HOUSING MODERNIZATION

10. The authority citation for 24 CFR part 968 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 8 and 14, United States Housing Act of 1937 (42 U.S.C. 1437d, 1437f); (sec. 7(d), Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(d)).

§ 968.230 [Redesignated as § 968.102] 11. Section 968.101 is revised, § 968.230 is redesignated as § 968.102, paragraph (a) as redesignated § 968.102 is revised, and a new § 968.103 is added, to read as follows:

§ 968.101 Purpose and applicability.

   (a) Purpose. Section 14 of the Act established the Public Housing Modernization program, authorizing HUD to provide financial assistance to public housing agencies (PHAs) to improve the physical condition and upgrade the management and operation of existing public housing developments, to assure that such developments continue to be available to serve low income families. These physical and management improvements are authorized under sections 8(c) and 14(b)(2) of the Act, pursuant to the funding was provided, or for the funding was provided, or for the purposes for which the funding was provided, or for the purposes consistent with an approved action plan submitted by the PHA under the CGP, as the PHA determines to be appropriate.

§ 968.102 Special requirements for Turnkey III developments.

   (a) Promptly after HUD approval of the application, each homebuyer family shall execute an amendment to its Homebuyer Agreement, reflecting an increase in the purchase price of its home and an extension of the amortization period in accordance with paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, except for:

   (1) Eligible homeownership costs, as set forth in §§ 968.205(f)(1) and 968.310(d)(1); and

   (2) The IHA has failed to comply with the requirements and procedures for the Comprehensive Improvement Assistance program (CIAP) for PHAs that own or operate fewer than 500 public housing units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993). The PHA that qualifies for participation in the CGP is not eligible to participate in the CIAP. Modernization of housing owned or operated by Indian housing authorities (IHAs) is covered by 24 CFR part 905. For purposes of the 500 or more unit threshold for participation in the CGP (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993), and for the formula allocation under § 968.103, an existing rental and section 23 bond-financed unit under the ACC shall count as one unit; and a unit under the Turnkey III program shall count as one-fourth of a unit. A unit under the Mutual Help program shall count as one unit. A PHA that has already qualified to participate in the CGP because it owns or operates 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993), may elect to continue to participate in the CGP so long as it owns or operates at least 200 units.

   (2) This part applies to PHA-owned low income public housing developments (including developments managed by a resident management corporation pursuant to a contract with the PHA); conveyed Lanham Act and Public Works Administration (PWA) developments; and to Section 23 Leased Housing Bond-Financed developments. This part also applies to the implementation of modernization program which were approved before FFY 1992. Rental developments which are planned for conversion to homeownership under sections 5(h), 21, or 301 of the Act, but which have not yet been sold by a PHA, continue to qualify for assistance under this part. This part does not apply to developments under the Section 23 Leased Housing Non-Bond Financed program, the Section 10(c) Leased program, or the Section 23 or Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments programs.

   (c) Transferring any amount that HUD has obligated to a PHA under the CIAP must be used for the purposes for which the funding was provided, or for purposes consistent with an approved action plan submitted by the PHA under the CGP, as the PHA determines to be appropriate.
§ 968.103 Allocation of funds under section 14.

(a) General. This section describes the process for allocating modernization funds to the aggregate of PHAs and IHA's participating in the CIAP (i.e., agencies that own or operate fewer than 500 units, or fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993), and to individual PHAs and IHA's participating in the CGP (i.e., agencies that own or operate 500 or more units, or 250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993). The program requirements governing IHA participation in the CIAP and CGP are contained in 24 CFR part 905 (subpart I).

(b) Set-aside for disasters and emergencies for CGP agencies. For each FFY, HUD shall reserve from amounts approved in the appropriations act for grants under this part, $75 million (which shall include unused reserve amounts carried over from previous FFY's), which shall be made available to PHAs under 24 CFR part 906 (subpart C) and to IHA's with 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993) under 24 CFR part 905 (subpart I), for modernization needs resulting from natural and other disasters, and from emergencies. HUD shall replenish this reserve at the beginning of each FFY so that it always begins with a $75 million balance. Any unused funds from previous years will remain in the reserve until allocated. The requirements governing the reserve for disasters and emergencies, and the procedures by which a PHA may request such funds, are set forth in § 968.312.

(c) Set-aside for credits for mod troubled PHAs under subpart C of this part—(1) General. After deducting amounts for the reserve for natural and other disasters and for emergencies under paragraph (b) of this section, HUD shall set aside no more than five percent of the remaining amount for the purpose of providing credits to PHAs that were formerly designated as mod troubled agencies under the Public Housing Management Assessment Program (PHMAP) (see 24 CFR part 901). The purpose of this set-aside is to compensate such PHAs for amounts not allocated by HUD because of their prior designation as a mod troubled agency.

2 Non-applicability to IHA's. Since the PHMAP performance indicators under 24 CFR part 901 do not apply to IHA's, these agencies cannot be deemed "mod troubled" for purposes of the CGP. Hence, IHA's are not subject to any reduction in funding under section 14(k)(5)(a} of the Act, nor do they participate in the set-aside of credits established under paragraph (c)(1) of this section.

(d) Formula allocation based on relative needs. After determining the amounts to be reserved under paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, HUD shall allocate the amount remaining pursuant to the formula set forth in paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section, which is designed to measure the relative backlog and accrual needs of PHAs and IHA's.

(e) Allocation for backlog needs. HUD shall allocate half of the formula amount under paragraph (d) of this section based on the relative backlog needs of PHAs and IHA's.

(1) Determination of backlog need: (i) Statistically reliable data. Where HUD determines that the data concerning the categories of backlog need identified under paragraph (e)(4) of this section are statistically reliable for individual PHAs and IHA's with 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993), or the aggregate of IHA's with PHAs with fewer than 500 units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993), not participating in the formula funding portion of the modernization program, it will base its allocation on direct estimates of the statutory categories of backlog need, based on the most recently available, statistically reliable data;

(ii) Statistically reliable data are unavailable. Where HUD determines that statistically reliable data concerning the categories of backlog need identified under paragraph (e)(4) of this section are not available for individual PHAs and IHA's with 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993), it will base its allocation of funds under this section on estimates of the categories of backlog need using:

(A) The most recently available data on the categories of backlog need under paragraph (e)(4) of this section;

(B) Objectively measurable data concerning the following PHA or IHA, community and development characteristics:

1 In construing all terms used in the statutory indicators for estimating backlog and accrual need, HUD shall use the meanings cited in Appendix B of the HUD Report to the Congress on Alternative Methods for Funding Public Housing Modernization (April 1990). Copies of the HUD Report to Congress may be obtained by contacting the HUD User at 1-800-245-2091.

1 The average number of bedrooms in the units in a development. (Weighted at 2585.7);

2 The proportion of units in a development available for occupancy by very large families. (Weighted at 7295.7);

3 The extent to which units for families are in high-rise elevator developments. (Weighted at 5555.6);

4 The age of the developments, as determined by the DOFA date (date of full availability). In the case of acquired developments, HUD will use the DOFA date unless the PHA provides HUD with the actual date of construction, in which case HUD will use the actual date of construction (or, for scattered sites, the average dates of construction of all the buildings), subject to a 50 year cap. (Weighted at 206.8);

5 In the case of a large agency, the number of units with 2 or more bedrooms. (Weighted at 433);

6 The cost of rehabilitating property in the area. (Weighted at 2754.43);

For family developments, the extent of population decline in the unit of general local government determined on the basis of the 1970 and 1980 censuses. (Weighted at 759.5);

7 An equation constant of 1412.9.

(ii) Calibration of backlog need for developments constructed prior to 1985. The estimated backlog need, as determined under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section, shall be adjusted upward for developments constructed prior to 1985 by a constant ratio of 1.5 to more accurately reflect the costs of modernizing the categories of backlog need under paragraph (e)(4) of this section for the public housing stock as of 1991.

(j) Deduction for prior modernization: HUD shall deduct from the estimated backlog need, as determined under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section, amounts previously provided to a PHA or IHA for modernization, using one of the following methods:

(i) Standard deduction for prior CIAP and MROP. HUD shall deduct 60 percent of the CIAP funds made available on a PHA-wide or IHA-wide basis from FY 1984 to 1991, and 40 percent of the funds made available on a development-specific basis for the Major Reconstruction of Obsolete Projects (MROP) (not to exceed the estimated formula need for the development), subject to a maximum fifty percent deduction of a PHA's or IHA's total need for backlog funding;

(ii) Newly constructed units. Units with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered to have a zero backlog; or
(iii) Acquired developments. Developments acquired by a PHA with a DOFA date of October 1, 1991 or thereafter will be considered by HUD to have a zero backlog.

(4) Categories of backlog need. The most recently available data used under either paragraphs (e)(1)(i) or (e)(1)(ii) of this section must pertain to the following categories of backlog need:

(i) Backlog of needed repairs and replacements of existing physical systems in public housing developments;
(ii) Items that must be added to developments to meet HUD's modernization standards under § 968.113, and State and local codes; and
(iii) Items that are necessary or highly desirable for the long-term viability of a development, in accordance with HUD's modernization standards.

(f) Allocation for accrual needs. HUD shall allocate the other half remaining under the formula allocation under paragraph (d) of this section based upon the relative accrual needs of PHAs and IHAs, determined as follows:

(1) Statistically reliable data. Where HUD determines that statistically reliable data are available concerning the categories of need identified under paragraph (f)(3) of this section for individual PHAs and IHAs with 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993), and for the aggregate of PHAs and IHAs with fewer than 500 units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993), it shall base its allocation of assistance under this section on the needs that are estimated to have accrued since the last objective measurement of backlog needs under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section:

(ii) Objectively measurable data concerning the following PHA or IHA, community, and development characteristics:

(A) The average number of bedrooms in the units in a development. (Weighted at 100.1);
(B) The proportion of units in a development available for occupancy by very large families. (Weighted at 350.7); and
(C) The age of the developments. (Weighted at 10.4);

(D) The extent to which the buildings in developments of an agency average fewer than 5 units. (Weighted at 87.1);
(E) The cost of rehabilitating property in the area. (Weighted at 679.1);
(F) The total number of units of each PHA or IHA that owns or operates 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993). (Weighted at .0144);

(ii) An equation constant of 002.1.

(3) Categories of need. The data to be provided under either paragraph (f)(1) or (2) of this section must pertain to the following categories of need:

(i) Backlog of needed repairs and replacements of existing physical systems in public housing developments; and

(ii) Items that must be added to developments to meet HUD's modernization standards under § 968.113, and State and local codes.

(g) Allocation of CIAP. The formula amount determined under paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section for PHAs and IHAs with fewer than 500 units (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993) shall be allocated to PHAs in accordance with the requirements of subpart B of this part (the CIAP), and to IHAs in accordance with the requirements of 24 CFR part 905 (subpart I, the CIAP).

(h) Allocation for CGP. The formula amount determined under paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section for PHAs with 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993) shall be allocated in accordance with the requirements of subpart C of this part (the CGP), and for IHAs in accordance with the requirements of 24 CFR part 905 (subpart I, the CGP). A PHA that is eligible to receive a grant under the CGP may appeal the amount of its formula allocation in accordance with the requirements set forth in § 968.315(b)(2). A PHA which is eligible to receive modernization funds under the CGP because it owns or operates 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993), is disqualified from receiving assistance under the CIAP under this part.

(i) Use of formula allocation. Any amounts allocated to a PHA under paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section may be used for any eligible activity under this part, notwithstanding that the allocation amount is determined by allocating half based on the relative backlog needs and half based on the relative accrual needs of PHAs and IHAs.

(j) Calculation of number of units. For purposes of determining under this section the number of units owned or operated by a PHA or IHA, and the relative modernization needs of PHAs and IHAs, HUD shall count as one unit each existing rental and section 23 bond-financed unit under the ACC, except that it shall count as one-fourth of a unit each existing unit under the Turnkey program. In addition, HUD shall count as one unit each existing unit under the Mutual Help program.

(k) Demolition, disposition and conversion of units—(1) General. Where an existing unit under an ACC is demolished, disposed of, or converted into a larger or smaller unit, including the substantial rehabilitation of a Mutual Help or Turnkey unit, HUD shall not adjust the amount the PHA or IHA receives under the formula, unless more than one percent of the units are affected on a cumulative basis. Where more than one percent of the existing units are demolished, disposed of, or converted, HUD shall reduce the formula amount for the PHA or IHA over a 3-year period to reflect removal of the units from the ACC.

(2) Determination of one percent cap. In determining whether more than one percent of the units are affected on a cumulative basis, HUD will compare the units eligible for funding in the initial year under formula funding with the number of units eligible for funding for formula funding purposes for the current year, and shall base its calculations on the following:

(i) New units which are added to a PHA's or IHA's inventory (or increases resulting from the conversion of existing units) will be added to the overall unit count so long as they are covered by an ACC amendment as of the first day of the FFY in which the formula is being run. Any increase in ACC units as of the beginning of the FFY, including increases as a result of conversion, shall result in an adjacent upwards in the number of units under the formula. New units added to the ACC after this date will be counted for formula purposes as of the following FFY.

(ii) Units which are lost as a result of demolition, disposition or conversion shall not be offset against units subsequently added to a PHA's or IHA's inventory:

(iii) For purposes of calculating the number of converted units, HUD shall regard the converted size of the unit as the appropriate unit count (e.g., a unit that originally was counted as one unit under paragraph (j) of this section, but which later was converted into two units, shall be counted as two units under the ACC).
under paragraph (k)(2) of this section is less than one percent, the PHA or IHA will be funded as though no change had occurred;

(ii) Reduction greater than one percent. If HUD determines that the reduction in units under paragraph (k)(2) of this section is greater than one percent, the number of units on which formula funding is based will be the number of units reported as eligible for funding for the current program, plus two thirds of the difference between the initial year and the current year in the third year, plus one third of the difference in the second year, and at the level of the current year in the third year;

(iii) Exception. A unit which is conveyed under the Mutual Help or Turnkey III program will result in an automatic (rather than a phased-in) reduction in the unit count.

4. Subsequent reductions in unit count. (i) Once a PHA’s or IHA’s unit count has been fully reduced under paragraph (k)(3)(ii) of this section to reflect the new number of units under the ACC, this new number of units will serve as the base for purposes of calculating whether there has been a one percent reduction in units on a cumulative basis:

(ii) A reduction in formula funding, based upon additional reductions to the number of a PHA’s or IHA’s units, will also be phased in over a three-year period, as described in paragraph (k)(2) of this section.

12. Section 968.105 is amended by adding the following new definitions, to read as follows:

§ 968.105 Definitions.

Development. The term “development” has the same meaning as that provided for “low-income housing project,” as that term is defined in section 3(b)(1) of the Act.

Force account labor. Labor employed directly by the PHA on either a permanent or a temporary basis. Under Section 107(d) of the ACC, use of force account labor requires prior HUD approval.

Hard costs. The physical improvement costs in development accounts 1450 through 1475 of the Low-Rent Housing Accounting Handbook 7510.1, as revised, which include: Account 1450 Site Improvements; Account 1460 Dwelling Structures; Account 1465.1 Dwelling Equipment—Nonexpendable; Account 1470 Nondwelling Structures; and Account 1475 Nondwelling Equipment.

Modernization funds. Funds derived from an allocation of budget authority for the purpose of funding physical and management improvements.

Non-routine maintenance. Work items that ordinarily would be performed on a regular basis in the course of upkeep of property, but have become substantial in scope because they have been put off, and involve expenditures that would otherwise materially distort the level trend of maintenance expenses. Replacement of equipment and materials rendered unsatisfactory because of normal wear and tear by items of substantially the same kind does qualify, but reconstruction, substantial improvement in the quality or kind of original equipment and materials, or remodeling that alters the nature or type of housing units does not qualify.

Soft costs. The non-physical improvement costs which exclude any costs in development accounts 1450 through 1475.

13. Section 968.110 is amended by adding a new paragraph (m) to read as follows:

§ 968.110 Other program requirements.

(m) Coastal barriers. In accordance with the Coastal Barriers Resources Act, 16 U.S.C. 3501, no financial assistance under this part may be made available within the Coastal Barrier Resources System.

14. Section 968.115 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (b), to read, as follows:

§ 968.115 Modernization and energy conservation standards.

(a) All improvements funded under this part, which may include alterations, betterments, additions, replacements, or non-routine maintenance, shall meet the HUD modernization standards described in paragraph (b) of this section and to comply with lead-based paint testing and abatement requirements in § 968.110(k) and established to provide decent, safe, and sanitary living conditions in PHA-owned and PHA-operated public housing. All improvements funded under this part shall meet the HUD-energy conservation standards for cost-effective energy conservation measures in such developments, described in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section.

(b) The modernization standards are comprised of both mandatory and development-specific standards. The mandatory standards are intended to provide decent, safe, and sanitary living conditions in public housing, including corrections of violations of basic health and safety codes, and to address all deficiencies, including those related to deferred maintenance. The development-specific standards permit a PHA to undertake improvements that are necessary or highly desirable for the long-term physical and social viability of a development, which include site and building security. The modernization standards are contained in HUD Handbook 7485.2, as revised, Public and Indian Housing Modernization Standard, and in other documents cited in the Handbook.

(Copies of the handbook may be obtained from the PHA’s local HUD field office.)

15. The heading of subpart B of part 908 is revised to read as follows:

Subpart B—Comprehensive Improvement Assistance Program (For PHAs That Own or Operate Fewer Than 500 Public Housing Units) (Fewer Than 250 Units Beginning in FFY 1993)

16. Section 968.201 is revised to read as follows:

§ 968.201 Purpose.

The purpose of this subpart is to set forth the policies and procedures for the CIAP under which PHAs that own or operate fewer than a total of 500 units of public housing (fewer than 250 units beginning in FFY 1993) receive financial assistance for the modernization of public housing developments, including comprehensive, emergency, homeownership, lead-based paint, and special purpose modernization. Funding for this program is provided under section 5(c) of the Act, pursuant to section 14(k) of the Act (see § 968.103 for the formula allocation process for the aggregate of CIAP agencies under this subpart).

17. Section 968.203 is amended by revising the introductory text, and by adding a new paragraph (e), to the definition of “special purpose modernization,” and by removing the word “and” at the end of paragraph (d) and by revising the period at the end of paragraphs (d) and (e), to read as follows:

§ 968.203 Definitions.

(d) Special purpose modernization. A modernization program for a development that is limited to any one or more of the following types of physical and management
improvements otherwise eligible for CIAP funding under this part, subject to a HUD determination that the physical improvements are necessary and sufficient to extend substantially the useful life of the property. Beyond that which it would have if such improvements were not made (examples cited in each category are for illustration only):  

(6) Special purpose management modernization. Management improvements for Mutual Help, Turnkey III and rental developments.

18. Section 968.205 is amended by revising the introductory text of paragraph (b)(3), by revising paragraph (b)(1)(i), by adding a new paragraph (b)(3), and by revising paragraph (f), to read as follows:

§ 968.205 Eligible costs.  

(b) Management improvement costs—(1) Eligibility: Management improvements that are development-specific or PHA-wide in nature are eligible costs only under special purpose management modernization under paragraph (b)(3) of this section, or under comprehensive modernization, subject to all of the following conditions:  

(i) The management improvements are necessary to correct identified management problems and to sustain the physical improvements at the development to be comprehensively modernized, or pursuant to special purpose modernization, as set forth in this section;  

(ii) Special purpose management modernization. Special purpose management improvements are eligible modernization costs under the category of special purpose modernization, if they address needs which are not otherwise eligible for assistance under paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(f) Turnkey III developments—(1) General. Eligible physical improvement costs for existing Turnkey III developments are limited to work items which are not the responsibility of the homebuyer families and which are related to health and safety, correction of development deficiencies, physical accessibility, energy audits and cost-effective energy conservation measures, lead-based paint testing and abatement. In addition, management improvements are eligible modernization costs for existing Turnkey III developments under § 968.205(b)(3). Nonroutine maintenance or replacements, additions, and items that are the responsibility of the homebuyer families are ineligible modernization costs for homeownership developments. The cost of health and safety work items shall increase the purchase price and amortization period for homebuyer families; other eligible costs shall not increase the purchase price and amortization period.

(2) Exception for vacant or non-homebuyer-occupied Turnkey III units. Notwithstanding the requirements of paragraph (f)(1) of this section, a PHA may carry out special purpose modernization in a Turnkey III development whenever a Turnkey III unit becomes vacant or is occupied by a non-homebuyer family. A PHA that intends to use funds under this paragraph must identify in its application the estimated number of units that the PHA is proposing for special purpose modernization and subsequent sale. In addition, the PHA must demonstrate in its application that the proposed modernization under this paragraph would result in bringing the identified units into full compliance with the homeownership objectives under the Turnkey III program (see 24 CFR part 904); and the PHA has homebuyers who are both eligible for homeownership, in accordance with the requirements of 24 CFR part 904, and have demonstrated their intent to be placed into each of the Turnkey III units proposed for special purpose modernization. Before a PHA may be approved for the special purpose modernization of a unit under this paragraph, it must first deplete any Existing Home Payments Account (EHPA) or Non-Routine Maintenance Reserve (NRMR) pertaining to the unit, and request the maximum amount of operating subsidy. Any increase in the value of a unit caused by its special purpose modernization under this paragraph shall be reflected solely by its subsequent appraised value, and not by an automatic increase in its selling price.

19. Section 968.210 is amended by removing paragraph (e)(3), by redesignating paragraph (e)(4) as paragraph (e)(3), and by inserting a new paragraph as follows:  

§ 968.210 Procedures for obtaining approval of a modernization program.

(f) Joint review. If determined necessary by HUD, the PHA and HUD may conduct a joint review to discuss the proposed modernization program, as set forth in the application, and reach a tentative agreement on the PHA needs. The joint review may include the on-site inspection of the property and resolution of the relevant issues, as prescribed by HUD.

(g) Comprehensive modernization. HUD will fund proposed comprehensive modernization in one stage, or on an exception basis, in more than one stage, subject to future fund availability. Grounds for exception include a PHA's lack of modernization or management capability (which necessitates multistage funding).

20. Section 968.236 is revised to read as follows:

§ 968.236 Additional limitations for special purpose modernization.

(a) For each of the three types of special purpose modernization relating to major equipment systems or structural elements, security, and reduction of vacant, substandard units, a PHA may obtain special purpose modernization funding only once for a development that has not been comprehensively modernized, except as provided in § 968.205(f)(2) for the special purpose modernization of vacant or non-homebuyer occupied Turnkey III units. Subsequent funding for the same development for any additional physical improvements of these types may be provided only as a part of a program that addresses all of the physical and management improvement needs of the development under a comprehensive modernization program. This limitation does not apply to a development that has been comprehensively modernized.

(b) Special purpose modernization to reduce the number of vacant, substandard units will be limited to physical improvements that are necessary to meet local code requirements and return such units to a condition that is comparable to the condition of occupied units in the same development, except as provided in § 968.205(f)(2).
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Subpart C—Comprehensive Grant Program (For PHAs That Own or Operate 500 or More Public Housing Units) (250 or More Units Beginning In FFY 1993)

§ 968.301 Purpose.
(a) The purpose of the Comprehensive Grant program (CGP) under this subpart is:

(1) To provide modernization assistance to PHAs that own or operate a total of 500 or more units of public housing (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993) on a reliable and more predictable basis to enable them to operate, upgrade, modernize, and rehabilitate public housing developments, to ensure their continued availability for low income families as decent, safe, and sanitary rental housing at affordable rents;

(2) To provide considerable discretion to PHAs to decide the specific improvements, the manner of their execution, and the timing of the expenditure of funds;

(3) To simplify significantly the program of Federal assistance for capital improvements in public housing developments;

(4) To provide increased opportunities and incentives for more efficient management of public housing developments; and

(5) To give PHAs greater control in planning and expending funds for modernization, rehabilitation, maintenance, and improvement of public housing developments to benefit low income families.

(b) The purpose of this subpart is to set forth the policies and procedures for the CGP under which PHAs that own or operate a total of 500 or more units of public housing (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1993) receive financial assistance on a formula grant basis in accordance with § 968.103 (e) and (f) for the modernization of public housing developments.

§ 968.305 Definitions.
In addition to the definitions in § 968.105, the following definitions apply to this subpart:

Action plan. A plan of the actions to be funded by a PHA over a period of five years (including a PHA's proposed allocation of its modernization funds to a reserve established under § 968.310(a)(3)) to make the necessary physical and management improvements identified in the PHA's comprehensive plan. The plan shall be based upon HUD's and the PHA's best estimates of the funding reasonably expected to become available under the next five-year period. The action plan is updated annually to reflect a rolling five-year base. (See § 968.320(d)(5).)

Annual statement. A statement submitted annually by a PHA to HUD of the activities and related costs it expects to fund with the annual grant. A PHA may also elect to submit an annual statement covering work proposed for up to a two-year period so that it can increase its flexibility in carrying out work items during the coming year. (See § 968.330.)

Chief executive officer (CEO). The CEO of a unit of general local government means the elected official or the legally designated official, who has the primary responsibility for the conduct of that entity’s governmental affairs. Examples of the CEO of a unit of general local government are: the elected mayor of a municipality; the elected county executive of a county; the chairperson of a county commission or board in a county that has no elected county executive; or the official designated pursuant to law by the governing body of a unit of general local government (e.g., city manager).

Comprehensive grant number. A grant number which is unique to each annual statement, covering the improvements to one or more existing public housing developments.

Comprehensive plan. A plan prepared by a PHA and approved by HUD setting forth all of the physical and management improvement needs of the PHA and its public housing developments, indicating the relative urgency of needs and which includes the PHA's action plan, cost estimates, and required local government and PHA certifications. The comprehensive plan may be revised, as necessary, but must be revised at least every sixth year. (See § 968.320(d).)

Emergency work. Physical work items of an emergency nature, posing an immediate threat to the health or safety of residents, which must be completed within one year of CGP funding. Management improvements are not eligible as emergency work and, therefore, must be documented by the comprehensive plan (including the action plan) before the PHA may carry them out.

Homebuyer agreement. A Turnkey III Homebuyer Ownership Opportunity Agreement or a Mutual Help and Occupancy Agreement.

Improvement plan. A document developed by the PHA and approved by HUD specifying the actions to be taken, including timetables, to correct deficiencies identified as a result of an assessment, either under PHMAP or pursuant to HUD monitoring or audit findings.

Major changes. Major changes means additions, deletions or modifications of work items cumulatively totaling 10 percent or more of a PHA's annual grant allocation, excluding emergencies.

Minor changes require prior HUD approval. Any changes with respect to work items cumulatively totaling less than 10 percent of a PHA's annual grant allocation, excluding emergencies, do not require prior HUD approval, so long as the work is covered under the PHA's action plan. (See § 968.350(b).)

Memorandum of Agreement (MOA). A binding contractual agreement between HUD and a troubled PHA, or a mod troubled PHA, which is designed to bring about significant, expeditious and long-lasting improvements in the PHA's management of its PHA-owned units. A MOA is required for each PHA designated as troubled or mod troubled.

Partnership Process. A specific and ongoing process that is designed to ensure that residents and the PHA work in a cooperative and collaborative manner to develop, implement, and monitor the CGP. At a minimum, the PHA shall ensure that this Partnership Process incorporates full resident participation in each of the required program components.

PHMAP. The Public Housing Management Assessment Program (PHMAP) is a process designed to allow HUD and the PHA to identify PHA management capabilities and deficiencies, and to lead to overall better management of the public housing program, in accordance with 24 CFR part 901.

Resident groups. Democratically elected resident groups such as PHA-wide resident groups, area-wide
§ 968.310 Eligible costs.

(a) General. A PHA may use financial assistance received under this subpart for the following eligible costs:

(1) Undertaking activities described in its approved action plan under § 968.320(d)(f), and its annual statement under § 968.330.

(2) Carrying out emergency work, whether or not the need is indicated in the PHA's approved comprehensive plan (including the action plan) or annual statement.

(3) Funding a replacement reserve to carry out eligible activities in future years, subject to the restrictions set forth in paragraph (g) of this section; and

(4) Preparing the comprehensive plan and action plan under § 968.320, including reasonable costs necessary to assist residents to participate in a meaningful way in the planning, implementation and monitoring process; and

(5) Carrying out an audit, in accordance with 24 CFR part 44 and § 968.110(i).

(b) Demonstration of viability. Except in the case of emergency work, a PHA shall only expend funds on a development for which the PHA has demonstrated that completion of the improvements and replacements identified in the comprehensive plan will reasonably ensure the long-term physical and social viability of the development at a reasonable cost.

(c) Physical improvement costs. Eligible costs include alterations, betterments, additions, replacements, and non-routine maintenance that are necessary to meet the modernization and energy conservation standards prescribed in § 968.115. These mandatory standards may be exceeded only when the PHA determines that it is necessary or highly desirable for the long-term physical and social viability of the individual development. If demolition or disposition is proposed, the PHA shall comply with 24 CFR part 970.

(d) Costs for Turnkey III developments—(1) General. Eligible physical improvement costs for existing Turnkey III developments are limited to work items which are not the responsibility of the homebuyer families and which are related to health and safety, correction of development deficiencies, physical accessibility, energy audits and cost-effective energy conservation measures, and lead-based paint testing and abatement. In addition, management improvements are eligible modernization costs for existing Turnkey III developments. Costs of health and safety work items shall increase the purchase price and amortization period for homebuyer families; other eligible costs shall not increase the purchase price and amortization period.

(2) Ineligible costs. Nonroutine maintenance or replacements, additions, and items that are the responsibility of the homebuyer families are ineligible costs:

(i) Exception for vacant or non-homebuyer-occupied Turnkey III units.

(ii) Ineligible costs. Nonroutine maintenance or replacements, additions, and items that are the responsibility of the homebuyer families are ineligible costs:

(iii) Before a PHA may be approved for the substantial rehabilitation of a unit under this paragraph, it must first deplete any Earned Home Payments Account (EHPA) or Non-Routine Maintenance Reserve (NRMR) pertaining to the unit, and request the maximum amount of operating subsidy. Any increase in the value caused by its substantial rehabilitation under this paragraph shall be reflected solely by its subsequent appraised value, and not by an automatic increase in its selling price.

(iv) The PHA must maintain records by unit of the work carried out under this section to permit HUD to review the extent to which Turnkey III units have been substantially rehabilitated.

(e) Demolition and conversion costs. Eligible costs include:

(1) Demolition of dwelling units or nondwelling facilities, where the demolition is approved by HUD under 24 CFR part 970, and related costs, such as clearing and grading the site after demolition and subsequent site improvement to benefit the remaining portion of the existing development; and

(2) Conversion of existing dwelling units to different bedroom sizes.

(f) Replacement reserve costs—(1) Funding a replacement reserve to carry out eligible activities in future years is an eligible cost, subject to the following restrictions:

(i) Annual CGP funds are not needed for existing needs, as identified by the PHA as its needs assessments; or

(ii) A physical improvement requires more funds than the PHA would receive under its annual formula allocation; or

(iii) A management improvement requires more funds than the PHA may use under its 10% limit for management improvements and the PHA needs to save a portion of its annual grant, in order to combine it with a portion of subsequent year(s) grants, to fund the work item.

(2) The PHA shall invest replacement reserve funds so as to generate a return equal to or greater than the average 91-day Treasury bill rate.

(3) Interest earned on funds in the replacement reserve will not be added to the PHA's income in the determination of a PHA's operating subsidy eligibility, but must be used for eligible modernization costs.

(4) To the extent that its annual formula allocation and any unobligated balances of modernization funds are not adequate to meet emergency needs, a PHA must first use its replacement reserve, where funds to meet emergency needs, before requesting funds from the $75 million reserve. A PHA is not required to use its replacement reserve for natural and other disasters.

(g) Management improvement costs. Management improvements that are needed to upgrade the operation of the PHA's developments, sustain physical improvements at those developments or correct management deficiencies identified by the PHA in its comprehensive plan are eligible costs. A PHA's ongoing operating expenses, including direct provision of social services through either contract or force account labor, are ineligible management improvement costs.

(1) Economic development activities costs. Economic development activities such as job training, resident employment and resident businesses, for the purpose of carrying out activities
related to the eligible management and physical improvements are eligible costs, as approved by HUD. HUD encourages PHAs to, at the greatest extent feasible, to hire residents as trainees or employees to carry out the modernization program under this subpart, and to contract with resident-owned businesses for modernization work;

(2) Resident management costs. Technical assistance to a resident council or resident management corporation (RMC), as defined in §964.7 of this chapter, in order to determine the feasibility of the resident management entity or assist in its formation is an eligible cost;

(3) Resident homeownership costs. The study of the feasibility of converting rental to homeownership units, as well as the preparation of an application for conversion to homeownership, is an eligible cost.

(h) Drug elimination costs. Drug elimination activities involving management or physical improvements are eligible costs, as specified by HUD.

(i) Administrative costs. Administrative costs necessary for the planning, design, implementation and monitoring of the physical and management improvements are eligible costs and include the following:

(1) The salaries of nontechnical and technical PHA personnel assigned full-time or part-time to modernization are eligible costs only where the scope and volume of the work are beyond that which could be reasonably expected to be accomplished by such personnel in the performance of their nonmodernization duties. The PHA shall properly apportion to the appropriate program budget any direct charges for the salaries of assigned full- or part-time staff (e.g., to the CIAP, CGP or operating budgets);

(2) PHA contributions to employee benefit plans on behalf of nontechnical and technical PHA personnel are eligible costs in direct proportion to the amount of salary charged to the CGP;

(3) Other administrative costs, such as telephone and facsimile, as specified by HUD.

(j) Audit costs.

(k) Architectural/engineering and consultant fees. Fees for planning, preparation of needs assessments and required documents, detailed design work, preparation of construction and bid documents, lead-based paint testing, etc., are eligible costs.

(l) Relocation costs. Relocation costs as a direct result of rehabilitation, demolition or acquisition for a CGP-funded activity are eligible costs, as required by §968.110(g).

(m) Cost limitations. (1) A PHA shall not use more than a total of 10 percent of its annual grant for management improvement costs in account 1408, unless specifically approved by HUD.

(2) A PHA shall not use more than a total of 7 percent of its annual grant on administrative costs in account 1410, excluding costs related to in-house lead-based paint testing, in-house architectural/engineering (A/E) work, or other special administrative costs required by State or local law, unless specifically approved by HUD.

(3) Where the physical or management improvement will benefit programs other than Public Housing, such as Section 8, local renewal, etc., eligible costs are limited to the amount directly attributable to the Public Housing Program.

(n) Ineligible costs. A PHA (or an RMC acting on behalf of a PHA) shall not make luxury improvements, or carry out any other ineligible activities, as specified by HUD.

§968.312 Reserve for emergencies and disasters.

(a) Emergencies—(1) Eligibility for assistance. A PHA (including a PHA that has been designated as mod troubled under PHMAP) may request assistance at any time from the reserve established under §968.103(b) for the purpose of permitting the PHA to address a natural or other disaster. To qualify for assistance, the disaster must pertain to an extraordinary event affecting only one or a few PHAs, such as an earthquake or hurricane. Any disaster declared by the President (or which HUD determines would qualify for a Presidential declaration if it were on a larger scale) qualifies for assistance under this paragraph. A PHA may receive funds from the reserve regardless of the availability of other modernization funds or reserves, but only to the extent that its needs are in excess of its insurance coverage. A PHA is not required to have an approved comprehensive plan under §968.320 before it can request assistance from the reserve under §968.103(b).

(2) Procedure. To obtain emergency funds, a PHA must submit a request, in a form to be prescribed by HUD, which demonstrates that without the requested funds from the set-aside, the PHA does not have adequate funds available to correct the conditions which present an immediate threat to the health or safety of the residents. HUD will immediately process a request for such assistance and, if it determines that the PHA’s request meets the requirements under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, it shall approve the request, subject to the availability of funds in the reserve;

(3) Repayment. A PHA that receives assistance for its emergency needs from the reserve under §968.103(b) must repay such assistance from its succeeding years’ formula allocations, where available. To this extent, HUD shall deduct up to 50 percent of a PHA’s succeeding year’s formula allocation under §968.103(e) and (f) to repay emergency funds previously provided by HUD to the PHA. The remaining balance, if any, shall be deducted from a PHA’s succeeding years’ formula allocations.

(b) Natural and other disasters—(1) Eligibility for assistance. A PHA (including a PHA that has been designated as mod troubled under PHMAP) may request assistance at any time from the reserve established under §968.103(b) for the purpose of permitting the PHA to address a natural or other disaster. To qualify for assistance, the disaster must pertain to an extraordinary event affecting only one or a few PHAs, such as an earthquake or hurricane. Any disaster declared by the President (or which HUD determines would qualify for a Presidential declaration if it were on a larger scale) qualifies for assistance under this paragraph. A PHA may receive funds from the reserve regardless of the availability of other modernization funds or reserves, but only to the extent that its needs are in excess of its insurance coverage. A PHA is not required to have an approved comprehensive plan under §968.320 before it can request assistance from the reserve under §968.103(b).

(2) Procedure. To obtain funding for natural or other disasters under §968.103(b), a PHA must submit a request, in a form to be prescribed by HUD, which demonstrates that the PHA meets the requirements of paragraph (b)(1) of this section. HUD will immediately process a request for such assistance and, if it determines that the request meets the requirements under paragraph (b)(1) of this section, it shall
approve the request, subject to the availability of funds in the reserve:

(3) Repayment. Funds provided to a PHA under § 968.103(b) for natural and other disasters shall be in the form of a grant, and are not required to be repaid.

§ 968.315 Allocation of assistance for troubled and non-troubled PHAs.

(a) Submission of formula characteristics report—(1) Formula characteristics report. In its first year of participation in the CGP, each PHA shall verify and provide data to HUD, in a form and at a time to be prescribed by HUD, concerning PHA and development characteristics so that HUD can develop the PHA’s annual funding allocation under this subpart, in accordance with § 968.103(e) and (f). If a PHA fails to submit to HUD the formula characteristics report by the prescribed deadline, HUD will use the data which it has available concerning PHA and development characteristics for purposes of calculating the PHA’s formula share. After its first year of participation in the CGP, a PHA is not required to submit formula characteristics data to HUD, but is required to respond to data transmitted by HUD if there have been changes to its inventory from that previously reported, or where requested by HUD;

(2) PHA Board Resolution. The PHA must include with its formula characteristics report under paragraph (a)(1) of this section, a resolution adopted by the PHA Board of Commissioners approving the report, and certifying that the data contained in the formula characteristics report are accurate.

(b) HUD notification of formula amount; appeal rights—(1) Estimated formula amounts. After HUD determines a PHA’s estimated formula allocations under § 968.103(e) and (f) based upon the PHA, development and community characteristics, it shall notify the PHA of its estimated formula amount;

(2) Appeal based upon unique circumstances. A PHA may appeal in writing HUD’s determination of its estimated formula amount within 30 calendar days of the date of HUD’s determination on the basis of an error. The PHA must describe the nature of the error and provide any necessary supporting documentation. HUD shall respond to the PHA’s request within 60 calendar days of the date of its receipt of the PHA’s request for an appeal. If HUD determines that there are no issues in dispute, it will so inform the PHA within 60-day period. Any adjustment resulting from successful appeals shall be made from the current year’s allocation of funds under this subpart. If, however, HUD determines that there are issues in dispute with respect to the appeal, HUD will inform the PHA within the 60-day period. Any adjustment resulting from successful appeals, in this case, shall be made from the succeeding year’s allocation of funds under this subpart;

(ii) Final formula amounts. A PHA may appeal in writing HUD’s determination of its final formula amount within 30 calendar days of the date of HUD’s determination on the basis of an error. The PHA must describe the nature of the error and provide any necessary supporting documentation. If HUD determines that there are no issues in dispute, it will so inform the PHA within the 60-day period. Any adjustment resulting from successful appeals shall be made from the current year’s allocation of funds under this subpart.

(iii) Reduced formula allocation for PHAs designated as mod troubled under PHMAP—(1) Notification. After a PHA is designated as a mod troubled agency under PHMAP (24 CFR part 901), HUD shall inform the PHA that its funding may be limited under this subpart because of its designation as a mod troubled PHA. HUD shall also provide the PHA with information concerning the PHA’s funding levels for CGP, CIAP and MROP for each of the preceding three FFYs for purposes of determining the PHA’s reduced formula allocation, in accordance with paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section. In addition, HUD will provide the PHA with information on, or an estimate of, its full formula allocation under § 968.103(e) and (f), and the amount which represents 25 percent of the difference between the average amounts provided to the PHA in each of the preceding three FFYs and its full formula allocation.

(2) Calculation of funding for mod troubled PHAs. HUD shall calculate the funding level for mod troubled PHAs in accordance with paragraph (c)(1) of this section in the following manner:

(i) The average of the amount that the mod troubled PHA received for modernization activities under this part, and for Major Reconstruction of Obsolete Projects (MROP), for each of the preceding three FFYs, which average shall be adjusted to take into account changes in the cost of rehabilitating property based upon the Means Construction Cost Index; plus

(ii) Twenty percent of the difference between the amount determined under paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section, and the amount that would have been allocated to the PHA for the FFY if it were not designated as a mod troubled PHA.

(3) Right of appeal. The notice under paragraph (c)(1) of this section shall also specify that a PHA may petition HUD within 30 calendar days of its receipt of HUD’s notice to increase the amount of its fund allocation. HUD shall determine whether to increase the amount of assistance to be provided a PHA under this paragraph based upon the PHA’s demonstrated progress in meeting goals and targets set forth in the PHA’s Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) under PHMAP, and toward achieving satisfactory performance under the mod troubled indicator/standard under PHMAP. In its appeal request, a PHA must specify how it is achieving or making progress toward achieving the goals and objectives set forth in the MOA. The request must be submitted to HUD within 30 calendar days of the date of HUD’s notice under this paragraph. HUD shall render a decision in writing on the PHA’s request within 60 calendar days of the date of its receipt of the PHA’s appeal and any supporting documentation.

(4) Maximum allowable allocation to mod troubled PHAs. The maximum amount that HUD may provide to a PHA under this paragraph is the amount that would have been allocated to the PHA for the FFY if it had not been designated as a mod troubled PHA under PHMAP. Where the full formula allocation is less than the average of funding received by the PHA for modernization and MROP for each of the preceding three FFYs, the PHA will receive its full formula amount, and not its average funding level for the preceding three FFYs, plus
25 percent of the difference between that figure and its full formula amount. 

(5) Reallocation of funds withheld from mod troubled PHAs. Any amounts which are not allocated to a PHA under paragraph (c)(1) of this section because the PHA is designated as a mod troubled agency under PHMAP, shall be reallocated by HUD to other PHAs under this subpart which are not designated as either troubled or mod troubled agencies under PHMAP, and to IHA's with 500 or more units (250 or more units beginning in FFY 1990) under 24 CFR part 905 (subpart I) which have been determined to be administratively capable, in accordance with §905.135, the ACA, and the Field Office Monitoring of IHA's Handbook. Such funds shall be reallocated in the same FFY based upon the relative needs of these PHAs and IHA's, as determined under the formula.

(6) Credits for PHAs designated as mod troubled. (a) Accrual of credits. A PHA that has received a reduced formula allocation under paragraph (c)(1) of this section because it was designated as a mod troubled agency under PHMAP may accrue credits under this paragraph, for up to three consecutive FFY's, representing the difference between:

(A) The amount the PHA would have been allocated for the FFY under §968.103(e) and (f) if it were not designated as a mod troubled PHA under PHMAP; and

(B) The reduced funding amount actually provided to the PHA under paragraph (c)(2) of this section because it was designated as a mod troubled PHA under PHMAP.

(b) Failure to remove mod troubled designation. After a three-year period during which the mod troubled PHA has accrued credits under paragraph (c)(6)(i) of this section, the credits accrued by the PHA shall be:

(A) Decreased by 10 percent of the total accumulated credits if the PHA's designation as a mod troubled agency under PHMAP is not removed before the end of the first FFY following the three-year accrual period;

(B) Decreased by an additional 20 percent of the original total accumulated credits if the PHA's designation as a mod troubled agency under PHMAP is not removed before the end of the second FFY following the three-year accrual period;

(C) Decreased by an additional 30 percent of the original total accumulated credits if the PHA's designation as a mod troubled agency under PHMAP is not removed before the end of the third FFY following the three-year accrual period; and

(D) Eliminated if the PHA's designation as a mod troubled agency under PHMAP is not removed before the end of the fourth FFY following the three-year accrual period.

(iii) Obtaining credits. HUD shall reserve under §968.103(c) up to five percent of the total formula funds available for allocation in any FFY for the purpose of providing PHAs that were formerly designated as mod troubled PHAs under PHMAP with additional assistance after HUD determines that a PHA is no longer a mod troubled agency. HUD shall make the determination that a PHA is no longer a mod troubled agency based upon its achieving satisfactory performance under the PHA indicator/standard that was initially used to designate the agency as mod troubled under PHMAP. The additional assistance shall be provided to the formerly mod troubled PHA in the FFY following the year in which the PHA is removed from the mod troubled list. Such assistance shall be provided to the PHA in addition to a PHA's regular formula allocation under §968.103(e) and (f), and shall consist of:

(A) The total amount of credits accumulated by the PHA under paragraph (c)(6)(i) of this section; minus

(B) Any reductions under paragraph (c)(6)(ii) of this section to the total accumulated credits, based upon the length of time that the PHA has taken to remove its mod troubled designation; and

(C)(J) Adjusted by HUD to take into account the PHA's ability to expeditiously expend the accrued credit amounts. HUD shall consult with the PHA to determine the rate at which the PHA shall be provided access to its credits under this section. As a general guideline, HUD intends to provide a PHA with 10% of its accrued credits in the first year; an additional 20% of its accrued credits in the second year; an additional 30% of its accrued credits in the third year; and the remaining 40% of its accrued credits in the fourth year.

(2) In any FFY where formerly mod troubled PHAs are entitled to credits exceeding the five percent reserve, HUD shall apply a pro rata reduction for each formerly mod troubled PHA for such FFY. A PHA shall remain entitled to receive its outstanding balance of credits, including any credits not actually received because of such pro rata reduction, in future FFYs, depending upon the availability of funds in the set-aside under §968.103(c).
(3) Initial notice. Once HUD notifies a PHA of its estimated funding level, the PHA shall, within 30 calendar days of the date of HUD's notice, provide written notice to each of the democratically elected presidents of resident organizations of the developments covered by the comprehensive plan, concerning HUD's estimated modernization funding level; a summary of the CGP requirements; the timeframes for completion of the required CGP documents; and the requirement for resident participation in the planning, development and monitoring of modernization activities under the CGP. This information also shall be made available to residents in written form and, where feasible, within each development;

(4) Advance meeting for resident groups. The PHA shall hold, at least three weeks before the public hearing under paragraph (b)(5) of this section, a meeting for residents and resident groups at which the PHA shall provide residents with draft copies of the executive summary, and shall explain the components of the comprehensive plan. The PHA shall make reasonable efforts to provide advance notice to all residents of the date and time of the meeting (by the form of flyers, advertisements, etc.). Residents shall be advised prior to the meeting that the comprehensive plan shall be available for their review at specified locations within the PHA's jurisdiction. The meeting shall be open to all residents and resident groups;

(5) Public hearing. The PHA shall hold at least one public hearing, and any appropriate number of additional hearings, to provide opportunity for residents, local government officials, and other interested parties, to express their priorities and concerns. The PHA shall make reasonable efforts to provide advance written notice to each resident of the date and time of the public hearing. The PHA shall give full consideration to the comments and concerns of residents, local government officials, and other interested parties. In the case of a PHA with developments in multiple jurisdictions, the PHA may meet this requirement by consulting with an advisory group representative of all the jurisdictions. At a minimum, such consultation must include providing such officials with:

(1) Advance written notice of the public hearing required under paragraph (b)(5) of this section;

(2) A copy of the executive summary; and

(3) An opportunity to express their priorities and concerns to ensure due consideration in the PHA's planning process;

(d) Contents of comprehensive plan. The comprehensive plan shall identify all of the physical and management improvements needed for a PHA and all of its developments, and that represent needs eligible for funding under §968.310. The plan shall also include preliminary estimates of the total cost of these improvements. The plan shall set forth general strategies for addressing the identified needs, and highlight any special strategies, such as major redesign or partial demolition of a development, that are necessary to ensure the long-term physical and social viability of the development. The comprehensive plan shall contain the following elements:

(i) Executive summary. A PHA shall include as part of its comprehensive plan an Executive Summary to facilitate review and comprehension by development residents and by the public. The Executive Summary shall include:

(A) A statement by the PHA concerning its overall modernization strategy, and its rationale for the approach and priorities adopted in its comprehensive plan. For example, a PHA's strategy may be that it will focus its resources on addressing its vacant properties before it brings its occupied units up to modernization standards;

(B) A copy of the executive summary;

(C) A preliminary estimate of the cost to complete the physical work;

(D) Any physical disparities between buildings occupied primarily by one racial or ethnic group and, in such cases, the physical improvements required to correct the conditions;

(E) In addition, the PHA shall provide with respect to vacant or non-home buyer-occupied Turnkey III units, the estimated number of units that the PHA is proposing for substantial rehabilitation and subsequent sale, in accordance with §968.310(b)(2).

(ii) Source of data. The PHA shall identify in its needs assessment the sources from which it derived data to develop the physical needs assessment under this paragraph, and shall retain such sources documents in its files.

(3) Management needs assessment—

(i) Requirements. The plan shall include a comprehensive assessment of the improvements needed to upgrade the management and operation of the PHA and of each viable development so decent, safe and sanitary living conditions will be provided. The
management needs assessment shall include the following, with the relative urgency of need indicated:

(A) An identification of the most current needs related to the following areas (to the extent that any of these needs is addressed in a HUD-approved MOA or improvement plan, the PHA may simply include a cross-reference to these documents):

(1) The management, financial, and accounting control systems of the PHA;
(2) The adequacy and qualifications of personnel employed by the PHA in its management and operation, for each significant category of employment;
(3) The adequacy and efficacy of:
   (i) Resident programs and services;
   (ii) Resident management and development security;
   (iii) Resident selection and eviction;
   (iv) Occupancy;
   (v) Maintenance;
   (vi) Resident management and resident capacity building programs;
(7) The financial condition of the PHA;
(8) The PHA's financial management and operations assessment, as follows:
   (A) The PHA has adequately explained any special or unusual conditions; justified all work as necessary to meet the modernization and energy conservation standards, provided reasonable cost estimates, and made every effort to reduce costs;
   (B) Rehabilitation of the existing development is more cost-effective in the long-term than construction or acquisition of replacement housing; and
   (C) The PHA has no practical low-income housing alternative;
(9) Demonstration of long-term physical and social viability—(i) General. The plan shall include, on a development-by-development basis, an analysis of whether completion of the improvements and replacements identified under paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section will reasonably ensure the long-term physical and social viability of the development at a reasonable cost;
   (ii) Developments with hard cost of 90 percent or less of TDC. Where the preliminary estimate of hard cost for work proposed at a development is 90 percent or less of TDC, and the PHA determines that, upon completion of the improvements and replacements under paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section, the development can reasonably be expected to be structurally sound and achieve full occupancy, the PHA must determine that the development has long-term physical and social viability at a reasonable cost;
   (iii) Developments with hard cost of greater than 90 percent of TDC. Where the preliminary estimate of hard cost for work proposed at a development exceeds 90 percent of TDC, the PHA shall complete and submit to HUD, as part of its comprehensive plan, a viability analysis of the development, as prescribed by HUD. Where the PHA determines that completion of the improvements and replacements identified under paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section, the development can reasonably be expected to be structurally sound and achieve full occupancy, the PHA shall also submit to HUD a request to exceed the 90 percent of TDC. The Field Office shall review such requests on a case-by-case basis, in accordance with the following criteria:
      (A) The PHA has adequately explained any special or unusual conditions; justified all work as necessary to meet the modernization and energy conservation standards, provided reasonable cost estimates, and made every effort to reduce costs;
      (B) Rehabilitation of the existing development is more cost-effective in the long-term than construction or acquisition of replacement housing; and
      (C) The PHA has no practical low-income housing alternative;
(10) Determination of non-viability. Where a PHA's analysis of a development, either under paragraphs (d)(4)(ii) or (d)(4)(iii) of this section, establishes that completion of the identified improvements and replacements will not result in the long-term physical and social viability of the development at a reasonable cost, the PHA shall not expend CGP funds for the development, except for emergencies. The PHA shall specify in its comprehensive plan the actions it proposes to take with respect to the non-viable development (e.g., demolition or disposition under 24 CFR Part 970);
(11) Five-year action plan—(i) General. The comprehensive plan shall include a rolling five-year action plan to carry out the improvements and replacements (or a portion thereof) identified under paragraphs (d)(2) and (d)(3) of this section. The PHA shall develop the action plan based on estimates provided by HUD of the amount of assistance the PHA will receive annually for a five-year period under § 908.103(e) and (f) for this purpose, the PHA should assume that the current year level of funding will be available for each year of its five-year plan, and a PHA's estimate of the funds that will be available from other sources, such as State and local governments. All activities specified in a PHA's action plan are contingent upon the availability of funds;
   (ii) Requirements. Under the action plan, a PHA must indicate how it intends to use the funds available to it under the CGP to address the deficiencies, or a portion of the deficiencies, identified under its physical and management needs assessments, as follows:
      (A) Physical condition. With respect to the physical condition of a PHA's developments, a PHA must indicate in its action plan how it intends to address, over a five-year period, the deficiencies (or a portion of the deficiencies) identified in its physical needs assessment so as to bring each of its developments up to a level at least equal to the modernization and energy conservation standards and the projected FFY the PHA anticipates that such standards will be met. This would include specifying the work to be undertaken by the PHA in major work categories (e.g., kitchens, electrical systems, etc.); establishing priorities among the major work categories by development and year based upon the relative urgency of need; and estimating the cost of each of the identified major work categories. In developing its action plan, a PHA shall give priority to the following:
      (1) Activities required to correct emergency conditions;
      (2) Activities required to meet statutory (or other legally mandated) requirements, e.g., compliance with a court-ordered desegregation plan or voluntarily compliance agreement;
      (3) Activities required to meet the needs identified in the Section 504 needs assessment within the regulatory timeframe; and
      (4) Activities required to complete lead-based paint testing requirements by December 6, 1994.
      (B) Management and operations. A PHA must address in its action plan the management and operations deficiencies (or a portion of the deficiencies) identified in its management needs assessment, as follows:
With respect to the management and operations needs of the PHA, the PHA must identify how it intends to address the CGP funds, if necessary, the deficiencies (or a portion thereof) identified in its management needs assessment, including work identified through PHMAP, audits, HUD monitoring reviews, and self-assessments (this would include establishing priorities based upon the relative urgency of need);

A preliminary PHA-wide cost estimate, by major work category;

Procedure for maintaining current five-year action plan. The PHA shall maintain a current five-year action plan by annually amending its action plan, in connection with the submission of its annual statement, so that the previous year of the existing action plan is eliminated and an additional year is added.

Local government statement. The comprehensive plan shall include a statement signed by the chief executive officer of the unit of general local government (or, in the case of a PHA with developments in multiple jurisdictions, from the CEO of each such jurisdiction; certifying as to the following:

(i) The PHA developed the comprehensive plan/annual statement in consultation with local government officials and with residents of the developments covered by the comprehensive plan/annual statement, in accordance with the requirements of §968.325(b)(1) and (2);

(ii) The comprehensive plan/annual statement is consistent with the unit of general local government's assessment of its low income housing needs (as evidenced by its Comprehensive Funding Affordability Strategy under 24 CFR Part 91, if applicable), and that the unit of general local government will cooperate in providing resident programs and services;

(iii) The PHA’s proposed drug elimination activities are coordinated with, and supportive of, local drug elimination strategies and neighborhood improvement programs, if applicable.

PHA resolution. The plan shall include a resolution adopted by the PHA Board of Commissioners, and signed by the Board Chairman of the PHA, approving the comprehensive plan or any amendments thereto and certifying that:

(i) The PHA will comply with all policies, procedures, and requirements prescribed by HUD for modernization, including implementation of the modernization in a timely, efficient, and economical manner;

(ii) PHA has established controls to assure that any activity funded by the CGP is not also funded by any other HUD program, thereby preventing a duplicate funding of any activity;

(iii) The PHA will not provide any development more assistance under the CGP than is necessary to provide affordable housing, after taking into account other government assistance provided;

(iv) The proposed physical work will meet the modernization and energy conservation standards under 24 CFR 968.115;

(v) The proposed activities, obligations and expenditures in the Annual Statement are consistent with the proposed or approved Comprehensive Plan of the PHA;

(vi) The PHA will comply with applicable civil rights requirements under 24 CFR 968.110(a), and will carry out the Comprehensive Plan in conformity with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Fair Housing Act, and section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973;

(vii) The PHA has adopted the goal of awarding a specified percentage of the dollar value of the total of the modernization contracts, to be awarded during subsequent FY's, to minority business enterprises and will take appropriate affirmative action to assist resident-controlled and women's business enterprises under 24 CFR 968.110(b);

(viii) The PHA has provided HUD with any documentation that the Department needs to carry out its review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other related authorities in accordance with 24 CFR 968.110(c), (d) and (m) and will not obligate, in any manner, the expenditure of CGP funds, or otherwise undertake the activities identified in its Comprehensive Plan/Annual Statement, until the PHA receives written notification from HUD indicating that the Department has complied with its responsibilities under NEPA and other related authorities;

(ix) The PHA will comply with the wage rate requirements under 24 CFR 968.110(e) and (f);

(x) The PHA will comply with the relocation assistance and real property acquisition requirements under 24 CFR 968.110(g);

(xi) The PHA will comply with the requirements for physical accessibility under 24 CFR 968.110(h);

(xii) The PHA will comply with the requirements for access to records and audits under 24 CFR 968.110(l);

(xiii) The PHA will comply with the uniform administrative requirements under 24 CFR 968.110(j);

(xiv) The PHA will comply with lead-based paint testing and abatement requirements under 24 CFR 968.110(k);

(xv) The PHA has complied with the requirements governing local government and residential participation in accordance with 24 CFR 968.320(b) and (c), 968.330(d) and 968.340, and has given full consideration to the priorities and concerns of local government and residents, including any comments which were ultimately not adopted, in preparing the Comprehensive Plan/Annual Statement and any amendments thereto;

(xvi) The PHA will comply with the special requirements of 24 CFR 968.102 and 968.310(d) with respect to homeownership development; and

(xvii) The PHA will comply with the special requirements of 24 CFR 968.235 with respect to a Section 23 leased housing bond-financed development.

Amendments to the comprehensive plan—(1) Extension of time for performance. A PHA shall have the right to amend its comprehensive plan (including the action plan) to extend the time for performance whenever HUD has not provided the amount of assistance set forth in the comprehensive plan or has not provided the assistance in a timely manner;

(2) Amendments to needs assessments: The PHA must amend its plan by revising its needs assessments whenever it proposes to carry out activities in its action plan or annual statement which are not reflected in its current needs assessment (except in the case of emergencies). When the bases for the needs assessment have substantially changed, a PHA may propose an amendment to its needs assessments, in connection with the submission of its annual statement (see §968.330(b)), or at any other time. These amendments shall be reviewed by HUD in accordance with §968.325;

(3) Six-year revision of comprehensive plan. The physical and management needs assessments, and the executive summary, are required to be revised only every sixth year, although the PHA may elect to revise some or all of these assessments more frequently. Consequently, every sixth year, a PHA must submit to HUD, with its annual statement, a complete revision of its comprehensive plan;

(4) Annual revision of action plan. Annually, the PHA shall submit to HUD, with its annual statement, an update of its five-year action plan, eliminating the
previous year and adding an additional year:

(5) Required submissions. Any amendments to the comprehensive plan under this section must be submitted with the PHA resolution under § 968.320(d)(7).

(1) Prerequisite for receiving assistance.—(1) Prohibition of assistance. No financial assistance, except for emergency work to be funded under § 968.103(b) and 968.310(a)(2), and for modernization needs resulting from disasters under § 968.103(b), may be made available under this subpart unless HUD has approved a comprehensive plan submitted by the PHA which meets the requirements of § 968.320. A PHA that has failed to obtain approval of its comprehensive plan by the end of the FFY shall have its formula allocation for that year (less any formula amounts provided to the PHA for emergencies) added to the subsequent year’s appropriation of funds for grants under this part. HUD shall allocate such funds to PHAs and IHAs participating in the CGP in accordance with the formula under § 968.103(e) and (f) in the subsequent FFY. A PHA which fails in any FFY not to participate in the CGP may participate in the CGP in subsequent FFYs:

(2) Requests for emergency assistance. A PHA may receive funds from its formula allocation to address emergency modernization needs where HUD has not approved a PHA’s comprehensive plan. To request such assistance, a PHA shall submit to HUD a request for the funds in such form as HUD may prescribe, including any documentation necessary to support its claim that an emergency exists. HUD shall review the request and supporting documentation to determine if it meets the definition of “emergency work” as set forth in § 968.305.

§ 968.325 HUD review and approval of comprehensive plan (including action plan).

(a) Submission of comprehensive plan. (1) Upon receipt of a comprehensive plan from a PHA, HUD shall determine whether:

(i) The plan contains each of the required components specified at § 968.320(d); and

(ii) Where applicable, the PHA has submitted any additional information or assurances required as a result of HUD monitoring, findings of inadequate PHA performance, audit findings, or civil rights compliance findings:

(2) Acceptance for review. If the PHA has submitted a comprehensive plan (including the action plan) which meets the criteria of paragraph (a)(1) of this section, HUD shall accept the comprehensive plan for review, within 14 calendar days of its receipt in the field office. The PHA shall be notified in writing that the comprehensive plan has been accepted by HUD for review, and that the 75-day review period is proceeding:

(3) Time period for review. A comprehensive plan that is accepted by HUD for review shall be considered to be approved unless HUD notifies the PHA in writing, postmarked within 75 calendar days of the date of HUD’s receipt of the comprehensive plan for review, that HUD has disapproved the plan. HUD shall not disapprove a comprehensive plan on the basis that it cannot complete its review within the 75-day deadline:

(4) Rejection of comprehensive plan. If a PHA has submitted a comprehensive plan (including the action plan), which does not meet the requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of this section, HUD shall notify the PHA within 14 calendar days of its receipt that HUD has rejected the plan for review. In such case, HUD shall indicate the reasons for rejection, the modifications required to qualify the comprehensive plan for HUD review, and the deadline date for receipt of any modifications.

(b) HUD approval of comprehensive plan (including action plan).—(1) A comprehensive plan (including the action plan) that is accepted by HUD for review in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section shall be considered to be approved, unless HUD notifies the PHA in writing, postmarked within 75 days of the date of HUD’s receipt of the comprehensive plan for review, that HUD has disapproved the plan, indicating the reasons for disapproval, and the modifications required to make the comprehensive plan approvable. The PHA must re-submit the comprehensive plan to HUD, in accordance with the deadline established by HUD, which may allow up to 75 calendar days before the end of the FFY for HUD review. If the revised plan is disapproved by HUD following its resubmission, or if the PHA fails to resubmit by the deadline established by HUD, any funds that would have been allocated to the PHA shall be added to the subsequent year’s appropriation of funds for grants under this part. HUD shall allocate such funds to PHAs and IHAs participating in the CGP in accordance with the formula under § 968.103(e) and (f). HUD shall not disapprove a comprehensive plan on the basis that the Department cannot complete its review under this section within the 75-day deadline:

(2) HUD shall approve the comprehensive plan except where it makes a determination in accordance with one or more of the following:

(i) Comprehensive plan is incomplete in significant matters. HUD determines that the PHA has failed to include all required information or documentation in its comprehensive plan, e.g., the physical needs assessment does not provide all of the information required by HUD concerning all of its developments, or the PHA has supplied incomplete data on the current conditions and other characteristics of its developments;

(ii) Identified needs are plainly inconsistent with facts and data. On the basis of available significant facts and data pertaining to the physical and operational condition of the PHA’s developments or the management and operations of the PHA, HUD determines that the PHA’s identification of modernization needs (see § 968.320(d)(2) and (3)) is plainly inconsistent with such facts and data. HUD will take into account facts and data such as those derived from recent HUD monitoring, audits, and resident comments and will disapprove a comprehensive plan based on such findings as:

(A) Identified physical improvements and replacements are inadequate. The completion of the identified physical improvements and replacements will not bring all of the PHA’s developments to a level at least equal to the modernization and energy conservation and life-cycle cost-effective standards in § 968.115 (except that a development must meet the energy standards under § 968.115 only when they are applicable to the work being performed);

(B) Identified management improvements are inadequate. The identified management and operations improvement needs do not address all of a PHA’s areas of deficiency, or the completion of those improvements would not result in each of the PHMAP indicators/standards being brought up to at least a satisfactory level of performance;

(C) Proposed physical and management improvements fail to address identified needs. The proposed physical and management improvements in the action plan are not related to the identified needs in the needs assessments portion of the comprehensive plan, e.g., a heating plant renovation is in the action plan, but it was not included in the needs assessment for that development;

(iii) Action plan is plainly inappropriate to meeting identified needs. On the basis of the
comprehensive plan, HUD determines that the action plan (see § 968.320(d)(5)) is plainly inappropriate to meet the needs identified in the comprehensive plan, e.g., the proposed work item will not correct the need identified in the needs assessment. HUD will take into account the availability of funds. In addition, HUD will take into account whether the action plan fails to address work items that are needed to correct known emergency conditions or which are otherwise needed to meet statutory or other legally mandated requirements, as identified by the PHA in its comprehensive plan;

(iv) Inadequate demonstration of long-term viability at reasonable cost. HUD determines that the PHA has failed to demonstrate that completion of improvements and replacements identified in the comprehensive plan, as required by § 968.320(d)(2) and (3), will reasonably ensure long-term viability of one or more public housing developments to which they relate at a reasonable cost, as specified in § 968.320(d)(4);

(v) Contradiction of local government certification or PHA resolution. HUD has evidence which tends to challenge, in a substantial manner, the local government certification or PHA resolution contained in the comprehensive plan, as required in § 968.320(d)(6) and (7). Such evidence may include, but is not necessarily limited to:

(A) Evidence that the PHA failed to implement the Partnership Process and to meet the requirements for resident participation, as set forth in § 968.320(b).

In such cases, HUD shall review the PHA’s resident participation process and any supporting documentation to determine whether the standards for resident participation under § 968.320(b)(1) were met;

(B) A pending proceeding against a PHA based upon a charge of discrimination issued pursuant to the Fair Housing Act (for purposes of this provision, “a charge of discrimination” means a charge, pursuant to Section 810(g)(2) of the Fair Housing Act issued by the HUD General Counsel, or his or her legally authorized designee);

(C) A pending civil rights suit against the PHA instituted by the Department of Justice;

(D) Outstanding HUD findings of PHA noncompliance with civil rights statutes and executive orders under 24 CFR, 998.110, or implementing regulations, as a result of formal administrative proceedings, unless the PHA is implementing a HUD-approved resident selection and assignment plan or compliance agreement designed to correct the area(s) of noncompliance;

(E) A deferral of the processing of applications from the PHA imposed by HUD under title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Attorney General’s Guideline (28 CFR 50.3) and the HUD title VI regulations (24 CFR 1.8) and procedures (HUD Handbook 8040.1), or under section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and HUD section 504 regulations (24 CFR 8.57); or

(F) An adjudication of a violation under any of the authorities under 24 CFR 968.110(e) in a civil action filed against the PHA by a private individual, unless the PHA is implementing a HUD-approved resident selection and assignment plan or compliance agreement designed to correct the area(s) of noncompliance.

(c) Effect of HUD approval of comprehensive plan. After HUD approves the comprehensive plan (including the action plan), or any amendments to the plan, it shall be binding upon HUD and the PHA, until such time as the PHA submits, and HUD approves, an amendment to its plan. If HUD determines, as a result of an audit or monitoring findings, that a PHA has provided false or substantially inaccurate data in its comprehensive plan, HUD may condition the receipt of assistance, in accordance with § 968.345(d). Moreover, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 1001, any person or entity who knowingly and willingly makes or uses a document or writing containing any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, in any matter within the jurisdiction of any department or agency of the United States, shall be fined not more than $10,000 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both.

§ 968.330 Annual statement of activities and expenditures.

(a) General. HUD shall notify PHAs in writing of their estimated formula amount for use in developing the annual statement and an update of the five-year action plan. The annual statement is the first year of the five-year rolling base action plan, and is intended to provide a more detailed discussion of the activities, obligations and expenditures which the PHA plans to undertake, in whole or in part, with the assistance to be provided by HUD. Thus, the annual statement provides HUD, the residents, and the public with greater detail concerning the planned utilization of current year funds, than the remaining years covered by the action plan. A PHA may elect to submit an annual statement which covers up to a two-year period, which would enable the PHA to shift work items within the two years of its approved annual statement. Such a PHA is still required to submit a new annual statement every year.

(b) Submission. After being advised by HUD of the estimated formula amount of assistance it will receive under this subpart with respect to any FFY, and estimating how much funding will be available from other sources, such as State and local governments, the PHA shall submit an annual statement of activities and expenditures and an update of the action plan, in accordance with instructions provided by HUD.

(c) Acceptance for review. Since the annual statement constitutes the first year (or, if so elected by a PHA, any period up to two years) of a PHA’s rolling base action plan under § 968.320(d)(5), the PHA shall submit its annual statement to HUD at the same time that it submits its amendment to the action plan under § 968.320. Upon receipt of an annual statement from a PHA, HUD shall determine whether:

(1) It is complete in all significant matters; and

(2) The PHA has submitted any additional information or assurances required as a result of HUD monitoring, findings of inadequate PHA performance, audit findings, or civil rights compliance findings. If the PHA has submitted a complete annual statement and all required information and assurances, HUD will accept the statement for review, as of the date of receipt. If the PHA has not submitted all required material, HUD will promptly notify the PHA that it has disapproved the statement as submitted, indicating the reasons for disapproval, the modifications required to qualify the annual statement for HUD review, and the date by which such modifications must be received by HUD.

(d) Resident and local government participation. A PHA is required to develop its annual statement, including any proposed amendments to its comprehensive plan as provided in § 968.320(b) and (c), in consultation with local government officials (or, in the case of a PHA with developments in multiple jurisdictions, in consultation with the CEO of each such jurisdiction or with an advisory group representative of all jurisdictions) and with residents of the developments covered by the comprehensive plan, as follows:

(1) Notification. The PHA shall undertake reasonable efforts to provide advance written notice to each of the residents in the affected housing development(s), and to appropriate local government officials, of the date and time of the public hearing under
paragraph (d)(3) of this section. In addition, the PHA shall undertake reasonable efforts to provide advance written notice (in the form of flyers, advertisements, etc.) to all residents of the affected housing development(s) of any other amendments which the PHA intends to hold, including the meeting with resident groups under paragraph (d)(2) of this section;

(2) Meeting with Resident Groups. The PHA shall hold, at least three weeks before the public hearing under paragraph (f)(3) of this section, a meeting with residents and resident groups at which the PHA will provide residents with information concerning the contents of the PHA's annual statement (and any proposed amendments to the PHA's comprehensive plan to be submitted with the annual statement) so that residents can adequately comment on the contents of the annual statement, and any proposed amendments, at the public hearing.

(3) Public hearing. The PHA shall hold a public hearing which allows residents of the developments covered by the comprehensive plan, appropriate local government officials, and other interested parties, an opportunity to summarize their priorities and concerns. The PHA shall give full consideration to the comments and concerns of residents of the affected developments and of local government officials in developing its annual statement, or any amendments to its comprehensive plan (including its dated action plan).

(e) Contents of annual statement. The annual statement must include, for each development or on a PHA-wide basis for management improvements for which work is to be funded out of that year's grant:

(1) A list of development accounts (e.g., "dwelling structures") with a general description of work items (e.g., "replace kitchen cabinets," "repair bathroom floors");

(2) The cost for each work item, as well as a summary of cost by development account;

(3) The PHA-wide or development-specific management improvements to be undertaken during the year;

(4) For each development and for any management improvements not covered by a HUD-approved MOA or improvement plan, a schedule for the use of current year funds, including target dates for the obligation and expenditure of the funds. In general, HUD expects that a PHA will obligate its current year's allocation of CGP funds (except for its funded replacement reserves) within two years and expend such funds within three years of the date of approval from HUD, unless longer time frames are approved by HUD due to local differences;

(5) A summary description of the actions to be taken with non-CGP funds to meet physical and management improvement needs which have been identified by a PHA in its needs assessments;

(6) Any documentation that HUD needs to aid it in carrying out its responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act and other related authorities in accordance with §968.110(c) and (d);

(7) Other information, as specified by HUD and as approved by OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act; and

(8) A PHA resolution approving the annual statement or any amendments thereto, as set forth in §968.320(d)(7).

(f) Additional submissions with annual statement. A PHA must submit with the annual statement any amendments to the comprehensive plan, as set forth in §968.320(e), and such additional information as may be prescribed by HUD. HUD shall review any proposed amendments to the comprehensive plan in accordance with the review standards under §968.325(b).

(g) HUD review and approval of annual statement—(1) General. An annual statement accepted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section shall be considered to be approved, unless HUD notifies the PHA in writing, postmarked within 75 calendar days of the date that HUD receives the annual statement for review under paragraph (c) of this section, that HUD has disapproved the annual statement, indicating the reasons for disapproval, the modifications required to make the annual statement approvable, and the date by which such modifications must be received by HUD. HUD shall not disapprove an annual statement on the basis that the Department cannot complete its review under this section within the 75-day deadline;

(2) Bases for disapproval of annual statement. HUD shall approve the annual statement, except where:

(i) Plainly inconsistent with comprehensive plan. HUD determines that the activities and expenditures proposed in the annual statement are plainly inconsistent with the PHA's approved comprehensive plan;

(ii) Contradiction of PHA resolution. HUD has evidence which tends to challenge, in a substantial manner, the certifications contained in the board resolution, as required by §968.320(d)(7);

(h) Amendments to annual statement. The PHA shall submit to HUD for prior approval any major changes, as defined in §968.305, except in the case of emergency work. Major changes shall be submitted in the form of an amendment to the PHA's approved annual statement. The PHA shall advise HUD of all changes due to emergencies in its performance and evaluation report submitted under §968.340. HUD shall review a request to amend an annual statement in accordance with paragraph (f)(2) of this section. Any changes with respect to work items involving cumulatively less than 10% of a PHA's annual grant allocation do not require prior HUD approval, so long as the work is covered under the PHA's action plan. A PHA that has elected to submit an annual statement which covers up to a two year period may undertake without submitting an amendment for prior HUD approval the work items contained in either the first or second year of its annual statement. Such rescheduling of activities is not considered a major change.

(i) Extension of time for performance. A PHA may revise the target dates for fund obligation and expenditure in the approved annual statement whenever any valid delay outside the PHA's control occurs, as specified by HUD. Such revision is subject to HUD review and approval under §968.345(a)(2) as to the PHA's continuing capacity. HUD shall not review with respect to a PHA's continuing capacity any revisions to the comprehensive plan and related statements where the basis for the revision is that HUD has not provided the amount of assistance set forth in the annual statement, or has not provided such assistance in a timely manner.

(j) ACC Amendment. After HUD approval of each year's annual statement, HUD and the PHA shall enter into an ACC amendment to obtain modernization funds.

§968.335 Conduct of modernization activities.

(a) Initiation of activities. After HUD has approved the annual statement and entered into an ACC amendment or grant agreement with the PHA, the PHA shall undertake the modernization activities and expenditures set forth in its approved annual statement, subject to the following requirements:

(1) The PHA may undertake the activities using force account or contract labor, including contracting with an RMC. If the entirety of modernization activity (including the planning and architectural design of the rehabilitation) is administered by the RMC, the PHA shall not retain for any administrative or other reason, any
portion of the CGP funds provided, unless the PHA and the RMC provide otherwise by contract and

(2) All activities shall be monitored by resident groups within the framework and intent of the Partnership Process.

(b) Patient requirements. To request modernization funds against the approved annual statement, the PHA shall submit a request to HUD in accordance with to be prescribed by HUD.

(c) Contracting requirements. The PHA shall comply with the wage rate requirements in § 968.110 (e) and (f), and 968.120. In addition, the PHA shall comply with State and local laws and Federal requirements, as set forth in 24 CFR part 85, except as follows:

(i) Assurance of completion. For each construction or equipment contract over $25,000, the contractors shall furnish a performance and payment bond for 100 percent of the contract price or, notwithstanding 24 CFR 85.36(h), a twenty percent cash escrow, or a twenty-five percent letter of credit or, as may be required by law, separate performance and payments bonds, each for fifty percent or more of the contract price;

(ii) Previous participation. The PHA shall obtain HUD clearance under previous participation procedures for construction or equipment contract awards, over a HUD-specified amount, which shall include verifying that the contractor is not included on the Lists of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement or Nonprocurement Programs.

(d) Assurance of non-duplication. The PHA shall ensure that there is no duplication between the activities carried out pursuant to the CGP, and activities carried out with other funds.

(e) Fiscal closeout of a comprehensive plan. Upon expenditure by the PHA of all funds, or termination by HUD of the activities funded by each annual grant, the PHA shall submit the actual modernization cost certificate, in a form prescribed by HUD, to HUD for review, audit verification, and approval. The audit shall follow the guidelines prescribed by 24 CFR Part 44, Non-Federal Government Audit Requirements. If the audited modernization cost certificate discloses unauthorized expenditures, the PHA shall take such corrective actions as HUD may direct.

§ 968.340 PHA performance and evaluation report.

(a) Submission. For any FFY in which a PHA has received assistance under this subpart, the PHA shall submit a performance and evaluation report, in a form and a time to be prescribed by HUD, describing its use of assistance in accordance with the approved annual statement. The PHA must make reasonable efforts to notify residents and local government officials of the availability of the draft report, make copies available to residents in the development office, and provide residents with at least 30 calendar days in which to comment on the report.

(b) Content. The report shall include the following:

(1) An explanation of how the PHA has used other funds such as Community Development Block Grant program assistance, State assistance, and private funding, for the needs identified in the PHA’s comprehensive plan and for the purpose of this subpart;

(2) An explanation of how the PHA has used the CGP funds provided under this subpart to address the needs identified in its comprehensive plan and to carry out the activities identified in its approved annual statement, and shall specifically address:

(i) Any funds used for emergency needs not set forth in its annual statement and

(ii) Any deviations within the 10% cap for “major changes” to the annual statement under § 968.330;

(3) In the case of a PHA that has elected to submit an annual statement which covers up to a two-year period, any deviations in the order of work presented between years one and two of the approved annual statement;

(4) The results of the PHA’s process for consulting with residents on the implementation of the plan;

(5) The current status of the PHA’s obligations and expenditures, and specifying how the PHA is performing with respect to the implementation schedules provided in its approved annual statement; and an explanation of any necessary revisions to the planned target dates;

(6) A summary of resident or local government comments received on the report; and

(7) A resolution by the PHA Board of Commissioners approving the performance and evaluation report and containing a certification that the PHA has made reasonable efforts to notify residents in the development(s) of the opportunity to review the draft report and to comment on it before its submission to HUD, and that copies of the report were provided to residents in the development office, or upon their request.

§ 968.345 HUD review of PHA performance.

(a) HUD determination. At least annually, HUD shall carry out such reviews of the performance of each PHA as may be necessary or appropriate to make the determinations required by this paragraph, taking into consideration all available evidence.

(i) Conformity with comprehensive plan. HUD will determine whether the PHA has carried out its activities under this subpart in a timely manner and in accordance with its comprehensive plan.

(ii) In making this determination, HUD will review the PHA’s performance to determine whether the modernization activities undertaken during the period under review conform substantially to the activities specified in the approved annual statement, consistent with the approved comprehensive plan. HUD will also review a PHA’s schedules which are provided with its annual statement for purposes of determining whether the PHA has carried out its modernization activities in a timely manner;

(iii) Continuing capacity. HUD will determine whether the PHA has a continuing capacity to carry out its comprehensive plan in a timely manner. After the first full operational year of CGP, CIAP experience will not be taken into consideration except where the PHA has not yet had comparable experience under the CGP.

(iv) The primary factors to be considered in arriving at a determination that a recipient has a continuing capacity are those described in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(3) of this section as they relate to carrying out the comprehensive plan. HUD generally will not consider a PHA to have a continuing capacity if it determines that the PHA has:

(A) Carried out its activities under the CGP program, as well as the CIAP, in a timely manner, taking into account the level of funding available and whether the PHA obligates and expends approved modernization funds in accordance with the approved implementation schedule (except in circumstances beyond the PHA’s control);

(B) Adequately inspected the funded modernization to assure that the...
physical work is being carried out in accordance with the plans and specifications and the modernization and energy conservation standards (or, in the case of a PHA’s performance under CIAP, whether the PHA has carried out the physical work in accordance with the HUD-approved budget and in conformance with the modernization and energy conservation standards) and that any HUD monitoring findings relating to the quality of the physical work have been, or are being, resolved;

(C) Established and maintained internal controls for its modernization program in accordance with HUD requirements for financial management and accounting, as determined by the fiscal audit;

(D) Administered its modernization contracts in accordance with a HUD-approved procurement policy, which meets the requirements of 24 CFR 85.36(a);

(E) Carried out its activities in accordance with its comprehensive plan and HUD requirements; and

(F) Has satisfied, or made reasonable progress toward satisfying, the performance standards prescribed in paragraph (a)(3) of this section as they relate to activities under the CGP program:

(i) HUD will give particular attention to PHA efforts to accelerate the progress of the program and to prevent the recurrence of past deficiencies or noncompliance with applicable laws and regulations.

(3) Reasonable progress. HUD shall determine whether the PHA has satisfied, or has made reasonable progress towards satisfying, the following performance standards:

(i) With respect to the physical condition of each development, whether the work items being carried out by the PHA are in conformity with the modernization and energy conservation standards in § 908.115, and whether the PHA has brought, or is making reasonable progress toward bringing, all of its developments to these standards, in accordance with its physical needs assessment;

(ii) With respect to the management condition of the PHA, whether the PHA has achieved, or is making reasonable progress towards implementing, the work items specified in its annual statement and five-year action plan which are designed to address deficiencies identified through PHMAP, audits, or HUD reviews.

(b) Notice of deficiency. Based on HUD reviews of PHA performance and findings of any of the deficiencies in paragraph (d) of this section, HUD may issue to the PHA a notice of deficiency stating the specific program requirements which the PHA has violated and requesting the PHA to take any of the actions in paragraph (e) of this section.

(c) Corrective action order. (1) Based on HUD reviews of PHA performance and findings of any of the deficiencies in paragraph (d) of this section, HUD may issue to the PHA a corrective action order, whether or not a notice of deficiency has previously been issued in regard to the specific deficiency on which the corrective action order is based. HUD may order corrective action at any time by notifying the PHA of the specific program requirements which the PHA has violated, and specifying that any of the corrective actions listed in paragraph (e) of this section must be taken. HUD shall design corrective action to prevent a continuation of the deficiency, mitigate any adverse effects of the deficiency to the extent possible, or prevent a recurrence of the same or similar deficiencies;

(2) Before ordering corrective action, HUD will notify the PHA and give it an opportunity to consult with HUD regarding the proposed action;

(3) Any corrective action ordered by HUD shall become a condition of the grant agreement;

(4) If HUD orders corrective action by a PHA in accordance with this section, the PHA’s Board of Commissioners must notify affected residents of HUD’s determination, the bases for the determination, the conditioning requirements imposed under this paragraph, and the consequences to the PHA if it fails to comply with HUD’s requirements.

(d) Basis for corrective action. HUD may order a PHA to take corrective action only if HUD determines:

1. The PHA has not submitted a performance and evaluation report, in accordance with § 905.684;

2. The PHA has not carried out its activities under the CGP program in a timely manner and in accordance with its comprehensive plan or HUD requirements, as determined in paragraph (a)(1) of this section;

3. The PHA does not have a continuing capacity to carry out its comprehensive plan in a timely manner or in accordance with its comprehensive plan or HUD requirements, as determined in paragraph (a)(2) of this section;

4. The PHA has not satisfied, or has not made reasonable progress towards satisfying, the performance standards specified in paragraph (a)(3) of this section;

5. An audit conducted in accordance with 24 CFR Part 44, or pursuant to other HUD reviews (including monitoring findings) reveals deficiencies that HUD reasonably believes require corrective action;

6. The PHA has failed to repay HUD for amounts awarded under the CGP program that were improperly expended.

(e) Types of corrective action. HUD may direct a PHA to take one or more of the following corrective actions:

1. Submit additional information:

   (i) Concerning the PHA’s administrative, planning, budgeting, accounting, management, and evaluation functions, to determine the cause for a PHA not meeting the standards in paragraph (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) of this section;

   (ii) Explaining any steps the PHA is taking to correct the deficiencies;

   (iii) Documenting that PHA activities were not inconsistent with the PHA’s annual statement or other applicable laws, regulations, or program requirements; and

   (iv) Demonstrating that the PHA has a continuing capacity to carry out the comprehensive plan in a timely manner;

2. Submit schedules for completing the work identified in its annual statement and report periodically on its progress on meeting the schedules;

3. Notwithstanding 24 CFR 85.36(g), submit to HUD the following documents for prior approval, which may include, but are not limited to:

   (i) Proposed agreement with the architect/engineer (prior to execution);

   (ii) Complete construction and bid documents (prior to soliciting bids);

   (iii) Proposed award of contracts, including construction and equipment contracts and management contracts; or

   (iv) Proposed contract modifications prior to issuance, including modifications to construction and equipment contracts, and management contracts;

4. Submit additional material in support of one or more of the statements, resolutions, and certifications submitted as part of the PHA’s comprehensive plan, annual statement, or performance and evaluation report;

5. Not incur financial obligations, or to suspend payments for one or more activities;

6. Reimburse, from non-HUD sources, one or more program accounts for any amounts improperly expended;

7. Take such other corrective actions HUD determines appropriate to correct PHA deficiencies.
(f) Failure to take corrective action. In cases where HUD has ordered corrective action and the PHA has failed to take the required actions within a reasonable time, as specified by HUD, HUD may take one or more of the following steps:

1. Withhold some or all of the PHA's grant;
2. Declare a breach of the ACC grant amendment with respect to some or all of the PHA's functions; or
3. Any other sanction authorized by law or regulation.

(g) Reallocation of funds that have been withheld. Where HUD has withheld for a prescribed period of time some or all of a PHA's annual grant, HUD may reallocate such amounts to other PHAs/IHAs under the CGP program, subject to approval in appropriations acts. The reallocation shall be made to IHAs which HUD has determined to be administratively capable under §905.135, and to PHAs under the CGP program which are not designated as either troubled or mod troubled under the PHMAP at 24 CFR Part 901, based upon the relative needs of these IHAs and PHAs, as determined under the formula at §905.601.

(h) Right to appeal. Before withholding some or all of the PHA's annual grant, declaring a breach of the ACC grant amendment, or reallocating funds that have been withheld, HUD will notify the PHA and give it an opportunity, within a prescribed period of time, to present to the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing any arguments or additional facts and data concerning the proposed action.

(i) Notification of residents. The PHA's Board of Commissioners must notify affected residents of HUD's final determination to withhold funds, declare a breach of the ACC grant amendment, or reallocate funds, as well as the basis for, and the consequences resulting from, such a determination.

(j) Recapture. In addition, HUD may recapture for good cause any grant amounts previously provided to a PHA, based upon a determination that the PHA has failed to comply with the requirements of the CGP program. Before recapturing any grant amounts, HUD will notify the PHA and give it an opportunity to appeal in accordance with §968.345(h). Any recapture of recaptured amounts will be reallocated in accordance with §968.345(g). The PHA's board of Commissioners must notify affected residents of HUD's final determination to recapture any funds.

(k) Cumulative remedies. The authority to condition, withhold, reallocate or recapture a PHA's grant, as provided in this section, is in addition to the authority contained in §968.312(c) to reduce a PHA's formula allocation based upon its designation as a mod troubled PHA.

PART 990—ANNUAL CONTRIBUTIONS FOR OPERATING SUBSIDY

22. The authority citation for part 990 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 1437(g) and 3535(d).

23. In §990.109, the first and second sentence of paragraph (e)(1) is revised to read as follows:

§990.109 Projected operating income level.
  (e) * * * *(1) Investment income. PHAs with an estimated average cash balance of less than $20,000, excluding investment income earned from a funded replacement reserve under §968.310(g), shall make a reasonable estimate of investment income for the Requested Budget Year. PHAs with an estimated average cash balance of $20,000 or more, excluding investment income earned from a funded replacement reserve under §968.310(g), shall estimate interest on general fund investments based on the estimated average yield for 91-day Treasury bills for the PHA's Requested Budget Year (yield information will be provided by HUD). * * * * * *
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Department of Housing and Urban Development

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development

Federal Property Suitable as Facilities to Assist the Homeless; Notice
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development

[Docket No. N-92-1917; FR-2934-N-65]

Federal Property Suitable as Facilities to Assist the Homeless

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice identifies unutilized, underutilized, excess, and surplus Federal property reviewed by HUD for suitability for possible use to assist the homeless.

ADDRESSES: For further information, contact James N. Forsberg, room 7262, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708-4300; TDD number for the hearing- and speech-impaired (202) 708-2565 (these telephone numbers are not toll-free), or call the toll-free title V information line at 1-800-927-7588.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 56 FR 23780 (May 24, 1991) and section 501 of the Stewart McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411), as amended, HUD is publishing this Notice to identify Federal buildings and other real property that HUD reviewed in 1991 for suitability for use to assist the homeless. The properties were reviewed using information provided to HUD by Federal landholding agencies regarding unutilized and underutilized buildings and real property controlled by such agencies or by GSA regarding its inventory of excess or surplus Federal property.

In accordance with 56 FR 23789, § 501(b) landholding agencies are required to notify HUD by December 31, 1991, the current availability status and classification of each property controlled by the Agencies that were published by HUD as suitable and available which remain available for application for use by the homeless. Pursuant to 56 FR 23789, § 501(d)(e) HUD is required to publish a list of those properties reported by the Agencies and a list of suitable/unavailable properties including the reasons why they are not available.

Properties listed as suitable/available will be available exclusively for homeless use for a period of 60 days from the date of this Notice. Homeless assistance providers interested in any such property should send a written expression of interest to HHS, addressed to Judy Breitman, Division of Health Facilities Planning, U.S. Public Health Service, HHS, room 17A-10, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857; (301) 443-2265. (This is a toll-free number.) HHS will mail to the interested provider an application packet, which will include instructions for completing the application. In order to maximize the opportunity to utilize a suitable property, providers should submit their written expressions of interest as soon as possible. For complete details concerning the processing of applications the reader is encouraged to refer to the interim rule governing this program, 56 FR 23780 (May 24, 1991).

For more information regarding particular properties identified in this Notice (i.e., acreage, floor plan, existing sanitary facilities, exact street address), providers should contact the appropriate landholding agencies at the following addresses: U.S. Army: Robert Conte, Dept. of Army, Military Facilities, DAEN-ZCII-F, rm. 18971, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310-2606; (202) 693-4583; Corps of Engineers: Bob Swieconek, Army Corps of Engineers, Civilian Facilities, rm. 5138, 20 Massachusetts Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20314-1000; (202) 693-4583; Corps of Engineers: Gary B. Paterson, Chief, Base Realignment and Closure Office, Directorate of Real Estate, 20 Massachusetts Ave. NW., rm. 4133, Washington, DC 20314-1000; (202) 272-0520; U.S. Navy: John J. Kane, Deputy Division Director, Dept. of Navy, Real Estate Operations, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 200 Stovall Street, Alexandria VA 22332-2300; (202) 325-0474; U.S. Air Force: Bob Manke, USAF, Bolling AFB, SAF-MIRR, Washington, DC 20332-5000; (202) 767-6235; U.S. Air Force: John Carr, Realty Specialist, HQ-AFBDA/BDK, Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330-5130; (703) 693-0674; GSA: Ronald Rice, Federal Property Resources Office, GSA, 18th and F Streets NW., Washington, DC 20405; (202) 501-0067; Dept. of Veterans Affairs: Douglas Shinn, Management Analyst, Dept. of Veterans Affairs, room 414 Lafayette Bldg., 811 Vermont Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20420; (202) 233-8474; Dept. of Interior: Lola D. Knight, Property Management Specialist, Dept. of Interior, 1899 G St. NW., Mailstop 5512-MIB, Washington, DC 20240; (202) 208-4060; Dept. of Energy: Tom Knox, Realty Specialist, AD223.1, 1000 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20585; (202) 586-1191; (These are not toll-free numbers).


Anna Kondratas, Assistant Secretary.

TITLE V PROPERTIES REPORTED IN YEAR 91 WHICH ARE SUITABLE AND AVAILABLE

Air Force
California
Land

00 ARG/DE
Property Number: 189010189
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Travis Air Force Base
Travis ILS Outer Marker Annex
Rio-Dixon Road
Travis AFB, CA, Co: Solano, Zip: 94535-5490
Location: State Highway 113
Status: Except
Comment: 13 acres; most recent use—location for instrument landing systems equipment.

Buildings
Hawes Site (KHGM)
Property Number: 189010084
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Hawes Site
March AFB
Hinckley, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92402
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1232 sq. ft., stucco-wood frame; most recent use—housing.

Bldg. 604
Property Number: 189010237
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Point Arena Air Force Station
Point Arena Air Force Station
(See County), CA, Co: Mendocino, Zip: 95468-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1232 sq. ft.; stucco-wood frame; most recent use—housing.

Bldg. 605
Property Number: 189010238
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Point Arena Air Force Station
Point Arena Air Force Station
(See County), CA, Co: Mendocino, Zip: 95468-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1232 sq. ft.; stucco-wood frame; most recent use—housing.

Bldg. 612
Property Number: 189010239
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Point Arena Air Force Station
Point Arena Air Force Station
(See County), CA, Co: Mendocino, Zip: 95468-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1232 sq. ft.; stucco-wood frame; most recent use—housing.

Bldg. 613
Property Number: 189010240
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Point Arena Air Force Station
Point Arena Air Force Station  
(See County), CA, Co: Mendocino, Zip: 95468-5000

Status: Unutilized  
Comment: 1232 sq. ft.; stucco-wood frame; most recent use—housing.

Bldg. 613  
Property Number: 189010241  
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91  
Project Name: Point Arena Air Force Station  
Point Arena Air Force Station  
(See County), CA, Co: Mendocino, Zip: 95468-5000

Status: Unutilized  
Comment: 1232 sq. ft.; stucco-wood frame; most recent use—housing.

Idaho  

Buildings  
Bldg. 121  
Property Number: 189030007  
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91  
Project Name: Mountain Home Air Force Base  
Mountain Home Air Force Base  
Main Avenue  
(See County), ID, Co: Elmore, Zip: 83648-  
Status: Excess

Comment: 3375 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; potential utilities; needs rehab; presence of asbestos; building is set on piers; most recent use—medical administration, veterinary services.

Louisiana  

Buildings  
Barksdale Radio Beacon Annex  
Property Number: 189010269  
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91  
Project Name: Barksdale Radio Beacon Annex  
Barksdale Radio Beacon Annex  
Curtis, LA, Co: Bossier, Zip: 71111-  
Status: Unutilized

Comment: 360 sq. ft.; 1 story wood/concrete; on 11.25 acres.  

Michigan  

Land  
Calumet Air Force Station  
Property Number: 189010862  
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91  
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station  
Section 1, T57N, R31W  
Houghton Township  
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913-  
Status: Excess

Comment: 34 acres; potential utilities.

Calumet Air Force Station  
Property Number: 189010863  
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91  
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station  
Section 31, T56N, R30W  
Houghton Township  
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913-  
Status: Excess

Comment: 3.78 acres; potential utilities.

Buildings  
Bldg. 21  
Property Number: 189010776  
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91  
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station  
Calumet Air Force Station  
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913-  
Status: Excess

Comment: 2146 sq. ft.; 1 floor; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 22  
Property Number: 189010777  
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91  
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station  
Calumet Air Force Station  
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913-  
Status: Excess
Comment: 1546 sq. ft.; 1 floor; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—administrative facility.

Bldg. 30
Property Number: 189010779
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 2939 sq. ft.; 1 floor; concrete block; possible asbestos; potential utilities; most recent use—communications transmitter building.

Bldg. 40
Property Number: 189010780
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 2069 sq. ft.; 2 floor; concrete block; possible asbestos; potential utilities; most recent use—administrative facility.

Bldg. 41
Property Number: 189010781
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4017 sq. ft.; 1 floor; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—dormitory.

Bldg. 42
Property Number: 189010782
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 3674 sq. ft.; 2 story; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—dining hall.

Bldg. 43
Property Number: 189010783
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 7216 sq. ft.; 2 story; concrete block; possible asbestos; potential utilities; most recent use—dormitory.

Bldg. 44
Property Number: 189010784
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 6070 sq. ft.; 2 story; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—administrative.

Bldg. 45
Property Number: 189010785
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 3674 sq. ft.; 2 story; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—dining hall.

Bldg. 46
Property Number: 189010786
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 3674 sq. ft.; 2 story; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—dining hall.

Bldg. 47
Property Number: 189010787
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 83 sq. ft.; 1 story; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 48
Property Number: 189010788
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1134 sq. ft.; 1 story; wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 49
Property Number: 189010789
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1944 sq. ft.; 1 story; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—dormitory.

Bldg. 50
Property Number: 189010790
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 6171 sq. ft.; 1 story; concrete block; potential utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—Fire Department vehicle parking building.

Bldg. 51
Property Number: 189010791
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1134 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 52
Property Number: 189010792
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1134 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 53
Property Number: 189010793
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1134 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 54
Property Number: 189010794
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1134 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.
Bldg. 62
Property Number: 189010802
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1134 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 63
Property Number: 189010803
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1306 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 64
Property Number: 189010804
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1306 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 65
Property Number: 189010805
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1306 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 66
Property Number: 189010806
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1306 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 67
Property Number: 189010807
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1306 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 68
Property Number: 189010808
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1478 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence with garage; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 69
Property Number: 189010809
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1394 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete block; possible asbestos—most recent use—youth center.

Bldg. 70
Property Number: 189010810
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1308 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 71
Property Number: 189010811
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1168 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 72
Property Number: 189010812
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1168 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 73
Property Number: 189010813
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1168 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 74
Property Number: 189010814
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1168 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 75
Property Number: 189010815
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1168 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 76
Property Number: 189010816
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1168 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 77
Property Number: 189010817
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1168 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 78
Property Number: 189010818
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913–Status: Excess
Comment: 1168 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Calumet Air Force Station</th>
<th>Calumet Air Force Station</th>
<th>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
<th>Comment: 1168 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence; potential utilities; possible asbestos.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>189010826</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 1058 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame residence.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>189010840</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 604 sq. ft.; 1 floor wood frame residence; possible asbestos.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>189010841</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 604 sq. ft.; 1 floor wood frame residence; possible asbestos.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>189010842</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 604 sq. ft.; 1 floor wood frame residence; possible asbestos.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>189010843</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 604 sq. ft.; 1 floor wood frame residence; possible asbestos.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>189010844</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 2340 sq. ft.; concrete block; most recent use—heating facility.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>189010845</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 3514 sq. ft.; 1 floor concrete block; possible asbestos; most recent use—maintenance shop and office.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>189010846</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>189010847</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>189010848</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>189010849</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>189010850</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>189010851</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet Air Force Station</td>
<td>Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 750 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.

Bldg. 222 Property Number: 189010853
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.

Bldg. 223 Property Number: 189010854
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.

Bldg. 224 Property Number: 189010855
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.

Bldg. 215 Property Number: 189010856
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.

Bldg. 158 Property Number: 189010857
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 750 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.

Bldg. 212 Property Number: 189010859
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.

Bldg. 214 Property Number: 189010861
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.

Bldg. 15 Property Number: 189010864
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 780 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame housing garage.

Bldg. 223 Property Number: 189010865
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 44 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 24 Property Number: 189010866
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 38 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 31 Property Number: 189010867
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 36 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 32 Property Number: 189010868
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 36 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 33 Property Number: 189010869
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 36 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 34 Property Number: 189010870
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 36 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 35 Property Number: 189010871
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 36 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 36 Property Number: 189010872
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 37 Property Number: 189010873
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 38 Property Number: 189010874
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 39 Property Number: 189010875
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 40 Property Number: 189010876
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 41 Property Number: 189010877
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 42 Property Number: 189010878
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 43 Property Number: 189010879
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 44 Property Number: 189010880
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 45 Property Number: 189010881
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 46 Property Number: 189010882
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.

Bldg. 47 Property Number: 189010883
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft.; 1 floor metal frame; prior use—storage of fire hoses.
Property Number: 189110085
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4934 sq. ft.; 2 story concrete block facility; (radar tower bldg.) potential use—storage.

Bldg. 157
Project Number: 189110085
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete/steel facility; (radar tower bldg.) potential use—storage.

North Dakota Buildings
Bldg. 101
Project Number: 189110085
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortune Air Force Station
Fortune Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 788 sq. ft.; 2 bedroom single family housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 102
Project Number: 189110086
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortune Air Force Station
Fortune Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 988 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 103
Project Number: 189110087
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortune Air Force Station
Fortune Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 788 sq. ft.; 2 bedroom single family housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 104
Project Number: 189110098
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortune Air Force Station
Fortune Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 988 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 105
Project Number: 189110099
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortune Air Force Station
Fortune Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 988 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 106
Project Number: 189110100
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortune Air Force Station
Fortune Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 988 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 107
Project Number: 189110101
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortune Air Force Station
Fortune Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 988 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 108
Project Number: 189110102
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortune Air Force Station
Fortune Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 988 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 117
Property Number: 189110109
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 118
Property Number: 189110110
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 2205 sq. ft.; 4 bedroom single family housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 119
Property Number: 189110111
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1566 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 120
Property Number: 189110112
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 121
Property Number: 189110113
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 122
Property Number: 189110114
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1595 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 123
Property Number: 189110115
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 124
Property Number: 189110116
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 125
Property Number: 189110117
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 126
Property Number: 189110118
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 127
Property Number: 189110119
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 128
Property Number: 189110120
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 129
Property Number: 189110121
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 130
Property Number: 189110122
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 264 sq. ft.; 1 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 131
Property Number: 189110123
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85
Status: Excess
Comment: 1510 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family housing unit with attached garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
Bldg. 132
Property Number: 189110124
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 624 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 624 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 624 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 624 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number: 189110138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 80 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 215 Property Number: 169110141 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 216 Property Number: 169110142 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 217 Property Number: 169110143 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 218 Property Number: 169110144 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 1203 sq. ft.; 3 bedroom single family relocatable housing unit; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 219 Property Number: 169110145 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 220 Property Number: 169110146 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 221 Property Number: 169110147 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 222 Property Number: 189110148 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 223 Property Number: 189110149 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 224 Property Number: 189110150 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 225 Property Number: 189110151 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 226 Property Number: 189110152 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 227 Property Number: 189110153 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 228 Property Number: 189110154 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 229 Property Number: 189110155 Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91 Project Name: Fortuna Air Force Station
Fortuna Air Force Station Fortuna, ND, Co: Divide, Zip: 58844—
Location: Located on North Dakota State Highway 5, four miles west of Fortuna and approximately 60 miles north of Williston via U.S. Highway 85 Status: Excess Comment: 672 sq. ft.; 2 stall vehicle garage; needs rehab; off-site use only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>189120005</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2750 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120006</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120007</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120008</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120009</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120010</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120011</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120012</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120013</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120014</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120015</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120016</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120017</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189120018</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Property Number: 189120025
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 325
Property Number: 189120028
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 326
Property Number: 189120027
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2424 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 331
Property Number: 189120028
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 332
Property Number: 189120029
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 333
Property Number: 189120030
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 334
Property Number: 189120031
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 335
Property Number: 189120032
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 336
Property Number: 189120033
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 337
Property Number: 189120034
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 338
Property Number: 189120035
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 339
Property Number: 189120036
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 340
Property Number: 189120037
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 341
Property Number: 189120038
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 342
Property Number: 189120039
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 343
Property Number: 189120040
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 344
Property Number: 189120041
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 345
Property Number: 189120042
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 346
Property Number: 189120043
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Bldg. 347
Property Number: 189120044
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Indian Springs Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 352
Property Number: 189120046
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 355
Property Number: 189120047
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1170 sq. ft., one story family housing, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 358
Property Number: 189120048
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2464 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—maintenance shop, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 360
Property Number: 189120049
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2570 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—Chapel, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 400
Property Number: 189120050
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2376 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—religious education facility, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 404
Property Number: 189120051
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2605 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—child care facility, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 3027
Property Number: 189120052
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 120 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—storage, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 3028
Property Number: 189120053
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 60 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—storage, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 3029
Property Number: 189120054
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 120 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—storage, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 3030
Property Number: 189120055
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 120 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—storage, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 3031
Property Number: 189120050
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 120 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—storage, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
Bldg. 3032
Property Number: 189120057
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Nellis Air Force Base
Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark. Zip: 89018- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 120 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—storage, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Building Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Federal Register Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>199120001</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>CA, Co: San Bernardino</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120002</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>CA, Co: San Bernardino</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120003</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>CA, Co: San Bernardino</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120004</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>CA, Co: San Bernardino</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120005</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>CA, Co: San Bernardino</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Indian Springs AF Aux. Field
Indian Springs, NV, Co: Clark, Zip: 89018-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 120 sq. ft., one story, most recent use—storage, easement restrictions, potential utilities, off-site removal only.

Summary of Properties for Air Force
Buildings = 243.
Land = 5.
Total Suitable and Available by agency = 248.

California

Fac. Number: 1164
Property Number: 199120001
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: Two acres, most recent use—baseball field; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fac. Number: 1160
Property Number: 199120502
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: Three acres, most recent use—soccer field; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fac. Number 50177
Property Number: 199120503
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: One acre, most recent use—track; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fac. Number 525
Property Number: 199120407
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: Five acres, most recent use—softball field; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fac. Number 544
Property Number: 199120498
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: Six acres, most recent use—baseball field; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fac. Number 1161
Property Number: 199120499
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: Five acres, most recent use—baseball field; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fac. Number 1162
Property Number: 199120500
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: Two acres, most recent use—baseball field; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fac. Number 1164
Property Number: 199120501
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: Two acres, most recent use—baseball field; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5087
Property Number: 199120019
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5097
Property Number: 199120020
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5098
Property Number: 199120021
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5100
Property Number: 199120022
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5102
Property Number: 199120023
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5104
Property Number: 199120024
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5107
Property Number: 199120025
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5087
Property Number: 199120029
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5097
Property Number: 199120030
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5098
Property Number: 199120031
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5099
Property Number: 199120032
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5100
Property Number: 199120033
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5102
Property Number: 199120034
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5104
Property Number: 199120035
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2554 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5884 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5000
Property Number: 199120041
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2924 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5001
Property Number: 199120042
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2942 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5002
Property Number: 199120043
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2942 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5003
Property Number: 199120044
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2942 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5004
Property Number: 199120045
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2942 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5005
Property Number: 199120046
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2942 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5006
Property Number: 199120047
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2942 sq. ft., two-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5007
Property Number: 199120048
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5008
Property Number: 199120049
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5009
Property Number: 199120050
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5010
Property Number: 199120051
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5011
Property Number: 199120052
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5012
Property Number: 199120053
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5013
Property Number: 199120054
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5014
Property Number: 199120055
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos;
scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5118, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5120, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5121, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5122, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5123, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5124, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5125, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5126, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5127, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5128, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5129, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5130, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5131, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5132, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5133, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5134, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5135, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5136, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5137, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5138, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5139, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5140, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5141, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5142, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5143, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5144, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5145, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5146, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5147, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5148, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5149, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bldg. 5150, George AFB, CA</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5160
Property Number: 199120084
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5206
Property Number: 199120085
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5207
Property Number: 199120086
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5210
Property Number: 199120089
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5211
Property Number: 199120090
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5214
Property Number: 199120093
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5215
Property Number: 199120094
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5218
Property Number: 199120095
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120098
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5221
Property Number: 199120097
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5217
Property Number: 199120068
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5218
Property Number: 199120069
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., four-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4580 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5219
Property Number: 199120100
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5956 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5104
Property Number: 199120107
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5956 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5173
Property Number: 199120108
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5956 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5174
Property Number: 199120109
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5956 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5175
Property Number: 199120110
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5956 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5176
Property Number: 199120111
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5956 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5177
Property Number: 199120112
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5956 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5178
Property Number: 199120113
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5956 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5179
Property Number: 199120114
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5956 sq. ft., 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Comment: 2576 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5170
Property Number: 199120129
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2576 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5177
Property Number: 199120130
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2576 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5198
Property Number: 199120137
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2576 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5185
Property Number: 199120131
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2576 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5182
Property Number: 199120133
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2576 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5184
Property Number: 199120134
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2576 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5187
Property Number: 199120136
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2576 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino. Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3288 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6061
Property Number: 199120144
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3288 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6063
Property Number: 199120145
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6066
Property Number: 199120146
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6069
Property Number: 199120152
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6091
Property Number: 199120153
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6092
Property Number: 199120154
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6095
Property Number: 199120147
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6096
Property Number: 199120148
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6097
Property Number: 199120149
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6098
Property Number: 199120150
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6099
Property Number: 199120151
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6100
Property Number: 199120152
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6103
Property Number: 199120144
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6104
Property Number: 199120145
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6105
Property Number: 199120146
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6106
Property Number: 199120147
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6107
Property Number: 199120148
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 6108
Property Number: 199120149
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3168 sq. ft., 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing, possible asbestos, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120195
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120196
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120197
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120199
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5222
Property Number: 199120200
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5224
Property Number: 199120190
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120191
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120192
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120193
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120194
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5222
Property Number: 199120195
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120196
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120197
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120199
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5222
Property Number: 199120200
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5224
Property Number: 199120190
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120191
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120192
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120193
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120194
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5222
Property Number: 199120195
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120196
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5220
Property Number: 199120197
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5220 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7016
Property Number: 199120217
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7017
Property Number: 199120218
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7018
Property Number: 199120219
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7019
Property Number: 199120220
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7020
Property Number: 199120221
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7021
Property Number: 199120222
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7022
Property Number: 199120223
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7023
Property Number: 199120224
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7024
Property Number: 199120225
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7025
Property Number: 199120226
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4324 sq. ft.; 4-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7004</td>
<td>199120233</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7005</td>
<td>199120232</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7006</td>
<td>199120234</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7007</td>
<td>199120235</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7008</td>
<td>199120236</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7009</td>
<td>199120237</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7010</td>
<td>199120238</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7011</td>
<td>199120239</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7012</td>
<td>199120240</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7013</td>
<td>199120241</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7014</td>
<td>199120242</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7015</td>
<td>199120243</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7016</td>
<td>199120244</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7017</td>
<td>199120245</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7018</td>
<td>199120246</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2924 sq. ft.; 2-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Status: Excess
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Property Number: 199120282
Bldg. Comment: 8550 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Comment: 8550 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7419
Property Number: 199120233
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8550 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7324
Property Number: 199120234
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7325
Property Number: 199120287
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7332
Property Number: 199120285
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7344
Property Number: 199120288
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7352
Property Number: 199120230
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8550 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7336
Property Number: 199120280
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8550 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7339
Property Number: 199120281
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8550 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7407
Property Number: 199120282
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8550 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7367
Property Number: 199120290
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7377
Property Number: 199120291
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7381
Property Number: 199120292
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7385
Property Number: 199120293
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7391
Property Number: 199120294
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7395
Property Number: 199120295
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7403
Property Number: 199120296
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 7411
Property Number: 199120297
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
8450 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 7340
Property Number: 199120305
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4200 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 7348
Property Number: 199120308
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8460 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 7363
Property Number: 199120307
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 7078 sq. ft.; six-unit wood/stucco frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6046
Property Number: 199120308
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1835 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6041
Property Number: 199120309
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2285 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6043
Property Number: 199120310
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6044
Property Number: 199120311
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6045
Property Number: 199120312
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6049
Property Number: 199120313
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6050
Property Number: 199120314
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6051
Property Number: 199120315
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6052
Property Number: 199120316
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6039
Property Number: 199120317
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6040
Property Number: 199120318
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6042
Property Number: 199120319
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6047
Property Number: 199120320
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1620 sq. ft.; one-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6008
Property Number: 199120342
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6009
Property Number: 199120343
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6010
Property Number: 199120344
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6011
Property Number: 199120345
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6012
Property Number: 199120346
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6013
Property Number: 199120347
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6014
Property Number: 199120348
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6015
Property Number: 199120349
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6016
Property Number: 199120350
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6017
Property Number: 199120351
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6018
Property Number: 199120352
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6019
Property Number: 199120353
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6020
Property Number: 199120354
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6021
Property Number: 199120355
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6022
Property Number: 199120356
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6023
Property Number: 199120357
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6024
Property Number: 199120358
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6025
Property Number: 199120359
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6026
Property Number: 199120360
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 6027
Property Number: 199120361
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6588 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Comment: 5660 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5022
Property Number: 199120371
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5449 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5023
Property Number: 199120372
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5449 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5024
Property Number: 199120373
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5449 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5025
Property Number: 199120374
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5449 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5026
Property Number: 199120375
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5449 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5027
Property Number: 199120376
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5449 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5028
Property Number: 199120377
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5449 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5845 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5059
Property Number: 199120393
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5845 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5060
Property Number: 199120394
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5845 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5061
Property Number: 199120395
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5845 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5062
Property Number: 199120396
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5845 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5063
Property Number: 199120397
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5845 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5064
Property Number: 199120398
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5845 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5065
Property Number: 199120399
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5845 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5066
Property Number: 199120400
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5068
Property Number: 199120401
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5059
Property Number: 199120402
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5060
Property Number: 199120403
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5061
Property Number: 199120404
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5062
Property Number: 199120405
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5063
Property Number: 199120406
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5064
Property Number: 199120407
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5065
Property Number: 199120408
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5066
Property Number: 199120409
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5067
Property Number: 199120410
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 5068
Property Number: 199120411
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 8598 sq. ft.; eight-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 504
Property Number: 199120415
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5044
Property Number: 199120414
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5042
Property Number: 199120408
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5012
Property Number: 199120409
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5014
Property Number: 199120410
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5018
Property Number: 199120411
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5020
Property Number: 199120412
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5034
Property Number: 199120413
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5039
Property Number: 199120414
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 5041
Property Number: 199120415
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394–5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 6106 sq. ft.; six-unit wood frame housing; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>199120449</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11400 sq. ft.; one story wood structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120450</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>6200 sq. ft.; one story concrete block structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120453</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>600 sq. ft.; one story concrete block structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120454</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>19800 sq. ft.; one story concrete block structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120455</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2000 sq. ft.; one story concrete block structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120456</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>6000 sq. ft.; one story concrete block structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120457</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>720 sq. ft.; one story concrete block structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120458</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>10800 sq. ft.; one story wood structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120459</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>1700 sq. ft.; one story wood structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120460</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>1800 sq. ft.; one story wood structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120461</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>8700 sq. ft.; one story concrete block structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120462</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2000 sq. ft.; one story concrete block structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Property Number: 199120433
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5100 sq. ft.; concrete structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—pool; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 183
Property Number: 199120494
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1200 sq. ft.; one story wood structure, possible asbestos; most recent use—bathhouse; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 586
Property Number: 199120493
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 21000 sq. ft.; concrete structure, two story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 255
Property Number: 199120514
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 10800 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 470
Property Number: 199120507
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 17000 sq. ft.; concrete block structure, two story, possible asbestos; most recent use—quarters; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 162
Property Number: 199120508
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 25000 sq. ft.; concrete structure, two story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 252
Property Number: 199120512
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 9200 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 253
Property Number: 199120513
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 23000 sq. ft.; concrete structure, two story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 254
Property Number: 199120514
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 19000 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 255
Property Number: 199120515
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 23000 sq. ft.; concrete structure, two story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 256
Property Number: 199120516
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 9200 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 257
Property Number: 199120517
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4700 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 258
Property Number: 199120518
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 23000 sq. ft.; concrete structure, two story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 259
Property Number: 199120519
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 23000 sq. ft.; concrete structure, two story, possible asbestos; most recent use—dorm; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
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Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lodge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 406
Property Number: 199120530
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lounge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 260
Property Number: 199120547
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lounge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 559
Property Number: 199120548
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lounge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 560
Property Number: 199120549
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lounge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 561
Property Number: 199120550
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lounge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 562
Property Number: 199120551
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lounge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 563
Property Number: 199120552
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lounge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 564
Property Number: 199120553
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lounge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 565
Property Number: 199120554
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3300 sq. ft.; concrete structure, one story, possible asbestos; most recent use--
lounge; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
George Air Force Base

Property Number: 199120554
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 344 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—storage; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 677
Property Number: 199120561
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 640
Property Number: 199120562
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 480 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 643
Property Number: 199120563
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 520 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 764
Property Number: 199120564
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 400 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 769
Property Number: 199120565
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 15000 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 560
Property Number: 199120566
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 710
Property Number: 199120567
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—control center; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 642
Property Number: 199120568
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 341 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—navigation station; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 860
Property Number: 199120569
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 388 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—runway unit; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 875
Property Number: 199120571
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 368 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—runway unit; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Bldg. 865
Property Number: 199120572
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 368 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—runway unit; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Property Number: 199120574
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 3500 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—dock; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120576
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2400 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—storage; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120577
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 360 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—storage; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120578
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 360 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—storage; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120579
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 800 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120581
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4000 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120582
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5800 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120583
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 960 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120584
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 255 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120585
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120586
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 5680 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120587
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 4100 sq. ft.; one story concrete block frame; most recent use—shop; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120588
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 216 sq. ft.; one story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance & engineering facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120589
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 200 sq. ft.; one story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance & engineering facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120590
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 288 sq. ft.; one story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance & engineering facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120591
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 380 sq. ft.; one story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance & engineering facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120592
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 2400 sq. ft.; one story concrete structure; possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance & engineering facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120593
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 1473 sq. ft.; one story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance & engineering facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Property Number: 199120594

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
Property Number: 199120595
Bldg. 999
Comment: 17000 sq. ft.; one story concrete structure; possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance & engineering facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
Property Number: 199120596
Bldg. 997
Comment: 3900 sq. ft.; one story concrete structure; possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance & engineering facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
Property Number: 199120597
Bldg. 999
Comment: 17000 sq. ft.; one story concrete structure; possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance & engineering facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
Property Number: 199120598
Bldg. 320
Comment: 492 sq. ft., 1 story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance and eng. facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
Property Number: 199120599
Bldg. 163
Comment: 1200 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance and eng. facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
Property Number: 199120600
Bldg. 196
Comment: 10000 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance and eng. facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
Property Number: 199120601
Bldg. 648
Comment: 1600 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance and eng. facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George Air Force Base
Property Number: 199120602
Bldg. 725
Comment: 800 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—maintenance and eng. facilities, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Bldg. No.</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>199120616</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Bldg. 310</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>George AFB, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 6000 sq. ft.: 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—store, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120616</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>George AFB, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 8000 sq. ft.: 1 story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—store, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120623</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Bldg. 60</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>George AFB, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 17000 sq. ft.: 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—store, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120624</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Bldg. 64</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>George AFB, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 9000 sq. ft.: 1 story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—store, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120625</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Bldg. 58</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>George AFB, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 6000 sq. ft.: 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—store, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120626</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Bldg. 158</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>George AFB, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 2500 sq. ft.: 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—gas station, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120627</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Bldg. 211</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>George AFB, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 4000 sq. ft.: 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—store, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120628</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Bldg. 70</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>George AFB, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 18000 sq. ft.: 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—fire station, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120629</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Bldg. 655</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>George AFB, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000</td>
<td>Status: Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 6000 sq. ft.: 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—fire command center, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- Status: Excess
- Comment: Use—store, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
- Comment: Use—fire station, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
- Comment: Use—fire command center, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>George Air Force Base</th>
<th>George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip:</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>199120637</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2410 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—vehicle shop, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120638</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>5400 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—vehicle shop, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120639</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>19000 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—dining hall, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120640</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2014 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—vehicle shops, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120641</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2000 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—vehicle shop, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120642</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>3008 sq. ft., 1 story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—mess, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120643</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>15000 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—mess, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120644</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2700 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—vehicle shop, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120645</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>2400 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—vehicle shop, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120646</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>13000 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—child care, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120647</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>3523 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—food service, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120648</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>3038 sq. ft., 1 story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—mess, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120649</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>400 sq. ft., 1 story concrete structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—child care, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120650</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>George Air Force Base</td>
<td>92394–5000</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>1800 sq. ft., 1 story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—child care, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Number</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Property Number</td>
<td>Fed Reg Date</td>
<td>Property Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Property Number: 189120677
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 9300 sq. ft. 1 story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—warehouse, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.
Bldg. 556
Property Number: 189120678
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
George AFB, CA, Co: San Bernardino, Zip: 92394-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 9100 sq. ft., 1 story wood structure, possible asbestos, most recent use—warehouse, scheduled to be vacated 12/31/92.

Colorado
Land
NTMU—Partial Area
Property Number: 189010254
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Lowry Air Force Base
Lowry Air Force Base
Denver, CO, Co: Denver, Zip: 80230-5000
Location: West of Aspen Terr. housing area and South of (AFABC) along the base boundary.
Status: Excess
Comment: Approximately 20 acres; sloping parts in area.

Illinois
Buildings
Bldg. 9
Property Number: 189030224
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Bldg. 13
Property Number: 189030227
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Bldg. 14
Property Number: 189030228
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Bldg. 21
Property Number: 189030229
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Bldg. 22
Property Number: 189030230
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Bldg. 23
Property Number: 189030231
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Bldg. 24
Property Number: 189030232
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building: wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 57
Property Number: 189030261
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building: wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 117
Property Number: 189030267
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building: wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 118
Property Number: 189030271
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building: wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 119
Property Number: 189030272
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame: termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Property Number: 189030290
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 141
Property Number: 189030296
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 145
Property Number: 189030297
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 146
Property Number: 189030298
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 150
Property Number: 189030299
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 152
Property Number: 189030300
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 154
Property Number: 189030301
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 155
Property Number: 189030302
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 2
Property Number: 189030303
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 4
Property Number: 189030304
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 6
Property Number: 189030305
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 7
Property Number: 189030306
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Bldg. 127
Property Number: 189030308
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 128
Property Number: 189030309
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 129
Property Number: 189030310
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 130
Property Number: 189030311
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 131
Property Number: 189030312
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 132
Property Number: 189030313
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 133
Property Number: 189030314
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 134
Property Number: 189030315
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 135
Property Number: 189030316
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 136
Property Number: 189030317
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 137
Property Number: 189030318
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 138
Property Number: 189030319
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 139
Property Number: 189030320
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 140
Property Number: 189030321
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 141
Property Number: 189030322
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 142
Property Number: 189030323
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 4-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 143
Property Number: 189030324
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 43
Property Number: 189030326
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 44
Property Number: 189030327
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 45
Property Number: 189030328
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 46
Property Number: 189030329
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 47
Property Number: 189030330
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 48
Property Number: 189030331
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 49
Property Number: 189030332
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 50
Property Number: 189030333
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 51
Property Number: 189030334
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 52
Property Number: 189030335
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.

Bldg. 53
Property Number: 189030336
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base, Base Closure
Chanute Air Force Base
Chapman Courts
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1-unit residential building; wood frame; termite damage; needs major rehab; possible asbestos; possible easement restriction.
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
Status: Excess
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
U.S. Route #1
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
Fed Bldg. 1
Buildings

Comment: 17118 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame;
Status: Excess
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61866-
Chanute Air Force Base
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Property Number: Bldg. 107

Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.
Bidg. 3
Property Number: 1890010592
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 4
Property Number: 1890010593
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 5
Property Number: 1890010594
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 6
Property Number: 1890010595
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 7
Property Number: 1890010596
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 8
Property Number: 189010597
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 9
Property Number: 189010598
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 10
Property Number: 189010599
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 11
Property Number: 189010600
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 12
Property Number: 189010601
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 13
Property Number: 189010602
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1116 sq. ft.; 1 story frame
residence; no utilities; asbestos and radon
tests pending; fuel tanks removed; sewage line needs repair.

Bidg. 14
Property Number: 189010603
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Family Housing Annex
Family Housing Annex, Loring Air Force Base
U.S. Route #1
Caswell, ME, Co: Aroostook, Zip: 04750
Status: Excess
Comment: 9256 sq. ft.; 3 story steel frame structure; presence of asbestos, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 94
Property Number: 189010535
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Newington Road
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 3900 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame, presence of asbestos, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 600
Property Number: 189040063
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 606
Property Number: 189040065
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 3936 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 607
Property Number: 189040066
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 608
Property Number: 189040067
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 609
Property Number: 189040068
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 610
Property Number: 189040069
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 611
Property Number: 189040070
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 612
Property Number: 189040071
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 613
Property Number: 189040072
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 614
Property Number: 189040073
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 615
Property Number: 189040074
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3936 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 616
Property Number: 189040075
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 617
Property Number: 189040076
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3936 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 618
Property Number: 189040077
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 619
Property Number: 189040078
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 635
Property Number: 189040099
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 640
Property Number: 189040101
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 644
Property Number: 189040103
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 645
Property Number: 189040104
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 646
Property Number: 189040106
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 3936 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 647
Property Number: 189040107
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 3936 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 648
Property Number: 189040108
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 3936 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
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Project Name: Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 655
Property Number: 189040111
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 657
Property Number: 189040112
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 658
Property Number: 190040117
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 659
Property Number: 190040118
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 660
Property Number: 190040119
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 661
Property Number: 190040120
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 662
Property Number: 190040121
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 663
Property Number: 190040122
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 664
Property Number: 190040123
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 665
Property Number: 190040124
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 666
Property Number: 190040125
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 667
Property Number: 190040126
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 668
Property Number: 190040127
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 669
Property Number: 190040128
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
Status: Excess
Comment: 4856 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 670
Property Number: 189040129
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 671
Property Number: 189040130
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3468 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 672
Property Number: 189040131
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 673
Property Number: 189040132
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 674
Property Number: 189040133
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 675
Property Number: 189040134
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 676
Property Number: 189040135
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 677
Property Number: 189040136
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3936 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 678
Property Number: 189040137
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4000 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 679
Property Number: 189040138
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4000 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 680
Property Number: 189040139
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4000 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 681
Property Number: 189040140
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4000 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 682
Property Number: 189040141
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4000 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 683
Property Number: 189040142
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4636 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 684
Property Number: 189040143
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 685
Property Number: 189040144
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 686
Property Number: 189040145
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 687
Property Number: 189040146
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame; 4-residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 718

Property Number: 189040178
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 719

Property Number: 189040179
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 720

Property Number: 189040180
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 721

Property Number: 189040181
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 722

Property Number: 189040182
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 723

Property Number: 189040183
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 724

Property Number: 189040171
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 725

Property Number: 189040174
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 726

Property Number: 189040175
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 727

Property Number: 189040177
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 728

Property Number: 189040178
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 736
Property Number: 189040186
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 737
Property Number: 189040188
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 739
Property Number: 189040190
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 740
Property Number: 189040192
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 742
Property Number: 189040191
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 743
Property Number: 189040193
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 744
Property Number: 189040194
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 745
Property Number: 189040195
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 746
Property Number: 189040196
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 747
Property Number: 189040197
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Project Name: Capehart Family Housing

Property Number: 189040204

Status: Excess

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 755

Property Number: 189040205

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Status: Excess

Pease Air Force Base

Property Number: 189040206

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 757

Property Number: 189040207

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 758

Property Number: 189040208

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 759

Property Number: 189040209

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 760

Property Number: 189040210

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 761

Property Number: 189040211

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 762

Property Number: 189040212

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 763

Property Number: 189040213

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 764

Property Number: 189040214

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 765

Property Number: 189040215

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 766

Property Number: 189040216

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 767

Property Number: 189040217

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 768

Property Number: 189040218

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 769

Property Number: 189040219

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Status: Excess

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803

Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 801

Property Number: 189040229
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 802

Property Number: 189040230
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 803

Property Number: 189040231
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 804

Property Number: 189040232
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 805

Property Number: 189040233
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 806

Property Number: 189040234
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 807

Property Number: 189040235
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 808

Property Number: 189040236
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 814
Property Number: 189040242
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 815
Property Number: 189040243
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 816
Property Number: 189040244
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 817
Property Number: 189040245
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 818
Property Number: 189040246
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 819
Property Number: 189040247
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 820
Property Number: 189040248
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 821
Property Number: 189040249
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 822
Property Number: 189040250
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 823
Property Number: 189040251
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 824
Property Number: 189040252
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 825
Property Number: 189040253
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 826
Property Number: 189040254
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 827
Property Number: 189040255
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 828
Property Number: 189040256
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 829
Property Number: 189040257
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bidg. 830
Property Number: 189040258
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Base Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>189040265</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040266</td>
<td></td>
<td>4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040267</td>
<td></td>
<td>3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040268</td>
<td></td>
<td>3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040269</td>
<td></td>
<td>3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040270</td>
<td></td>
<td>4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040271</td>
<td></td>
<td>3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040272</td>
<td></td>
<td>4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040273</td>
<td></td>
<td>3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040274</td>
<td></td>
<td>4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040275</td>
<td></td>
<td>3744 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040276</td>
<td></td>
<td>4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Capehart Family Housing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803.
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 851: Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capenard Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 854

Property Number: 189040293
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capenard Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 867

Property Number: 189040296
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capenard Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 866

Property Number: 189040297
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capenard Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 863

Property Number: 189040298
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capenard Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 860

Property Number: 189040299
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capenard Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 865

Property Number: 189040300
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capenard Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 866

Property Number: 189040301
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capenard Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4400 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 7960 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-
unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 91

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 7960 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-
unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 92

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 7960 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 4-
unit residence; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 93

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 12704 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete block/brick; most recent use—open mess; presence of asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 94

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 12704 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete block/brick; most recent use—dining hall; presence of asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 95

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 12764 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete block/brick; most recent use—open mess; presence of asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 96

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4260 sq. ft.; one story; child care center; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 97

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4200 sq. ft.; one story; possible asbestos; hospital heat plant; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 98

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2400 sq. ft.; one story; brick; possible asbestos; training facility; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 99

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 29456 sq. ft.; 1 story; possible asbestos; training facility; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 100

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1536 sq. ft.; one story; possible asbestos; training facility; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 101

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3240 sq. ft.; 1 story; asbestos in pipe insulation; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 102

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 3240 sq. ft.; 1 story; asbestos in pipe insulation; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 103

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 14234 sq. ft.; 2 story brick/concrete; most recent use—lodging; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 104

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 11801 sq. ft.; 2 story wood/steel; most recent use—lodging; presence of asbestos in pipe insulation; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 105

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 1 story; child care center; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 106

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 28233 sq. ft.; 4 story; most recent use—hospital; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 107

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91

Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 28233 sq. ft.; 4 story; most recent use—hospital; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 108

Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 527
Property Number: 189040427
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 528
Property Number: 189040428
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 529
Property Number: 189040429
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 530
Property Number: 189040430
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 531
Property Number: 189040431
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 532
Property Number: 189040432
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 533
Property Number: 189040433
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-Status: Excess
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Property Number: 189040441
Bldg. 545
Status: Excess
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 546
Property Number: 189040442
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Property Number: 189040443
Bldg. 547
Status: Excess
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 548
Property Number: 189040444
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Property Number: 189040445
Bldg. 549
Status: Excess
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 550
Property Number: 189040446
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Property Number: 189040447
Bldg. 551
Status: Excess
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 552
Property Number: 189040448
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Property Number: 189040449
Bldg. 553
Status: Excess
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 554
Property Number: 189040450
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Property Number: 189040451
Bldg. 555
Status: Excess
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 556
Property Number: 189040452
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Property Number: 189040453
Bldg. 557
Status: Excess
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Comment: 3326 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; 2 unit residence with detached garage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 558
Property Number: 189040454
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Capehart Family Housing
Pease Air Force Base
Property Number: 189040455
Bldg. 559
Status: Excess
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
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Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease Air Force Base

Property Number: 189040493
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 16210

Bldg. 16240

Bldg. 16250

Bldg. 16260

Bldg. 16270

Bldg. 16280

Bldg. 16290

Bldg. 16300

Bldg. 16310

Bldg. 16320

Bldg. 16330

Bldg. 16340

Bldg. 16350

Bldg. 16360

Bldg. 16370

Bldg. 16380

Bldg. 16390

Bldg. 16400

Bldg. 16410

Property Number: 189040505
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Property Number: 189040504
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Property Number: 189040503
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Property Number: 189040502
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Property Number: 189040501
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Property Number: 189040500
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Property Number: 189040499
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 5663 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Property Number: 189040498
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 5663 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Property Number: 189040497
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure

Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 5663 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 16480
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Property Number: 189040514
Property Number: 189040519
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Status: Excess
Status: Excess
Status: Excess
Status: Excess
Status: Excess
Status: Excess
Comment: 322 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 322 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 237 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 327 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 464 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 363 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 325 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 322 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Comment: 464 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Building Number</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>189040555</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040556</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040557</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040558</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040559</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040560</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040561</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040562</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040563</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040564</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040565</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040566</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040567</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040568</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040569</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040570</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040571</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040572</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040573</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040574</td>
<td>Bldg. 11290</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pease Air Force Base**

- Property Number: 189040555
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040556
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040557
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040558
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040559
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040560
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040561
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040562
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040563
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040564
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040565
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040566
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040567
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040568
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040569
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040570
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040571
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040572
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

- Property Number: 189040573
- Building Number: Bldg. 11290
- Status: Excess
- Date: 11/29/91
- Comment: Housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 396 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17140
Property Number: 189040577
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 396 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17150
Property Number: 189040578
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 396 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17160
Property Number: 189040579
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 396 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17170
Property Number: 189040580
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 396 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17180
Property Number: 189040581
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17190
Property Number: 189040582
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17200
Property Number: 189040583
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17210
Property Number: 189040584
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17220
Property Number: 189040585
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17230
Property Number: 189040586
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 17240
Property Number: 189040587
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base
Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803-
Status: Excess
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached
housing storage shed; scheduled to be
vacated 3/31/91.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040596</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040596</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040600</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040600</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040601</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040601</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040602</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040602</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040603</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040603</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040604</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 284 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040604</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 284 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040605</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040605</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040606</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040606</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040607</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040607</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040608</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base</th>
<th>Property Number: 189040608</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91</th>
<th>Status: Excess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Number</td>
<td>Fed Reg Date</td>
<td>Project Name</td>
<td>Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040636</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040637</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040640</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040641</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040642</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040643</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040644</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040645</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040646</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040647</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040648</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040649</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040650</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040651</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040652</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040653</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040654</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040655</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>Property Number 189040655</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18160 Property Number: 189040658 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18170 Property Number: 189040657 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18180 Property Number: 189040655 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18190 Property Number: 189040659 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18200 Property Number: 189040660 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18210 Property Number: 189040661 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18220 Property Number: 189040662 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18230 Property Number: 189040663 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18240 Property Number: 189040664 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18250 Property Number: 189040665 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18260 Property Number: 189040666 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18270 Property Number: 189040667 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Bldg. 18280 Property Number: 189040668 Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91 Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure Pease Air Force Base Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Excess Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803- Status: Vacated

Comment: 370 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18570
Property Number: 189040697
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 173 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18590
Property Number: 189040698
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 254 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18600
Property Number: 189040700
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 267 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18610
Property Number: 189040701
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18620
Property Number: 189040702
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18630
Property Number: 189040703
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18640
Property Number: 189040704
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 396 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18650
Property Number: 189040705
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18660
Property Number: 189040706
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 396 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18670
Property Number: 189040707
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18680
Property Number: 189040708
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18690
Property Number: 189040709
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18700
Property Number: 189040710
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18710
Property Number: 189040711
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18720
Property Number: 189040712
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18730
Property Number: 189040713
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18740
Property Number: 189040714
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 18750
Property Number: 189040715
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bidg. 22
Property Number: 189040720
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Communications Facilities
Pease Air Force Base

Comment: 420 sq. ft.; wood frame; detached housing storage shed; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Square Feet</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>189040751</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>4850</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040755</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>3111</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040757</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>2178</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040760</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>14959</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040761</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>14572</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040763</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>4120</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040764</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>12220</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040765</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>10863</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040766</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>10863</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040767</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>10863</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040768</td>
<td>Pease AFB</td>
<td>Rockingham, NH</td>
<td>10863</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 0282 sq. ft.; 2 story brick/blocks; most recent use—administrative facility; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 91
Property Number: 189040770
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 10686 sq. ft.; 1 story brick; most recent use—administrative facility; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 95
Property Number: 189040771
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 12983 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; presence of asbestos; most recent use—band center; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 40
Property Number: 189040773
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 100 sq. ft.; 1 story metal frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 66
Property Number: 189040774
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft.; 1 story cinder blocks frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 67
Property Number: 189040775
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1728 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—maintenance shop; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 69
Property Number: 189040776
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 240 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 62
Property Number: 189040777
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 720 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 104
Property Number: 189040778
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 488 sq. ft.; 1 story cement frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 125
Property Number: 189040779
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 345 sq. ft.; 1 story masonry frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 134
Property Number: 189040780
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1344 sq. ft.; 1 story aluminum frame; limited utilities; most recent use—clubhouse; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 135
Property Number: 189040781
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 2100 sq. ft.; 1 story brick/block frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—administrative; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 179
Property Number: 189040782
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 54 sq. ft.; 1 story cement frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—office; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.

Bldg. 204
Property Number: 189040783
Fed Reg Date: 11/29/91
Project Name: Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
Pease Air Force Base
Pease AFB, NH, Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803–
Status: Excess
Comment: 192 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Federal Register Date</th>
<th>Project Status</th>
<th>Property Address</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>189040791</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Pease AFB, NH; Co: Rockingham</td>
<td>1470 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040792</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Pease AFB, NH; Co: Rockingham</td>
<td>120 sq. ft.; 1 story brick/block frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—traffic check house; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040793</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Pease AFB, NH; Co: Rockingham</td>
<td>525 sq. ft.; 1 story cement frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—administrative; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040794</td>
<td>3005</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Pease AFB, NH; Co: Rockingham</td>
<td>120 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040795</td>
<td>3006</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Pease AFB, NH; Co: Rockingham</td>
<td>32 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040796</td>
<td>3007</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Pease AFB, NH; Co: Rockingham</td>
<td>120 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040797</td>
<td>3008</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Pease AFB, NH; Co: Rockingham</td>
<td>120 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189040798</td>
<td>3009</td>
<td>Pease Air Force Base</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Pease AFB, NH; Co: Rockingham</td>
<td>120 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUMMARY OF PROPERTIES FOR AIR FORCE BC**

- **Buildings—1,483**
- **Land—11**
- **Total Suitable and Available by agency—1,494**

**Army**

- **Alaska**
- **Land**
- **Eklutna Dispersal Site**

**Other Locations**

- **Alabama**
- **Buildings**
- **Tidewater**

**General**

- **Project Name:** Pease Air Force Base, Base Closure
- **Property Number:** 189040800
- **Federal Register Date:** 11/29/91
- **Property Address:** Pease AFB, NH; Co: Rockingham, Zip: 03803
- **Comment:** 120 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete frame; limited utilities; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage; scheduled to be vacated 3/31/91.
| Project Name: Fort McClellan | Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Property Number: 219110004 | Bldg. T00883 | Comment: 1340 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; needs major rehab; presence of asbestos; off-site use only. |
| Location: Intersection of 19th and 20th Streets. | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, 21st Street and MacArthur Avenue | Fort McClellan, AL, Co: Calhoun, Zip: 36205-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110054 | Bldg. T01394 | Comment: 4404 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; needs rehab; presence of asbestos; off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, MacArthur Avenue | Fort McClellan, AL, Co: Calhoun, Zip: 36205-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110052 | Bldg. T01125 | Comment: 3003 sq. ft., one story wood structure, most recent use—administration, presence of asbestos, off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, 3rd Avenue | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110050 | Bldg. T01123 | Comment: 24992 sq. ft., one story wood structure, most recent use—youth center gymnasium, possible asbestos, off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, Cowboy & Crusader Streets | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110048 | Bldg. T01121 | Comment: 2400 sq. ft.; two story wood frame; needs rehab; presence of asbestos; off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, MacArthur Avenue | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Calhoun, Zip: 36205-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110044 | Bldg. T02264 | Comment: 464 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; needs major rehab; electrical hazard; presence of asbestos; off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, Post Chapel-Fort Rucker 5th Avenue | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110045 | Bldg. T02265 | Comment: 4738 sq. ft.; one story wood structure; minor repairs; scheduled to be vacated September 1991. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, Cowboy & Crusader Streets | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110051 | Bldg. T02204 | Comment: 1250 sq. ft., one story wood structure, most recent use—administration, presence of asbestos, off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, 21st Street and MacArthur Avenue | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110052 | Bldg. T02205 | Comment: 3003 sq. ft., one story wood structure, most recent use—administration, presence of asbestos, off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, Cowboy & Crusader Streets | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110049 | Bldg. T01124 | Comment: 2400 sq. ft.; two story wood frame; needs rehab; presence of asbestos; off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, 3rd Avenue | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110053 | Bldg. T01126 | Comment: 2500 sq. ft., one story, possible asbestos, off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/26/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, 25th Street | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110055 | Bldg. T01138 | Comment: 2577 sq. ft., one story wood structure, most recent use—administration, presence of asbestos, off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, Post Chapel—Fort Rucker 3rd Avenue | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110056 | Bldg. T01127 | Comment: 3558 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; needs rehab; presence of asbestos; off-site use only. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort McClellan, 4th Avenue in Area 13 of Post | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Calhoun, Zip: 36205-5000 |

| Property Number: 219110103 | Bldg. T05020 | Comment: 1500 sq. ft.; one story wood structure; minor repairs; scheduled to be vacated September 1991. |
| Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 | Status: Unutilized | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Calhoun, Zip: 36205-5000 | Fort Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362-5000 |
### Arkansas

#### Land

| Property Number: 219130101 | Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 |
| F t. Rucker, AL, Co: Dale, Zip: 36362- | Status: Unutilized |
| Comment: 5810 sq. ft.; two story wood structure; presence of asbestos; off-site use only. |

| Property Number: T03214, Ft. Rucker | Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 |
| Comment: 3000 sq. ft.; one story wood structure; presence of asbestos; most recent use—storehouse, off-site use only. |

| Property Number: 219130102 | Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 |
| Comment: 4560 sq. ft.; two story wood structure; presence of asbestos; most recent use—barracks, off-site use only. |

| Property Number: 219130103 | Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 |
| Comment: 2500 sq. ft., 1 story; most recent use—supply building, off-site use only. |

| Property Number: 219140023 | Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 |
| Comment: 2500 sq. ft., 1 story; most recent use—supply building, off-site use only. |

| Property Number: 219140024 | Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 |
| Comment: 2500 sq. ft., 1 story, most recent use—supply building, off-site use only. |

| Property Number: 219140025 | Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 |
| Comment: 3100 sq. ft., 1 story, most recent use—chaplain’s conference room, off-site use only. |

| Property Number: 219140026 | Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91 |
| Comment: 2250 sq. ft., 1 story wood, most recent use—chapel headquarters, off-site use only. |

### Pine Bluff Arsenal

#### Property Number: 219013841 | Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 |
| Project Name: Pine Bluff Arsenal |
| Location: 8 miles north of Pine Bluff on Highway 365 |
| Comment: 707 sq. ft.; one story; no water or heat in bldg.; needs rehab; most recent use—storage. |

### Pine Bluff

#### Property Number: 219011725 | Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91 |
| Project Name: Pine Bluff Arsenal |
| Zip: 71602-9500 |
| Location: 8 miles north of Pine Bluff on Highway 365 |
| Comment: 179 sq. ft.; 1 story wood and stucco frame; most recent use—cold storage and refrigeration facility. |

---
**Note:** The table above provides a structured representation of the information from the original document, ensuring clarity and readability.
Comment: 2000 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 70202, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130058
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2192 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 83008, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219120313
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2192 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 83015, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219120314
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2325 sq. ft., 1 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 87202, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130058
Fed Reg Date: 12/10/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2192 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 87304, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130061
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2192 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 87308, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130062
Fed Reg Date: 12/10/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2192 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 67666, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130063
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2192 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 67671, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130064
Fed Reg Date: 12/10/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2192 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 68224, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130064
Fed Reg Date: 12/10/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2814 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, most recent use—office, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 68310, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130065
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 1653 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, most recent use—office, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 70227, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130069
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 1653 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, most recent use—office, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 70219, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219130067
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 1653 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, most recent use—office, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 83007, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219120312
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2000 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 83008, Fort Huachuca
Property Number: 219120313
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 1700 sq. ft., one story metal frame, most recent use—storage, possible asbestos.

Sierra Vista, AZ, Co: Cochise, Zip: 85635—Status: Excess
Comment: 2000 sq. ft., 2 story wood structure, presence of asbestos, most recent use—admin. gen. purpose.

Bldg. 609
Property Number: 219012856
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Comment: 49500 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 609
Property Number: 219012856
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Comment: 49500 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Project Name: Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219012865
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 49500 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood: extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 619
Property Number: 219012866
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 49500 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood: extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 620
Property Number: 219012871
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 49500 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood: extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 621
Property Number: 219012868
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 49500 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood: extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 621
Property Number: 219012864
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 49500 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood: extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 861
Property Number: 219012899
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 863
Property Number: 219012891
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 865
Property Number: 219012893
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 866
Property Number: 219012895
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 867
Property Number: 219012897
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 873
Property Number: 219012902
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 883
Property Number: 219012903
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 885
Property Number: 219012904
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 887
Property Number: 219012906
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 893
Property Number: 219012905
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 895
Property Number: 219012906
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA; Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 63290 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 908
Property Number: 219012925
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 909
Property Number: 219012926
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 910
Property Number: 219012927
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 911
Property Number: 219012928
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 912
Property Number: 219012929
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 913
Property Number: 219012930
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 914
Property Number: 219012931
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 915
Property Number: 219012932
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 916
Property Number: 219012933
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 936
Property Number: 219012950
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 937
Property Number: 219012951
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 938
Property Number: 219012952
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 942
Property Number: 219012953
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 943
Property Number: 219012954
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 944
Property Number: 219012955
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 945
Property Number: 219012956
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 946
Property Number: 219012957
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 947
Property Number: 219012958
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 948
Property Number: 219012959
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 949
Property Number: 219012960
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 950
Property Number: 219012961
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 951
Property Number: 219012962
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 952
Property Number: 219012963
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. 953
Property Number: 219012964
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11300 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks.
| Property Number | Fed Reg Date | Project Name | Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 245 |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|====================================================================================================|
| 2190130329      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 246 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 248 |
| 2190130311      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 249 |
| 2190130314      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 250 |
| 2190130327      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 251 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 252 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 253 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 254 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 255 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 256 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 257 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 258 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 259 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 260 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 261 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 262 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 263 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 264 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 265 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 266 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 267 |
| 2190130300      | 12/20/91     | Parks Res. Forces Tng. Area, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129- Status: Unutilized Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary wood; extensive asbestos present; most recent use—barracks. Bldg. 268 |

**Recent use:** barracks.
Bldg. 209
Property Number: 219013063
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary
wood; extensive asbestos present; most
recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 279
Property Number: 219013062
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary
wood; extensive asbestos present; most
recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 282
Property Number: 219013065
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary
wood; extensive asbestos present; most
recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 283
Property Number: 219013066
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary
wood; extensive asbestos present; most
recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 285
Property Number: 219013068
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary
wood; extensive asbestos present; most
recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 286
Property Number: 219013069
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary
wood; extensive asbestos present; most
recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 288
Property Number: 219013070
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary
wood; extensive asbestos present; most
recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 289
Property Number: 219013071
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11500 sq. ft.; 3 story temporary
wood; extensive asbestos present; most
recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 290
Property Number: 21901309
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Parks Res. Forces Trng. Area
Parks Reserve Forces Training Area
Dublin, CA, Co: Alameda, Zip: 94129-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; two story wood frame;
security restrictions.

EM Barracks, T-1203
Property Number: 219110119
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Army Depot
DS Hall Avenue
Herlong, CA, Co: Lassen, Zip: 96113-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; two story wood frame;
security restrictions.

EM Barracks, T-1208
Property Number: 219110120
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Army Depot
DS Hall Avenue
Herlong, CA, Co: Lassen, Zip: 96113-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; two story wood frame;
security restrictions.

EM Barracks, T-1209
Property Number: 219110121
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Army Depot
DS Hall Avenue
Herlong, CA, Co: Lassen, Zip: 96113-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; two story wood frame;
security restrictions.

EM Barracks, T-1214
Property Number: 219110122
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Army Depot
DS Hall Avenue
Herlong, CA, Co: Lassen, Zip: 96113-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; two story wood frame;
security restrictions.

EM Barracks, T-1218
Property Number: 219110123
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Army Depot
DS Hall Avenue
Herlong, CA, Co: Lassen, Zip: 96113-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; two story wood frame;
security restrictions.

EM Barracks, T-1221
Property Number: 219110124
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Army Depot
DS Hall Avenue
Herlong, CA, Co: Lassen, Zip: 96113-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; two story wood frame;
security restrictions.

Open Mess & NCO Club, T-1218
Property Number: 219110123
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Sierra Army Depot
DS Hall Avenue
Herlong, CA, Co: Lassen, Zip: 96113-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; one story wood frame;
needs rehab; presence of asbestos; security
restrictions.

Bldg. 60
Property Number: 219110125
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center
Main entrance on Lexington Dr.
Los Alamitos, CA, Co: Orange, Zip: 90720-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2042 sq. ft.; two story concrete
wood plaster, possible asbestos. Off-site
use only. Most recent use—nose hanger.
Comment: 392 sq. ft.; 1 story raised portable, off-site use only, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 196
Property Number: 219120317
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center
Main entrance on Lexington Dr.
Los Alamitos, CA, Co: Orange, Zip: 90720-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 392 sq. ft., 1 story raised portable, off-site use only, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 197
Property Number: 219120319
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center
Main entrance on Lexington Dr.
Los Alamitos, CA, Co: Orange, Zip: 90720-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 720 sq. ft., 1 story stucco structure, off-site use only, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 202
Property Number: 219120320
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center
Main entrance on Lexington Dr.
Los Alamitos, CA, Co: Orange, Zip: 90720-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 448 sq. ft. trailer, off-site use only, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 203
Property Number: 219120321
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center
Main entrance on Lexington Dr.
Los Alamitos, CA, Co: Orange, Zip: 90720-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 448 sq. ft. trailer, off-site use only, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 206
Property Number: 219120323
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center
Main entrance on Lexington Dr.
Los Alamitos, CA, Co: Orange, Zip: 90720-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 448 sq. ft. trailer, off-site use only, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 218
Property Number: 219140077
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Specer Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1575 sq. ft., 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—admin. bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2218, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140078
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Specer Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2468 sq. ft., 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—production, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2222, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140079
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—classroom, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2223, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140080
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—classroom, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2224, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140081
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—admin. bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2225, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140082
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—admin. bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2226, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140083
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—admin. bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2227, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140084
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—administration, bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2228, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140085
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—education center, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2230, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140086
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—administration, bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2231, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140087
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—education center, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2232, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140088
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—storage, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2233, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140089
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2988 sq. ft., 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—classroom, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2234, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140090
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Wetzel Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—administration, bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2235, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140091
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Wetzel Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2988 sq. ft., 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—classroom, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2236, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140092
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Wetzel Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—administration, bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2237, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140093
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Polio St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft., 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—administration, bldg., presence of asbestos.
Mister St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1850 sq. ft.; 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—storage, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2333, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140168
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Polio St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—education center, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2334, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140169
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Polio St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2488 sq. ft.; most recent use—admin. bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2335, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140170
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Specker Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2488 sq. ft.; 1 story wood, needs rehab, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2336, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140171
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Specker Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2488 sq. ft.; 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—storage, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2418, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140172
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Polio St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—classroom, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2419, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140173
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Polio St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1652 sq. ft.; 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—education center, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2422, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140174
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Polio St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—classroom, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2424, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140175
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Polio St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5310 sq. ft.; 2 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—classroom, presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2425, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140176
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Polio St.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2700 sq. ft.; 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—admin. bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2433, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140177
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Specker Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2488 sq. ft.; 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—admin. bldg., presence of asbestos.

Bldg. 2435, Fort Carson
Property Number: 219140178
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Specker Ave.
Colorado Springs, CO, Co: El Paso, Zip: 80913
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2488 sq. ft.; 1 story wood, needs rehab, most recent use—administration, presence of asbestos.

Georgia

Buildings

Bldg. 4920
Property Number: 210010002
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; most recent use—administration, barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 4921
Property Number: 210010003
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; most recent use—administration, barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 4915
Property Number: 210010004
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1297 sq. ft.; most recent use—headquarters building; needs rehab.

Bldg. 4914
Property Number: 210010005
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2529 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining room; needs rehab.

Bldg. 4912
Property Number: 210010113
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; most recent use—administration, barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 4911
Property Number: 210010106
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; most recent use—administration, barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 4917
Property Number: 210010107
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; most recent use—administration, barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 4918
Property Number: 210010108
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; most recent use—administration, barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5286
Property Number: 210010109
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1216 sq. ft.; most recent use—administration, barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5297
Property Number: 210010110
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1216 sq. ft.; most recent use—administration, barracks; needs rehab.
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2525 sq. ft.; most recent use—snack bar; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5293
Property Number: 219010114
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2529 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining room; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5294
Property Number: 219010115
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2529 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining room; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5295
Property Number: 219010116
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1060 sq. ft.; most recent use—storehouse; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5296
Property Number: 219010117
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3759 sq. ft.; most recent use—general; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5297
Property Number: 219010118
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3759 sq. ft.; most recent use—general; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5300
Property Number: 219010120
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1400 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining room; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5301
Property Number: 219010121
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; most recent use—barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5302
Property Number: 219010122
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1400 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining room; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5303
Property Number: 219010123
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; most recent use—barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5304
Property Number: 219010124
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; most recent use—barracks; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5305
Property Number: 219010125
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2406 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining room; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5306
Property Number: 219010126
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1216 sq. ft.; most recent use—arms building; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5307
Property Number: 219010127
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1660 sq. ft.; most recent use—storehouse; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5308
Property Number: 219010128
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1689 sq. ft.; most recent use—storehouse; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5309
Property Number: 219010129
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1829 sq. ft.; most recent use—clinic; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5310
Property Number: 219010130
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3494 sq. ft.; most recent use—diagnostic center; needs rehab.

Bldg. 5311
Property Number: 219010131
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5787 sq. ft.; most recent use—post exchange (store); needs rehab.

Bldg. 5312
Property Number: 219010132
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2525 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining room; needs rehab.
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; most recent use—barracks; needs rehab.
Bidg. 5321
Property Number: 219010144
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; most recent use—barracks; needs rehab.
Bidg. 5390
Property Number: 219010145
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3759 sq. ft.; most recent use—recreation bldg.; needs rehab.
Bidg. 5361
Property Number: 219010146
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5559 sq. ft.; most recent use—service club; needs rehab.
Bidg. 5363
Property Number: 219010149
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3759 sq. ft.; most recent use—recreation bldg.; needs rehab.
Bidg. 5395
Property Number: 219010150
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2432 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining room; needs rehab.
Bidg. 5391
Property Number: 219010152
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2432 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining room; needs rehab.
Bidg. 4885
Property Number: 219011447
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1098 sq. ft., 1 floor, most recent use—storehouse, needs rehab.
Bidg. 4887
Property Number: 219011448
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1868 sq. ft., 2 floors; most recent use—trainee barracks; needs rehab/major construction to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4868
Property Number: 219011450
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1868 sq. ft., 2 floors; most recent use—trainee barracks; needs major rehab/construction to be habitable.
Bidg. 4869
Property Number: 219011451
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1868 sq. ft., 2 floors; most recent use—trainee barracks; needs major rehab/construction to be habitable.
Bidg. 4870
Property Number: 219011452
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1868 sq. ft., 2 floors; most recent use—trainee barracks; needs major rehab/construction to be habitable.
Bidg. 4871
Property Number: 219011453
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1507 sq. ft., 1 floor; most recent use—day room; needs major rehab/construction to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4872
Property Number: 219011455
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1868 sq. ft., 2 floors; most recent use—BN classrooms; major rehab/construction to be habitable.
Bidg. 4876
Property Number: 219011458
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2183 sq. ft.; 1 floor; most recent use—dining room; major construction required to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4873
Property Number: 219011457
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2183 sq. ft., 1 floor; most recent use—dining room; major construction required to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4974
Property Number: 219011467
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1507 sq. ft.; 1 floor; most recent use—day room; major construction required to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4875
Property Number: 219011468
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft., 2 floors; most recent use—training barracks; major rehab/construction required to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4879
Property Number: 219011470
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft., 2 floors; major recent use—trainee barracks; needs major rehab/construction required to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4878
Property Number: 219011472
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft., 2 floors; major recent use—trainee barracks; needs major rehab/construction required to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4876
Property Number: 219011474
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft., 2 floors; major recent use—trainee barracks; major rehab/construction required to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4860
Property Number: 219011479
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft., 2 floors; major recent use—trainee barracks; major rehab/construction required to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4902
Property Number: 219011478
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft., 2 floors; major recent use—trainee barracks; major rehab/construction required to be made habitable.
Bidg. 4903
Property Number: 219011477
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905—Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft., 2 floors; major recent use—trainee barracks; major rehab/construction required to be made habitable.
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Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 1688 sq. ft.; 2 floors; most recent

use—trainee barracks; major construction

required to be made habitable.

Bldg. 4904

Property Number: 219011478

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 1688 sq. ft.; 2 floors; most recent

use—trainee barracks; major construction

required to be made habitable.

Bldg. 4905

Property Number: 219011479

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 1688 sq. ft.; 2 floors; most recent

use—trainee barracks; major construction

required to be made habitable.

Bldg. 4906

Property Number: 219011480

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 1507 sq. ft.; 1 floor; most recent

use—day room; major construction

required to be made habitable.

Bldg. 4908

Property Number: 219011481

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 2183 sq. ft.; 1 floor; most recent

use—dining room facility; major construction

required to be made habitable.

Bldg. 4909

Property Number: 219011482

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 2183 sq. ft.; 1 floor; most recent

use—dining room facility; major construction

required to be made habitable.

Bldg. 4909

Property Number: 219011483

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 1507 sq. ft.; 1 floor; most recent

use—day room; major construction

required to be made habitable.

Bldg. 4901

Property Number: 219011484

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 810 sq. ft.; 1 floor; most recent

use—other inst ab.; major rehab/

construction required to be made habitable.

is required.

Bldg. 4800

Property Number: 219011485

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Fort Benning, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 794 sq. ft.; 1 floor; most recent

use—arms building; major rehab/construction

required to be made habitable.

Bldg. 4807

Property Number: 219011493

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 1915 sq. ft.; buildings in poor

condition, major construction needed to be

made habitable.

Bldg. 4915

Property Number: 219011494

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Project Name: Fort Benning

Fort Benning

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31095–

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 915 sq. ft.; bldgs. in poor condition,

major construction needed to be made

habitab.
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<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Federal Register</th>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Federal Register</th>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Federal Register</th>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Federal Register</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Comment: 903 sq. ft., building in poor condition, major construction needed to be made habitable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Comment: 1,888 sq. ft., buildings in poor condition, major construction needed to be made habitable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Comment: 1,888 sq. ft., buildings in poor condition, major construction needed to be made habitable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Comment: 1,888 sq. ft., buildings in poor condition, major construction needed to be made habitable.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Comment: 1,888 sq. ft., buildings in poor condition, major construction needed to be made habitable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comment: 1507 sq. ft.; most recent use—administrative (day room); needs substantial rehabilitation; 1 floor.

Bldg. 4479
Property Number: 219011686
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1507 sq. ft.; most recent use—administrative (day room); needs substantial rehabilitation; 1 floor.

Bldg. 3400
Property Number: 219011694
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2570 sq. ft.; most recent use—fire station; needs substantial rehabilitation; 1 floor.

Bldg. 2268
Property Number: 219011704
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4574 sq. ft.; most recent use—clinical needs substantial rehabilitation; 1 floor.

Bldg. 4092
Property Number: 219011709
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 336 sq. ft.; most recent use—inflammable materials storage; needs substantial rehabilitation; 1 floor.

Bldg. 2269
Property Number: 219011710
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 176 sq. ft.; most recent use—gas station; needs substantial rehabilitation; 1 floor.

Bldg. 5206
Property Number: 219012364
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1400 sq. ft.; one story; most recent use—day room; in poor condition; needs major rehab.

Bldg. 5207
Property Number: 219012365
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—barracks; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Bldg. 5256
Property Number: 219012368
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—barracks; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Bldg. 5257
Property Number: 219012369
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—barracks; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Bldg. 5258
Property Number: 219012370
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—barracks; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Bldg. 5259
Property Number: 219012372
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—administrative; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Bldg. 5260
Property Number: 219012373
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—barracks; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Bldg. 5261
Property Number: 219012374
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—barracks; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Bldg. 5262
Property Number: 219012375
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2124 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—barracks; poor condition; needs major rehab.
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.

Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—custody fac.; poor condition; needs major rehab.
Comment: 1888 sq. ft.; 2 story; most recent use—barracks; needs major rehab.

Bldg. 20701

Property Number: 291014281
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Gordon
Fort Gordon
Augusta, GA, Co: Richmond, Zip: 30905-
Location: Located on 20th Street.
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4524 sq. ft.; 2 story wood structure; needs major rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 20703

Property Number: 291014282
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Gordon
Fort Gordon
Augusta, GA, Co: Richmond, Zip: 30905-
Location: Located on Barnes Avenue and 20th street.
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4524 sq. ft.; 2 story wood structure; needs major rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 20709

Property Number: 291014284
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Gordon
Fort Gordon
Augusta, GA, Co: Richmond, Zip: 30905-
Location: Located on 20th Street.
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4524 sq. ft.; 2 story wood structure; needs major rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 34402

Property Number: 291014285
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Gordon
Fort Gordon
Augusta, GA, Co: Richmond, Zip: 30905-
Location: Located on the Avenue of the States.
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4524 sq. ft.; 2 story wood structure; needs major rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 34404

Property Number: 291014286
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Gordon
Fort Gordon
Augusta, GA, Co: Richmond, Zip: 30905-
Location: Located on the Avenue of the States.
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4524 sq. ft.; 2 story wood structure; needs major rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 35401

Property Number: 291014287
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Gordon
Fort Gordon
Augusta, GA, Co: Richmond, Zip: 30905-
Location: Located on Avenue of the States.
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4524 sq. ft.; 2 story wood structure; needs major rehab; off-site use only.

Bldg. 1235

Property Number: 219014807
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 9367 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—General Storehouse.

Bldg. 1236

Property Number: 219014888
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 9367 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—General Storehouse.

Bldg. 1251

Property Number: 219014889
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 18385 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Arms Repair Shop.

Bldg. 2591

Property Number: 219014900
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1683 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—General storehouse.

Bldg. 3005

Property Number: 219014907
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 7688 sq. ft.; 2 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Barracks.

Bldg. 3006

Property Number: 219014908
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 7688 sq. ft.; 2 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Barracks.

Bldg. 3007

Property Number: 219014909
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 7688 sq. ft.; 2 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Barracks.

Bldg. 3008

Property Number: 219014910
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 7688 sq. ft.; 2 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Barracks.

Bldg. 3009

Property Number: 219014911
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 7688 sq. ft.; 2 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Barracks.

Bldg. 3010

Property Number: 219014912
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 7688 sq. ft.; 2 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Barracks.

Bldg. 3090

Property Number: 219014913
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1372 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—General Storehouse.

Bldg. 3081

Property Number: 219014914
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2284 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Clinic.

Bldg. 4022

Property Number: 219014915
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1712 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Vehicle maintenance shop.

Bldg. 4491

Property Number: 219014918
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 18240 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Arms Building.

Bldg. 4500

Property Number: 219014917
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Arms Building.

Bldg. 4511

Property Number: 219014919
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5069 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Training Building.

Bldg. 4634

Property Number: 219014920
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Fort Benning, GA, Co: Muscogee, Zip: 31905-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5069 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Training Building.
Comment: 1372 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—General Storehouse.

Bldg. 4649
Property Number: 219014922
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Comment: 2250 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—Headquarters Building.

Bldg. 4680
Property Number: 219014923
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Benning
Comment: 3900 sq. ft.; 1 story building; needs rehab; most recent use—General Inst. bldg.

Bldg. 2212
Property Number: 219120257
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Benning
Comment: 943 sq. ft.; 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—administration/general purpose.

Bldg. 2150
Property Number: 219120258
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Benning
Comment: 3900 sq. ft.; 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—general inst. bldg.

Bldg. 2213
Property Number: 219120259
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Benning
Comment: 4720 sq. ft.; 2 story, needs rehab, most recent use—drug abuse center.

Bldg. 2214
Property Number: 219120260
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Benning
Comment: 2253 sq. ft.; 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—enlisted persons dining room.

Bldg. 2215
Property Number: 219120261
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Benning
Comment: 1644 sq. ft.; 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—day room.

Bldg. 2409
Property Number: 219120263
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Benning
Comment: 16148 sq. ft.; 2 story, most recent use—officers club, needs rehab.

Bldg. 1604
Property Number: 219120255
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Benning
Comment: 2871 sq. ft.; 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—administration/general purpose.

Bldg. 1724
Property Number: 219120256
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Benning
Comment: 943 sq. ft.; 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—general purpose warehouse.

Bldg. 1827
Kansas

Parcel 1
Property Number: 219013333
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Leavenworth
Fort Leavenworth
Combined Arms Center
Fort Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-5020
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 281 acres; heavily forested; no access to a public right-of-way; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Parcel 3
Property Number: 219013336
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Leavenworth
Fort Leavenworth
Combined Arms Center
Fort Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-5020
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 14.4+ acres; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; steep/wooded area.

Parcel 6
Property Number: 21901340
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Leavenworth
Fort Leavenworth
Combined Arms Center
Fort Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-5020
Location: Extreme north east corner of installation in Flood Plain of the Missouri River
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1280 acres; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Parcel F
Property Number: 21901552
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Leavenworth
Fort Leavenworth
Combined Arms Center
Fort Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-5020

Status: Underutilized
Comment: 33.4 acres; area is landlocked; heavily wooded; periodic flooding.

Buildings
Bldg. T-1363
Property Number: 219013774
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Riley
Fort Riley
Fort Riley, KS, Co: Geary, Zip: 66442-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 3864 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; possible asbestos; most recent use—open-bay trainee barracks with gang latrine.

Bldg. T-2080
Property Number: 219013775
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Riley
Fort Riley
Fort Riley, KS, Co: Geary, Zip: 66442-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 3852 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; possible asbestos; most recent use—open-bay trainee barracks with gang latrine.

Bldg. T-2324
Property Number: 219013777
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Riley
Fort Riley
Fort Riley, KS, Co: Geary, Zip: 66442-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 3422 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; possible asbestos; most recent use—open-bay trainee barracks with gang latrine.

Bldg. T-639
Property Number: 219110148
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Riley
Fort Riley
Fort Leavenworth—NCO Club Building
Combined Arms Command
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 17549 gross sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; needs rehab; termite infested; possible asbestos; scheduled to be vacated Summer 1991; off-site use only.

Bldg. T-356
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140105
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-356
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140106
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-356
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140107
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-356
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140108
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-356
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140109
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-356
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140110
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-356
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140111
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-356
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140112
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-356
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140113
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-1300
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140108
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-1300
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140107
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-1300
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140106
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-1300
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140105
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-1300
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140104
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-1300
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140103
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-1300
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140102
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-1300
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140101
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing

Bldg. T-1300
Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140100
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Fed Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1440, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140122
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1444, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140120
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1445, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140121
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1446, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140122
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1447, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140123
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1448, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140124
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1449, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140125
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1453, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140126
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1454, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140127
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1455, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140128
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1456, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140129
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1457, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140130
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1458, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140131
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1460, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140132
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1462, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140134
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1465, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140135
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1466, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140136
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing
Bldg. 1467, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140137
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing.

Bldg. 1466A, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140138
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1536 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1467, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140139
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 908 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1468, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140140
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1469, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140141
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1025 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1470, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140142
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1776 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1471, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140143
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing.

Bldg. 1472, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140144
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1473, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140145
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 863 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1474, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140146
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 863 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1475, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140147
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 863 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1476, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140148
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 863 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1477, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140149
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 863 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1478, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140150
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 863 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1479, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140151
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 863 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1480, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140152
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing.

Bldg. 1481, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140153
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing.

Bldg. 1482, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140154
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing.

Bldg. 1483, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140155
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—family housing.

Bldg. 1484, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140156
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1485, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140157
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1486, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140158
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1487, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140159
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1488, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140160
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1489, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140161
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.

Bldg. 1490, Fort Leavenworth
Property Number: 219140162
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pershing Park
Leavenworth, KS, Co: Leavenworth, Zip: 66027-

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1075 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, presence of asbestos, most recent use—detached garage.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Co.:</th>
<th>Zip:</th>
<th>Property Number:</th>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Knox, KY</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>2191400015</td>
<td>6300</td>
<td>12/27/91</td>
<td>Ft Knox</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 2257 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Knox, KY</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219140021</td>
<td>6308</td>
<td>12/27/91</td>
<td>Ft Knox</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1811 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Knox, KY</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219140022</td>
<td>6309</td>
<td>12/27/91</td>
<td>Ft Knox</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 6306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Knox, KY</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012688</td>
<td>7147</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 4957 sq. ft., 2 story temporary wood frame, possible asbestos, most recent use—barracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Knox, KY</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012689</td>
<td>7148</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 4957 sq. ft., 2 story temporary wood frame, possible asbestos, most recent use—barracks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ft. Knox, KY</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012690</td>
<td>7149</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 4957 sq. ft., 2 story temporary wood frame, possible asbestos, most recent use—barracks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Buildings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Co.:</th>
<th>Zip:</th>
<th>Property Number:</th>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012692</td>
<td>7151</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1578 sq. ft.; 2 story; possible asbestos; most recent use—child care and administration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012693</td>
<td>7152</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1487 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012694</td>
<td>7153</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1487 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012695</td>
<td>7154</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1487 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012696</td>
<td>7155</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1487 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012697</td>
<td>7156</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1487 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012698</td>
<td>7157</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1487 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012699</td>
<td>7158</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1487 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Knox</td>
<td>Co: Hardin</td>
<td>40121</td>
<td>219012700</td>
<td>7159</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 1487 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, presence of asbestos, most recent use—office.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fort Polk, LA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Co.:</th>
<th>Zip:</th>
<th>Property Number:</th>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk, LA</td>
<td>Co: Vernon</td>
<td>71459</td>
<td>219012691</td>
<td>6310</td>
<td>12/27/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 2250 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood frame, possible asbestos, most recent use—dining facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk, LA</td>
<td>Co: Vernon</td>
<td>71459</td>
<td>219012701</td>
<td>6311</td>
<td>12/27/91</td>
<td>Fort Polk</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 2250 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood frame, possible asbestos, most recent use—dining facility.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- **Unutilized** status indicates properties that are not currently in use.
- Properties are primarily used for military purposes.
- **Federal Register** dates indicate the last update or reg date for the property status.
- Some properties mention asbestos, indicating potential hazards or remediation needs.
- Projects include Fort Knox and Fort Polk, with various buildings and dates indicating the most recent update or reg date.
Project Name: Fort Polk

Fed Property Number: 219012712
Property Number: 219012712
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Polk
Armed Road
Fort Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 6103 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary wood frame; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 7430
Property Number: 219012715
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Polk
1st Street
Fort Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 6087 sq. ft.; 2 story temporary frame; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 8026
Property Number: 219012724
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Polk
10th Street
Fort Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459-5000
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2580 sq. ft.; 1 story temporary wood frame; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 7175
Property Number: 219013770
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Polk
12th Street
Fort Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459-5000
Status: Excess
Comment: 7527 sq. ft.; temporary wood structure; scheduled for demolition; seriously deteriorated.

Bldg. T-4701
Property Number: 219140045
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Polk
Pt. Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story, most recent use—office.

Bldg. T-4701B
Property Number: 219140046
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Polk
Pt. Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 600 sq. ft., 1 story, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. T-4702
Property Number: 219140047
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Polk
Pt. Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5913 sq. ft., 2 story, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. T-4703
Property Number: 219140049
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Pt. Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5913 sq. ft., 2 story, most recent use—barracks (storage).
Project Name: Fort Devens
Fort Devens
Fort Devens, MA, Co: Middlesex/Worcester.
Zip: 01433--
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., wood structure—needs rehab. no sanitary facilities, most recent use—company admin/supply.

Bidg. KB-0021
Property Number: 219140027
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Devens
Pt. Rodman, MA, Zip: 02744--
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4920 sq. ft., 1 story wood, presence of asbestos, most recent use—storage.

Bidg. KB-0100
Property Number: 219140028
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Devens
Pt. Rodman, MA, Zip: 02744--
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story insulated monopanel, most recent use—reserve center.

Bidg. KB-0102
Property Number: 219140030
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Devens
Pt. Rodman, MA, Co: Middlesex/Worcester.
Zip: 01433--
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 6351 sq. ft., wood, two stories, most recent use—housing.

Bidg. T-0208
Property Number: 219140031
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Devens
Zip: 01433--
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., 2 story wood, presence of asbestos, needs rehab.

Bidg. T-0236
Property Number: 219140032
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Devens
Pt. Rodman, MA, Co: Middlesex/Worcester.
Project Name: Fort Devens
Zip: 01433--
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4813 sq. ft., 1 story wood, presence of asbestos, needs rehab.

Bidg. T-0259
Property Number: 219140033
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Devens
Pt. Rodman, MA, Co: Middlesex/Worcester.
Zip: 01433--
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3044 sq. ft., 1 story, most recent use—library.

Bidg. T-0278
Property Number: 219140066
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Polk
Pt. Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459--5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4357 sq. ft., 2 story, most recent use—barracks (storage).

Bidg. T-0279
Property Number: 219140067
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Polk
Pt. Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459--5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4357 sq. ft., 2 story, most recent use—barracks (storage).

Bidg. T-0280
Property Number: 219140068
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Polk
Pt. Polk, LA, Co: Vernon, Zip: 71459--5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4357 sq. ft., 2 story, most recent use—barracks (storage).

Massachusetts

Buildings

Bidg. T-2732
Property Number: 219012343
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Devens
Project Name: Fort Devens
Zip: 01433--
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3044 sq. ft., 1 story, most recent use—housing.

Bidg. T-2281
Property Number: 219012344
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Devens
Fort Devens, MA, Co: Middlesex/Worcester.
Zip: 01433--
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4357 sq. ft., 2 story, most recent use—barracks (storage).
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1176 sq. ft., 1 story wood, presence of asbestos, needs rehab.

Maryland
Buildings
Bldg. 2030
Property Number: 219011418
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3540 sq. ft., possible asbestos, poor condition; utilities disconnected.

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219011419
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2031 sq. ft., one story, utilities disconnected, poor condition, possible asbestos.

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219011420
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11800 sq. ft., possible asbestos, two story, potential utilities.

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219011421
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3302 sq. ft., one story, possible asbestos, poor condition.

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219011422
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., two story, utilities disconnected.

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219011423
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., two story, utilities disconnected.

Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219011424
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., two story, utilities disconnected.

Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1750 sq. ft., one story, possible asbestos, poor condition, utilities disconnected.

Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1513 sq. ft., one story, possible asbestos, poor condition, utilities disconnected.

Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1513 sq. ft., one story, utilities disconnected, possible asbestos, poor condition.

Bldg. T-3243
Property Number: 219011425
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., two story, possible asbestos, utilities disconnected.

Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., two story, utilities disconnected, possible asbestos, poor condition.

Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., two story, utilities disconnected.

Project Name: Aberdeen Proving Ground
Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft., two story, utilities disconnected, possible asbestos, poor condition.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bldg Number</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3639</td>
<td>219011439</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Aberdeen Proving Ground</td>
<td>Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>129 sq. ft.; possible asbestos and contamination; no utilities; most recent use—igloo storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3640</td>
<td>219011440</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Aberdeen Proving Ground</td>
<td>Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>54 sq. ft.; one story frame with wood frame; termite damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3642</td>
<td>219011442</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Aberdeen Proving Ground</td>
<td>Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>3250 sq. ft.; potential utilities; poor condition; possible asbestos.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3647</td>
<td>219012621</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Aberdeen Proving Ground</td>
<td>Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21005-5001</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>1750 sq. ft.; possible contamination—under study; potential utilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3648</td>
<td>219012643</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Aberdeen Proving Ground</td>
<td>Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21010-5425</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>3250 sq. ft.; potential utilities; poor condition; possible asbestos.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3649</td>
<td>219012644</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Aberdeen Proving Ground</td>
<td>Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21010-5425</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>624 sq. ft.; trailer; potential utilities; poor condition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3650</td>
<td>219012652</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Aberdeen Proving Ground</td>
<td>Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21010-5425</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>213 sq. ft.; possible asbestos and contamination; no utilities; most recent use—igloo storage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3651</td>
<td>219012653</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Aberdeen Proving Ground</td>
<td>Aberdeen City, MD, Co: Harford, Zip: 21010-5425</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>2208 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; needs major rehab.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Name: Fort George
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 2815
Comment: 1144 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access; possible asbestos.

Status: Underutilized

Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Property Number: 219014890
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Chisholm Street
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1678 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; secured area with alternate access; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 649

Property Number: 219014894
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Chamberlain Avenue
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2594 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; secured area with alternate access; needs rehab; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 543

Property Number: 219014866
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Chamberlain Avenue
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; possible asbestos; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access.

Bldg. 357

Property Number: 219014871
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Chamberlain Avenue
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 269

Property Number: 219014873
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Chisholm Street
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Underutilized

Comment: 3357 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 2413

Property Number: 219014875
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Chisholm Street

Behind Bldg. 2423—Earnie Pyle Street
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access; possible asbestos.

Bldg. 2419

Property Number: 219014878
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Earnie Pyle Street
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2441 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab; possible asbestos; secured area with alternate access; most recent use—arms room.

Bldg. 2420

Property Number: 219014880
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Earnie Pyle Street
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Underutilized
Comment: 3663 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; secured area with alternate access; most recent use—gym.

Bldg. 2847

Property Number: 219040001
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Chamberlain Avenue
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Underutilized
Comment: 6525 sq. ft.; one story; wood frame; possible asbestos; needs major rehab; secured area w/alternate access.

Bldg. 523

Property Number: 219040002
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort George G. Meade
Fort George G. Meade
Chamberlain Avenue
Fort Meade, MD, Co: Anne Arundel, Zip: 20755–

Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4307 sq. ft.; one story; wood frame; possible asbestos; needs major rehab; secured area w/alternate access.

Minnesota

Land

Property Number: 219120209
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant
New Brighton, MN, Co: Ramsey, Zip: 5512–

Status: Underutilized
Comment: Approx. 25 acres, possible contamination, secured area with alternate access.

Buildings
Le Sueur USAR Center
Property Number: 219013558
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Le Sueur USAR Center
620 Tulit Street
Le Sueur, MN, Co: Le Sueur, Zip: 56058-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4316/1325 sq. ft; 1 story; most recent use—storage.

Missouri
Buildings
Bldg. T375
Property Number: 219140040
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Leonard Wood
St. Leonard Wood, MO, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 65473-5000
Status: Underutilized

Bldg. T376
Property Number: 219140041
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Leonard Wood
St. Leonard Wood, MO, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 65473-5000
Status: Underutilized

Bldg. T385
Property Number: 219140042
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Leonard Wood
St. Leonard Wood, MO, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 65473-5000
Status: Underutilized

Bldg. 384
Property Number: 219140043
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Leonard Wood
St. Leonard Wood, MO, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 65473-5000
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1292 sq. ft, 1 story wood frame, presence of asbestos, not handicapped accessible, to be vacant Feb. 1992.

Bldg. T6818
Property Number: 219140044
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Leonard Wood
St. Leonard Wood, MO, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 65473-5000
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 10062 sq. ft, 1 story concrete/block frame, presence of asbestos, not handicapped accessible, limited utilities.

Nevada
Land
Parcel A
Property Number: 219012049
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammo. Plant
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: At foot of Eastern slope of Mount Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq. ft, one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00428
Property Number: 219011949
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammo. Plant
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq. ft, one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00429
Property Number: 219011950
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammo. Plant
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq. ft, one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00430
Property Number: 219011951
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammo. Plant
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq. ft, one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00431
Property Number: 219011952
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammo. Plant
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq. ft, one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00432
Property Number: 219011954
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammo. Plant
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq. ft, one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00433
Property Number: 219011956
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammo. Plant
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq. ft, one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00434
Property Number: 219011959
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammo. Plant
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq. ft, one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.
Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant

Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq ft., one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00441
Property Number: 219011977
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq ft., one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00443
Property Number: 219011990
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq ft., one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Bldg. 00445
Property Number: 219011994
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Hawthorne Army Ammunition Plant
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq ft., one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

Hawthorne, NV, Co: Mineral, Zip: 89415-
Location: Schweer Drive
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1310-1640 sq ft., one floor residential, semi/wood construction, good condition.

New York

Buildings
Bldg. 503
Property Number: 219012564
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Totten
Location: Ordnance Road
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 510 sq ft., 1 floor, most recent use-hospital, needs major rehab/Utilities.

Bldg. 323
Property Number: 219012567
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Totten
Location: Story Avenue
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3000 sq ft., 3 floors, most recent use-barracks & mess facility, needs major rehab.

Bldg. 304
Property Number: 219012570
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Totten
Location: Shore Road
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 9610 sq ft., 3 floors, most recent use-hospital, needs major rehab/utilities disconnected.
Bldg. 211
Property Number: 219012573
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Totten
Fort Totten
211 Totten Avenue
Bayside, NY, Co: Queens, Zip: 11359-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 6329 sq ft., 3 floors, most recent use-family housing, needs major rehab, utilities disconnected.

Bldg. 322
Property Number: 219012578
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Totten
Fort Totten
Theater Road
Bayside, NY, Co: Queens, Zip: 11359-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 6298 sq ft., 1 floor, most recent use-theater w/ stage, needs major rehab, utilities disconnected.

Bldg. 504
Property Number: 219012580
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Totten
Fort Totten
Ordnance Road
Bayside, NY, Co: Queens, Zip: 11359-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 490 sq ft., 1 floor, most recent use-storage, no utilities, needs major rehab.

Bldg. 326
Property Number: 219012583
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Totten
Fort Totten
326 Story Avenue
Bayside, NY, Co: Queens, Zip: 11359-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 30000 sq ft., 3 floors, most recent use-barracks, mess & administration, utilities disconnected, needs rehab.

Bldg. 827
Property Number: 219012598
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Totten
Fort Totten
326 Pratt Avenue
Bayside, NY, Co: Queens, Zip: 11359-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 6000 sq ft., 2 floors, most recent use-storage, offices & residential, utilities disconnected/needs rehab.

Bldg. T-2531
Property Number: 219011248
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2531 Sheridan Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1990 sq ft.; structurally unsound; asbestos, wood frame, 2 floors, WWII Bldg.

Bldg. T-2545
Property Number: 219011235
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2546 Sheridan Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1994 sq ft.; asbestos; wood frame; 2 floors; No operating sanitary facilities; most recent use—enl. barracks basic.

Bldg. T-2546
Property Number: 219011257
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2548 Sheridan Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1994 sq ft.; asbestos; wood frame; 2 floors; no operating sanitary facilities; most recent use—enl. barracks basic.

Bldg. T-2548
Property Number: 219011260
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2564 Sheridan Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1165 sq ft.; asbestos; wood frame; 1 floor; most recent use—administrative/supply.

Bldg. T-2564
Property Number: 219011264
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2604 Currie Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1196 sq ft.; asbestos; wood frame; 1 floor; most recent use—administrative/supply.

Bldg. T-2565
Property Number: 219011269
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2605 Currie Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1179 sq ft.; asbestos; wood frame; 1 floor; most recent use—administrative/supply.

Bldg. T-2566
Property Number: 219011273
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2606 Currie Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2722 sq ft.; possible asbestos, one floor wood frame; most recent use—Headquarters Bldg.

Bldg. T-2614
Property Number: 219011275
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2914 Ringold Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4800 sq ft.; possible asbestos, wood frame, 2 floors; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. T-2631
Property Number: 219011279
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2815 Ringold Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3778 sq ft.; possible asbestos; wood frame; two floors; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. T-2644
Property Number: 219011281
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2820 Ringold Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2370 sq ft.; 2 story wood frame; possible asbestos; possible structure deficiencies.

Bldg. T-2649
Property Number: 219011287
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2823 Ringold Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2400 sq ft.; 2 story wood frame; asbestos; possible structure deficiencies.
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2624 Miner Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3738 sq ft; possible asbestos, wood frame; 2 floors; most recent use—dayroom.
Bldg. T-2625
Property Number: 219011289
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2625 Ringold Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3664 sq ft; wood frame; 2 floors; possible asbestos; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. T-2628
Property Number: 219011296
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2623 Ringold Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3664 sq ft; possible asbestos, wood frame; 2 floors; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. T-2630
Property Number: 219011299
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2629 Ringold Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3664 sq ft; wood frame; 2 floors; most recent use—barracks.
Bldg. T-2633
Property Number: 219011301
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
2631 Ringold Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4212 sq ft; 2 story; possible asbestos; possible structure deficiencies.
Bldg. T-2633
Property Number: 219011313
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91

Bldg. T-4307
Property Number: 219011378
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
4312 Wilson Road,
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2812 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; structurally unsound; possible asbestos; most recent use—administration.

Bldg. T-4353
Property Number: 219011384
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
4502 Wilson Road,
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2912 sq. ft.; structurally unsound; possible asbestos; one story wood frame.

Bldg. T-4510
Property Number: 219011386
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
4510 Wilson Road,
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3006 sq. ft.; asbestos; wood frame; 1 floor; most recent use—medical storage.

Bldg. T-4513
Property Number: 219011389
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
4513 Wilson Road,
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3842 sq. ft.; asbestos; wood frame; 1 floor; most recent use—classroom.

Bldg. T-4550
Property Number: 219011401
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
4550 Hartell Blvd.,
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3232 sq. ft.; structural deficiencies; one story wood frame.

Bldg. T-4570
Property Number: 219011405
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
4720 Hartell Blvd.
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3900 sq. ft.; steel/wood frame; 1 story; needs rehab; possible asbestos; most recent use—general instruction building.

Bldg. S-701
Property Number: 219013013
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
701 Corral Road,
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 19900 sq. ft.; steel/wood frame; 1 story; needs rehab; possible asbestos; most recent use—administration.

Bldg. S-2644, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130070
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
2644 Tacy Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 12325 sq. ft.; visual asbestos; wood frame; 2 floors; most recent use—recreation bldg.

Bldg. T-4909
Property Number: 219014323
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
4909 Post Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 600 sq. ft.; 1 story mobile home trailer; possible asbestos; needs rehab.

Bldg. T-503
Property Number: 219014933
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
4522 Wilson Road,
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1690 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage.

Bldg. T-5451
Property Number: 219014935
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sill
Fort Sill
4541 Hartell Blvd.,
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2340 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab; possible asbestos; most recent use—administration.

Bldg. S-2643, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130069
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
2843 Tacy Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 82 sq. ft., metal frame shed, off-site use only.

Bldg. S-264, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130071
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
226 Corral Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 77 sq. ft., metal frame shed, off-site use only.

Bldg. T-255, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130072
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
255 Corral Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2019 sq. ft., one story wood frame, off-site use only, possible asbestos.

Bldg. T-932, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130073
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
932 Ft. Sill Blvd.
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2344 sq. ft., one story wood stucco, off-site use only, possible asbestos, most recent use—exchange branch.

Bldg. T-1067, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130074
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
1067 Gruber Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503-5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3353 sq. ft., one story wood & stucco, off-site use only, possible asbestos, most recent use—administration.
Bldg. T-2917, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130078
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
2017 Ringgold Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3779 sq. ft., two story wood frame; most recent use—administration, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-2755, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130079
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
2755 Miner Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5000 sq. ft., two story wood frame; most recent use—barracks w/o dining, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3033, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130080
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3033 Hoskins Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2022 sq. ft., one story wood frame; most recent use—storage, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3034, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130081
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3034 Hoskins Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4751 sq. ft., one story wood frame; most recent use—storage, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3158, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130082
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3158 Harvey Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1812 sq. ft., wood frame; most recent use—storehouse, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3159, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130083
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3159 Harvey Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2071 sq. ft., one story wood frame; most recent use—storehouse, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3538, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130084
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3538 Tacy Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2782 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—administration, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3547, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130085
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3547 Walker Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1154 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—administration, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3556, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130086
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3556 Tacy Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3142 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—storage, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3557, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130087
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3557 Tacy Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2884 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—administration, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3628, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130088
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3628 Tacy Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2766 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—classroom, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3688, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130089
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3688 Scott Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2760 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—storehouse, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-3702, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130090
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3702 Walker Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3196 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; needs rehab; to be vacated mid 1990.
Bldg. T-3703, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130091
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
3703 Tacy Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2749 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—barracks, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-4215, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130092
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
4215 Thomas Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1311 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—storage, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-4216, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130093
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
4216 Thomas Street
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1297 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—storage, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-5612, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130094
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
5612 Pratt Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 149 sq. ft.; one story metal; most recent use—gas station, off-site use only, possible asbestos.
Bldg. T-5620, Fort Sill
Property Number: 219130095
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
5620 Pratt Road
Lawton, OK, Co: Comanche, Zip: 73503–5100
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1552 sq. ft.; one story wood frame; most recent use—administration, off-site use only, possible asbestos.

South Carolina

Bldg 5405
Property Number: 219130007
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Project Name: Fort Jackson
Fort Jackson
Jackson Blvd.
Fort Jackson, SC, Co: Richland, Zip: 29207– Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4764 sq. ft.; 1 floor; wood frame; needs rehab; to be vacated mid 1990.
Bldg 8502
Property Number: 219130008
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Jackson
Fort Jackson, SC, Co: Richland, Zip: 29207– Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3779 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; off-site removal only, needs rehab, most recent use—storage.
Bldg 8504
Property Number: 219130009
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Jackson
Fort Jackson, SC, Co: Richland, Zip: 29207– Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; off-site removal only, needs rehab, most recent use—administrative.
Bldg 8506
Property Number: 219130010
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Jackson
Fort Jackson, SC, Co: Richland, Zip: 29207– Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; off-site removal only, needs rehab, most recent use—troop billets.
Bldg 8508
Property Number: 219130011
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Jackson
Fort Jackson, SC, Co: Richland, Zip: 29207– Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4720 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; off-site removal only, needs rehab, most recent use—travel office.
Bldg 8571
Property Number: 219130012
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Jackson
Fort Jackson, SC, Co: Richland, Zip: 29207– Status: Underutilized
Comment: 3196 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; off-site removal only, needs rehab, most recent use—storage.
Holton Army Ammunition Plant

Property Number: 219012338
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Holton Army Ammunition Plant
Kingsport, TN, Co: Hawkins, Zip: 37691-6000
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 8 acres; unimproved; could provide access; 2 acres unusable; near explosives.
Buildings

Milan Army Ammunition Plant

Property Number: 219010005
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Area Q—Housing Area Q-7
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: Two story; wood frame; temporarily empty due to personnel rotation.

Milan Army Ammunition Plant

Property Number: 219010009
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Area Q—Housing Area Q-12
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: Two story; wood frame; temporarily empty due to personnel rotation.

Robert Joel Ridings

Property Number: 219011667
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: U.S. Army Reserve Center
US Army Reserve Center
Nashville, TN, Co: Davidson, Zip: 37207-
Status: Excess
Comment: 40,000 sq. ft.; 3.67 acres; concrete block; utilities disconnected; site vandalized.

Area Q—Housing Area—Q20

Property Number: 219014790
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2506 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame residence.

Area Q—Housing Area—Q21

Property Number: 219110032
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2506 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame residence.

Area G—Housing Area—Q-28

Property Number: 219110033
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2506 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame residence.

Area Q—Housing Area—Q28

Property Number: 219110034
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2024 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame residence.

Area Q—Housing Area—Q-28

Property Number: 219110102
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2024 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame residence.

Area Q—Housing Area—Q-27

Property Number: 219110272
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2024 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame, most recent use—residence, intermittently used during selected periods.

Area Q—Housing Area—Q-15

Property Number: 219110273
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2024 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame, most recent use—residence, intermittently used during selected periods.

Area Q—Housing Area—Q-19

Property Number: 219120274
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan Army Ammunition Plant
Milan, TN, Co: Carroll, Zip: 38335-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2024 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame, most recent use—residence, intermittently used during selected periods.

Texas

Land

Land Saginaw Army Aircraft Plt

Property Number: 219014814
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw, TX, Co: Tarrant, Zip: 76070-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 154.3 acres; includes buildings/structures/parking and air strip.
Buildings

Bldg. T-227

Property Number: 219014275
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sam Houston
Fort Sam Houston
San Antonio, TX, Co: Bexar, Zip: 78234-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2887 sq. ft.; 1 story wood structure, major rehab needed.

Bldg. 1189

Property Number: 219014276
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Sam Houston
Fort Sam Houston
San Antonio, TX, Co: Bexar, Zip: 78234-
Status: Excess
Comment: 2887 sq. ft.; 1 story wood structure, major rehab needed.
Comment: 9190 sq. ft.; 1 story wood structure; needs major rehabilitation.

Property Number: 219014277
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw, TX, Co: Bexar, Zip: 78234–
Status: Excess
Comment: 9190 sq. ft.; 1 story wood structure; needs major rehabilitation.

Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Project Name: Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Property Number: 219014818
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw, TX, Co: Tarrant, Zip: 76070–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5028 sq. ft.; 1 story wood and metal frame; subject to sewer pipeline easement; needs rehab.

Bldg. 31
Property Number: 219014827
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw, TX, Co: Tarrant, Zip: 76070–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 25598 sq. ft.; 1 story wood and metal frame; subject to sewer pipeline easement; needs rehab.

Bldg. 9
Property Number: 219014828
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw, TX, Co: Tarrant, Zip: 76070–
Status: Excess
Comment: 1392 sq. ft.; 1 story wood and metal frame; subject to sewer pipeline easement; needs rehab.
Comment: 50581 sq. ft.; 1 story wood and metal frame; subject to sewer pipeline easement; needs rehab.

Bldg. 27
Property Number: 2191046834
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw, TX, Co: Tarrant, Zip: 76070-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 228 sq. ft.; 2 story wood and metal frame; subject to sewer pipeline easement; needs rehab; most recent use—control tower.

Bldg. 32
Property Number: 2191046835
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw Army Aircraft Plant
Saginaw, TX, Co: Tarrant, Zip: 76070-
Status: Unutilized

Comment: 19546 sq. ft.; 1 story wood and metal frame; subject to sewer pipeline easement; needs rehab.

Bldg. 4940 Fort Bliss, Tex.
Property Number: 21910144
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
4925 Ketcham Avenue
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2169 sq. ft., one story wood frame; limited utilities; possible friable asbestos; most recent use—storage; off-site use only.

Bldg. 4938 Fort Bliss, Tex.
Property Number: 21910145
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
4938 Burgin Street
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1770 sq. ft., one story wood frame; possible friable asbestos; most recent use—storage; off-site use only; limited utilities.

Bldg. 4940 Fort Bliss, Tex.
Property Number: 21910146
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
4940 Burgin Street
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 800 sq. ft., one story wood frame; limited utilities; needs rehab; most recent use—storage; off-site use only.

Bldg. 11190 Fort Bliss, Tex.
Property Number: 21910147
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
11190 SGT E. Churchill Street
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1140 sq. ft., one story wood frame; limited utilities; most recent use—storage; off-site use only.

Harlingen USARC
Property Number: 219102034
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
1920 East Washington
Harlingen, TX, Co: Cameron, Zip: 78550-
Status: Excess
Comment: 19440 sq. ft.; 1 story brick, needs rehab, with approx. 8 acres including parking areas, most recent use—Army Reserve Training Center.

Bldg. 606
Property Number: 2191030020
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Fort Bliss
606 Pleasonton Road
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 972 sq. ft., one story wood frame, needs rehab, off-site use only, most recent use—general storehouse.

Bldg. 608
Property Number: 2191030021
Fed Reg Date: 12/2/91
Fort Bliss
608 Pleasonton Road
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1770 sq. ft., one story wood frame, needs painting, most recent use—general storehouse, off-site use only.
El 4768 Burgin Street
Bldg. 4700
Status: Unutilized

El 4767 Fort Bliss
Fad Propo Number: 21130027
Bldg. 4767
Status: Unutilized

4760 Gatchell Avenue
Fort Bliss
Comment: Needs painting, most recent use—administrative, presence of asbestos in boiler room, off-site use only.

El 4760 Fort Bliss
Property Number: 219130024
Bldg. 4760
Status: Unutilized

Comment: 873 sq. ft., one story wood frame, most recent use—administrative, presence of asbestos in boiler room, off-site use only.

El 4822 Gatchell Avenue
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1770 sq. ft., one story wood frame, most recent use—general storehouse, off-site use only.

Bldg. 4831
Property Number: 218130038
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Bliss

4831 Hohenthal Avenue
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 915 sq. ft., one story wood frame, most recent use—day room, off-site use only.

Bldg. 4840
Property Number: 218130033
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Bliss

4840 Burgin Street
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1720 sq. ft., one story wood frame, most recent use—manual bldg., presence of asbestos in boiler room, off-site use only.

Bldg. 4852
Property Number: 218130032
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Bliss

4852 Hohenthal Avenue
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2109 sq. ft., one story wood frame, most recent use—manual bldg., presence of asbestos in boiler room, off-site use only.

Bldg. 11254
Property Number: 218130033
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Bliss

11254 Sgt. F. Murchio Street
El Paso, TX, Co: El Paso, Zip: 79916
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1322 sq. ft., one story wood frame, most recent use—administrative, needs painting, off-site use only.

Bldg. 4509
Property Number: 218140007
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Hood

Old Battalion Avenue
Ft. Hood, TX, Co: Bell, Zip: 76544
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2280 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame, structure, needs rehab, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 510
Property Number: 219140002
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/94
Fort Hood

Old Battalion Avenue
Ft. Hood, TX, Co: Bell, Zip: 76544
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2960 sq. ft., 2 story wood frame, structure, needs rehab, most recent use—youth center.

Bldg. 912
Property Number: 219140005
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Hood

Central Drive
Ft. Hood, TX, Co: Bell, Zip: 76544
Status: Unutilized

Comment: 2763 sq. ft., 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 903
Property Number: 219140036
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Hood

Central Drive
Ft. Hood, TX, Co: Bell, Zip: 76544
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1215 sq. ft., 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 999
Property Number: 219140037
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Hood

Old Park Avenue
Ft. Hood, TX, Co: Bell, Zip: 76544
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1068 sq. ft., 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 1035
Property Number: 219140038
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Hood

Battalion Avenue
Ft. Hood, TX, Co: Bell, Zip: 76544
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3292 sq. ft., 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—storage.

Bldg. 1057
Property Number: 219140039
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Fort Hood

Battalion Avenue
Ft. Hood, TX, Co: Bell, Zip: 76544
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 17100 sq. ft., 1 story, needs rehab, most recent use—storage.

Virginia
Buildings

Bldg. T413
Property Number: 219010000
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Kingston, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T414
Property Number: 219010007
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T415
Property Number: 219010006
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T416
Property Number: 219010008
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T421
Property Number: 219010010
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T422
Property Number: 219010011
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T423
Property Number: 219010012
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T426
Property Number: 219010013
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T427
Property Number: 219010014
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T428
Property Number: 219010015
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T431
Property Number: 219010016
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T432
Property Number: 219010017
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T433
Property Number: 219010018
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T441
Property Number: 219010019
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T442
Property Number: 219010020
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T443
Property Number: 219010021
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T444
Property Number: 219010022
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T446
Property Number: 219010023
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T447
Property Number: 219010024
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T448
Property Number: 219010025
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T449
Property Number: 219010026
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824–
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Federal Register / Vol. 57, No. 31 / Friday, February 14, 1992 / Notices  5719

Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2419
Property Number: 219010005
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2420
Property Number: 219010006
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2421
Property Number: 219010007
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2422
Property Number: 219010008
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2423
Property Number: 219010009
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2424
Property Number: 219010010
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2427
Property Number: 219010041
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2428
Property Number: 219010042
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2429
Property Number: 219010043
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2430
Property Number: 219010044
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2431
Property Number: 219010045
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2432
Property Number: 219010046
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2433
Property Number: 219010047
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2434
Property Number: 219010048
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2435
Property Number: 219010049
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2436
Property Number: 219010050
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2437
Property Number: 219010051
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2438
Property Number: 219010052
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2439
Property Number: 219010053
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2440
Property Number: 219010054
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2441
Property Number: 219010055
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2442
Property Number: 219010056
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2443
Property Number: 219010057
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2444
Property Number: 219010058
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 2445
Property Number: 219010059
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T1363
Property Number: 219010060
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T1364
Property Number: 219010061
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T2208
Property Number: 219010062
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T2209
Property Number: 219010063
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T1349
Property Number: 219010064
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T1350
Property Number: 219010065
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T1351
Property Number: 219010066
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T1352
Property Number: 219010067
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T3042
Property Number: 219010069
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T3043
Property Number: 219010070
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T3044
Property Number: 219010071
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T3045
Property Number: 219010072
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T3046
Property Number: 219010073
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T3047
Property Number: 219010074
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T3048
Property Number: 219010075
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T3049
Property Number: 219010076
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T2434</td>
<td>219010092</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Pickett</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Pickett</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Pickett</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Status: Unutilized</td>
<td></td>
<td>Status: Unutilized</td>
<td></td>
<td>Status: Unutilized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.</td>
<td>Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.</td>
<td>Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.</td>
<td>Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Comment: 2000 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises. Bldg. T2434

**Fort Pickett**

- **Project Name:** Fort Pickett
- **Fed Reg Date:** 12/20/91
- **Property Number:** 219010092
- **Status:** Unutilized
- **Comment:** 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

---

**Blackstone, VA, Co:** Nottoway, Zip: 23824-5721

- **Bldg. T2636**
  - **Project Name:** Fort Pickett
  - **Fed Reg Date:** 12/20/91
  - **Property Number:** 219010104
  - **Status:** Underutilized
  - **Comment:** 3300 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—Hqts., Bldg. T2634

---

**Fort Pickett**

- **Project Name:** Fort Pickett
- **Fed Reg Date:** 12/20/91
- **Property Number:** 219010097
- **Status:** Underutilized
- **Comment:** 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises, most recent use—barracks.

---

**Blackstone, VA, Co:** Nottoway, Zip: 23824-5721

- **Bldg. 1666**
  - **Project Name:** Fort Pickett
  - **Fed Reg Date:** 12/20/91
  - **Property Number:** 219010093
  - **Status:** Underutilized
  - **Comment:** 3300 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—Hqts., Bldg. T2634

---

**Blackstone, VA, Co:** Nottoway, Zip: 23824-5721

- **Bldg. 1677**
  - **Project Name:** Fort Pickett
  - **Fed Reg Date:** 12/20/91
  - **Property Number:** 2190100972
  - **Status:** Underutilized
  - **Comment:** 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises, most recent use—barracks.
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1690
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—HQts. Bldg.

Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1686
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; most recent use—housing; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1684
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1200 sq. ft.; most recent use—housing; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1687
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—HQts. Bldg.

Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1688
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—HQts. Bldg.

Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1689
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—HQts. Bldg.

Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1690
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—HQts. Bldg.

Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1686
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; most recent use—housing; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1687
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—HQts. Bldg.

Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Bldg. 1688
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—HQts. Bldg.
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2268 sq. ft.; most recent use—housing; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T2628
Property Number: 219010998
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises: most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 2900
Property Number: 219011099
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2268 sq. ft.; most recent use—housing; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T2629
Property Number: 219011000
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises: most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. T3055
Property Number: 219011001
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2307 sq. ft.; most recent use—recreation facility; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T3167
Property Number: 219011002
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2307 sq. ft.; most recent use—recreation facility; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. T2630
Property Number: 219011003
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. T2631
Property Number: 219011010
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 2901
Property Number: 219011011
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2256 sq. ft.; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. T2632
Property Number: 219011012
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods reserved for military/training exercises: most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2610
Property Number: 219011013
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2258 sq. ft.; selected periods reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 1887
Property Number: 219011005
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. T2627
Property Number: 219011006
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2205
Property Number: 219011007
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2227
Property Number: 219011008
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2228
Property Number: 219011009
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; selected periods reserved for military/training exercises: most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. T2633
Property Number: 219011010
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2631
Property Number: 219011011
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft.; selected periods reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.
Comment: 2000 sq. ft.; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2856
Property Number: 219010109
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2641
Property Number: 2190101020
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 3016
Property Number: 2190101021
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 3017
Property Number: 2190101022
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2854
Property Number: 2190101023
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 3031
Property Number: 2190101024
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 3032
Property Number: 2190101025
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2900
Property Number: 2190101026
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2845
Property Number: 2190101027
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 3033
Property Number: 2190101028
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2856
Property Number: 2190101029
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 2641
Property Number: 2190101030
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 3034
Property Number: 2190101031
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 3035
Property Number: 2190101032
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—recreation/adm.

Bldg. 3036
Property Number: 2190101033
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2000 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 2856
Property Number: 2190101034
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 2856
Property Number: 2190101035
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 2856
Property Number: 2190101036
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 2856
Property Number: 2190101037
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 2856
Property Number: 2190101038
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 2856
Property Number: 2190101039
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military training exercises; most recent use—barracks.

Bldg. 2856
Property Number: 2190101040
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 4292 sq. ft; selected periods are reserved for military training exercises; most recent use—barracks.
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—veh. maint. shop; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T416
Property Number: 219011074
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T2425
Property Number: 219011078
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2300 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2441
Property Number: 219011080
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2450
Property Number: 219011081
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2608
Property Number: 219011082
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2300 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2618
Property Number: 219011083
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2651
Property Number: 219011084
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2300 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 1725
Property Number: 219011078
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility: selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. T1000
Property Number: 219011071
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility: selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2440
Property Number: 219011077
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA: Nottoway, Zip: 23824--Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining facility: selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—
dining facility; selected periods are
reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2627
Property Number: 219011096
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—
dining facility; selected periods are
reserved for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2828
Property Number: 219011099
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—
dining fac; selected periods are reserved
for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2629
Property Number: 219011098
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—
dining fac; selected periods are reserved
for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2211
Property Number: 219011098
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—
dining fac; selected periods are reserved
for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2220
Property Number: 219011099
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—
dining fac; selected periods are reserved
for military/training exercises.
Bldg. 2221
Property Number: 219011100
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824—
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—
dining fac; selected periods are reserved
for military/training exercises.
Comment: 2900 sq. ft.; most recent use—dining fac; selected periods are reserved for military/training exercises.

Bldg. 1932
Property Number: 219012310
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Belvoir
Goethals Road
Fort Belvoir, VA, Co: Fairfax, Zip: 22000-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 13790 sq. ft.: 2 floors; most recent use—storage: All utilities have been removed; needs rehab.

Bldg. 2222
Property Number: 219012315
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Belvoir
West of Foster Road
Fort Belvoir, VA, Co: Fairfax, Zip: 22000-
Location: West of Foster Road
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3900 sq. ft. per floor; 2 floors: concrete foundation/frame building; no utilities.
Army Hospital Complex
Status: Unutilized
Vancouver, WA, Co: Clark, Zip: 98661-3898
SE
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219013441
Bldg. 701
Comment: 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Vancouver, WA, Co: Clark, Zip: 98661-3898
Army Hospital Complex
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219013444
Bldg. T-10135
Comment: 97 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings; most recent use—power plant.

Army Hospital Complex
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219013435
Bldg. T-10122
Comment: 4629 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Army Hospital Complex
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219013439
Bldg. T-10136
Comment: 96 sq. ft.; 1 story frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings; most recent use—power plant.

Wisconsin
Buildings
Bldg. T-1059
Property Number: 219013435
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1900 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10121
Property Number: 219013436
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2405 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10123
Property Number: 219013437
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2015 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10135
Property Number: 219013438
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2395 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10136
Property Number: 219013441
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1148 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Vancouver, WA, Co: Clark, Zip: 98661-3898
Army Hospital Complex
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 219013440
Bldg. T-10127
Comment: 1 story wood frame, needs extensive repairs, Historic property.

Bldg. T-10121
Property Number: 219013442
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 215 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10137
Property Number: 219013444
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 215 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10138
Property Number: 219013445
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2395 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10139
Property Number: 219013446
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1900 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10140
Property Number: 219013447
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1148 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10141
Property Number: 219013448
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5295 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10142
Property Number: 219013449
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5295 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10143
Property Number: 219013450
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5295 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10144
Property Number: 219013451
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5295 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10145
Property Number: 219013452
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5295 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-10146
Property Number: 219013453
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5295 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Bldg.</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Army Hospital Complex</th>
<th>Fort McCoy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>219013455</td>
<td>T-01097</td>
<td>Comment: 5295 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013457</td>
<td>T-01014</td>
<td>Comment: 5295 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013458</td>
<td>T-01012</td>
<td>Comment: 506 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013459</td>
<td>T-01010</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013460</td>
<td>T-01011</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013461</td>
<td>T-01012</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013462</td>
<td>T-01003</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013463</td>
<td>T-01004</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013464</td>
<td>T-01006</td>
<td>Comment: 4105 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013465</td>
<td>T-01007</td>
<td>Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013466</td>
<td>T-01008</td>
<td>Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013467</td>
<td>T-01009</td>
<td>Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013468</td>
<td>T-01010</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013469</td>
<td>T-01011</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013470</td>
<td>T-01012</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013471</td>
<td>T-01013</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013472</td>
<td>T-01014</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013473</td>
<td>T-01015</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013474</td>
<td>T-01016</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013475</td>
<td>T-01017</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013476</td>
<td>T-01018</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013477</td>
<td>T-01019</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013478</td>
<td>T-01020</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013479</td>
<td>T-01021</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013480</td>
<td>T-01022</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013481</td>
<td>T-01023</td>
<td>Comment: 3944 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000</td>
<td>Fort McCoy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment: 5730 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings; most recent use—vehicle storage.
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Property Number: 219013475
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Bldg.
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01035

Property Number: 219013476
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Bldg.
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01036

Property Number: 219013477
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Bldg.
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01037

Property Number: 219013478
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Bldg.
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01038

Property Number: 219013479
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Bldg.
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01039

Property Number: 219013480
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Bldg.
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01040

Property Number: 219013481
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Bldg.
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01041

Property Number: 219013482
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01043

Property Number: 219013483
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01044

Property Number: 219013484
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01045

Property Number: 219013485
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01046

Property Number: 219013486
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01047

Property Number: 219013487
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01048

Property Number: 219013488
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01049

Property Number: 219013489
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01050

Property Number: 219013490
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01051

Property Number: 219013491
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01052

Property Number: 219013492
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01053

Property Number: 219013493
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01054

Property Number: 219013494
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01055

Property Number: 219013495
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01056

Property Number: 219013496
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01057

Property Number: 219013497
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01058

Property Number: 219013498
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54658-5000
Comment: 4829 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Bldg. T-01059
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Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01062
Property Number: 219013496
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01063
Property Number: 219013497
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01064
Property Number: 219013498
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01065
Property Number: 219013499
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01066
Property Number: 219013500
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01067
Property Number: 219013501
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01068
Property Number: 219013502
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01069
Property Number: 219013503
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01032
Property Number: 219013505
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01034
Property Number: 219013504
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01041
Property Number: 219013505
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01044
Property Number: 219013506
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01054
Property Number: 219013507
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01033
Property Number: 219013508
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01012
Property Number: 219013509
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01011
Property Number: 219013510
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01009
Property Number: 219013512
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01008
Property Number: 219013514
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01007
Property Number: 219013515
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01006
Property Number: 219013516
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01005
Property Number: 219013517
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01004
Property Number: 219013518
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01003
Property Number: 219013519
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01002
Property Number: 219013520
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01001
Property Number: 219013521
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54650-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4868 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Property Number: 219013518
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01023
Property Number: 219013518
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01024
Property Number: 219013517
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01025
Property Number: 219013518
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01026
Property Number: 219013519
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01027
Property Number: 219013520
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01028
Property Number: 219013521
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01029
Property Number: 219013522
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01030
Property Number: 219013523
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01031
Property Number: 219013524
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01032
Property Number: 219013525
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01033
Property Number: 219013526
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01034
Property Number: 219013527
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01035
Property Number: 219013528
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01036
Property Number: 219013529
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01037
Property Number: 219013530
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01038
Property Number: 219013531
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01039
Property Number: 219013532
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01040
Property Number: 219013533
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01041
Property Number: 219013534
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01042
Property Number: 219013535
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Bldg. T-01043
Property Number: 219013536
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4686 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 3378 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings; most recent use—fire station.
Bldg. T-0055
Property Number: 219013557
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort McCoy
Fort McCoy
Army Hospital Complex
Sparta, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54656-5000
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 5471 sq. ft.; 1 story wood frame; possible asbestos; hospital/patient ward buildings.

Summary of Properties for Army

Buildings =1,262
Land = 16
Total Suitable and Available by agency = 1,278

COE

Alabama

Buildings
Bldg. TU-43
Property Number: 319011549
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Millers Ferry Lock and Dam
Millers Ferry Lock and Dam
Route 1, Box 102
Cameran, AL, Co: Wilcox, Zip: 36729-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1000 sq. ft.; 1 story frame residence; needs minor repair; most recent use—lock tender's dwelling.
Bldg. TU-22
Property Number: 319011551
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Selden Lock and Dam
Selden Lock and Dam
Route 1
Sawyerville, AL, Co: Hale, Zip: 36776-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1080 sq. ft.; 1 story frame residence; needs minor repair; most recent use—lock tender's dwelling.
Bldg. TU-21
Property Number: 319011552
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Selden Lock and Dam
Selden Lock and Dam
Route 1
Sawyerville, AL, Co: Hale, Zip: 36776-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1080 sq. ft.; 1 story frame residence; needs minor repair; most recent use—lock tender's dwelling.
Bldg. TU-23
Property Number: 319011553
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Selden Lock and Dam
Selden Lock and Dam
Route 1
Sawyerville, AL, Co: Hale, Zip: 36776-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1080 sq. ft.; 1 story frame residence; needs minor repair; most recent use—lock tender's dwelling.
Bldg. TU-24
Property Number: 319011554
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91

Project Name: Selden Lock and Dam
Selden Lock and Dam
Route 1
Sawyerville, AL, Co: Hale, Zip: 36776-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1080 sq. ft.; 1 story frame residence; needs minor repair; most recent use—lock tender's dwelling.
Bldg. TU-15
Property Number: 319011556
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Coffeeville Lock and Dam
Coffeeville Lock and Dam
Star Route Box 77
Blandon Springs, AL, Co: Choctaw, Zip: 36819-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1547 sq. ft.; 1 story frame residence; most recent use—lock tender's dwelling.

Arkansas

Land
Parcel 01
Property Number: 319010071
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 12
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Clark, Zip: 71923-8361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 77.6 acres.
Parcel 02
Property Number: 319010072
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 13
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Clark, Zip: 71923-8361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 198.5 acres.
Parcel 03
Property Number: 319010073
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 18
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Clark, Zip: 71923-8361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 50.46 acres.
Parcel 04
Property Number: 319010074
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 24, 25, 30 and 31
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Clark, Zip: 71923-8361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 296.37 acres.
Parcel 05
Property Number: 319010075
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 16
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Clark, Zip: 71923-8361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 187.30 acres.
Parcel 06
Property Number: 319010076
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 13
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Clark, Zip: 71923-8361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 13.0 acres
Parcel 07
Property Number: 319010077
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 34
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Hot Springs, Zip: 71923-9361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 0.27 acres.
Parcel 08
Property Number: 319010078
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 13
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Hot Springs, Zip: 71923-9361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 14.6 acres.
Parcel 09
Property Number: 319010079
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 12
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Hot Springs, Zip: 71923-9361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 4.5 acres.
Parcel 10
Property Number: 319010080
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: DeGray Lake
DeGray Lake
Section 19
Arkadelphia, AR, Co: Hot Springs, Zip: 71923-9361
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 19.50 acres.
Lake Greeson
Property Number: 319010083
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Lake Greeson
Lake Greeson
Section 7, 8, and 16
Murffreesboro, AR, Co: Pike, Zip: 71958-9720
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 48 acres.

California

Land
Lake Mendocino
Property Number: 319011015
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Lake Mendocino
Lake Mendocino
Ukiah, CA, Co: Mendocino, Zip: 95482-9404
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 20 acres; steep, dense brush; potential utilities.

New Hogan Lake
Property Number: 319011017
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**Tract**

Kentucky

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 3.08 acres; potential utilities; brush covered.

**Buildings**

Santa Fe Flood Control Basin
Property Number: 319011298
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Santa Fe Flood Control Basin
Irwindale, CA; Co: Los Angeles, Zip: 91706-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1400 sq. ft.; 1 story stucco; needs rehab; termite damage; secured area with alternate access.

**Florida**

Buildings
Bldg. CN-3
Property Number: 319130006
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
1651 S. Franklin Lock Road
Alva, FL; Co: Lee, Zip: 33920-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1500 sq. ft.; 1 story concrete block residence, off-site use only.

**Idaho**

Buildings
Bldg.
Property Number: 319110028
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Albeni Falls Dam
Albeni Falls Dam
U.S. Highway 2, Priest River
Bonner, ID; Co: Bonner, Zip: 83856-
Location: 3 1/2 miles west of Priest River.
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 2869 sq. ft.; 3 story log construction with wood frame; off-site removal only; needs rehab.

**Kansas**

Land
Parcel 1
Property Number: 319010064
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: El Dorado Lake
El Dorado Lake
Section 13, 24, and 18
(See County), KS; Co: Butler, Zip:
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 61 acres; most recent use—recreation.

**Kentucky**

Land
Tract 2852
Property Number: 319010025
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Location: 2 1/2 miles east of Barkley Lake.
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.37 acres; rolling and wooded.

Tract 2708-1 and 2709-1
Property Number: 319010027
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Location: 2 1/2 miles south from the village of Rockcastle.
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.00 acres; steep and wooded.

Tract 2709-1 and 2709-1
Property Number: 319010027
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Location: 4 1/2 miles south from the village of Rockcastle.
Status: Excess
Comment: 3.59 acres; rolling and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 2800
Property Number: 319010028
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Location: 4 1/2 miles in a southeasterly direction from the village of Rockcastle.
Status: Excess
Comment: 5.44 acres; steep and wooded.

Tract 2915
Property Number: 319010029
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Location: 6 1/2 miles west of Cadiz.
Status: Excess
Comment: 5.76 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 2972
Property Number: 319010031
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Location: 1 mile south from the village of Rockcastle.
Status: Excess
Comment: 4.90 acres; wooded; no utilities.

Tract 4318
Property Number: 319010032
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Location: 3 1/4 miles south from the village of Rockcastle.
Status: Excess
Comment: 4.74 acres; steep and wooded; partially wooded.

Tract 4302
Property Number: 319010033
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Location: 3 1/2 miles south from the village of Rockcastle.
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.82 acres; steep and wooded.

Tract 4502
Property Number: 319010034
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Status: Excess
Comment: 4.26 acres; steep and wooded.

Tract 4611
Property Number: 319010034
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42211-
Location: 5 miles south of Canton, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 10.51 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 4819
Property Number: 319010035
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42212-
Location: 4 1/2 miles south from Canton, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.02 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 4817
Property Number: 319010036
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Cadiz, KY; Co: Trigg, Zip: 42212-
Location: 6 1/2 miles south of Canton, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1.75 acres; wooded.

Tract 1217
Property Number: 319010042
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY; Co: Lyon, Zip: 42030-
Location: On the north side of the Illinois Central Railroad.
Status: Excess
Comment: 5.80 acres; steep and wooded.

Tract 1906
Property Number: 319010044
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY; Co: Lyon, Zip: 42030-
Location: Approximately 4 miles east of Eddyville, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 25.86 acres; rolling steep and partially wooded; no utilities.

Tract 1907
Property Number: 319010045
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY; Co: Lyon, Zip: 42030-
Location: On the waters of Pilfen Creek, 4 miles east of Eddyville, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 8.71 acres; rolling steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 2001 #1
Property Number: 319010046
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY; Co: Lyon, Zip: 42030-
Location: Approximately 4 1/2 miles east of Eddyville, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.74 acres; rolling steep and partially wooded; no utilities.

Tract 2001 #2
Property Number: 319010047
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY; Co: Lyon, Zip: 42030-
Location: Approximately 4 1/2 miles east of Eddyville, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.05 acres; rolling steep and partially wooded; no utilities.
Comment: 8.64 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.
Tract 2405
Property Number: 319010048
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: Approximately 5 1/2 miles east of Eddyville, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 4.62 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 2307
Property Number: 319010049
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: Approximately 7 1/2 miles southeasterly of Eddyville, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 11.43 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 2403
Property Number: 319010050
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: 7 miles southeasterly of Eddyville, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1.56 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 2504
Property Number: 319010051
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: 9 miles southeasterly of Eddyville, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 24.46 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 214
Property Number: 319010052
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Grand Rivers, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: South of the Illinois Central Railroad, 1 mile east of the Cumberland River.
Status: Excess
Comment: 5.5 acres; wooded; no utilities.

Tract 215
Property Number: 319010053
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Grand Rivers, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: 5 miles southwest of Kuttawa.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1.40 acres; wooded; no utilities.

Tract 31
Property Number: 319010054
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Grand Rivers, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: Old Henson Ferry Road, 6 miles west of Kuttawa, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1.28 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.
Tracts 306, 311, 315 and 322
Property Number: 319010055
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Grand Rivers, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: 2.5 miles southwest of Kuttawa, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 38.77 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tracts 2305, 2306, and 2400-1
Property Number: 319010056
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Kuttawa, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: Situated on the waters of Poplar Creek, approximately 1 mile southwest of Kuttawa, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 97.66 acres; steep rolling and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 500-2
Property Number: 319010057
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Kuttawa, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: Village of Kuttawa, KY state highway 125.
Status: Excess
Comment: 3.58 acres; hillside ridgeland and wooded; no utilities.

Tracts 5203 and 5204
Property Number: 319010058
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Linton, KY, Co: Trigg, Zip: 42212-
Location: 1 mile northwest of Linton, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.28 acres; steep and wooded; no utilities.

Tract 5240
Property Number: 319010059
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Linton, KY, Co: Trigg, Zip: 42212-
Location: 5 miles southwest of Kuttawa.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1.00 acres; wooded; subject to utility easements.

Tract 4628
Property Number: 319011621
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Canton, KY, Co: Trigg, Zip: 42212-
Location: 4 1/2 miles south from Canton, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 3.71 acres; steep and wooded; subject to utility easements.

Tract 4619-B
Property Number: 319011622
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Canton, KY, Co: Trigg, Zip: 42212-
Location: 4 1/2 miles south from Canton, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1.73 acres; steep and wooded; subject to utility easements.

Tract 2403-B
Property Number: 319011623
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Eddyville, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: 7 miles southeasterly from Eddyville, KY.
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 0.70 acres; wooded; subject to utility easements.

Tract 241-B
Property Number: 319011624
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Grand Rivers, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: East of Kuttawa, KY.
Status: Excess
Comment: 11.16 acres; steep and wooded; subject to utility easements.

Tracts 212 and 237
Property Number: 319011625
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Grand Rivers, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: 5 miles southwest of Kuttawa.
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.44 acres; steep and wooded; subject to utility easements.

Tract 215-B
Property Number: 319011626
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Grand Rivers, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: 5 miles southwest of Kuttawa.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1.00 acres; wooded; subject to utility easements.

Tract 233
Property Number: 319011627
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake, Kentucky and Tennessee
Grand Rivers, KY, Co: Lyon, Zip: 42045-
Location: 5 miles southwest of Kuttawa.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1.00 acres; wooded; subject to utility easements.

Buildings
Green River Lock & Dam #3
Property Number: 319010022
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Green River Lock & Dam #3
Rochester, KY, Co: Butler, Zip: 42273-
Location: South of Moran, KY.
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 980 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame; two story residence; potential utilities; needs major rehab.

Louisiana
Land
Wallace Lake Dam and Reservoir
Property Number: 319011000
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bayou Bodcau Dam and Reservoir</td>
<td>319010100</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Excess, Comment: 200 acres; wildlife/forestry. Use under lease expires 1994.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallace Lake Dam and Reservoir</td>
<td>319010120</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 100 acres; no utilities; intermittently used under lease expires 1994.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010121</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 30 acres; no utilities; intermittently used under lease expires 1994.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010122</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 23 acres; no utilities; intermittently used under lease expires 1994.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010123</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 400 acres; no utilities; intermittently used under lease expires 1994.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010124</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 60 acres; no utilities; most recent use - wildlife and forestry management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010125</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 120 acres; no utilities; most recent use - wildlife and forestry management (13.5 acres/acreage lease).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010126</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 60 acres; no utilities; most recent use - wildlife and forestry management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010127</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 80 acres; no utilities; most recent use - wildlife and forestry management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010128</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 30 acres; no utilities; most recent use - wildlife and forestry management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010129</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 35 acres; no utilities; most recent use - wildlife and forestry management (11 acres/acreage lease).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010130</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 15 acres; no utilities; most recent use - wildlife and forestry management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grenada Lake</td>
<td>319010131</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>Status: Underutilized, Comment: 120 acres; no utilities; most recent use - wildlife and forestry management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
> Barker Historic House
> Status: Underutilized
> Location: Adjacent to the new Martinsville Bridge.
> Project Name: Hannibal Locks and Dam
> Fed Property Number:

> Comment: 70 acres; no utilities; most recent use—wildlife and forestry management.

> New Mexico
> Buildings
> Bldg. 3W
> Property Number: 319011507
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: Conchas Lake Project
> Conchas Lake Project
> (See County), NM, Co: San Miguel, Zip: 88418-
> Status: Underutilized
> Comment: 1000 sq. ft.; 1 story adobe residence; intermittently occupied.

> Ohio
> Land
> Hannibal Locks and Dam
> Property Number: 319010015
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: Hannibal Locks and Dam
> Ohio River
> P.O. Box 8
> Hannibal, OH, Co: Monroe, Zip: 43833-0008
> Location: Adjacent to the new Martinsville Bridge.
> Status: Underutilized
> Comment: 22 acres; river bank.
> Buildings
> Barker Historic House
> Property Number: 3191201018
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91

> Oklahoma
> Land
> Pine Creek Lake
> Property Number: 319010923
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: Pine Creek Lake
> Section 27
> (See County), OK, Co: McCurtain, Zip: 38901-0903
> Status: Underutilized
> Comment: 3 acres; no utilities; subject to right of way for Oklahoma State Highway 3.

> Pennsylvania
> Land
> Mahoning Creek Lake
> Property Number: 319011001
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: Mahoning Creek Lake
> New Bethlehem, PA, Co: Armstrong, Zip: 18242-9003
> Location: Route 28 north to Belknap, Road #4
> Status: Excess
> Comment: 2.58 acres; steep and densely wooded.
> Tracts 610, 611, 612
> Property Number: 319011001
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: Mahoning Creek Lake
> New Bethlehem, PA, Co: Armstrong, Zip: 18242-9003
> Location: Route 28 north to Belknap, Road #4
> Status: Excess
> Comment: 24.09 acres; subject to flowage easement.
> Tracts L2A, L2B
> Property Number: 319011011
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: Crooked Creek Lake
> Crooked Creek Lake
> (See County), PA, Co: Armstrong, Zip: 16001-
> Location: Left bank—55 miles downstream of dam.
> Status: Excess
> Comment: 7.89 acres; potential for utilities.

> South Carolina
> Buildings
> Bldg. 1
> Property Number: 319011544
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: J.S. Thurmond Dam & Reservoir
> J.S. Thurmond Dam and Reservoir
> Clarks Hill, SC, Co: McCormick, Zip: 29821-
> Location: ¾ mile east of Resource Managers Office.
> Status: Excess
> Comment: 1900 sq. ft.; 1 story masonry frame; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage.
> Bldg. 2
> Property Number: 319011545
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: J.S. Thurmond Dam & Reservoir
> J.S. Thurmond Dam and Reservoir
> Clarks Hill, SC, Co: McCormick, Zip: 29821-
> Location: ¾ mile east of Resource Managers Office.
> Status: Excess
> Comment: 1900 sq. ft.; 1 story masonry frame; possible asbestos; most recent use—storage.

> Tennessee
> Land
> Tract 6827
> Property Number: 319010927
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: Barkley Lake
> Barkley Lake
> Dover, TN, Co: Stewart, Zip: 37058-
> Location: 2¾ miles west of Dover, TN.
> Status: Excess
> Comment: 57 acres; subject to existing easements.
> Tracts 6002-2 and 6010
> Property Number: 319010928
> Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
> Project Name: Barkley Lake
> Barkley Lake
> Dover, TN, Co: Stewart, Zip: 37058-
> Location: 3¼ miles south of village of Tabaccoport.
> Status: Excess
> Comment: 100.86 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 11518
Property Number: 319010929
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Ashland City, TN, Co: Dickson, Zip: 37015-
Location: ½ mile downstream from Cheatham Dam.
Status: Excess
Comment: 28.25 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 2319
Property Number: 319010929
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: J. Percy Priest Dam
J. Percy Priest Dam and Reservoir
Murfreesboro, TN, Co: Rutherford, Zip: 37130-
Location: West of Buckeye Bottom Road.
Status: Excess
Comment: 14.48 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 2227
Property Number: 319010931
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: J. Percy Priest Dam
J. Percy Priest Dam
Murfreesboro, TN, Co: Rutherford, Zip: 37130-
Location: Across Fall Creek near Fall Creek camping area.
Status: Excess
Comment: 2.27 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tracts 2001, 2002, 2903, 2604
Property Number: 319010933
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Cordell Hull Lake & Dam Project
Cordell Lake and Dam Project
Doe Row Creek
Cainesboro, TN, Co: Jackson, Zip: 38332-
Location: TN Highway 50.
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 11 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 1911
Property Number: 319010934
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: J. Percy Priest Dam
J. Percy Priest Dam and Reservoir
Murfreesboro, TN, Co: Rutherford, Zip: 37130-
Location: East of Lamar Road.
Status: Excess
Comment: 15.31 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 2321
Property Number: 319010935
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: J. Percy Priest Dam
J. Percy Priest Dam and Reservoir
Murfreesboro, TN, Co: Rutherford, Zip: 37130-
Location: South of Old Jefferson Pike.
Status: Excess
Comment: 12 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 7206
Property Number: 319010936
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Dover, TN, Co: Stewart, Zip: 37058-
Location: 2½ miles SE of Dover, TN.
Status: Excess
Comment: 10.15 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tracts 8813, 8014
Property Number: 319010937
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Cumberland, TN, Co: Stewart, Zip: 37050-
Location: 1½ miles East of Cumberland City.
Status: Excess
Comment: 96 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 8911
Property Number: 319010938
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Cumberland City, TN, Co: Montgomery, Zip: 37050-
Location: 4 miles east of Cumberland City.
Status: Excess
Comment: 7.7 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 11503
Property Number: 319010939
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Ashland City, TN, Co: Cheatham, Zip: 37015-
Location: 2 miles downstream from Cheatham Dam.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1.1 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tracts 11523, 11524
Property Number: 319010940
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Ashland City, TN, Co: Cheatham, Zip: 37015-
Location: 2½ miles downstream from Cheatham Dam.
Status: Excess
Comment: 19.5 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 8410
Property Number: 319010941
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Bumpus Mills, TN, Co: Stewart, Zip: 37028-
Location: 4½ miles SW. of Bumpus Mills.
Status: Excess
Comment: 17 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 9707
Property Number: 319010943
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Palmyra, TN, Co: Montgomery, Zip: 37142-
Location: 3 miles NE of Palmyra, TN.
Highway 149.
Status: Excess
Comment: 6.6 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tract 6049
Property Number: 319010944
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Dover, TN, Co: Stewart, Zip: 37058-
Location: 2½ miles SE of Dover, TN.
Status: Excess
Comment: 29.67 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tracts 6005 and 6017
Property Number: 319011173
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Barkley Lake
Barkley Lake
Dover, TN, Co: Montgomery, Zip: 37058-
Location: 3 miles south of Village of Tobacconport.
Status: Excess
Comment: 8 acres; subject to existing easements.
Tracts K-1191, K-1135
Property Number: 319010937
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Old Hickory Lock and Dam
Hartsville, TN, Co: Trousdale, Zip: 37074-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 92 acres (32 acres in floodway), most recent use—recreation.
Texas
Land
Parcel Number: 222
Property Number: 319010421
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Lake Texoma
Lake Texoma
(See County), TX, Co: Grayson, Zip: 75457-
Location: C. Meyerheim survey A--529
J. Hamilton survey A--529
Status: Excess
Comment: 52.80 acres; most recent use—recreation.
Wisconsin
Buildings
Former Lockmaster’s Dwelling
Property Number: 319011524
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Former Lockmaster’s Dwelling
Cedar Locks
4527 East Wisconsin Road
Appleton, WI, Co: Outagamie, Zip: 54911-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1224 sq. ft.: 2 story brick/wood frame residence; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access.
Former Lockmaster’s Dwelling
Property Number: 319011525
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Former Lockmaster’s Dwelling
Appleton 4th Lock
905 South Lowe Street
Appleton, WI, Co: Outagamie, Zip: 54911-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 908 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame residence; needs rehab.
Former Lockmaster’s Dwelling
Property Number: 319011527
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Former Lockmaster’s Dwelling
Kaukauna 1st Lock
South of Palmyra, TN.
301 Canal Street
Kaukauna, WI, Co: Outagamie, Zip: 54131-
- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1290 sq. ft.; 2 story wood frame residence; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access.

Former Lockmaster's Dwelling
Property Number: 319011531
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Former Lockmaster's Dwelling
Appleton 1st Lock
005 South Onedia Street
Appleton, WI, Co: Outagamie, Zip: 54941-
- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; potential utilities; 2 story wood frame residence; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access.

Former Lockmaster's Dwelling
Property Number: 319011535
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Former Lockmaster's Dwelling
Appleton 1st Lock
005 South Onedia Street
Appleton, WI, Co: Outagamie, Zip: 54941-
- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; potential utilities; 2 story wood frame residence; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access.

Former Lockmaster's Dwelling
Property Number: 319011536
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Former Lockmaster's Dwelling
Appleton 1st Lock
005 South Onedia Street
Appleton, WI, Co: Outagamie, Zip: 54941-
- Status: Unutilized
Comment: 1300 sq. ft.; potential utilities; 2 story wood frame residence; needs rehab; secured area with alternate access.

Summary of Properties For COE
Buildings = 25
Land = 99
Total Suitable and Available by agency = 124

COE-BC
Connecticut
Buildings
Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011219
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
1 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011220
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
2 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011221
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
3 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1100 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011222
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
4 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011223
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
5 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011224
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
6 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011225
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
7 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011226
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
8 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011227
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
9 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011228
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
10 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011229
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
11 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011230
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
12 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011231
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
13 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Portland CT 36
Property Number: 319011232
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Family Housing, Base Closure
Family Housing
14 Freedom Street
Portland, CT, Co: Middlesex, Zip: 06484-
- Status: Excess
Comment: 1000 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

New Jersey
Buildings
Bidg. 0201
Property Number: 319010734
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahweeh, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Bldg. 0202
Property Number: 319010735
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahwah, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Bldg. 0209
Property Number: 319010742
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahwah, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Bldg. 0207
Property Number: 319010740
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahwah, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Bldg. 0203
Property Number: 319010736
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahwah, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Bldg. 0204
Property Number: 319010737
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahwah, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Bldg. 0205
Property Number: 319010738
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahwah, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Bldg. 0206
Property Number: 319010739
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahwah, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Bldg. 0207
Property Number: 319010740
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahwah, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Bldg. 0208
Property Number: 319010741
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing, Base Closure
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Patrick Brems Court
Mahwah, NJ, Co: Bergen, Zip: 07430-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
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Bldg. 0222
Property Number: 319010735
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Bldg. 0222
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence. Possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0223
Property Number: 319010756
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Bldg. 0223
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0224
Property Number: 319010757
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Franklin Lakes Family Housing
Bldg. 0224
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Bldg. 0201
Property Number: 319010758
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0201
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0202
Property Number: 319010759
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0202
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0203
Property Number: 319010760
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0203
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Bldg. 0204
Property Number: 319010761
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0204
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0205
Property Number: 319010762
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0205
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0206
Property Number: 319010763
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0206
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0207
Property Number: 319010764
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0207
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0208
Property Number: 319010765
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0208
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0209
Property Number: 319010766
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0209
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0210
Property Number: 319010767
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0210
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0211
Property Number: 319010768
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0211
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0212
Property Number: 319010769
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0212
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0213
Property Number: 319010770
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0213
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0214
Property Number: 319010771
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0214
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0215
Property Number: 319010772
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0215
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0216
Property Number: 319010773
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0216
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0217
Property Number: 319010774
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0217
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0218
Property Number: 319010775
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0218
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0219
Property Number: 319010776
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0219
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0220
Property Number: 319010777
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Bldg. 0220
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.
Bldg. 0215
Property Number: 31901077
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.
Bldg. 0221
Property Number: 319010778
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0222
Property Number: 319010779
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0223
Property Number: 319010780
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0224
Property Number: 319010781
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0225
Property Number: 319010782
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0226
Property Number: 319010783
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0227
Property Number: 319010784
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing

Bldg. 0228
Property Number: 319010785
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0230
Property Number: 319010786
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0231
Property Number: 319010787
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0232
Property Number: 319010788
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0233
Property Number: 319010789
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0234
Property Number: 319010790
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0235
Property Number: 319010791
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0236
Property Number: 319010792
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0237
Property Number: 319010793
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing
Hornung Court
East Hanover, NJ, Co: Morris, Zip: 07936-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1196 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence, possible asbestos in floor tiles.

Bldg. 0238
Property Number: 319010794
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing

Bldg. 0239
Property Number: 319010795
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing

Bldg. 0240
Property Number: 319010796
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Livingston Family Housing
Livingston Family Housing

New York
Buildings
Bldg. P-222
Property Number: 319030015
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Dry Hill Family Housing
Dry Hill Family Housing
Route 3, Box 232
Watertown, NY, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 13601-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1235 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Bldg. P-233
Property Number: 319030016
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Dry Hill Family Housing
Dry Hill Family Housing
Route 3, Box 233
Watertown, NY, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 13601-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1235 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Bldg. P-234
Property Number: 319030017
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Dry Hill Family Housing
Dry Hill Family Housing
Route 3, Box 234
Watertown, NY, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 13601-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1235 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Bldg. P-235
Property Number: 319030018
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Dry Hill Family Housing
Dry Hill Family Housing
Route 3, Box 235
Watertown, NY, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 13601-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1235 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Bldg. P-236
Property Number: 319030019
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Dry Hill Family Housing
Dry Hill Family Housing
Route 3, Box 236
Watertown, NY, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 13601-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1235 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Bldg. P-237
Property Number: 319030020
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Dry Hill Family Housing
Dry Hill Family Housing
Route 3, Box 237
Watertown, NY, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 13601-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1235 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Bldg. P-238
Property Number: 319030021
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Dry Hill Family Housing
Dry Hill Family Housing
Route 3, Box 238
Watertown, NY, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 13601-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1235 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.

Bldg. P-239
Property Number: 319030022
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91, Base Closure
Project Name: Dry Hill Family Housing
Dry Hill Family Housing
Route 3, Box 239
Watertown, NY, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 13601-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1235 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame residence.
Private Road
Location: Route Fed
Property Number: 319011409
Comment: 1307 sq. ft., 1 story frame residence, possible asbestos.
C.E. Kelly Support Facility
Property Number: 319011409
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: C.E. Kelly Support Facility, Base Closure
Finleyville Area Site 52, S-107-Q
Private Road
Finleyville, PA, Co: Washington, Zip: 15332-
Location: Route 88 to Mineral Beach and turn left.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1013 sq. ft., 1 story frame residence, possible asbestos.
C.E. Kelly Support Facility
Property Number: 319011415
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: C.E. Kelly Support Facility, Base Closure
Finleyville Area Site 52, S-108-Q
Private Road
Finleyville, PA, Co: Washington, Zip: 15332-
Location: Route 88 to Mineral Beach and turn left.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1121 sq. ft., 1 story frame residence, possible asbestos.
C.E. Kelly Support Facility
Property Number: 319011411
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: C.E. Kelly Support Facility, Base Closure
Finleyville Area Site 52, S-103-Q
Private Road
Finleyville, PA, Co: Washington, Zip: 15332-
Location: Route 88 to Mineral Beach and turn left.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1117 sq. ft., 1 story frame residence, possible asbestos.
C.E. Kelly Support Facility
Property Number: 319011412
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: C.E. Kelly Support Facility, Base Closure
Finleyville Area Site 52, S-104-Q
Private Road
Finleyville, PA, Co: Washington, Zip: 15332-
Location: Route 88 to Mineral Beach and turn left.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1117 sq. ft., 1 story frame residence, possible asbestos.
C.E. Kelly Support Facility
Property Number: 319011411
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: C.E. Kelly Support Facility, Base Closure
Finleyville Area Site 52, S-105-Q
Private Road
Finleyville, PA, Co: Washington, Zip: 15332-
Location: Route 88 to Mineral Beach and turn left.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1307 sq. ft., 1 story frame residence, possible asbestos.
C.E. Kelly Support Facility
Property Number: 319011414
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: C.E. Kelly Support Facility, Base Closure
Finleyville Area Site 52, S-107-Q
Private Road
Finleyville, PA, Co: Washington, Zip: 15332-
Location: Route 88 to Mineral Beach and turn left.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1013 sq. ft., 1 story frame residence, possible asbestos.
C.E. Kelly Support Facility
Property Number: 319011415
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: C.E. Kelly Support Facility, Base Closure
Finleyville Area Site 52, S-108-Q
Private Road
Finleyville, PA, Co: Washington, Zip: 15332-
Location: Route 88 to Mineral Beach and turn left.
Status: Excess
Comment: 1121 sq. ft., 1 story frame residence, possible asbestos.
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 Property Number: 319003059
Project Name: Monroeville Area Site
C.E. Kelly Support Fac.: Lindsey Lane R.D. #2
Monroeville, PA, Co: Allegheny, Zip: 15239-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1117 sq. ft.; 1 story frame residence
with playground area, possible asbestos.

S-37-Q Property Number: 319003059
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Monroeville Area Site
C.E. Kelly Support Fac.: Lindsey Lane R.D. #2
Monroeville, PA, Co: Allegheny, Zip: 15239-
Status: Excess
Comment: 1117 sq. ft.; 1 story frame residence
with playground area, possible asbestos.

Summary of Properties for COE—BC
Buildings =122
Land =1
Total Suitable and Available by agency =123

D.O.T.
Alaska
Land
Wrangell Narrows Reservation
Property Number: 679010008
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Wrangell Narrows Reservation
Wrangell, AK, Co: Wrangell, Zip:
Location: Approximately 8 miles south of
Petersburgh, Alaska along Milikof highway.

North Carolina
Land
USCG Station—Land
Property Number: 679120007
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Oregon Inlet Coast Guard Station
Rodanthe, NC, Co: Dare, Zip: 27968-
Status: Utilized
Comment: 10 acres, potential utilities.

Buildings
Dwelling 1 Property Number: 679120003
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
USCG Coinjock Housing
Coinjock, NC, Co: Currituck, Zip: 27923-
Status: Excess
Comment: one story wood residence, periodic
flooding in garage and utility room occurs
in heavy rainfall.

Dwelling 2 Property Number: 679120004
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
USCG Coinjock Housing
Coinjock, NC, Co: Currituck, Zip: 27923-
Status: Excess
Comment: one story wood residence, periodic
flooding in garage and utility room occurs
in heavy rainfall.

USCG Station—Building
Property Number: 679120006
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Oregon Inlet Coast Guard Station
Rodanthe, NC, Co: Dare, Zip: 27968-
Status: Utilized
Comment: 1207 sq. ft., two story wood frame,
most recent use—office, storage, shops,
communications, dining, etc.

USCG Station—Building
Property Number: 679120008
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Oregon Inlet Coast Guard Station
Rodanthe, NC, Co: Dare, Zip: 27968-
Status: Utilized
Comment: 1920 sq. ft., one story steel frame,
maintained for future use—office, shops,
communications, storage, berthing, dining,
etc.

USCG Station—Garage
Property Number: 679120009
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Oregon Inlet Coast Guard Station
Rodanthe, NC, Co: Dare, Zip: 27968-
Status: Utilized
Comment: 320 sq. ft., one story wood frame,
maintained for future use—storage.

Oregon
Land
Port Orford Radio Station
Property Number: 879010007
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Port Orford Radio Station
Port Orford, OR, Co: Curry, Zip: 97465-
Status: Excess
Comment: 6.17 acres, radio station.

Buildings
Housing
Property Number: 879120002
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Rt. 827—Gwynnville Road
Gwynn Island, VA, Co: Mathews, Zip: 23066-
Status: Unutilized
Comment: 829 sq. ft., one story residence.

Summary of Properties For DOT
Buildings =8
Land =3
Total Suitable and Available by agency =11

Energy
Colorado
Buildings
Otis Repeater Building
Property Number: 419130001
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Otis, CO, Co: Washington, Zip: 80743-
Status: Excess
Comment: 144 sq. ft., one story metal
structure, most recent use—communication
equipment storage, off-site use only.

Limon Repeater Station
Property Number: 419130002
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Limon, CO, Co: Lincoln, Zip: 80828-
Status: Excess
Comment: 144 sq. ft., one story metal
structure, most recent use—communication
equipment storage, off-site use only.

Idaho
Buildings
Storage and Training Facility
Property Number: 419040001
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Storage and Training Facility
INEL DOE-1D
Idaho Falls, ID, Co: Bonneville, Zip:
Status: Excess
Comment: 2072 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame,
needs major rehab, off-site use only.

Utah
Buildings
100 KW Solar Photovoltaic Sys.
Property Number: 419140001
Fed Reg Date: 11/27/91
Nat'l. Bridges National Monument
P.O. Box 1
Lake Powell, UT, Co: San Juan, Zip: 84533-
Status: Excess
Comment: solar panels, off-site use only,
current use—generate electrical power.

Wyoming
Land
Wind Site A
Property Number: 419030010
Summary of Properties for Energy

**GSA**

**Alabama**

Property Number: 549130018
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Dixon Relay Station
Location: Approximately 0.16 miles southeast of Dixon, CA.
Status: Excess
Comment: 0.73 acre—75% of land encroched upon by private residence.
GSA No.: 9-D-AL-727

**California**

Property Number: 549010042
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Dixon Relay Station
Location: Approximately 0.16 miles southeast of Dixon, CA.
Status: Excess
Comment: 0.73 acre—75% of land encroched upon by private residence.
GSA No.: 9-D-CA-1182-A

**Michigan**

Property Number: 549140003
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Riverside Dr., Gainesville, GA, Co: Hull, Zip: 30521-6391
Status: Excess
Comment: 6.22 acres, leased to City for construction of an alum sludge dewatering and wash water handling facility.
GSA No.: 4-D-GA-731

**Kansas**

Property Number: 549130010
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
McConnell AFB
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: Approx. 25.44 acres, most recent use—missile site complex
GSA No.: 7-D-KS-477-N

**Maryland**

Property Number: 549130013
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
McConnell Air Force Base
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: 16.98 fee acres and 2.73 paved easement, potential utilities
GSA No.: 7-D-KS-477-P

**Colorado**

Property Number: 549130014
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
McConnell Air Force Base
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: 6.43 fee acres and 2.96 acres easement, subject to utility rights by third parties, most recent use—missile site.
GSA No.: 7-D-KS-0477-0

**Indianapolis**

Property Number: 549010058
Fed Reg Date: 03/15/91
Project Name: Bayshore RBS
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: 18720 sq. ft., 1 story metal bldg., ceiling height over 40 ft., lease restriction, Corps will maintain an antenna on property.
GSA No.: 4-D-MI-578

**Michigan**

Property Number: 549010007
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Denver Federal Center
Location: 3 miles south and 2 miles west of Medicine Bow
Status: Excess
Comment: 4.675 acres, limitation—easement restrictions.
GSA No.: 7-C-CO-441-Q

**Georgia**

Property Number: 549010061
Fed Reg Date: 03/15/91
Project Name: Bayshore RBS
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: 0.14 acres, access gained through Air Force controlled property.
GSA No.: 2-D-MI-751

**Arkansas**

Property Number: 549010044
Fed Reg Date: 03/15/91
Project Name: Bayshore RBS
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, needs rehab, most recent use—storage.
GSA No.: 2-D-MI-751

**California**

Property Number: 549010049
Fed Reg Date: 03/15/91
Project Name: Bayshore RBS
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft., 1 story wood, most recent use—storage.
GSA No.: 2-D-MI-751

**Texas**

Property Number: 549010051
Fed Reg Date: 11/01/91
Project Name: Bayshore RBS
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft., 1 story wood, most recent use—storage.
GSA No.: 2-D-MI-751

**California**

Property Number: 549010055
Fed Reg Date: 11/01/91
Project Name: Bayshore RBS
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame/bed, most recent use—concrete, most recent use—storage.
GSA No.: 2-D-MI-751

**California**

Property Number: 549010057
Fed Reg Date: 11/01/91
Project Name: Bayshore RBS
Location: Two miles south of Rago on State Rd. 14
Status: Excess
Comment: 25 sq. ft., 1 story wood frame, most recent use—storage.
GSA No.: 2-D-MI-751
Tappen Radio Relay Tower Site

Property Number: 5491300018
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
1 mile south and 1 mile east of Valley City, North Dakota
Valley City, ND, Co: Barnes, Zip: 58072-
Status: Excess

Comment: 5.74 acres w/one story metal equipment storage bldg. 12' x 10'8", potential utilities.

GSA No.: 7-B-ND-490

Calhoon Radio Relay Tower Site

Property Number: 549130017
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
5 miles north and 1 mile west of Hannover, North Dakota
ND, Co: Oliver, Zip: 58072-
Status: Excess

Comment: 1 story 12' x 10'8" communication tower on concrete slab w/5.74 acres and 0.66 acre easement, potential utilities, needs rehab.

GSA No.: 7-B-ND-491

Tappan Radio Relay Tower Site

Property Number: 549130016
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
2 miles east and 1.5 miles north of Tappan
Tappan, ND, Co: Kidder, Zip: 58072-
Status: Excess

Comment: 5.74 fee acres and periodic flooding; possible asbestos on pipes; most recent use--offices space.

GSA No.: 2-GR(1)-OH-730

Project Name: Lock and Dam #18
Washington, OH, Co: Washington, Zip: 49217-
Location: On the Ohio River, 4 miles downstream from New Matalomas, Grandview Township.
Status: Excess

Comment: 2 story brick frame; subject to periodic flooding; possible asbestos on pipes; most recent use--storage.

GSA No.: 2-GR(1)-OH-730

U.S. Naval Reserve Center

Property Number: 7790100075
Fed Reg Date: 11/01/91
170 Ashland Road
Mansfield, OH, Co: Richland, Zip: 44902-
Status: Excess

Comment: 20000 sq. ft., 1 story quonset hut structure, most recent use--office, recreation and storage, needs rehab, leased from City through September 1992.

GSA No.: 2-EN-OH-783

Oregon

Land

Tongue Point Job Corps Center

Property Number: 549110012
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Tongue Point Job Corps Center (Portion of)
Astoria, OR, Co: Clatsop, Zip: 97109-
Location: On the east by highway 30; on the west by city of Astoria's sewage treatment plant.
Status: Excess

Comment: 22.77 acres, land slopes, some soil erosion, potential utilities.

GSA No.: 9-L-OR-508M

Sewer and Road Easements

Property Number: 549110012
Fed Reg Date: 02/22/91
Project Name: Camp White
Camp White
Medford, OR, Co: Jackson, Zip: 97501-
Location: Table Rock Road and Avenue A and Kirtland Road and Newland Road.
Status: Excess

Comment: 10 acres; potential utilities; most recent use--road and sewerline easements.

GSA No.: 9-G-OR-36

Land

Property Number: 549120006
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Portland, OR, Co: Multnomah, Zip: 97217-
Location: NE SE corner of North Union Ave. and North Marine Dr.
Status: Excess

Comment: 63,000 sq. ft. area access is restricted.

GSA No.: 2-N-OR-797

New York

Buildings

Bldg. 1
Property Number: 549120006
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
227 Flashing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess


GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797

Bldg. 311
Property Number: 549120017
Fed Reg Date: 12/28/91
Naval Station New York
227 Flashing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess

Comment: 9720 sq. ft., 2 story brick frame, needs heating system repairs, needs rehab, presence of asbestos on pipe insulation, most recent use--office, storage, scheduled to be vacated Oct. 1992.

GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797

Bldg. 2, Bldg. 3
Property Number: 549120005
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
227 Flashing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess


GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797

Bldg. 2
Property Number: 549120009
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
227 Flashing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess

Comment: 83,000 sq. ft. (140 x 450) land, most recent use--part of highway right-of-way, access is restricted.

GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797

Bldg. 3
Property Number: 549120016
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
227 Flashing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess

Comment: 63,000 sq. ft. area access is restricted.

GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797

Bldg. 5
Property Number: 549120007
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
227 Flashing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess

Comment: 3171 sq. ft., 7 story brick frame, presence of asbestos on pipe insulation, scheduled to be vacated Oct. 1992.

GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797

Bldg. 1
Property Number: 549120008
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
227 Flashing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess


GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797

Washington

Land

Seaplane Base

Property Number: 549130007
Fed Reg Date: 11/01/91
Naval Air Station--Whidbey Island
Oak Harbor, WA, Co: Island, Zip: 98270-
Status: Excess

Comment: 5.472 acres, most recent use--roadway and outside boat storage, easement restrictions.

GSA No.: 9-N-WA-585M

Summary of Properties for GSA

Buildings--13
Land--16
Total Suitable and Available by agency--29

Interior

California

Buildings

Yunker House (97--108)

Property Number: 619140004
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Redwood National Park
Hionchi, CA, Co: Del Norte, Zip: 95531-
Status: Unutilized

Comment: 900 sq. ft., 1 story frame residence, off-site use only.

Idaho

Buildings

Bldg. 705, Ditchrider House

Property Number: 619120010
Fed Reg Date: 06/28/91
Boise Project
Notus, ID, Co: Canyon, Zip: 83656-
Location: TSN, R3W, Sec 2, SE1/4, SW1/4.

Status: Unutilized

Comment: 4625 sq. ft., needs major repair, off-site use only.

Bldg. 506--Warehouse

Property Number: 619120011
Fed Reg Date: 06/28/91
Black Canyon Dam
Emmett, ID, Co: Gem, Zip: 83611-
Status: Unutilized

Comment: 4625 sq. ft., needs major rehab, most recent use--storage, off-site use only.

Bldg. 510--Carpenter Shop

Property Number: 619120012
Fed Reg Date: 06/28/91
Black Canyon Dam
Emmett, ID, Co: Gem, Zip: 83611-
Status: Unutilized

Comment: 4625 sq. ft., needs major rehab, most recent use--storage, off-site use only.

New Mexico

Buildings

Old Helium Plant

Property Number: 619100002
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Old Helium Plant
Gallup, NM, Co: McKinley, Zip: 87301-
Location: 4 mile north of Gallup, adjacent to Old US Highway 66.

Status: Excess

Comment: 7658 sq. ft., 1 story office and warehouse space, possible asbestos, on 4.65 acres, secured area with alternate access.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>Naval Air Station</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>779010111</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Comment: 16.5 acres; most recent use—buffer; secure area—access can be provided by relocation of fences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>Thompson Boathouse</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619030011</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
<td>Comment: 890 sq. ft., 1 story boathouse, no utilities; needs rehab; off-site use only. Spracklen Utility Shed Property Number: 619030012 Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91 Project Name: Quinault Ranger Station Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91 Parcels No. 5 Property Number: 779120001 Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91 Naval Air Station Topsham Annex Topsham, ME, Co: Cumberland, Zip: 04053-- Status: Underutilized Comment: 7,270 sq. ft., 1 story bldg, most recent use—storage, structural deficiencies. Parcel No. 3 Property Number: 779120001 Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91 Naval Air Station Topsham Annex Topsham, ME, Co: Sagadahoc, Zip: 04086-- Status: Demolished Comment: 1,900 sq. ft., abandoned storage facility, poor condition on 4.31 acres.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 13 acres, portion former landfill, portion near flammable materials, railroad crosses property, potential utilities.

VA Medical Center
Property Number: 9790100054
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Project Name: VA Medical Center
County Highway E
Tomah, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54660-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2.3 acres, leased to Owens-Illinois Glass Plant, expiration date 10/31/91, most recent use—parking lot.

Wisconsin

VA Medical Center
Property Number: 979010055
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Project Name: VA Medical Center
County Highway E
Tomah, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54660-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 12.4 acres, serves as buffer between center and private property, no utilities.

Buildings
Bldg. 2
Property Number: 979010065
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Project Name: VA Medical Center
VA Medical Center
County Highway E
Tomah, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54660-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 18000 sq. ft. 3 story masonry, needs rehab, possible asbestos, potential utilities.

Egin AFB
Property Number: 189010134
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Egin Air Force Base
Mossy Head, FL, Co: Walton, Zip: 32533-
Status: Excess
Reason: Existing law directs transfer to U.S. forest service.

Egin AFB
Property Number: 189010135
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Egin Air Force Base
Mossy Head, FL, Co: Walton, Zip: 32533-
Status: Excess
Reason: Existing law directs transfer to U.S. forest service.

Egin AFB
Property Number: 189010136
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Egin Air Force Base
Mossy Head, FL, Co: Walton, Zip: 32533-
Status: Excess
Reason: Existing law directs transfer to U.S. forest service.

Buildings
Bldg. 106
Property Number: 189110581
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Patrick Air Force Base
Off Coast Road
Vandenberg AFB, CA, Co: Santa Barbara, Zip: 93437-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Too near missile range.

Florida

VA Medical Center
Property Number: 979010054
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91
Project Name: VA Medical Center
County Highway E
Tomah, WI, Co: Monroe, Zip: 54660-
Status: Underutilized
Comment: 2200 sq. ft., 3 story wood frame, possible asbestos, potential utilities.

Federal Register
Reason: Change in

Sacramento, CA. Co: Sacramento. Zip: 95652-
Project Name: McClellan AFB
Property Number: 979010056
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Patrick Air Force Base
North Highway A1A
Cocoa Beach, FL, Co: Brevard, Zip: 32925-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Too near missile range.

Patrick Air Force Base
Property Number: 979010057
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Patrick Air Force Base
North Highway A1A
Cocoa Beach, FL, Co: Brevard, Zip: 32925-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 120
Property Number: 189010255
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base
Chanute Air Force Base
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61868-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Chemicals (GAS) present.

Bldg. 122
Property Number: 189010259
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base
Chanute Air Force Base
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61868-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Unsafe for any use.

Bldg. 1221
Property Number: 189010260
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Chanute Air Force Base
Chanute Air Force Base
Rantoul, IL, Co: Champaign, Zip: 61868-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Unsafe for any use.

Bldg. 20
Property Number: 189010175
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet Air Force Station
Calumet, MI, Co: Keweenaw, Zip: 49913-
Fed

Status: Unutilized

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Excess
Reason: Building on leased land.

Missouri Buildings

Jefferson Barracks ANC Base
Property Number: 189010081
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Missouri National Guard
Missouri National Guard
1 Grant Road
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63125-4118
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Vehicle fuel stations/fuel storage, Flooded area.

New Mexico Buildings

Bldg. 13 1606 ABW/DE
Property Number: 189010072
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Kirtland AFB
Kirtland AFB
Wyoming Avenue
Kirtland, NM, Co: Bernalillo, Zip: 87117-5496
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Now is in use.

South Dakota Buildings

Bldg. 6810B
Property Number: 189010343
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renel Heights
Renel Heights
228 Barrentine
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8451A
Property Number: 189010344
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renel Heights
Renel Heights
270 Lee
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8540B
Property Number: 189010351
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renel Heights
Renel Heights
242 Brett
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8726D
Property Number: 189010345
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renel Heights
Renel Heights
284 Lee
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8726B
Property Number: 189010353
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renel Heights
Renel Heights
252 Lee
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8548D
Property Number: 189010355
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renel Heights
Renel Heights
284 Lee
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8409D
Property Number: 189010358
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway
438 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8438C
Property Number: 189010359
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway
246 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8421A
Property Number: 189010360
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway
400 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8435D
Property Number: 189010361
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

300 Billy Mitchell

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8412B
Property Number: 189010362
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

625 Arnold Lane
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8446E
Property Number: 189010363
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

228 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010364
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

98 Front St.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8401C
Property Number: 189010367
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

83 Front St.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8477B
Property Number: 189010365
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

72 Front St.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8423B
Property Number: 189010372
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

Property Number: 189010370
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

409 Billy Mitchell

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8435E
Property Number: 189010368
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

32 Front St.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8423D
Property Number: 189010369
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

242 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010371
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

269 Arnold Lane
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8433D
Property Number: 189010373
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

30 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010374
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

419 Bldg.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8446A
Property Number: 189010375
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

227 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010376
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

18 Front St.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8448E
Property Number: 189010377
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

83 Front St.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8474C
Property Number: 189010378
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

12 Front St.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8412F
Property Number: 189010379
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

269 Arnold Lane
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8433E
Property Number: 189010380
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

242 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010381
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

228 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010382
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

269 Arnold Lane
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8452B
Property Number: 189010383
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

238 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010384
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

30 Front St.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8432C
Property Number: 189010385
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

244 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010386
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

219 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010387
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

269 Arnold Lane
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8433B
Property Number: 189010388
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

17 Front St.
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Bldg. 8452A
Property Number: 189010389
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

238 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010390
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

244 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010391
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

219 Billy Mitchell

Property Number: 189010392
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway
Skyway

269 Arnold Lane
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-

Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000 + rehabilitation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>189010381</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Skyway</td>
<td>Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189010382</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Skyway</td>
<td>Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189010383</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Skyway</td>
<td>Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189010384</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Skyway</td>
<td>Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189010385</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Skyway</td>
<td>Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189010386</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Skyway</td>
<td>Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189010387</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Skyway</td>
<td>Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189010388</td>
<td>11/15/91</td>
<td>Skyway</td>
<td>Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.</td>
<td>Unutilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Skyway**

**Fed Reg Date:** 11/15/91

**Property Number:**

- 189010384
- 189010385
- 189010386
- 189010387
- 189010388

**Reason:** Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

**Status:** Unutilized

---

**Renel Heights**

**Fed Reg Date:** 11/15/91

**Property Number:**

- 189010391
- 189010392
- 189010393
- 189010394
- 189010395
- 189010396
- 189010397
- 189010398
- 189010399
- 189010400
- 189010401
- 189010402

**Reason:** Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

**Status:** Unutilized

---

**Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip:**

- 189010381
- 189010382
- 189010383
- 189010384
- 189010385
- 189010386

- 189010387
- 189010388
- 189010389
- 189010390
- 189010391
- 189010392
- 189010393
- 189010394
- 189010395
- 189010396
- 189010397
- 189010398
- 189010399
- 189010400
- 189010401
- 189010402

**Reason:** Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

**Status:** Unutilized
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Property Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
111 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8506C
Property Number: 189010406
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
336 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8634B
Property Number: 189010411
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
244 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8531C
Property Number: 189010419
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
344 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8577A
Property Number: 189010420
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
387 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8725A
Property Number: 189010421
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
255 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8577B
Property Number: 189010441
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renel Heights
237 Barrentine Way
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8817B
Property Number: 189010417
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
243 Barrentine Way
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8618
Property Number: 189010408
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
248 Barrentine Way
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8816A
Property Number: 189010409
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renel Heights
255 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8508D
Property Number: 189010416

Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8623D
Property Number: 189010403
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
240 Clark Street
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8621A
Property Number: 189010410
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renel Heights
243 Polikka Avenue
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8729A
Property Number: 189010412
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
211 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8550B
Property Number: 189010413
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
304 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8570B
Property Number: 189010414
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
244 Lee Drive
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8580A
Property Number: 189010409
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renel Heights
338 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8670B
Property Number: 189010411
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
219 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8729A
Property Number: 189010412
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renel Heights
211 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8729A
Property Number: 189010413
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
244 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8550B
Property Number: 189010414
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renal Heights
244 Lee Drive
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.

Bldg. 8580A
Property Number: 189010409
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Renal Heights
Renel Heights
338 Brett Road
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–

Status: Unutilized
Reason: Not turn-key houses; requires $25,000+ rehabilitation.
Fed
Ellsworth Air Force Base
Property Number.  Reason: Struct. deteriorated, has asbestos/located in secured area.

Ellsworth AFB, 61
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Property Number:.
Reason: Struct. deteriorated, has asbestos/

Ellsworth AFB, 94 Front Street
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Reconstruction costs exceed $25,000 and test shows asbestos.

Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
Bldg. 8472C; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 1890300013
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway Housing
Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
427 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Reconstruction costs exceed $25,000 and test shows asbestos.

Ellsworth AFB, 427 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Reason: Will be demolished.

84 Front Street
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Will be demolished.

84 Front Street
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Will be demolished.

84 Front Street
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Will be demolished.

Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
Bldg. 8478B; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 1890400006
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway Housing
Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
401 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Will be demolished.

Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
Bldg. 8478D; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 1890400012
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway Housing
Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
366 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Will be demolished.

Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
Bldg. 8478A; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 1890400010
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway Housing
Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
300 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Will be demolished.

Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
Bldg. 8478F; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 1890400010
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway Housing
Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
250 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Will be demolished.

Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
Bldg. 8478D; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 1890400006
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway Housing
Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
401 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Will be demolished.

Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
Bldg. 8478B; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 1890400006
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Skyway Housing
Skyway Housing, Ellsworth Air Force Base
401 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Will be demolished.
Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8450F; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040014
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
249 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8488B; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040015
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
14 Front Street
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8497C; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040016
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
211 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8488C; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040017
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
418 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8472E; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040018
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
8442C; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040024
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
230 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8488A; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040019
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
220 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8487A; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040020
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
533 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8416A; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040026
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
525 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8416A; Skyway Housing
Property Number: 189040026
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
200 Billy Mitchell
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Will be demolished.
Bidg. 8434C
Property Number: 189110003
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
200 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bidg. 8433E
Property Number: 189110035
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
310 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bidg. 8432E
Property Number: 189110036
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
533 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bidg. 8432A
Property Number: 189110041
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
466 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57706–
Status: Unutilized

Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bidg. 8432D
Property Number: 189110042
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
312 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8423B
Property Number: 189110043
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
400 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8429A
Property Number: 189110051
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
402 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8458B
Property Number: 189110056
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
404 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8463B
Property Number: 189110058
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
318 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8443B
Property Number: 189110063
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
217 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8446D
Property Number: 189110066
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
255 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8468B
Property Number: 189110072
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8469B
Property Number: 189110074
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8470B
Property Number: 189110079
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8471B
Property Number: 189110081
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8476B
Property Number: 189110086
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8461B
Property Number: 189110048
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8462A
Property Number: 189110050
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
214 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8443B
Property Number: 189110050
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
214 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8429B
Property Number: 189110051
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
402 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8458B
Property Number: 189110056
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
404 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8463B
Property Number: 189110058
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
318 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8443B
Property Number: 189110063
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
217 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8446D
Property Number: 189110066
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8468B
Property Number: 189110072
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8469B
Property Number: 189110074
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8470B
Property Number: 189110079
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8471B
Property Number: 189110081
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8476B
Property Number: 189110086
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8461B
Property Number: 189110048
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8462A
Property Number: 189110050
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8443B
Property Number: 189110050
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 8429B
Property Number: 189110051
Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91
Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base
Ellsworth Air Force Base
402 Billy Mitchell, Skyway Housing
Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57705-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street Address</th>
<th>City, Zip</th>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Property Type</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29 Front Street, Skyway Housing</td>
<td>Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Bidg. 8477C</td>
<td>Property Number: 189110074</td>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;36 Front Street, Skyway Housing&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Reason: Area programmed for future use.</td>
<td>Status: Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Front Street, Skyway Housing</td>
<td>Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Bidg. 8486E</td>
<td>Property Number: 189110076</td>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;11 Front Street, Skyway Housing&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Reason: Area programmed for future use.</td>
<td>Status: Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Front Street, Skyway Housing</td>
<td>Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Bidg. 8497C</td>
<td>Property Number: 189110077</td>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;3 Front Street, Skyway Housing&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Reason: Area programmed for future use.</td>
<td>Status: Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Front Street, Skyway Housing</td>
<td>Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Bidg. 8507C</td>
<td>Property Number: 189110078</td>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;623 Arnold Lane, Skyway Housing&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Reason: Area programmed for future use.</td>
<td>Status: Unutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623 Arnold Lane, Skyway Housing</td>
<td>Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Bidg. 8517C</td>
<td>Property Number: 189110079</td>
<td>Fed Reg Date: 11/15/91</td>
<td>Project Name: Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth Air Force Base&lt;br&gt;608 Arnold Lane, Skyway Housing&lt;br&gt;Ellsworth AFB, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57700-</td>
<td>Reason: Area programmed for future use.</td>
<td>Status: Unutilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- **Texas Buildings**
- **Buildings**
- **Bidg. 89**
- **Property Number:** 189010190
- **Fed Reg Date:** 11/15/91
- **Project Name:** Laughlin Air Force Base
- **Laughlin Air Force Base**
- **Val Verde Co., TX, Co: Val Verde**
- **Zip:** 78843-5000
- **Status:** Demolished
- **Reason:** Change in agency mission
- **Bidg. 605**
- **Property Number:** 189110090
- **Fed Reg Date:** 11/15/91
- **Project Name:** Brooks Air Force Base
- **Brooks Air Force Base**
- **San Antonio, TX, Co: Bexar**
- **Zip:** 78235-
- **Status:** Unutilized
- **Reason:** Change in agency mission
- **Bidg. 606**
- **Property Number:** 189110091
- **Fed Reg Date:** 11/15/91
- **Project Name:** Brooks Air Force Base
- **Brooks Air Force Base**
- **San Antonio, TX, Co: Bexar**
- **Zip:** 78235-
- **Status:** Unutilized
- **Reason:** Change in agency mission
- **Bidg. 607**
- **Property Number:** 189110092
- **Fed Reg Date:** 11/15/91
- **Project Name:** Brooks Air Force Base
- **Brooks Air Force Base**
- **San Antonio, TX, Co: Bexar**
- **Zip:** 78235-
- **Status:** Unutilized
- **Reason:** Change in agency mission
- **Bidg. 608**
- **Property Number:** 189110093
- **Fed Reg Date:** 11/15/91
- **Project Name:** Brooks Air Force Base
- **Brooks Air Force Base**
- **San Antonio, TX, Co: Bexar**
- **Zip:** 78235-
- **Status:** Unutilized
- **Reason:** Change in agency mission
- **Bidg. 699**
- **Property Number:** 189110094
- **Fed Reg Date:** 11/15/91
- **Project Name:** Brooks Air Force Base
- **Brooks Air Force Base**
- **San Antonio, TX, Co: Bexar**
- **Zip:** 78235-
- **Status:** Unutilized
Reason: Programmed for demolition.

Summary of Properties Appearing for Air Force

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buildings</th>
<th>Land</th>
<th>Total Suitable and Unavailable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Air Force-BC

California

Buildings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>199120468</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Ft. Campbell</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Demolition is planned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120469</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Ft. Campbell</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Demolition is planned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199120523</td>
<td>11/29/91</td>
<td>Ft. Campbell</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Demolition is planned.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Louisiana

Buildings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>219013140</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Ft. Campbell</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Building contaminated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219013141</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Ft. Campbell</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Building contaminated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Massachusetts

Buildings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>219012345</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Devens</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Utilized for military missions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Jersey

Buildings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>219030285</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Fort Devens</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Facilities used for National Guard and Army Reserve Training.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kentucky

Buildings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>219012243</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Ft. Campbell</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Military Property

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Number</th>
<th>Fed Reg Date</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>219013140</td>
<td>12/20/91</td>
<td>Ft. Campbell</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Building contaminated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Bldg. 3316-B
Property Number: 219030197
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3317-A
Property Number: 219030200
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3318-C
Property Number: 219030198
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3321-A
Property Number: 219030201
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3322-C
Property Number: 219030202
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3323-A
Property Number: 219030205
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3324-A
Property Number: 219030206
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3325-A
Property Number: 219030207
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3326-A
Property Number: 219030208
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3327-A
Property Number: 219030209
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3328-A
Property Number: 219030210
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Bldg. 3329-A
Property Number: 219030211
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Singer Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
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Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3342-D
Property Number: 2190300219
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3342-E
Property Number: 2190300220
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3344-B
Property Number: 2190300221
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3345-A
Property Number: 2190300222
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3346-C
Property Number: 2190300223
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3348-A
Property Number: 2190300224
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3348-B
Property Number: 2190300225
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road, NJ, Co: Fort Dix, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3348-C
Property Number: 2190300226
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3349-B
Property Number: 2190300227
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3349-C
Property Number: 2190300228
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3349-E
Property Number: 2190300229
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Flint Road
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3350-B
Property Number: 2190300230
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Ave
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3350-C
Property Number: 2190300231
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Ave
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3350-D
Property Number: 2190300232
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Ave
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3351-C
Property Number: 2190300233
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Ave
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3351-D
Property Number: 2190300234
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Avenue
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.

Nelson Family Housing
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Property Number: 2190300235
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Avenue
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3356-B
Property Number: 2190300236
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Avenue
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3356-C
Property Number: 2190300237
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Avenue
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3356-D
Property Number: 2190300238
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Avenue
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3356-E
Property Number: 2190300239
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Avenue
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
Bldg. 3357-C
Property Number: 2190300240
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Nelson Family Housing
Nelson Family Housing
Lexington Avenue
Fort Dix, NJ, Co: Burlington, Zip: 08640-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: declared dilapidated and unsafe; to be demolished.
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Virginia

Buildings

Bldg. 3357-E

Property Number: 219030241
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Facility used for National Guard and Army Reserve Training.

Bldg. 3491

Property Number: 219030271
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Facilities used for National Guard and Army Reserve Training.

Bldg. 2152

Property Number: 219030279
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Facilities used for National Guard and Army Reserve Training.

Bldg. 2417

Property Number: 219030280
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Facilities used for National Guard and Army Reserve Training.

Bldg. 1665

Property Number: 219030295
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Facility used for National Guard and Army Reserve Training.

Bldg. 1356

Property Number: 219030295
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Facility used for National Guard and Army Reserve Training.

Bldg. 1360

Property Number: 219030297
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Facility used for National Guard and Army Reserve Training.

Bldg. 1362

Property Number: 219030299
Fed Reg Date: 12/20/91
Project Name: Fort Pickett
Fort Pickett
Blackstone, VA, Co: Nottoway, Zip: 23824-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Facility used for National Guard and Army Reserve Training.
Summary of Properties Appearing for Army 

Buildings = 89 

Land = 0 

Total Suitable and Unavailable = 99 

COE 

Colorado 

Buildings 

John Martin Reservoir 

Property Number: 319010014 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: John Martin Reservoir 

Project Office: Star Route 

Hasty, CO, Co: Bent, Zip: 81044- 

Status: Underutilized 

Reason: Occupied by project personnel. 

Florida 

Buildings 

Bldg. CN7 

Property Number: 319010012 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: Ortona Lock Reservation 

Project Office:Stert Route 

Ortona, FL, Co: Glades, Zip: 33471- 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Disposal actions have been initiated. 

Bldg. CN8 

Property Number: 319010013 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: Ortona Lock Reservation 

Ortona Lock Reservoir, Okeechobee 

Waterway 

Ortona, FL, Co: Glades, Zip: 33471- 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Disposal actions have been initiated. 

Bldg. CN-19 

Property Number: 319011688 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: Moore Haven Lock 

Moore Haven Lock 

Okeechobee Waterway 

Moore Haven, FL, Co: Glades, Zip: 33471- 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Disposal actions have been initiated. 

Georgia 

Land 

E.O. Tract A 

Property Number: 319011516 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: J. Strom Thurmond Dam & Res. 

J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir 

(See County), GA, Co: Columbia, Zip: 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Property excess to project & will be reported to GSA. 

E.O. Tract B 

Property Number: 319011517 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: J. Strom Thurmond Dam & Res. 

J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir 

(See County), GA, Co: Columbia, Zip: 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Property excess to project & will be reported to GSA. 

E.O. Tract F 

Property Number: 319011519 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: J. Strom Thurmond Dam & Res. 

J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir 

(See County), GA, Co: Columbia, Zip: 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Property excess to project & will be reported to GSA. 

E.O. Tract E 

Property Number: 319011520 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: J. Strom Thurmond Dam & Res. 

J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir 

(See County), GA, Co: Columbia, Zip: 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Property excess to project & will be reported to GSA. 

E.O. Tract G 

Property Number: 319011521 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: J. Strom Thurmond Dam & Res. 

J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir 

(See County), GA, Co: Columbia, Zip: 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Property excess to project & will be reported to GSA. 

E.O. Tract I 

Property Number: 319011523 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: J. Strom Thurmond Dam & Res. 

J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir 

(See County), GA, Co: Columbia, Zip: 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Property excess to project & will be reported to GSA. 

Buildings 

Lot 3 

Property Number: 319110020 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: Lake Forrest Subdivision 

Lake Forrest Subdivision 

Woodframe House 

Hartwell, GA, Co: Hartwell, Zip: 

Status: Excess 

Reason: Disposal actions have been initiated. 

Illinois 

Buildings 

Bldg. 7 

Property Number: 319010001 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53 

Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53 

Grand Chain, IL, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 62941-9801 

Status: Unutilized 

Reason: Under construction phase. 

Bldg. 6 

Property Number: 319010002 

Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91 

Project Name: Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53 

Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53 

Grand Chain, IL, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 62941–9801
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Under construction phase.
Bldg. 5
Property Number: 319010003
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Grand Chain, IL, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 62941–9801
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Under construction phase.
Bldg. 4
Property Number: 319010004
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Grand Chain, IL, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 62941–9801
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Under construction phase.
Bldg. 3
Property Number: 319010005
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Grand Chain, IL, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 62941–9801
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Under construction phase.
Bldg. 2
Property Number: 319010006
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Grand Chain, IL, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 62941–9801
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Under construction phase.
Bldg. 1
Property Number: 319010007
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Ohio River Locks & Dam No. 53
Grand Chain, IL, Co: Pulaski, Zip: 62941–9801
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Under construction phase.
Indiana
Buildings
Cagles Mill Lake
Property Number: 319011046
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Cagles Mill Lake
Cagles Mill Lake Dam
Poland, IN, Co: Putnam, Zip: 47869–
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Disposal actions have been initiated.
Dwelling #2
Property Number: 319011686
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Cagles Mill Lake
Cagles Mill Lake Dam
Poland, IN, Co: Putnam, Zip: 47869–
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Disposal actions have been initiated.
Kansas
Land
Paradise Point
Property Number: 319011540
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Public Use Area (Perry Lake)
Public Use Area (Perry Lake)
Perry, KS, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 66073–
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Utilized for wildlife and recreation purposes.
Grasshopper Point
Property Number: 319011541
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Public Use Area (Perry Lake)
Public Use Area (Perry Lake)
Perry, KS, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 66073–
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Utilized for wildlife and recreation purposes.
Sunset Ridge
Property Number: 319011542
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Public Use Area (Perry Lake)
Public Use Area (Perry Lake)
Perry, KS, Co: Jefferson, Zip: 66073–
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Utilized for wildlife and recreation purposes.
Kentucky
Buildings
Kentucky River Lock and Dam 3
Property Number: 319010006
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Kentucky River Lock and Dam 3
Pleasureville, KY, Co: Henry, Zip: 40057–
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Involved in litigation with the State.
Kentucky River Lock and Dam 3
Property Number: 319010006
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Kentucky River Lock and Dam 3
Pleasureville, KY, Co: Henry, Zip: 40057–
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Involved in litigation with the State.
South Carolina
Land
E.O. Tract J
Property Number: 319011514
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: J. Strom Thurmond Dam & Res.
J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir
(See County), SC, Co: McCormick, Zip: 29888–
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Property excess to project and will be reported to GSA.
E.O. Tract C
Property Number: 319011515
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: J. Strom Thurmond Dam & Res.
J. Strom Thurmond Dam and Reservoir
(See County), SC, Co: McCormick, Zip:
Texas
Land
Part of Tract A-10
Property Number: 319010390
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Part of Tract A-10
(See County), TX, Co: Tarrant, Zip: 76108-
Status: Excess
Reason: Encroachment by an adjoining landowner.
Part of Tract 340
Property Number: 319010400
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Joe Pool Lake
Joe Pool Lake
(See County), TX, Co: Dallas, Zip: 75234-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Incorporated into a park lease.
Buildings
Bidg. 8-B
Property Number: 319110030
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Brazos River Floodgates
Brazos River Floodgates
Freeport, TX, Co: Brazoria, Zip: 77541-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Needed for project purpose.
Bidg. 8-C
Property Number: 319110031
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Colorado River Locks
Colorado River Locks
109 Colorado River Locks
Matagorda, TX, Co: Matagorda, Zip: 77547-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Needed for project purpose.
Virginia
Buildings
Tract HH 3331-E
Property Number: 319110027
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: John H. Kerr Reservoir
John H. Kerr Reservoir
Woodframe House
South Boston, VA, Co: Halifax, Zip: 23072-
Status: Excess
Reason: Disposal actions have been initiated.
Wisconsin
Buildings
Former Lockmaster’s Dwelling
Property Number: 31901329
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Former Lockmaster’s Dwelling
De Pere Lock
100 James Street
De Pere, WI, Co: Brown, Zip: 54115-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: In negotiation for transfer to the State.
SUMMARY OF PROPERTIES APPEARING FOR COE
Buildings = 20
Land = 16
Total Suitable and Unavailable = 42

COE—BC
New York
Buildings
Nike
Property Number: 319011049
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
402 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Nike
Property Number: 319011070
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
New York
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
424 Bogart Place
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Nike
Property Number: 319011071
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
423 Bogart Place
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Nike
Property Number: 319011072
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Nike
Property Number: 319011073
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Nike
Property Number: 319011074
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Nike
Property Number: 319011075
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Nike
Property Number: 319011076
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Nike
Property Number: 319011077
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
430 Greenbush Road
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983-
Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Nike Property Number: 319011085
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
413 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011086
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
427 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011087
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
428 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011088
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
425 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011089
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
417 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011090
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
416 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011091
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
415 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011092
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
414 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011093
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
413 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011094
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
412 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011095
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
411 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011096
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
410 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011097
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
408 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011098
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
404 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011099
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
400 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011100
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
404 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.

Nike Property Number: 319011101
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: New York 01 Housing
New York 01 Housing
403 Lafayette Street
Tappan, NY, Co: Rockland, Zip: 10983–Status: Excess
Reason: lease negotiations are in progress.
Arizona
Total Suitable and Unavailable=8

Arizona
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Status: Unutilized
Reason: Demolition is planned.

Florida
Land
Parcel A & B
Property Number: 879010009
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: U.S. Coast Guard Light Station
U.S. Coast Guard Light Station
Lots 1, 8 & 11, Section 31
Jupiter Inlet, FL, Co: Palm Beach, Zip: 33420-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: Awaiting response from Bureau of Land Mgmt. for disbursement.

Puerto Rico
Buildings
USCG Officer/Charge Quarters
Property Number: 879110001
Fed Reg Date: 06/07/91
Project Name: USCG Officer/Charge Quarters
Cape San Juan Light
Fajardo, PR, Co: Fajardo, Zip:
Status: Demolished
Reasons: Engineering study shows removal infeasible.

Texas
Buildings
Brownsville Urban System
Property Number: 879010003
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Brownsville Urban System
700 South Iowa Avenue
Brownsville, TX, Co: Cameron, Zip: 78520-
Status: Unutilized
Reason: City of Brownsville needs the property.

Summary of Properties Appearing for DOT
Buildings = 4
Land = 2
Total Suitable and Unavailable = 8

Energy
Arizona
Land
Liberty Substation
Property Number: 419030001
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Liberty Substation
Buckeye, AZ, Co: Maricopa, Zip: 85328-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Easements held by adjoining property owner.

Iowa
Land
Sioux City Substation
Property Number: 419030003
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Sioux City Substation
Hinton, IA, Co: Plymouth, Zip: 51024-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Security req. preclude DOE from making prop. available.

Montana
Land
Miles City Substation
Property Number: 419030004
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Miles City Substation
Miles City, MT, Co: Custer, Zip: 59301-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Under 5 year grazing lease.
Custer Substation
Project Name: Custer Substation
Property Number: 419030006
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Custer Substation
Custer, MT, Co: Yellowstone, Zip: 59024-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Under water most of the year.

North Dakota
Land
Fargo Substation
Property Number: 419030005
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Fargo Substation
Fargo, ND, Co: Cass, Zip: 58102-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Under 5 year grazing lease.

Nebraska
Land
Grand Island Substation
Property Number: 419030002
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Grand Island Substation
Phillips, NE, Co: Merrick, Zip: 68965-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Controlled by easements held by Nebraska Public Power.

Washington
Land
Raver Substation
Property Number: 419030012
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Raver Substation
[See County], WA, Co: King, Zip: 98015-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Used for substation expansion.

Summary of Properties Appearing for Energy
Buildings = 0
Land = 7
Total Suitable and Unavailable = 7

GSA
Florida
Buildings
Naval Reserve Center
Property Number: 549120002
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Naval Reserve Center
2610 Tigertail Avenue
Miami, FL, Co: Dade, Zip: 33133-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: FL-F-192
Reason: Homeless application

Illinois
Land
Portion, JAAP
Property Number: 549130019
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Joliet Army Ammunition Plant
IL, Co: Will, Zip: 60490-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-GR(1)-IL-450-FF
Reason: Advertising for public sale.

Kentucky
Land
Portion of Tract 409-2

Louisiana
Buildings
Federal Building
Property Number: 540040005
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Federal Building
Mississippi and Vienna Streets
Ruston, LA, Co: Lincoln Parish, Zip: 71273-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 4-D-KY-0588
Reason: Advertising for public sale.

Massachusetts
Land
Por. of Former Navy Ammo. Plt.
Property Number: 540500017
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Fort Hill
Fort Hill Street
Hingham, MA, Co: Plymouth, Zip: 02043-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-GR-MA-591B
Reason: Up for public sale.

Missouri
Buildings
Bldg. 208-C
Property Number: 549120047
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
6400 Stratford Avenue
Portion U.S. Army Reserve Center No. 4
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63120-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-D-MO-460-F
Reason: Federal need.
Bldg. 208-D
Property Number: 549120048
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
6400 Stratford Avenue
Portion U.S. Army Reserve Center No. 4
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63120-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-D-MO-460-F
Reason: Federal need.
Bldg. 222
Property Number: 549120049
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
6400 Stratford Avenue
Portion U.S. Army Reserve Center No. 4
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63120-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-D-MO-460-F
Reason: Federal need.
Bldg. 223-A
Property Number: 549120050
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
6400 Stratford Avenue
Portion U.S. Army Reserve Center No. 4
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63120-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-D-MO-460-F
Reason: Federal need.
Bldg. 223-B
Property Number: 549120051
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
6400 Stratford Avenue

Portion U.S. Army Reserve Center No. 4
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63120-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-D-MO-480-F
Reason: Federal need.
Bldg. 233A
Property Number: 549120060
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
6400 Stratford Avenue
Portion U.S. Army Reserve Center No. 4
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63120-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-D-MO-480-F
Reason: Federal need.
Bldg. 233F
Property Number: 549120061
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
6400 Stratford Avenue
Portion U.S. Army Reserve Center No. 4
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63120-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-D-MO-480-F
Reason: Federal need.
Bldg. 233E
Property Number: 549120062
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
6400 Stratford Avenue
Portion U.S. Army Reserve Center No. 4
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63120-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-D-MO-480-F
Reason: Federal need.
Bldg. 233G
Property Number: 549120063
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
6400 Stratford Avenue
Portion U.S. Army Reserve Center No. 4
St. Louis, MO, Co: St. Louis, Zip: 63120-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-D-MO-480-F
Reason: Federal need.

New York

Land

671

Property Number: 549120022
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Playing Field—675

Property Number: 549120024
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Buildings

Bldg. 2

Property Number: 549120020
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Bldg. 3

Property Number: 549120021
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Bldg. 10

Property Number: 549120015
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Bldg. 19

Property Number: 549120016
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Bldg. 306

Property Number: 549120017
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Bldg. 318

Property Number: 549120019
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Bldg. 353

Property Number: 549120020
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Bldg. 670

Property Number: 549120021
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.
Reason: Homeless application.
Bldg. R7
Property Number: 549120031
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.
Bldg. R6
Property Number: 549120030
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.
Bldg. R5
Property Number: 549120029
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.
Bldg. R4
Property Number: 549120028
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.
Bldg. R3
Property Number: 549120027
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.
Bldg. R2
Property Number: 549120026
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Naval Station New York
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

South Carolina

Land
Georgetown Wayside Park
Property Number: 549130011
Fed Reg Date: 11/01/91
U.S. 701
Approx. 9-10 mi north of Georgetown
Georgetown, SC, Co: Georgetown, Zip: 29440-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 4-GR-SC-521
Reason: 24 acres advertised for public sale/7 acres HHS req. assign.

South Dakota

Land
Por. of Pactola Dist. Ad. Site
Property Number: 59130003
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
U.S. 803 Soo San Drive
Rapid City, SD, Co: Pennington, Zip: 57702-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 7-A-SD-511
Reason: Interest expressed by Dept of Interior and COE.

Texas

Buildings
Peary Place #1
Property Number: 779010256
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Naval Station
Naval Station
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.
Bldg. RD
Property Number: 779010257
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Naval Station
Naval Station
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.
Bldg. 305
Property Number: 779010258
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Project Name: Naval Station
Naval Station
207 Flushing Avenue
Brooklyn, NY, Co: Kings, Zip: 11251-
Status: Excess
GSA No.: 2-N-NY-797
Reason: Homeless application.

Virginia

Land
St. Helena Annex (former portion)
Property Number: 549120005
Fed Reg Date: 12/06/91
Treadwell and South Main Streets
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Summary of Properties Appearing for GSA</strong></th>
<th><strong>Interior</strong></th>
<th><strong>Washington</strong></th>
<th><strong>Buildings</strong></th>
<th><strong>Project Name</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reg Date</strong></th>
<th><strong>Property Number</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reason</strong></th>
<th><strong>Status</strong></th>
<th><strong>Location</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>Thompson Main Residence</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619030001</td>
<td>Lake Crescent Ranger Station</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619030016</td>
<td>In disposal process</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Amanda Park, WA, Zip: 98320-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thompson Shop</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619030009</td>
<td>Lake Crescent Ranger Station</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619040002</td>
<td>In disposal process</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Amanda Park, WA, Co: Grays Harbor, Zip: 98320-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thompson Powerhouse</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619030010</td>
<td>Lake Crescent Ranger Station</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619040003</td>
<td>In disposal process</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Amanda Park, WA, Co: Grays Harbor, Zip: 98320-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mica Peak Radio Station</td>
<td>12/06/91</td>
<td>549120065</td>
<td>Lake Crescent Ranger Station</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619040004</td>
<td>In disposal process</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Amanda Park, WA, Zip: 98320-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Port Angeles, WA</td>
<td>98362-</td>
<td>619040005</td>
<td>Lake Crescent Ranger Station</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619040005</td>
<td>In disposal process</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Amanda Park, WA, Co: Grays Harbor, Zip: 98320-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thompson Old Residence</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619030002</td>
<td>Lake Crescent Ranger Station</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619040006</td>
<td>In disposal process</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Amanda Park, WA, Co: Grays Harbor, Zip: 98320-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thompson Powerhouse</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619030010</td>
<td>Lake Crescent Ranger Station</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619040007</td>
<td>In disposal process</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Amanda Park, WA, Co: Grays Harbor, Zip: 98320-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dahinden Storage Building</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619030013</td>
<td>Lake Crescent Ranger Station</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>619040008</td>
<td>In disposal process</td>
<td>Excess</td>
<td>Amanda Park, WA, Co: Grays Harbor, Zip: 98320-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason:** Property revert to grantor when no longer needed by military.

**Florida**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Buildings</strong></th>
<th><strong>Project Name</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reg Date</strong></th>
<th><strong>Property Number</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reason</strong></th>
<th><strong>Status</strong></th>
<th><strong>Location</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naval Air Station</td>
<td>Pensacola, FL</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>779010157</td>
<td>Federal need</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
<td>Florida</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary of Properties Appearing for Interior**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Buildings</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reg Date</strong></th>
<th><strong>Property Number</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reason</strong></th>
<th><strong>Status</strong></th>
<th><strong>Location</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naval Submarine Base</td>
<td>Camden, Zip: 23523-</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>779010041</td>
<td>Federal need</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Reserve Center</td>
<td>Freeport, NY, Co: Nassau</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>779010157</td>
<td>Federal need</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Submarine Base</td>
<td>Kings Bay, GA, Co: Camden</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>779010161</td>
<td>Federal need</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**New York**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Buildings</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reg Date</strong></th>
<th><strong>Property Number</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reason</strong></th>
<th><strong>Status</strong></th>
<th><strong>Location</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naval Reserve Center</td>
<td>Freeport, NY, Co: Nassau</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>779010157</td>
<td>Federal need</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Texas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Buildings</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reg Date</strong></th>
<th><strong>Property Number</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reason</strong></th>
<th><strong>Status</strong></th>
<th><strong>Location</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naval Reserve Center</td>
<td>Freeport, NY, Co: Nassau</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>779010157</td>
<td>Federal need</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wyoming**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Buildings</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reg Date</strong></th>
<th><strong>Property Number</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reason</strong></th>
<th><strong>Status</strong></th>
<th><strong>Location</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naval Reserve Center</td>
<td>Freeport, NY, Co: Nassau</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>779010157</td>
<td>Federal need</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary of Properties Appearing for Interior**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Buildings</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reg Date</strong></th>
<th><strong>Property Number</strong></th>
<th><strong>Reason</strong></th>
<th><strong>Status</strong></th>
<th><strong>Location</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naval Reserve Center</td>
<td>Freeport, NY, Co: Nassau</td>
<td>11/22/91</td>
<td>779010157</td>
<td>Federal need</td>
<td>Underutilized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Suitable and Unavailable = 58**
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2454
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Property Number: 779010105

Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2460
Property Number: 779010190
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2468
Property Number: 779010186
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2472
Property Number: 779010189
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2476
Property Number: 779010170
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2482
Property Number: 779010171
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2495
Property Number: 779010172
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area

Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2514
Project Number: 779010173
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2518
Project Number: 779010174
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2520
Project Number: 779010175
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2522
Project Number: 779010176
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2523
Project Number: 779010177
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2526
Project Number: 779010178
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2527
Project Number: 779010179
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2528
Project Number: 779010180
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2532
Project Number: 779010181
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2534
Project Number: 779010182
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2538
Project Number: 779010183
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2542
Project Number: 779010184
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2544
Project Number: 779010185
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2547
Project Number: 779010186
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2549
Project Number: 779010187
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2550
Project Number: 779010188
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX; Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Bldg. 2458
Property Number: 779001189
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 2521
Property Number: 779001190
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 2494
Property Number: 779001194
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 2469
Property Number: 779001195
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 2480
Property Number: 779001199
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 2487
Property Number: 779001200
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 2488
Property Number: 779001202
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 2494
Property Number: 779001203
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 2500
Property Number: 779001204
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.

Bldg. 2502
Property Number: 779001205
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area
Laguna Housing Area
NAS Corpus Christi
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419-
Status: Underutilized
Reason: Area programmed for future use.
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Laguna Housing Area  
Property Number: 779010221  
Bldg. 2515  
Reason: Area programmed for future use.  
Status: Underutilized  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land  
Property Number: 779010221  
Fed Reg Date: 11/22/91  
Project Name: Laguna Housing Area  
Laguna Housing Area  
NAS Corpus Christi  
Corpus Christi, TX, Co: Nueces, Zip: 78419--  
Buildings  
Land.
Project Name: VA Medical Center  
5500 Army Long Road  
Battle Creek, MI, Co: Calhoun, Zip: 49016-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Being used for patient and program activities.

**Minnesota**  
Land  
Bldg. 43 Land Site  
Property Number: 979010005  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: VA Medical Center  
VA Medical Center  
54th Street & 48th Avenue South  
Minneapolis, MN, Co: Hennepin, Zip: 55417-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Used as parking areas for employees of the medical center.

Bldg. 227–229 Land  
Property Number: 979010006  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: VA Medical Center  
VA Medical Center  
Fort Snelling  
St. Paul, MN, Co: Hennepin, Zip: 55111-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Used for recreation and parking for occupants of bldg.

**VA Medical Center**  
Property Number: 979010024  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: VA Medical Center  
Near 5629 Minnehaha Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN, Co: Hennepin, Zip: 55417-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Used as parking for employees working in buildings on-site.

**Land**—12 acres  
Property Number: 979010001  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: VAMC  
VAMC  
Near 5629 Minnehaha Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN, Co: Hennepin, Zip: 55417-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Licensed to Minnesota Dept. of Natural Resources.

**Buildings**  
Bldg. 15  
Property Number: 979010025  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: VA Medical Center  
VA Medical Center  
Near 5629 Minnehaha Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN, Co: Hennepin, Zip: 55417-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Contains main steam lines & utility systems for area.

Bldg. 18  
Property Number: 979010026  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: VA Medical Center  
VA Medical Center  
Near 5629 Minnehaha Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN, Co: Hennepin, Zip: 55417-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Contains bldg. service equipment critical to operations.

Bldg. 21  
Property Number: 979010027  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: VA Medical Center  
VA Medical Center  
Near 5629 Minnehaha Avenue  
Minneapolis, MN, Co: Hennepin, Zip: 55417-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Used for recreation and parking for Fort Snelling

Pennsylvania  
Land  
VA Medical Center  
Property Number: 979010018  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: VA Medical Center  
New Castle Road  
Butler, PA, Co: Butler, Zip: 16001-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Used as natural drainage for facility property.

**West Virginia**  
Land  
VA Medical Center  
Property Number: 979010022  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: VA Medical Center  
1540 Spring Valley Drive  
Huntington, WV, Co: Wayne, Zip: 25704-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Property is essential to security and safety of patients.

**Wyoming**  
Buildings  
Bldg. 13  
Property Number: 9790110001  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: Medical Center  
Medical Center  
N.W. of town at the end of Fort Road  
Sheridan, WY, Co: Sheridan, Zip: 82801-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: Planned for future use—currently used for storage.

Bldg. 79  
Property Number: 9790110003  
Fed Reg Date: 12/27/91  
Project Name: Medical Center  
Medical Center  
N.W. of town at the end of Fort Road  
Sheridan, WY, Co: Sheridan, Zip: 82801-  
Status: Underutilized  
Reason: VA uses as a filtration plant.

Summary of Properties Appearing for VA Buildings = 12  
Land = 11  
Total Suitable and Unavailable = 23  
Summary of Properties Appearing in the Federal Register for 91 Buildings = 516  
Land = 53  
Total Suitable and Unavailable = 569  
[FR Doc. 92-3347 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4210-29-M
Part IV

Postal Service

39 CFR Part 111
Domestic Mail Manual: ZIP+4 and ZIP+4 Barcoded Rate Presort Requirements; Final Rule
Second-Class ZIP + 4 and ZIP + 4 Barcoded Rate Presort Requirements

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule modifies some existing requirements for preparing letter-size second-class automation rate mailings described in Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) chapter 5, adds a new option for preparing letter-size second-class mail to DMM chapter 5, and amends portions of DMM chapter 4 that pertain to the rates applicable to mailings prepared under the optional sortation to automated sites.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 14, 1992. See Supplementary Information for further information about compliance dates.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Lynn Martin, (202) 268-5176; or Mr. Richard Arvonio, (202) 268-5164.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 25, 1991, the Postal Service published a proposed rule in the Federal Register to amend the regulations of the Postal Service governing the physical requirements and the presort requirements for letter-size mail to qualify for ZIP + 4 and ZIP + 4 Barcoded rates (56 FR 50972).

The portion of the proposed rule amending the physical requirements for First-, second-, and third-class ZIP + 4 and ZIP + 4 Barcoded rate mail was addressed in a final rule published on October 16, 1991, 56 FR 51383. The portion of the proposed rule amending the presort requirements for First- and third-class mail was addressed in a final rule published on November 13, 1991, 56 FR 57724. This final rule extends to second-class mail, with some revisions, the presort options for First- and third-class mail that were set forth in the November 13 final rule.

Compliance Dates

Second-class mailers may use the presort preparation options in DMM 562.2, 563.2 and 564, as modified by this final rule, immediately. The options for tray-based preparation of ZIP + 4 and ZIP + 4 Barcoded rate mail set forth in DMM 562.1 and 562.2 may be used only until March 15, 1992, when these options will be phased out for all classes of mail (see 56 FR 57724). Accordingly, effective March 15, 1992, the second-class presort requirements set forth in DMM 562.2 and 563.2, as amended by this final rule, will become mandatory for second-class mailers wishing to prepare tray-based mailings under DMM chapter 5. The revisions to DMM 424.543b, 424.643c, and 447.325 may be used by mailers immediately, and will be mandatory for automation rate mailings prepared under DMM Chapter 4 effective March 15, 1992.

Evaluation of Comments Received

Comments concerning the proposed rule that did not pertain specifically to second-class presortation issues were addressed in the final rules published October 16, and November 13, 1991. Five comments were received specifically concerning second-class presort requirements.

One commenter recommended that second-class mailers not be required to meet the same qualification levels as First- and third-class mailers. Another commenter stated that the increase from a minimum of 6 pieces per 3-digit package to 50 pieces per 3-digit package to qualify for 3-digit ZIP + 4 Barcoded rates under the package-based option in DMM 564 will result in a net loss of barcoding discounts to second-class mailers which in turn will limit second-class participation in automated mail programs. One commenter stated that the proposed increase from 6 pieces to 10 pieces (for 5-digit packages) and to 50 pieces (for 3-digit packages) will adversely affect service performance. Two commenters indicated that studies conducted by mailers show over 80 percent of newspaper delivery problems stem from papers in 3-digit bundles that must be broken and handled by General Mail Facilities (GMFs). One of these commenters stated that increasing the minimum bundle will increase the number of “3-digit monsters” for second-class mailers. Another commenter stated that he can see the value of the new minimum package requirements for second-class letter mail, but that flat-size mail is handled differently and a lower minimum sortation requirement should be retained for second-class flats.

With respect to DMM chapter 4, one commenter recommended changing the requirement in DMM 452.532 from a minimum of four six-piece packages to each sack destination to a minimum of 24 pieces per sack when preparing automation rate second-class mailings. Three commenters requested that the Postal Service clarify that DMM 452.532 applies only to letter-size second-class mail. One of these commenters stated that there is a lot of confusion over the minimum package requirements and a better definition is needed.

The Postal Service has decided to adopt the minimum presortation levels of 10 pieces per 5-digit area and 50 pieces per 3-digit area in the package-based ZIP + 4 Barcoded rate preparation requirements for letter-size second-class mail in DMM 564 in order to make the same package-based sortation option available to First-, second- and third-class mailers, with the exception that within the 3-digit presort tier for second-class mailings, 50 or more pieces for the same optional city may be grouped together in optional city 3-digit, and SCF trays to obtain Level B rates. Regardless of the class of mail in which it is entered, automation-compatible mail needs to be “compatible” with the Postal Service’s automated mail processing equipment and needs to be presented to the Postal Service in a manner that aids automated processing to justify automation-based presortation discounts. In addition, as indicated in the proposed rule (56 FR 29076), the Postal Service needs to reduce the complex array of sortation requirements for the ZIP + 4 and ZIP + 4 Barcoded rates among the various classes.

Accordingly, the Postal Service believes it is appropriate for all classes of mail to have the same basic automation-based preparation requirements.

The Postal Service adopted the basic provisions that apply to First-Class Mail (at least 10 pieces to a 5-digit area and 50 pieces to a 3-digit area) for all ZIP + 4 Barcoded rate categories for several reasons. First, these rules currently apply to by far the largest volume of automation rate mail. Second, the First-Class rules were designed to apply primarily to letter-size mail which is the type of mail to which the DMM Chapter 5 preparation requirements apply. The sack preparation rules for second- and third-class mail were designed for a much more diverse mail base that was not predominately letter-size. Third, the at least 50-piece per 3-digit area requirement is needed to provide the minimum number of pieces to a destination to justify presorted automation rate discounts.

The rate eligibility criteria set forth in this final rule differ somewhat from those set forth in the proposed rule. Rate Schedules 200, 202, and 203 of the Domestic Mail Classification Schedule (DMCS), established by the Governors of the Postal Service pursuant to the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3621 et seq. (see 56 FR 3818, January 30, 1991), limit Level B’H/J3/J5 rates to pieces presorted to “3-digit city/5-digit” destinations and specifically prescribe that mail sorted to “3-digit (other than 3-digit cities)” and SCFs is only eligible for Level A/C/11 rates. Accordingly, Level B’H/J3/J5 rates cannot be extended to second-class mail sorted to...
3-digit areas that are neither unique 3-digit ZIP Code cities nor one of the multi-coded cities listed in DMM Exhibit 122.63a. For the same reason, those rates cannot be extended to second-class mail sorted to SCF destinations.

The tray-based sortation options in DMM chapter 5 require pieces to be placed in full trays without packaging (with some exceptions). Rate qualification is based upon the level of sortation of the tray into which the pieces are sorted. In keeping with the requirements of the Rate Schedules, this final rule permits only pieces within 5-digit trunks, unique 3-digit trunks, and optional city trays to qualify for second-class Level B/H/I/J5 rates in tray-based ZIP+4 and ZIP+4 Barcoded rate mailings made under DMM 562.2 and 563.2. New sections 562.233, 563.233, and 564.433 have been added to provide for preparation of optional city trays for second-class mailings. (Optional city trays are not permitted for First- and third-class mailings.)

Under the DMM chapter 5 package-based option (DMM 564), rate eligibility is based upon packaging or grouping requirements instead of the level of tray in which the pieces are placed. For the package-based option, the final rule permits only pieces within a group of 10 or more pieces to a 5-digit ZIP Code area, within a group of 50 or more pieces for a unique 3-digit ZIP Code area, or within a group of 50 or more pieces for an optional city to qualify for Level B/H/I/J5 rates. Pieces for non-unique 3-digit areas that are not part of a group of 50 or more pieces for an optional city will be subject to Level A/G/J1 rates, whether prepared as a group of 50 or more pieces for the 3-digit area within the 3-digit presort tier, or as part of the residual portion of the mailing. The rate eligibility requirements for optional automated site mailings in DMM 424.345b and 424.643c are also amended to specifically provide that SCF packages and sacks or trays only qualify for Level A/G/J1 rates.

Those commenters who expressed concern that the DMM chapter 5 presortation rules will require a greater quantity of mail to qualify for Level B/H/I/J5 automation discounts should note that it is possible that more pieces in a second-class mailing could qualify for the 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rates under the new DMM chapter 5 package-based sortation requirements [see DMM 564] than under the current DMM chapter 4 sortation requirements. This is because a single package of 10 or more ZIP+4 Barcoded pieces to a 5-digit ZIP Code area may qualify for 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rates (Levels B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates) under the package-based regulations in DMM 564 without needing additional packages to meet minimum sacking requirements. Depending upon the mailing, this could offset any loss of discounts from reduced qualification for the 3-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rates (Level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates).

The Postal Service does not agree that requiring 50-piece packages at the 3-digit ZIP Code level will adversely affect service performance for automation compatible second-class mail. The service performance tests cited by the commenter were based on flat-size pieces that were sacked. Because letter-size automation-compatible mail will be handled differently from flat-size pieces, any adverse impact shown in those tests should not apply to letter-size pieces that are trayed and barcoded.

With respect to the suggestion that the Postal Service change the requirement in DMM chapter 4 for four six-piece packages per sack, these DMM chapter 4 regulations were not addressed in the proposed rule. Because such a change could have an adverse impact on some mailers it would need to be addressed in a separate proposed rule. Since, as indicated in the proposed rule, the Postal Service’s future plans will move all automation rate mail out of sacks and into trays, there is no basis to consider such a revision to the sacking requirements for second-class automation rate mail.

With respect to the comment requesting that the sortation requirements for flaps not be changed, the Postal Service has no plans at the current time to change the sortation requirements for non-automation rate second-class mailings that are sacked. The proposed flat-size automation discounts are currently pending before the Postal Rate Commission; eligibility requirements for those discounts will be addressed separately from this rulemaking.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111
Postage Service.

In view of the considerations discussed above, the Postal Service hereby adopts the following amendments to the Domestic Mail Manual, which is incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations (see 39 CFR 11.1).

PART 111—AMENDED

1. The authority citation for part 111 continues to read as follows:

2. In chapter 4 of the Domestic Mail Manual make the following revisions:

CHAPTER 4—SECOND-CLASS MAIL

424.5 ZIP+4 Rates

424.543 Rate Eligibility

b. Optional Sortation to Automated Sites.

[Change the last sentence in this section to read:] “Pieces in SCF, AADC, and mixed AADC packages and sacks, or packages and trays, are not eligible for the level B3/H3/J3 rates and must be claimed at the level A/G/J1 rates.”

424.6 ZIP+4 Barcoded Rates

424.643 Rate Eligibility

c. Optional Sortation to Automated Sites.

[Change the last sentence in this section to read as follows:] “Pieces in SCF, AADC, and mixed AADC packages and sacks, or packages and trays, are not eligible for the level B3/H3/J3 rates and must be claimed at the level A/G/J1 rates.”

447 SPECIAL PREPARATION REQUIREMENTS FOR ZIP+4 AND ZIP+4 BARCODED MAILINGS

447.3 Trays

447.325 Optional Sortation to Automated Sites.

[Change the last sentence in this section to read as follows:] “Pieces in SCF, AADC, and mixed AADC packages and sacks, or packages and trays, are not eligible for the level B3/H3/J3 rates and must be claimed at the level A/G/J1 rates.”

500 Presort Requirements

561 General
562.22 Labeling and Securing Packages

[Replace the last two sentences in this section with the following:]

“For second-class mailings where optional city packages, if prepared, are required to be labeled, the lower left corner of the top piece in each package must bear a yellow "C" pressure-sensitive package label. Pressure-sensitive labels are provided by the Postal Service. Alternatively, the applicable 5-digit or 3-digit (or, for second-class, optional city) optional endorsement package label line may be used as specified in 369.441.232, or 642.3.”

562.442 When Overflow Trays Permitted. Overflow trays are permitted in all tray levels (5-digit, optional city (for second-class mailings only), 3-digit, and SCF trays) in mailings prepared under 561.222, 562.2, 563.1, and 563.2.

561.443 Requirements for Preparation of Overflow Trays. Mailers must distribute the volume among trays when more than one tray is prepared for the same destination to ensure that the maximum number of full (as defined in 561.43) 5-digit, optional city (second-class mailings only), 3-digit, and SCF trays are prepared. After this step, the remaining pieces for a 5-digit, optional city (second-class mailings only), 3-digit, or SCF destination may be placed in an overflow tray that is less than full, provided the pieces in the overflow tray are packaged. In tray-based option 2 ZIP+4 and ZIP+4 Barcoded rate mailings (see 562.2 and 563.2), packages in overflow trays must also be labeled as 5-digit packages (in 5-digit trays), optional city packages (in second-class optional city trays), or 3-digit packages (in 3-digit and SCF trays). See 561.222 for labeling requirements. Only one overflow tray for a particular 5-digit, optional city (second-class mailings only), 3-digit, or SCF destination may be prepared in a mailing. To allow accurate verification of the mailing by postal acceptance personnel, the mailer must provide a listing of all overflow trays (except for overflow SCF trays prepared under 562.2 and 564) in addition to the other documentation required for the preparation option used.

Note: [Insert existing note.]

562.2 Option 2 (Required March 15, 1992, Optional Until Then)

562.22 Rate Eligibility

562.222 Second-Class Mail

a. 5-Digit Trays. ZIP+4 coded pieces in 5-digit trays may qualify for the level B5/H5/J5 ZIP+4 rates. Other pieces in 5-digit trays may qualify for the level B5/H5/J5 rates. These trays must be full trays (see 561.43) or overflow trays (see 561.44).

b. Optional City Trays. ZIP+4 coded pieces in optional city trays for a city listed in Exhibit 122.63a may qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 rates. Other pieces in optional city trays may qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 rates. These trays must be full trays (see 561.43) or overflow trays (see 561.44).

c. 3-Digit Trays.

(1) Unique 3-Digit Trays. ZIP+4 coded pieces in 3-digit trays of a unique 3-digit ZIP Code listed in Exhibit 122.63b may qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 rates. Other pieces in unique 3-digit trays may qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 rates. These trays must be full trays (see 561.43) or overflow trays (see 561.44).

(2) Non-Unique 3-Digit Trays. ZIP+4 coded pieces in non-unique 3-digit trays may qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 rates. Other pieces may qualify for the level A/G/J1 rates. These trays must be full trays (see 561.43) or overflow trays (see 561.44).

d. SCF Trays. ZIP+4 coded pieces in SCF trays may qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 rates. Other pieces in SCF trays may qualify for the level A/G/J1 rates. There is no minimum quantity for SCF trays. All pieces in second-class ZIP+4 mailings must be sorted to at least the SCF level.

Note: Even though a less than full SCF tray that contains mail for only one 3-digit area must be labeled as a 3-digit tray (or may be labeled as an optional city tray if the tray contains mail for only one optional city) in accordance with 562.222b(2), 562.222b(3), and 562.233, such a tray is considered an SCF tray for rate purposes. A less than full SCF tray is different from an optional city or a 3-digit overflow tray. An optional city overflow tray contains mail left over after filling at least one other tray for that 3-digit destination. Overflow optional city trays and overflow 3-digit trays are subject to the rates for optional city and 3-digit trays described in 562.222b and 562.222c. These overflow trays must be separately documented as required in 561.44.

e. Residual Trays. There are no residual trays in second-class mailings.

562.23 Sortation Requirements

562.231 ZIP Code Grouping and Packaging Requirements

a. Grouping and Packaging in 5-Digit and 3-Digit Trays

(1) Full Trays. There are no ZIP Code grouping requirements for 5-digit, optional city (for second-class mail only), and 3-digit trays. Packaging is not permitted in full 5-digit, optional city (second-class only), and 3-digit trays when pieces do not exceed the width of trays. Packaging is permitted for pieces that exceed the width of trays when used to maintain the orientation of the pieces within the trays as described in 561.422. Package labels are not required for this packaging.

Note: 5-digit and 3-digit trays, as well as second-class optional city trays, are required to be full (see 562.222b(1), 562.222b(2), 562.223, and 561.43) except that less than full overflow trays are permitted as described in 561.44.

(2) Overflow Trays. The pieces in overflow trays to 5-digit and 3-digit destinations, as well as pieces in overflow trays to second-class optional city destinations, must be packaged to maintain their orientation. (Overleaf trays are less than full by definition (see 561.44.) All packages in overflow trays must be labeled as 5-digit packages, 3-digit packages, or (within second-class mailings only) optional city packages, as appropriate. See 561.22 for other requirements on securing and labeling packages.

b. Grouping and Packaging in SCF Trays

(2) Second- and Third-Class Mailings.

[Insert existing section 562.231b(2).]

Revise the first sentence to read as follows:

“"There is no minimum quantity of pieces required in SCF trays of second- and third-class mail."

[Revise the next to last sentence of 562.231b(2) to read as follows:]

“Each package in a less than full SCF tray must contain mail for only one 3-digit ZIP Code area and must be labeled as a 3-digit package, except that in second-class mailings the package may be labeled as an optional city package if each piece in the package is for one of the optional cities listed in Exhibit 122.63a."
Tray labeling requirements

b. Required Tray Sortation

   (1) 5-Digit Trays.
   [Insert existing 562.232b.(1). Change the information to be placed in Line 2 of the tray label as follows:]

   Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C) followed by “ZIP+4 PRESORT”

   Exception: In the second sentence change the phrase “third-class” to “second- and third-class”. Add the following as the last line of the exception to 562.232b.(1):

   “Optional city trays are not permitted in second-class mailings prepared according to this optional automated site preparation option.”

   (2) 3-Digit Trays.
   [Insert existing section 562.232b.(2). Insert the following after the third sentence:]

   “In second-class mailings the mailer may elect to prepare optional city trays to obtain the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 rates (see 562.233); if so, optional city trays must be prepared before preparing 3-digit trays.”

   [In both 562.232b(2)(a) and 562.232b(2)(b) change the information to be placed in Line 2 of the tray label as follows:]

   Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C) followed by “ZIP+4 PRESORT”

   (3) SCF Trays

   (a) Trays for SCFs Serving a Single 3-Digit Area. If, after preparing all possible full 5-digit trays, full optional city trays (if applicable—see 562.233), and full 3-digit trays (and, at the mailer’s option, overflow trays to those destinations), there are pieces remaining for a single 3-digit SCF listed in Exhibit 122.63c that are not sufficient to fill a tray, they must be placed in a single 3-digit SCF tray. The pieces in the tray must be rubber-banded or otherwise secured into packages and labeled as described in 562.231b and 561.22. There is no minimum quantity for single 3-digit SCF trays, except for those in First-Class mailings, which must contain at least 50 pieces for each 3-digit ZIP Code area. (See also the note in 562.231a(1) for instructions concerning First-Class mailings where fewer than 50 pieces fill a tray.) If a second-class single 3-digit SCF tray contains pieces for only one optional city listed in Exhibit 122.63a, the tray may be labeled as an optional city tray under 562.233. Other trays containing mail for an SCF serving a single 3-digit area must be labeled as follows:

   Line 1: Name of SCF, two-letter state abbreviation, followed by 3-digit ZIP Code prefix of pieces in tray (see Exhibit 122.63c)

   Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C) followed by “ZIP+4 PRESORT”

   Exception: [Add the following sentence to the end of the exception:] “For second-class mailings prepared under this automated site option, optional city trays are not permitted.”

   562.233 Optional Tray Sortation for Second-Class Mailings Only

   a. General. The requirements in 561.4 must be met.

   b. Second-Class Optional City Trays.

   After preparing all possible full 5-digit trays (and, at the mailer’s option, overflow 3-digit trays), and before preparing 3-digit trays, second-class mailers may prepare optional city trays for the multi-ZIP Code areas listed in Exhibit 122.63a. Trays that are not full are prohibited, except that one overflow tray per optional city is permitted as provided in 561.44. Trays must be labeled as follows:

   Line 1: Name of optional city in Exhibit 122.63a, two-letter state abbreviation, and lowest 5-digit ZIP Code served by city

   Line 2: Contents (2C or NEWS) followed by “ZIP+4 PRESORT” and directly under ZIP Code on Line 1 “CITY”

   Line 3: Name of mailer and city and two-letter state abbreviation of mailer’s location

   Example

   LA JOLLA CA 92037
   NEWS ZIP+4 PRESORT CITY
   DUCE MAILING CO BIGFOOT TX

   Note: For mailings prepared under the automated site option (see exception to 562.232b(9)(b), preparation of optional city trays is not permitted.

   502.24 Residual Mail

   502.241 General

   [Revise the first sentence of this section to read as follows:] “There is no residual mail for second- and third-class mailings.”
b. Optional City Trays. Pieces that bear the correct ZIP+4 barcode or correct delivery point barcode in trays for an optional city listed in Exhibit 122.63a may qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates. Pieces without a ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode may qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 rates if they bear the correct numeric ZIP+4 code in the address and meet the requirements of 540. Other pieces qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 presort rates. All 5-digit trays must be full trays (see 561.43) or overflow trays (see 561.44).

c. 3-Digit Trays

(1) Unique 3-Digit Trays. Pieces that bear the correct ZIP+4 barcode or correct delivery point barcode in unique 3-digit trays may qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates. Pieces without a ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode may qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 rates if they bear the correct numeric ZIP+4 code in the address and meet the requirements of 540. Other pieces qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 presort rates. Unique 3-digit trays must be full trays (see 561.43) or overflow trays (see 561.44).

(2) Non-Unique 3-Digit Trays. Pieces that bear the correct ZIP+4 barcode or correct delivery point barcode in non-unique 3-digit trays may qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates. Pieces without a ZIP+4 or delivery point barcode may qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 rates if they bear a correct numeric ZIP+4 code in the address and meet the requirements of 540. Other pieces qualify for the level A/G/J1 presort rates. All 3-digit trays must be full trays (see 561.43) or overflow trays (see 561.44).

c. SCF Trays. Pieces that bear the correct ZIP+4 barcode or correct delivery point barcode in SCF trays may qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates. Pieces without a ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode may qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 rates if they bear a correct numeric ZIP+4 code in the address and meet the requirements of 540. Other pieces qualify for the level A/G/J1 presort rates. All SCF trays must be full trays (see 561.43) or overflow trays (see 561.44).

d. Residual Trays. Pieces that bear the correct ZIP+4 barcode or correct delivery point barcode in residual trays may qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates. Residual pieces without a ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode may qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 rates if they bear a correct numeric ZIP+4 code in the address and meet the requirements of 540. Other pieces qualify for the level A/G/J1 presort rates.

563.23 ZIP Code Grouping and Packaging

a. Grouping/Packaging in 5-Digit and 3-Digit Trays

(1) Full Trays. There are no ZIP Code grouping requirements for 5-digit, optional city (second-class only), and 3-digit trays. Packaging is not permitted in full 5-digit, optional city (second-class mail only), and 3-digit trays containing only pieces that do not exceed the width of trays. Packaging is permitted within trays containing pieces that exceed the width of trays when packaging is required to maintain the orientation of the pieces within the trays as described in 561.422. Package labels are not required for this packaging.

Note: 5-digit and 3-digit trays, as well as second-class optional city trays, are required to be full (see 563.232b[1], 563.232b[2] and 563.233) except that less than full overflow trays are permitted as provided in 561.44. For First-Class mailings, there must be at least 50 pieces for each 3-digit ZIP Code area. If less than 50 pieces fill a 3-digit tray (pieces exceeding the height and width of trays) the remaining pieces to that 3-digit ZIP Code area must be placed in another full 3-digit tray if possible, a 3-digit overflow tray, or an SCF tray.

(2) Overflow Trays. The pieces in overflow trays to 5-digit and 3-digit destinations, as well as pieces in overflow trays to second-class optional city destinations, must be packaged to maintain their orientation. [Overflow trays are less than full by definition (see 561.44. All packages in overflow trays must be labeled as 5-digit, 3-digit, or (within second-class mailings only) optional city packages as appropriate. See 561.22 for other requirements on securing and labeling packages.

b. Grouping/Packaging in SCF Trays

(2) Second- and Third-Class Mailings
(a) Full Trays. [Revise the first sentence of this section to read as follows:]

"There is no minimum quantity requirement for individual 3-digit ZIP Code areas in SCF trays of second- and third-class mail."]

(b) Overflow Trays. The pieces in second- and third-class SCF overflow trays (which are less than full by definition) must be packaged to maintain their orientation in the tray. Each package must contain mail for only one 3-digit ZIP Code area and must be labeled as a 3-digit package, except that in second-class mailings the package may be labeled as an optional city package if each piece in the package is for one of the optional cities listed in Exhibit 122.63a.

563.232 Traying Requirements

a. General. The requirements in 561.2 must be met.

b. Required Tray Sortation—Qualifying Pieces

(1) 5-Digit Trays. If there are enough pieces to the same 5-digit destination to fill a tray, a 5-digit tray may be prepared for that destination. Preparation of 5-digit trays is required only if the First- or third-class 5-digit ZIP + 4 Barcoded rates or second-class level B5/H5/J5 ZIP + 4 Barcoded rates are claimed. Trays that are not full are prohibited, except for overflow trays as provided in 561.4. All 5-digit trays must be labeled as follows:

Line 1: City, two-letter state abbreviation, and 5-digit ZIP Code

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C) followed by "Z+4 BARCODED" or "Z+4 B/C"

Line 3: Name of mailer and city and two-letter state abbreviation of mailer’s location

Example

NORTHERN VIRGINIA VA 221
NEWS Z+4 BARCODED
ABC MAILING CO ROCHESTER NY

(2) 3-Digit Trays. If there are enough pieces to fill a tray for a 3-digit ZIP Code area, a 3-digit tray must be prepared for that destination. For First-Class Mail, there must be at least 50 pieces for each 3-digit ZIP Code area. For all classes of mail, 3-digit trays that are not full are prohibited, except for overflow trays as provided in 561.4. (See also the note in 563.233a(1) for instructions concerning First-Class mailings where a full tray may contain less than 50 pieces.) Within mailings in which the First- or third-class 5-digit ZIP + 4 Barcoded rates or second-class level B5/H5/J5 rates are claimed, 3-digit trays may be prepared only after all possible 5-digit trays have been prepared. In second-class mailings the mailer may elect to prepare optional city trays to obtain the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP + 4 Barcoded rates (see 563.233); if so, optional city trays must be prepared before preparing 3-digit trays. 3-digit trays must be labeled as follows:

(a) Unique 3-Digit ZIP Code Prefixes

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C) followed by "Z+4 BARCODED" or "Z+4 B/C"

(b) Other 3-digit ZIP Code Prefixes

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C) followed by "Z+4 BARCODED" or "Z+4 B/C"

Example

NORTHERN VIRGINIA VA 221
NEWS Z+4 BARCODED
ABC MAILING CO ROCHESTER NY

563.233 Optional Tray Sortation for Second-Class Mailings Only

a. General. The requirements in 561.4 must be met.

b. Second-Class Optional City Trays. Before preparing 5-digit trays, second-class mailers may prepare optional city trays for the multi-ZIP Coded cities listed in Exhibit 122.63a. If the mailer chooses to prepare 5-digit trays, optional city mail may only be prepared after preparing all possible full 5-digit trays.

Optional city trays that are not full are prohibited, except that one overflow tray per optional city is permitted as provided in 561.4. Trays must be labeled as follows:

Line 1: Name of optional city in Exhibit 122.63a, two-letter state abbreviation, and lowest 5-digit ZIP Code served by city

Line 2: Contents (2C or NEWS) followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED" or "ZIP+4 B/C" and directly under ZIP Code on Line 1 "CITY"

Line 3: Name of mailer and city and two-letter state abbreviation of mailer’s location

Example

LA JOLLA CA 92037
NEWS ZIP+4 BARCODED CITY
DEUCE MAILING CO BIGFOOT TX

563.24 Documentation

(1) Option 1: ZIP Code Sequencing and Listing

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRS as appropriate) followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED"

(2) Option 2: Physical Separation

(a) Trays containing ZIP+4 or Delivery Point Barcoded Mail

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRS as appropriate) followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED"

(b) Trays Containing Pieces Not ZIP+4 or Delivery Point Barcoded that Bear a Correct Numeric ZIP+4 Code in the Address and Meet the Requirements of 540.

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRS)

563.233 Optional Tray Sortation for Second-Class Mailings Only

a. General. The requirements in 561.4 must be met.

b. Second-Class Optional City Trays. Before preparing 5-digit trays, second-class mailers may prepare optional city trays for the multi-ZIP Coded cities listed in Exhibit 122.63a. If the mailer chooses to prepare 5-digit trays, optional city mail may only be prepared after preparing all possible full 5-digit trays.

Optional city trays that are not full are prohibited, except that one overflow tray per optional city is permitted as provided in 561.4. Trays must be labeled as follows:

Line 1: Name of optional city in Exhibit 122.63a, two-letter state abbreviation, and lowest 5-digit ZIP Code served by city

Line 2: Contents (2C or NEWS) followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED" or "ZIP+4 B/C" and directly under ZIP Code on Line 1 "CITY"

Line 3: Name of mailer and city and two-letter state abbreviation of mailer’s location

Example

LA JOLLA CA 92037
NEWS ZIP+4 BARCODED CITY
DEUCE MAILING CO BIGFOOT TX

563.24 Documentation

(1) Option 1: ZIP Code Sequencing and Listing

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRS as appropriate) followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED"

(2) Option 2: Physical Separation

(a) Trays containing ZIP+4 or Delivery Point Barcoded Mail

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRS as appropriate) followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED"

(b) Trays Containing Pieces Not ZIP+4 or Delivery Point Barcoded that Bear a Correct Numeric ZIP+4 Code in the Address and Meet the Requirements of 540.

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRS)

563.233 Optional Tray Sortation for Second-Class Mailings Only

a. General. The requirements in 561.4 must be met.

b. Second-Class Optional City Trays. Before preparing 5-digit trays, second-class mailers may prepare optional city trays for the multi-ZIP Coded cities listed in Exhibit 122.63a. If the mailer chooses to prepare 5-digit trays, optional city mail may only be prepared after preparing all possible full 5-digit trays.

Optional city trays that are not full are prohibited, except that one overflow tray per optional city is permitted as provided in 561.4. Trays must be labeled as follows:

Line 1: Name of optional city in Exhibit 122.63a, two-letter state abbreviation, and lowest 5-digit ZIP Code served by city

Line 2: Contents (2C or NEWS) followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED" or "ZIP+4 B/C" and directly under ZIP Code on Line 1 "CITY"

Line 3: Name of mailer and city and two-letter state abbreviation of mailer’s location

Example

LA JOLLA CA 92037
NEWS ZIP+4 BARCODED CITY
DEUCE MAILING CO BIGFOOT TX

563.24 Documentation

(1) Option 1: ZIP Code Sequencing and Listing

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRS as appropriate) followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED"

(2) Option 2: Physical Separation

(a) Trays containing ZIP+4 or Delivery Point Barcoded Mail

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRS as appropriate) followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED"

(b) Trays Containing Pieces Not ZIP+4 or Delivery Point Barcoded that Bear a Correct Numeric ZIP+4 Code in the Address and Meet the Requirements of 540.

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRS)
563.242 Information Required

a. Tray Label Option

(1) Sequence.

[Revise the first two sentences to read as follows:]

"The documentation must be sequenced by level of sortation (5-digit, second-class optional city if applicable, 3-digit, and SCF) and must show, for each tray in each group, a unique tray number or the exact top line of the tray label. For the 5-digit portion and second-class optional city portion, the contents of each tray must be detailed by 5-digit ZIP Code." [Revise the second sentence to read as follows:]

"Three-digit Presort Tier. Within the residual presort tier prepared under 564.423, pieces that bear the correct ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode can qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates; pieces without a ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode can qualify for the level A/G/J1 rates if they bear a correct numeric ZIP+4 code in the address and meet the requirements of 540; (3) other pieces qualify for the level A/G/J1 presort rates.

b. ZIP Code Option

(1) Sequence.

[Revise the first two sentences to read as follows:]

"The documentation must be sequenced by level of sortation (5-digit, second-class optional city if applicable, 3-digit, and SCF). For the 5-digit portion and second-class optional city portion, the entries must be listed by 5-digit ZIP Code."

564 PACKAGE-BASED PREPARATION REQUIREMENTS—ZIP+4 BARCODED RATE MAILINGS

564.2 Rate Eligibility

564.22 Second-Class Mailings

564.221 Five-Digit Presort Tier (Groups of 10 or More Pieces Per 5-Digit Area in 5-Digit, 3-Digit, and SCF trays). All pieces within the 5-digit presort tier prepared in accordance with 564.3 may qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates. Only pieces bearing a correct ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode may be included in this presort tier.

564.222 Three-Digit Presort Tier (Groups of 50 or More Pieces Per 3-Digit Area or per Optional City in Optional City, 3-Digit, and SCF Trays)

a. Unique 3-Digit Packages and Optional City Packages: Within the 3-digit presort tier, groups of 50 or more pieces for a unique 3-digit ZIP Code area listed in Exhibit 122.63b and groups of 50 or more pieces for a multi-coded city listed in Exhibit 122.63a can qualify for rates as follows: (1) Pieces that bear the correct ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode and meet the requirements of 550 can qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates; (2) pieces that do not bear a ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode that bear the correct numeric ZIP+4 code in the address and meet the requirements of 550 can qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 rates; (3) other pieces qualify for the level B3/H3/J3 presort rates.

b. Non-Unique 3-Digit Packages. Within the 3-digit presort tier, groups of 50 or more pieces to a non-unique 3-digit ZIP Code area that are not part of a group of 50 or more pieces for an optional city listed in Exhibit 122.63a will qualify for rates as follows: (1) Pieces that bear the correct ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode can qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates; (2) pieces without a ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode can qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 rates if they bear a correct numeric ZIP+4 code in the address and meet the requirements of 540; (3) other pieces qualify for the level A/G/J1 presort rates.

Note: The 3-digit presort tier can contain pieces at both Level B3/H3/J3 and A/G/J1 rates. The documentation must properly reflect the applicable rates as described in 564.62.

564.223 Residual Presort Tier. Within the residual presort tier prepared under 564.5, pieces that bear the correct ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode can qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 Barcoded rates; pieces without a ZIP+4 barcode or delivery point barcode can qualify for the level A/G/J1 ZIP+4 rates if they bear a correct numeric ZIP+4 code in the address and meet the requirements of 540; and other pieces qualify for the level A/G/J1 presort rates.

564.3 Sortation Requirements—5-Digit Presort Tier

564.33 Traying Requirements—5-Digit Presort Tier

564.32 Trays

564.332 Tray Sortation

a. 5-Digit Trays.

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C) followed by "5DG Z+4 BARCODED" or "5DG Z+4 B/C".

564.4 Sortation Requirements—3-Digit Presort Tier

564.41 Minimum Quantity Per 3-Digit Area. [Add the following as the second sentence of this section: "For second-class mailings, groups of 50 or more pieces for an optional city in Exhibit 122.63a may be prepared before preparing groups of 50 or more pieces for the same 3-digit ZIP Code area."]

564.42 Grouping and Packaging Requirements

[Renumber 564.421 and 564.422 as 564.422a and 564.423. Insert new 564.421, new 564.422b, and revise 564.423 as follows:]

564.421 Grouping/Packaging in Optional City Trays (Second-Class Mailings Only). Second-class mailers may choose to prepare groups of 50 or more pieces for the optional cities listed...
in Exhibit 122.63a to obtain the Level B3/H3/J3 rates as described in 564.222a, before going on to prepare groups of 50 or more pieces for 3-digit ZIP Code areas. If mailers choose to claim the level B3/H3/J3 rates for optional cities in this manner, and there is a full tray of this mail for the same optional city, an optional city tray must be prepared as described in 564.43. There are no grouping or packaging requirements for optional city trays except for pieces that exceed the width of trays where packaging is used as the method to maintain the orientation of the pieces within the trays as provided in 561.422. It is recommended that mail in optional city trays not be packaged (except as in 561.422). However mailers are permitted to package pieces in optional city trays as provided in 561.22. If pieces are not packaged, package levels are not required.

Note: All optional city trays must be full (see 564.43a and 561.43) and there must be at least 50 pieces for each optional city (see 564.41). If, due to the size of the mailpieces, a full tray holds less than 50 pieces and it is not possible for all the pieces for the same optional city to be placed in the same tray, the remaining pieces must be placed in another full optional city tray if possible. In all instances where it is not possible to fill another optional city tray with the pieces remaining from a full optional city tray, the remaining pieces must be placed in a 3-digit tray if possible, or an SCF tray.

564.422 Grouping/Packaging in 3-Digit Trays

a. First- and Third-Class Mailings. [Insert former section 564.421.]
   b. Second-Class Mailings. There are no grouping or packaging requirements for 3-digit trays except when mailers choose to perform optional city sortations as described in 564.421 and 564.43 in order to claim level B3/H3/J3 rates under 564.222a. When optional city sortations are made, groups of 50 or more pieces for an optional city must be grouped together within 3-digit trays. Any remaining group of 50 or more pieces for the 3-digit ZIP Code area that is not related to an optional city must be grouped together in the tray.

Note: All 3-digit trays must be full (see 564.43a and 561.43) and there must be at least 50 pieces for each optional city or 3-digit ZIP Code area (see 564.41). If, due to the size of the mailpieces, a full tray holds less than 50 pieces and it is not possible for all the pieces for the same 3-digit ZIP Code area to be placed in the same tray, the remaining pieces must be placed in another full 3-digit tray if possible. In all instances where it is not possible to fill another 3-digit tray with the pieces remaining from a full 3-digit tray (either groups for optional cities or groups for 3-digit areas), the remaining pieces must be placed in an SCF tray.

564.423 Grouping/Packaging in SCF Trays

a. Full SCF Trays. [Add the following as the second sentence of this section:]
   "For second-class mailings in which level B3/H3/J3 rates are claimed for groups of 50 or more pieces for the same optional city (see 564.222a and 564.421), the pieces for each optional city must be grouped together separate from other groups of 50 or more pieces for the 3-digit area.

b. Less than Full SCF Trays. [Add the following as the third and fourth sentences of this section:]
   "Within second-class mailings where mailers have opted to claim the level B3/H3/J3 rates for groups of 50 or more pieces for the same optional city (see 564.222a and 564.421), the pieces for each optional city within the tray for which level B3/H3/J3 rates are claimed must be secured together into packages and labeled as optional city packages as described in 561.222. Each optional city package must contain only mail for a single optional city."

564.43 Traying Requirements

564.432 Required Tray Sortation

a. 3-Digit Trays

(1) Unique 3-Digit ZIP Code Prefixes.

b. Less than Full SCF Trays. [Add the following as the second sentence of this section:]
   "The pieces in the tray must be secured into 3-digit packages and labeled as described in 564.222 and 561.22. Single 3-digit SCF trays must be labeled as follows:"

   • Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C) followed by "3DG Z+4 BARCODED" or "3DG Z+4 B/C"

(2) Other 3-Digit ZIP Code Prefixes.

b. Less than Full SCF Trays. [Add the following as the second sentence of this section:]
   "The pieces in the tray must be secured into 3-digit packages and labeled as described in 564.222 and 561.22. Single 3-digit SCF trays must be labeled as follows:"

   • Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C) followed by "3DG Z+4 BARCODED" or "3DG Z+4 B/C"

Example

SCF SAN ANTONIO TX 780
2C 3DG Z+4 BARCODED
NBT CO BAKERSFIELD CA

564.433 Optional Tray Sortation for Second-Class Mailings Only

a. General. The requirements in 561.4 must be met.

b. Second-Class Optional City Trays. Before preparing 3-digit trays, second-class mailers may prepare optional city trays for the multi-ZIP Coded cities listed in Exhibit 122.63a. If mailers choose to prepare groups of 50 or more pieces for optional cities as described in 564.421, and there is a full tray of this mail for the same optional city, an optional city tray must be prepared. Optional city trays that are not full are prohibited, except that one overflow tray per optional city is permitted as provided in 561.44. Trays must be labeled as follows:
   • Line 1: Name of optional city in Exhibit 122.63a, two-letter state abbreviation, and lowest 5-digit ZIP Code served by city
   • Line 2: Contents [2C or NEWS] followed by "ZIP+4 BARCODED" or
564.62 Information Required for 5-Digit Presort Tier Sorted as in 564.3
a. Listing
[In 564.622a(1) change the phrase
"(First-Class 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate, or third-class 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate)." to "(First-Class 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate, the second-class level B5/H5/J5 ZIP+4 Barcoded rate, or the third-class 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate)."

b. Pieces Sorted Under Option 1: ZIP Code Sequencing and Listing
[In 564.624a(1) change the phrase
"(First-Class Nonpresorted Barcoded rate if qualified for the card rate, or First-Class Nonpresorted ZIP+4 rates if other than a card, or third-class basic ZIP+4 Barcoded rate)"

Note:

564.622 Information Required for 5-Digit Presort Tier Sorted as in 564.3
a. Listing
[In 564.622a(1) change the phrase
"(First-Class 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate, or third-class 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate)." to "(First-Class 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate, the second-class level B5/H5/J5 ZIP+4 Barcoded rate, or the third-class 5-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate)."

564.623 Information Required for 5-Digit Presort Tier Sorted in Accordance with 564.4
a. Listing. For each group of 50 or more pieces per 3-digit area list the following by 3-digit ZIP Code, and if optional city groups were prepared for second-class mail, list for each group of 50 or more pieces per optional city the following by lowest 5-digit ZIP Code served by the city.

(1) Number of ZIP+4 Barcoded or Delivery Point Barcoded Pieces. These must be listed under a column heading (or column headings for second-class mail) that indicate(s) the rate for which they qualify based upon the class of mail submitted (First-Class 3-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate, second-class level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 Barcoded rate if for a unique 3-digit ZIP Code or an optional city, second-class level A/G/J PN ZIP+4 Barcoded rate, or third-class 3-digit ZIP+4 Barcoded rate).

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRs) followed by "ZP+4 BARCODED"

b. Trays Containing Pieces Not ZIP+4 or Delivery Point Barcoded But Bearing Correct Numeric ZIP+4 Code in Address and Meeting the Requirements of 540

(2) Number of Pieces Without a ZIP+4 Barcode or Delivery Point Barcode Bearing a Correct Numeric ZIP+4 Code and Meeting the Requirements of 540. These must be listed under a column heading (or column headings for second-class mail) that indicate(s) the rate for which they qualify based upon the class of mail submitted (First-Class ZIP+4 Presort rate, second-class level B3/H3/J3 ZIP+4 rate if for an unique 3-digit ZIP Code or an optional city, second-class level A/G/J ZIP+4 rates, or third-class 3/5 ZIP+4 rate).

Line 2: Appropriate class or contents designation (FCM, 2C, NEWS, or 3C LTRs)

(3) Number of Pieces Without ZIP+4 Barcode or Delivery Point Barcode Not Qualifying for ZIP+4 Rates. These must be listed under a column heading (or column headings for second-class mail) that indicate(s) the rate for which they qualify based upon the class of mail submitted (Presorted First-Class rate, second-class level B3/H3/J3 presort rate if a unique 3-digit ZIP Code or an optional city, second-class level A/G/J presort rate, or third-class 3/5 presort rate).

Note:

564.624 Information Required for the Residual/Basic Presort Tier Sorted as in 564.5
a. Pieces Sorted Under Option 1: ZIP Code Sequencing and Listing
[In 564.624a(1) change the phrase
"(First-Class Nonpresorted Barcoded rate if qualified for the card rate, or First-Class Nonpresorted ZIP+4 rates if other than a card, or third-class basic ZIP+4 Barcoded rate)"

Note:

564.625 Summary
a. Second-Class Mailings and Permit Imprint Mailings
(1) Rate Summary.
[Revise the last sentence in this section to read as follows:
"This grand total shows the amount of postage to be deducted from the second-class account or the permit imprint account.

A transmittal letter making these changes in the pages of the Domestic Mail Manual will be published and will be transmitted to subscribers automatically. Notice of issuance will be published in the Federal Register as provided by 39 CFR 111.3.

Stanley F. Miles,
Assistant General Counsel, Legislative Division.
[FR Doc. 92-3588 Filed 2-13-92; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7110-12-M
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