The National Republican.

VOL. XXVIII.--NO. 146. WASHINGTON, D. C., WEDNESDAY MORNING, MAY 2, 1888. THREE CENTS.

INGALLS AND VOORHEES.

THE KANSAS SENATOR PULVERIZES THE HOOSIER.

A Two-Hour Speech by Ingalls Stirs Up Voorhees and the Results Are Most Disastrous to the Friend of Jacob Thompson, C. C. Clay, and Vallandigham.

The Senate galleries presented unusually animated appearance this morning of yesterday's session. ...row with spectators—principal ...es—drawn by the announcement of a speech at 2 p. m. by Mr. Ingalls, in response to Mr. Voorhees's invective of last Wednesday, and by the prospect of a bitter and excited political discussion.

Mr. Ingalls took his seat, his desk being decorated with flowers. By this time a large number of spectators had been admitted to the floor of the Senate.

At 2 o'clock Mr. Ingalls was recognized by the chair. He said:

MR. PRESIDENT: On the 11th day of January, 1888, a little less than four months ago, Maj. Gen. Fitz-John Porter, now on the retired list, wrote a letter from which I read the following extract: "And now to you, one of the thousands of kind, generous friends, whose hearts I have felt, whose forms I have never seen nor warm hands touched, I beg to express my heartfelt appreciation of your kind act in my behalf, and to assure you, all of you, north and south, that my heart is and always has been with you, though at one time my hand and my head worked the best they knew how against you and your cause, believing, as I do, it was for the best."

Mr. President, the senator from Indiana, in the course of his observations last Wednesday, complained with some bitterness that a determined attempt was made during the war of the rebellion, and he adds it has been continued since, to blacken the names of the great civil as well as military leaders who remained true to the Democratic party as at enmity with the cause of the Union and in an alliance—of sentiment, at least—with the confederacy. He also added, Mr. President, what never has been conceded hitherto, so far as I am advised, by any Democratic orator, that there is such a thing as treason against this government, and that to be an ally of the confederacy was to be a traitor. In his complaint at the accusations that have been made the irresistible inference was, first, that these accusations against the civil and military leaders of the Democratic party were not true, and next that if they were true they were discreditable and dishonorable.

Fitz-John Porter, Mr. President, was a leader in the war for the Union who maintained his allegiance to the Democratic party, and yet within the past four months, although he had been dishonorably dismissed and had been restored to his rank, he remained a Democratic party and its members who had been members of the confederacy, he writes that his heart was always with them, although at one time his head and his hand were against them; that even at the time when his head and his hand were lending their succor to that commander whom the senator from Indiana characterizes with somewhat redundant and elaborate affluence of diction as a vain, pretentious, spectacular, boasting, and vain glorious pretender—that even then, when his head and his hand were engaged in that task his heart was, as it had always been and has ever since been, with them. Mr. President, Maj. Gen. George B. McClellan was born in Philadelphia, educated at West Point, and went immediately to New Orleans, where he became the intimate business and political friend of Beauregard and entered into the nefarious schemes for the extension and perpetuation of human slavery by the acquisition of Cuba, and his associates in that enterprise were Robert Sidney Johnson, Gustavus W. Smith, Mansfield Lovell, and J. K. Duncan. He began his military career by disobeying the orders of Gen. Scott; he abandoned Pope to the mercies of his foes at Centerville; he failed to put the rebels to the sword at Antietam; he refused to obey the lawful orders of the President of the United States to follow them to Winchester; he fatally controlled the destiny of the army until the battle of Fredericksburg; and he was the intimate friend, associate and companion of Maj. Gen. Fitz-John Porter.

General Hooker declared in his testimony before the committee on the conduct of the war that the failure of the campaign on the Peninsula was directly referable to the military incapacity of Major General George B. McClellan. History has pronounced its verdict upon him as a soldier, and the senator from Indiana will not be able to place him in the category with Napoleon and Hannibal and Cæsar.

I deal with him as a politician. I say that no man can read the letter that he wrote to President Lincoln after the disastrous seven days' fight upon the Peninsula and before Richmond without coming to the conclusion that he was not fully and actively in sympathy with the forces and the ideas and the sentiments that were then controlling the American people. I will quote a few lines from that letter. July 7th, 1862 (written after seventy thousand men of the most thoroughly equipped and incomparable army that ever left any capital had been left in the swamps of the Chickahominy), he sus... of the United ...es a letter to the President ..., from which I quote:

> ...ar shall be conducted on the highest ...known to Christian civilization. It ... war looking to the subjugation of any state. In any event ...tion of property, political existence, territorial organization of ...ble abolition of slavery ...ted for a moment. Unless ...ng the future conduct ... made known and ap...tain requisite forces ... A declaration of ... radical ... upon slavery, will rapidly dis...grate our ...ent armies.

Mr. President, I am now dealing with McClellan as a Democratic politician and as a candidate for the presidency. In 1864 he accepted the presidential nomination from that party upon a platform that expressly declared that the war was a failure. It is ancient history, and I will read the resolution from the Chicago platform:

> "Resolved, That this convention does expressly declare as the sense of the American people that after four years of failure to restore the Union by the experiment of war, during which, under the pretense of military necessity or war power higher than the constitution, the constitution itself has been disregarded in every part and public liberty and private right alike trodden down, and the national prosperity of the country essentially impaired, justice, humanity, liberty, and the public welfare demand that immediate efforts be made for the cessation of hostilities."

The nomination of George B. McClellan as a candidate for the presidency was received by the newspapers of the confederacy with enthusiasm. The Richmond Enquirer of September 8 says: "Every defeat of Lincoln's forces insures to the benefit of McClellan. The influence of the south, more powerful in the shock of battle than when throwing her minority vote in the electoral college, will be cast in favor of McClellan by this untried yet efficacious means." And upon the following day in the Confederate Congress Mr. Foote, of Tennessee, declared: "I say that we have friends, good, true and valiant friends, at the north. Every vote given for McClellan was for peace; every vote given for McClellan was a vote against Lincoln's African policy; every vote given for McClellan was a vote given for an armistice." If McClellan had been elected he was prepared to ... from his seat ... a proposition for a convention of sovereign states, north and south, and he believed the south would have secured freedom, peace, and independence.

Mr. President, the election occurred in November of that year, and the people of the United States passed upon the pretentious Maj. Gen. Geo. B. McClellan. He received 3 votes from the state of Delaware, 11 votes from the state of Kentucky, and 2 votes from the state of New Jersay, and when Mr. Lincoln was elected resigned his commission and passed into history.

Maj. Gen. Winfield Scott Hancock was also born in Pennsylvania and educated at West Point. He also was one of the military leaders that was true to the Democracy, and his martial career is one of the imperishable heritages of American glory. He marched and he triumphed. He filled the abyss of fame with names that will be eternally luminous. The Peninsula, Antietam, Gettysburg, Chancellorsville, Mine Run, and Petersburg. Had he been a soldier under Napoleon he would have been a prince and a marshal under the empire. Undaunted in battle, resolute, and with an indomitable passion for glory he was the ideal corps commander, and was well named—Hancock, the superb. When the war closed he enjoyed to a degree almost unknown to our history the affectionate admiration of the American people. But he, too, became tainted with the fatal virus of an ambition for the nomination to the presidency by the Democratic party. They foiled it for him in 1880. Not as the man who defeated and overwhelmed and destroyed them at Chancellorsville and Gettysburg and the other great engagements in which he participated, but as the author of order No. 40, and the determined enemy of the reconstruction policy of Congress, and of the efforts that were being made to enforce justice, liberty, and order in Louisiana and Texas. His duties were defined by the act of March 2, 1867: "It shall be the duty of each officer assigned as aforesaid to protect all persons in their rights of person and property, to suppress insurrection, disorder, and violence, and to punish or cause to be punished all disturbers of the public peace and all criminals; and all interference under color of the state authority with the exercise of military authority under this act shall be null and void."

Those who were in sympathy with President Johnson in his effort to overthrow the reconstruction policy of Congress were dissatisfied with Sheridan and the other great commanders who had preceded him in the command of that district, and they found in Gen. Hancock a man who was willing to cooperate with them, and in pursuance of that policy he issued his celebrated order No. 40, which was described in the campaign of 1880 as the most wonderful exhibit of statesmanship that had ever been known to the American people. You are familiar with its terms; you know what followed. You are familiar and acquainted w...th the correspondence that occurred between him and the governor of the state, Mr. President, and you know what followed. After four months' incumbency of that commandership in that district, during which time crime, disorder, and lawlessness prevailed, and during which his orders were compelled to be rescinded by the general in chief of the armies, he resigned, and from that time until 1880 he was actively in sympathy with that organization to which his appointment was due. It is claimed by the Democratic friends and supporters of Gen. Hancock that his letter of the 9th of March, 1880, to Gov. Pease, of Texas, was an exposition of the principles of constitutional law and of the maxims of free government, which eclipses even his claims to the military glory and entitles him to stand upon the same plane with Jefferson and the other illustrious statesmen of the revolutionary epoch. I assume, therefore, that I shall receive their thanks for inviting public attention to that correspondence which I will insert in full in my remarks, and that their gratitude will insure me pardon for affirming my opinion that his part in this correspondence will be regarded as creditable only by those who were willing after the battle for the Union had closed to surrender to the enemies of the government the legitimate fruits of victory.

This correspondence received the widest possible publicity through the Democratic press and from Democratic platforms in 1880. The word known who one of the parties to that correspondence was, but the name of Gov. Pease has not obtained as wide renown. He was a native of Connecticut of Puritan days who emigrated in 1835 to Texas while it was yet a Mexican province. He was an eminent and thoroughly disciplined lawyer. For ten years after the admission of Texas into the Union, as a member of the legislature and governor of the state, he did at least as much as any single man in framing the laws and statutes and constitution of the commonwealth of Texas. He was an original and life-long Democrat until expelled from the party for his unchanging devotion to the Union. Before the war he was twice elected governor of the state by the Democratic voters of Texas. In all the relations of life he was without fear and without reproach. I believe that both senators from Texas knew him well. I am assured that the eleven representatives from Texas in the other House will also sustain me in the declaration that Gov. Pease was not only an able, but a thoroughly honest, upright, and conscientious man. And apart from the merits of questions of public interest involved in this correspondence, I feel sure that the people of the United States, after they have read it, will agree with me in the declaration that between two antagonists the governor of Texas bore himself with superior dignity. From some motive which he perceived, the United States are as competent as I am to discern, that distinguished general, who is claimed by his adherents to be a model of knightly courtesy, permitted himself to omit the amenities which gentlemen are accustomed to observe in official intercourse. He called into question the honor, the veracity of Gov. Pease when the latter expressed his regret that a sense of duty compelled him to say that a degree of lawlessness prevailed in some portions of Texas, which the ordinary civil tribunals of that state were unable to repress. He permitted himself to administer an insulting reprimand to a gentleman who was merely performing a duty, who was his equal as a man, and who in point of experience in the civil service of his country and of acquaintance with the affairs of the state was greatly his superior. Mr. President, the people of the United States also pass upon the political affiliations of Gen. Hancock, and notwithstanding his magnificent and unapproachable military career, recognizing him, as they did, in his relation to the reconstruction policy of Congress and the acts and statutes made thereunder in 1880, he carried, of the northern states, California, with five votes, Nevada, with three votes, New Jersey, with nine votes, and the 138 votes of the solid south, which had been promised him in his speech at Cincinnati by the senator from South Carolina. And of the votes of northern States that he received those of California and Nevada were stolen by the forgery and fraud of the Morey letter issued by Democratic politicians upon the very eve of the election, when it was impossible that the denial should follow before the votes were cast. Mr. President, the affected indignation of the senators from Indiana and Kentucky at my alleged assault upon these Union generals is disreditable either to their intelligence or to their candor. If they did not know that in speaking of them in that debate, in which I was not an intruder, I was speaking of them not as soldiers, but as politicians and as Democratic candidates for the presidency, they are dull, stupid, and ignorant, indeed. If they did know it and persist in their assertions, they are disingenuous, and I believe, Mr. President, if such a thing were possible, that they are both. [Laughter.]

Mr. President, from the impassioned eulogy, from the rapsody of approbation that flowed from the senator from Indiana at the great military achievement of McClellan and Hancock, I began to have some doubt who it was that really ...ed the rebellion. I was driven curi... put down the ... ously to inquire what was the ... be sent Democratic party in the north and ... of treator from Indiana as one of its great leaders in 1862 when McClellan, the ideal Democrat, was fighting the battle of Antietam; in 1863, when Hancock was hurling back in confusion and dismay the scattered squadrons of the confederacy. I was really, for a moment, Mr. President, inclined to believe that the Democratic party of the north and the senator from Indiana and those other great patriots, whom he eulogizes as an immovable bulwark of liberty, of the constitution, and the Union, Mr. Thomas Hendricks and Mr. Horatio Seymour, and Wm. A. Richardson were in full panoply of battle, assisting McClellan, assisting Hancock, doing what they could to make the success of the armies possible. And it seems like the very climax of effrontery, like the apex of audacity, for those men, whose history is so well known, who were from the beginning the avowed enemies of the cause of the Union at every step of its progress, and who, like the senator from Indiana, were avowedly in sympathy with the south at the outset and were advocates and apologists for slavery and secession, who gave aid and comfort to the rebellion in every possible way—the "Copperheads," the "Butternuts" [laughter], the Knights of the Golden Circle—with all their brutal and degraded lies appearing here as the advocates and champions of Union soldiers and of the cause of human liberty. I supposed from the enthusiasm displayed in favor of the military achievements of McClellan and Hancock that we should upon inspection at least find that the leaders of the Democracy who had been so eulogized were in sympathy with the Union cause and in sympathy with the efforts that were making to overthrow the confederacy. Yet, Mr. President, at the very time and during the very year when McClellan was fighting the battle of Antietam the senator from Indiana, without excepting McClellan and without excepting Hancock, speaking at Sullivan in Indiana, on the 5th of August, 1862, said in reference to Union soldiers that they should go to the nearest blacksmith shop and have iron collars made and placed around their necks, inscribed thereon in large letters, "My Dog, A. Lincoln." And at the same time he uttered the Union soldiers as Lincoln's dogs and hirelings, without excepting McClellan or Hancock [laughter and applause], and during the campaign, Mr. President, that resulted in the election of Abraham Lincoln the senator from Indiana, who is now so vehemently in favor of the prosecution of the war of the rebellion for putting down the south, who so eulogizes the efforts of Union armies and the genius of Union commanders, who poses here as the special friend of the Union soldier, and denounces and asperses a criticism upon the political character and affiliations of those who were engaged in that war, made a speech at Greencastle, which was reported in the Cincinnati Commercial, Aug. 8, 1864, by Joseph B. McCulloch, now the editor of the Globe-Democrat, in St. Louis. "Why," he said, "this campaign of Grant upon the Rapidan and at Petersburg" (and Hancock was there "was of such a nature that you almost hear the bones of your brave sons and brother crush like eggs crushed in Washington city. The sickening details of the slaughter came up with the fumes of blood on our very breakfast table at Washington that morning. Yet Lincoln says, 'I will peg away for four years more.' In God's name, have you not had enough of it? Let us try some other plan. This one has signally failed. Five hundred thousand more men, and that is all." And now I call to the opinion that the senator from Indiana then entertained about President Lincoln—the martyred President: "Three human souls were hurried into eternity by each of Mr. Lincoln's jokes. Another section of land in view of Mr. Lincoln's room had been dug up for a graveyard, and while he jested and joked with his servile crew he could look out on this scene of dying and of dead. Yet you propose to me to retain that monster, Abraham Lincoln; you propose to me to retain that monster another term of office—that monster that, with utter disregard of human life and misery, has proposed to prolong his term of office. Gentlemen, it seems to me that I can hear the spirits of the hundreds of thousands of lives that have been uselessly sacrificed in this war pleading against the re-election of this man. It seems to me the very inanimate objects—the dumb beasts—would cry out enough; he has had his day. Bloody, gory, reeking, let him go out into his hateful obscurity, there to stand the residue of his days with the accusations of the murdered dead jibbering around the unhappy felon."

Passing to the question of the electoral commission of 1876, Mr. Ingalls defended Mr. Hayes's title to the presidency, and then showed that the commission was a Democratic device. Henry Watterson's famous proclamation, calling on 100,000 unarmed Kentuckians to go to Washington to superintend the electoral count, was satirized, and the fizzling out of the whole scheme was shown up. President Grant was prepared for any such uprising, in obedience to some mysterious impulse troops, parks of artillery, and munitions of war had begun to come to the capital, and the agitation of the Democratic party became extreme, because, if there was anything that would turn the average Democrat inside out with indignation, it was the sight of a federal soldier in a blue uniform. [Laughter.]

Continuing to discuss the right of Mr. Hayes to his seat, Mr. Ingalls said that Mr. Cleveland had, apparently, a warranty deed for the seat which he occupied, and yet when his title was compared with the title which Mr. Hayes had to his seat he was in possession of stolen goods—and the receiver was as bad as the thief. In the court of justice and of conscience he had never been elected at all. He had been counted into office by a partnership between Dick Turpin and Uriah Heep, footpads and sneak thieves, Cartouche and Peckniff; and it was some consolation to know that in that partnership the apostates and renegades had lost their share of the swag. [Laughter and applause.]

After reference to the solid south and its 153 electoral votes, and complimenting the southern leaders on their loyalty to what they believed to be the right, Mr. Ingalls asked: "Was Lucius Quintus Curtius Lamar? He never was suspected of being a lawyer. [Laughter.] His bitterest enemy never accused him of that. He never had been admitted to the bar of the Supreme Court, on whose bench he was disappointed. He never had tried a reported case in any tribunal, state or national, for thirty years. It was an open secret that the President at one time peremptorily refused to appoint him.

Mr. Ingalls here read an extract from the report of a speech made by Mr. Lamar in Richmond in June, 1861, in which he is represented as speaking of Mr. Lincoln as a buffoon; and he asked what necessity there had been for the President to affront the loyal sentiment of the country by placing on the bench of the Supreme Court a man who was not a lawyer and never had been, who had called Abraham Lincoln a buffoon. Why, of all men in the south, did it select him and force him upon a reluctant President and a reluctant people? It was because Mr. Lamar was the nearest and dearest friend and representative of Jefferson Davis. There was no other explanation of it. If that was not true, then his nomination was a farce and a burlesque, without excuse and without explanation.

Mr. Blackburn's speech, in which the Democratic party was pledged to wipe out the last vestige of the rebellion, was [illegible] and Mr. Ingalls then passed on to the speech of Henry R. Jackson at Macon, Ga., in the presence of Jefferson Davis—a speech which had been the keynote of all of defeating the Democratic party in Ohio by an immense majority. Its influence was so palpable that Judge Thurman denounced Jackson as an old tramp and an old fool, and that Gov. Gordon, of Georgia, immediately set out for Cincinnati in his special car to try to counteract it. Passing on to the question of elections in the south, he said that the Republican party would have no right to complain if the south were to keep solid by fair means. But the Democratic party there had been playing the political game with loaded dice; had been "throwing sixes" all the time; had held "stacked cards;" played with a "cold deck;" had a revolver in its hand and a bowie knife down the back of its neck. There were every day wrongs inflicted on thousands and hundreds of thousands of men in the southern states, which, if inflicted by a foreign power on a single American citizen, even the least of one of these, my little ones," there would be a declaration of war within ninety days—even with the present Secretary of State in office. [Laughter and applause.]

He read a lot of letters and statements from Republican sources detailing acts of outrage and cheating at the Louisiana election, and declared his belief that fair returns would have given Wampoth a majority.

The relative positions of the two parties on the pension questions was discussed, and the speech ended at 4 o'clock with an eloquent tribute to the living men of the land.

Mr. Voorhees was very indignant when he arose, and he said that the speech which the Senate had just listened to recalled to his mind the fable of the mountain in labor. The senator from Kansas had "travailed," and was just walking around to save funeral expenses. He eulogized the senator as being the most brilliant and capable man on his side of the chamber, and then charged him with liability to indict Mr. Ingalls's character, Ingalls's military career, and after a brief defense of McClellan and Hancock, he concluded by saying that the senator from Kansas might war on as a prophet, but the end would be the triumph and the defeat.

Mr. Ingalls responded to the speech of Mr. Voorhees, and said that no one was more conscious than he of the duty which he owed to his country and of the full compensation of gratitude to those who had done what he, under other circumstances, might have done. As the senator from Indiana had seen fit to invite comparison between their records and their relations to the great questions of the past twenty-five years, he felt it his duty to put on record, from information in his possession, what the senator's record and history were. The senator from Indiana had been from the outset of the determined, outspoken, positive, aggressive, and malignant enemy of the Union cause.

"I pronounce that," said Mr. Voorhees, rising with anger in his eye, "to be a deliberately false accusation."

Mr. Ingalls charged ... deliberate, premeditated preparation of malignant, unfounded assaults upon him that were entirely incompatible with a noble nature. When he (Mr. Ingalls) had escaped from the malignant conspiracy which had followed him with malice from the state capital, to the floor of the Senate chamber, the senator had been the first to write him a note of congratulation. Yet the senator came in here to-day and thanked God that he had never been followed here by a committee to question his rights to his seat; and with much diffuseness of illustration had endeavored to cast aspersions upon him and belittle him and humiliate him in the eyes of the American people, when he (Mr. Ingalls) had only referred to the senator's public utterances—his speeches, which he had never denied.

Speaking of Mr. Voorhees's position on slavery and secession, Mr. Ingalls said that the senator from Indiana had prepared two editions of a speech and delivered by him in Virginia—one for the north and the other for the south. [Laughter.]

Mr. Voorhees. That's not true.

Mr. Ingalls. They are accessible to-day just as much as the statutes of the United States.

A letter written for Mr. F. A. Shute by Mr. Voorhees was then put in evidence by Senator Ingalls. Mr. Shute took the letter with him to the south, and used it in the confidential secret department in support of his application for appointment as brigadier general in the confederate army. The letter was dated Dec. 12, 1860, and was one introducing Mr. Shute as a gentleman visiting the south with his sister on account of her health. The letter continues: "On the disturbing question of the day, his (Shute's) sentiments are entirely with the south, and one of his objects is a probable home in that section. I take this occasion to say that his sentiments and mine are in close harmony. Daniel W. Voorhees." I suppose, was Mr. Ingalls's comment, that the gentleman says that is a campaign slander—the vile calumny of an opposition press.

Mr. Voorhees. That is not a campaign slander, but it is one of those things that the people of Indiana have passed upon for nearly thirty years.

Mr. Ingalls. The Democratic party has passed upon it.

Mr. Ingalls said the story of the iron collar for soldiers with the inscription "My Dog, A. Lincoln," could be substantiated by the most credible witnesses.

Mr. Voorhees. And even if the senator said it, it would be absolutely false and a palpable lie.

Mr. Ingalls. If this were a police court the senator from Indiana would be set to work on the rock pile for being drunk and disorderly.

Continuing, Mr. Ingalls said that when the senator stated that he had been indorsed by the people of Indiana, and that this accusation had been contemptuously spat upon him, he reminded the gentleman that he had been indorsed only by the Democratic party of Indiana, and not by the people. Everybody knew what business the Democratic party of Indiana had been engaged in during the war. Secretly ... thousands of men had been members of the Knights of the Golden Circle, and had been conspiring against the Union. They had entered into combination, according to Gen. Holt, for the purpose of aiding soldiers to desert, discouraging enlistments, circulating treasonable publications, giving intelligence to the enemy, and assassination and murder, and it was susceptible of proof that they did conspire to murder Gov. Morton. This organization, which the senator said he never belonged to, had a ritual, of which 112 copies were found in the senator's office at the time when Hancock was at the Bloody Angle. In that same office was found correspondence between the senator and a senator from New Jersey for the purpose of furnishing 20,000 stand of arms—not to the national government, because the Senator was not in sympathy with the national government; not to the state government of Indiana, because that government was in loyal hands, but for the purpose, as might be imagined, of carrying out the objects and purposes of that organization."

Senator Ingalls then read from a long list of the articles found in Mr. Voorhees's office, and as letters of the most seditious nature and other treasonable evidences were produced it was plainly seen where the victory was in the wordy contest which Mr. Voorhees had invited.

Mr. Voorhees then tried to defend himself, and said that all that the senator from Kansas had said about his anti-soldier record in Congress was absolutely false. Mr. Voorhees then lost all control of his temper and descended to the lowest kind of language, in which he expressed his contempt for all that had been said of him and of him that had given utterance to those remarks.

Mr. Ingalls. Did not the soldiers of Indiana threaten to hang the senator with a bell rope on a train after he made that Lincoln dog speech?

Mr. Voorhees. The senator is a great liar when he intimates such a thing; a great liar and a dirty dog. It never occurred; never in the world. That is all the answer I have and I pass it back to the scoundrel behind the senator, who is instigating these lies. (This remark was made in reference to Representative Johnson, of Indiana, who was seated at a desk directly in the rear of Mr. Ingalls.)

Mr. Ingalls. There is a very reputable gentleman in the chamber, a citizen of Indiana, who informed me that the signers of that certificate are entirely reputable inhabitants of Indiana, and that he knows fifty people who heard the senator.

Mr. Voorhees. Tell him I say he is an infamous scoundrel and liar. Tell him I say so.

'SOCIETY'S GREAT EVENT.

The Grand May Ball for Garfield Memorial Hospital.

The grand May ball in aid of Garfield Memorial Hospital at the Light Infantry Armory this evening will not only be a great social event, but one that promises to be successful in every respect. The management have worked faithfully to secure this result, and are happy at the indications of the forecast.

Prominent ladies have lent their encouragement and their presence to accomplish the purpose of the affair, and we congratulate themselves that the ball will be the fashionable one of the season. In addition to the many other committees, the following ladies have accepted and will act as the reception committee: Mrs. Gen. Sheridan, Mrs. J. M. Harlan, Mrs. D. M. Sabin, Mrs. J. M. Wilson, Mrs. H. W. Blair, Mrs. J. R. Hawley, Mrs. F. M. Cockrell, Mrs. J. N. Dolph, Mrs. Chas. B. Farwell, Mrs. J. P. Jones, Mrs. C. P. Manderson, Mrs. J. B. McPherson, Mrs. J. M. Wilson, Mrs. John Moore, Mrs. J. C. Burrow, Mrs. Wm. Cogswell, Mrs. Geo. E. Seney, Mrs. F. B. Spinola, Mrs. Thomas Wilson of Minnesota, Mrs. H. Hitt, Mrs. Gardiner Hubbard, Mrs. J. M. Lander, Mrs. H. A. Willard, Mrs. Thomas Tullock, Mrs. C. M. Clarke.

Senator and Mrs. Palmer entertained a company at dinner last evening. The center of the table held an oval basket filled with La France roses and Perle de Jardin set in asparagus. The ladies had bouquets of rich roses, and the men found boutonnieres of rosebuds and lilies of the valley. Mrs. Gen. Sheridan, the guests were the Chilian minister and Mme. Cana, Justice and Mrs. Matthews, Justice and Mrs. Blatchford, Senator and Mrs. J. P. Jones, Senator and Mrs. Gray, Mr. and Mrs. James E. Campbell, Col. and Mrs. John Hay, and Judge and Mrs. Brown, of Detroit, guests of the house.

Justice Matthews gave a gentlemen's dinner of eighteen covers on Monday evening.

Miss Kittie Davis entertained a few ladies at luncheon yesterday, when nine of her intimate girl friends sat down with her. The central decoration was a star of roses, and there were bouquets of smilax and roses. The guests were Miss Jennie Sherrill, Miss Rosalie Brown, Miss Lindsay Poore, Miss Elena Porter, Miss Julia Casey, Miss Wright, of Philadelphia; Miss Warren, of New York; and Miss Canfield, and Mrs. and Miss MacElfresh, of Baltimore. Miss Davis presented her bridesmaids with white enameled four leaf clover pins, and Mr. Jas. A. Wright presented his groomsmen with pansy scarf pins. Mr. Wright and Miss Davis will be married this evening at 8 o'clock, at Epiphany church. Afterward they will travel in Europe for three months, and in the autumn take a house in New York, where Mr. Davis has a law office.

The Postmaster General and Mrs. Don Dickinson gave a reception last evening in honor of Miss Rose Elizabeth Cleveland, who is now the guest of the white house.

At a meeting of the Ladies' Washington Monument Association, held at Mrs. J. P. Jones's on Monday, each resident member was assigned a number of tickets to sell for the performance of Miss Mackaye's great play of "Paul Kauvar," which will be given on next Saturday night, May 5, in the National Theater. Mrs. Palmer will remain in at No. 1435 K street, from 12 to 2 and 5 to 7 every day this week with a diagram of the house, and will be pleased to meet those who desire to secure seats for the occasion.

The friends of Miss Catharine L. Ransom, who gave a literary and musical entertainment last evening at Miss Abbot's seminary, made a success of it. A good-sized audience filled the parlors. A delightful programme was carried out. One of the numbers was the reading of an original poem of Miss Ransom's by Mr. John Tweedale. Mr. Rakeman and his sister, Miss Rakeman, rendered most enjoyably a violin and piano duett. After the exercises refreshments were served.

THE METHODIST CONFERENCE.

The Session Opened in New York—Women Delegates.

NEW YORK, May 1.—The general conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church opened its session this morning in the Metropolitan Opera House. The bishops occupied seats on the stage. The galleries were crowded with ladies and gentlemen. The proceedings were opened with prayer, singing, and the reading of the Scriptures. Bishop Bowman, the senior bishop, presided, and conducted the exercises.

At the conclusion of the religious exercises Bishop Bowman made a brief address in which he referred to the new method of electing bishops. Dr. G. B. Neely, of Philadelphia, delegate, announced that there were 288 ministerial delegates and 175 lay delegates, including six women. Every state and territory besides Canada, Mexico, China, Japan, Africa, Sweden, Norway, Switzerland, Italy, India, and Germany was represented. Eight assistant secretaries were appointed.

An address of welcome was then delivered by ex-Judge Fancher, to which Bishop Bowman responded. The list of contested delegates was then presented, and Dr. Neely demanded their names and grounds of protest. A committee was appointed to consider the protests against women delegates. The afternoon session was occupied in drawing seats.

THE BISHOP CONFERENCE.

The Bank Teller Who Embezzled $100,000 Set Free.

LOUISVILLE, May 1.—Federal indictments against Louis Rehm, who thirteen years ago, while teller of the Planters' National Bank, embezzled $100,000 and stole ten Jefferson, Madison and Indianapolis railroad bonds, were this morning dismissed. Rehm fled to Germany and has since lived there. Last summer, upon petition of the bank stockholders and others, the state court indictments were dismissed. Attorney General Hazelrigg, however, refused to allow the federal indictments to be withdrawn till Rehm had surrendered to the court here. Rehm returned from Germany, arriving here two days ago, and this morning surrendered to the court, whereupon Judge Barr, upon motion of District Attorney Wickliffe, ordered the charges canceled.

SHERMAN OR BLAINE.

Both Names Enthusiastically Cheered in the South Carolina Convention.

COLUMBIA, S. C., May 1.—The state Republican convention met in the house of representatives at noon. E. M. Brayton (white) was elected permanent chairman, and J. N. Barr (colored) secretary.

Four delegates at large were elected before adjournment, E. M. Brayton and W. N. Taft, white, N. J. Myers and Robert Smalls, colored. The mention of Chauncey M. Depew's name in connection with the Presidency elicited no enthusiasm, but Senator Sherman's name was greeted with applause and that of Mr. Blaine was most loudly applauded.

ATTEMPTED TRAIN WRECKING.

An Organized Gang of Wreckers at Work in Virginia.

MARION, VA., May 1.—An attempt was made last night to wreck the west-bound passenger train on the Norfolk and Western railroad, two miles east of this place. Joseph Snit was arrested on suspicion. This is the fifth attempt within three weeks. Detectives are at work to unearth the wreckers. It is thought that there is an organized gang at work.

Cleveland Delegates Chosen.

CONCORD, N. H., May 1.—At the first congressional district convention to-day Dr. Miah B. Sullivan, of Dover, and Hon. George B. Chandler, of Manchester, were elected delegates to the National Convention. The second district convention chose Hon. Wm. S. Ladd, of Lancaster, and Geo. B. Williams, of Walpole, delegates. All the delegates are for Cleveland.

THIS ONE DUE TO DAILY.

His Ineffective Pitching Against the Phillies.

PHILADELPHIA, May 1.—Philadelphia had an easy time in defeating Washington to-day, owing to Daily's wild and ineffective pitching. In the eighth inning, with two men out, he seemed to loose all his cunning and permitted the Phillies to knock out seven base hits in succession, which yielded four earned runs. In the sixth he gave two bases on balls, hit Bastian, and made two wild pitches. The batting of Andrews and the fielding of Myers and Mulvey were the features. Bastian was compelled to retire in favor of Childs on account of the pain caused by being hit with one of Daily's wild balls. Score:

PHIL'A.	R.	1B.	P.O.	A.	E.	WASH'N.	R.	1B.	P.O.	A.	E.
Wood, lf	2	2	3	0	0	Daily, p	0	2	0	7	4
Andrews, cf	2	4	0	1	0	Hoy, cf	0	1	0	0	0
Fogarty, rf	2	2	4	0	0	Wilmot, lf	0	2	3	0	0
Mulvey, 3b	1	1	2	5	0	O'Brien, 1b	1	0	11	0	1
Farrar, 1b	1	1	8	0	0	Myers, 2b	2	4	2	6	3
Irwin, ss	1	3	0	0	2	Shoch, rf	0	1	1	0	0
Clements, c	1	2	8	1	1	Murray, c	0	1	7	2	0
Bastian, 2b	0	2	0	0	0	Irwin, ss	0	0	2	1	1
Childs, 2b	0	0	0	1	0	Don'lv, 3b	0	1	0	0	0
Gleason, p	1	1	0	0	1						
Total	13	16	27	14	4	Total	4	13	27	18	6

INNINGS.

Philadelphia	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	4	0	—12
Washington	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	—4

Earned runs—Philadelphia, 7; Washington, 2. Two base hit—Wilmot. Three-base hit—Andrews. Stolen bases—Meyers, 2. Double plays—Murray and O'Brien. First base on balls—Wood, Farrar, Irwin, Gleason, Hoy. Hit by pitched ball—Bastian. First base on errors—Philadelphia, 1; Washington, 2. Struck out—Andrews, Fogarty, Farrar, Bastian 2, Daily, O'Brien, Shoch 2, Murray. Wild pitches—Daily, 4. Time—2 hours. Umpire—Lynch.

NEW YORK, May 1.—Keefe pitched for the New Yorks to-day for the first time and though out of practice succeeded in holding the visitors down well. Ewing caught. Madden pitched three innings for Boston and then, while at the bat, was disabled by a ball from Keefe, which hit him on the elbow. The little fellow writhed on the ground in agony, but recovered and went to first base. But the pain was so intense he had to give up. Sowders took his place. Tate was behind the bat for the visitors. In the fifth inning Sutton split his finger in catching a fly and retired, Burdock then played second base, while Nash went to third in place of Sutton. On account of the threatening weather very few people were present, the turn stiles registering 1,267. Score:

NEW YORK.	R.	1B.	P.O.	A.	E.	BOSTON.	R.	1B.	P.O.	A.	E.
Tiernan, rf	1	1	1	0	0	Kelly, cf	0	1	0	0	1
Ward, ss	2	2	1	3	0	Wise, ss	0	0	1	3	2
Ewing, c	2	0	4	0	0	Sutton, 3b	0	1	1	2	0
Connor, 1b	1	2	5	1	1	Nash, 2b, 2b	0	2	4	1	0
Slattery, lf	1	2	2	0	0	Morrill, lf	0	1	1	0	0
Richardson, 2b	0	0	2	2	0	Hornung, 1f	0	1	0	0	0
Foster, cf	0	0	1	0	0	Johns'n, cf	0	0	2	0	0
Hatfield, 3b	0	0	0	2	1	Tate, c	0	0	4	2	0
Keefe, p	0	0	1	2	1	Sowders, p	1	0	0	2	1
						Madden, p, rf	0	0	0	1	0
Total	6	5	17	10	3	Burd'k, 2b, 2b	0	0	0	1	0
						Total	1	5	18	12	6

*Burdock declared out for running out line of base.

INNINGS.

New York	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	—6
Boston	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	—1

Earned runs—New York, 2. Two-base hits—Ward 2, Morrill 1. Three-base hits—Connor 1. Stolen bases—Kelly, Sowdes. Double plays—Wise and Morrill. First base on balls—Kelly 1, Tiernan 1. First base on errors—New York, 4; Boston, 2. Struck out—By Sowders 1, by Keefe 2. Passed balls—Ewing 3. Wild pitches—Sowders 1. Time of game—1 hours and 55 minutes. Umpire—Daniels. Game called at end of seventh inning.

CHICA