

NEWS IN BRIEF.

FAIR weather, preceded by occasional rains, and rising followed by falling barometer for this region today.
The fund in New York for the relief of the Michigan sufferers amounts to \$30,000. It should be much larger.
HANK WHITE, a noted highwayman of New Mexico, who operated on his own hook, has been sentenced to ninety-nine years' imprisonment. He will hardly have a chance to engage in further operations.

HERESY.

The Brethren at Sycamore Struggling with Their Business.

General Excitement, Delay, and Distraction Caused by the Thomas Case.

The Council of Fifteen, Returned to the Conference, Amend Their Organization.

Dr. Thomas Rises and Files a Complaint Against Parkhurst.

Parkhurst Accused of Lying in Exactly That Concise Location.

Affidavits from Ambrose, Turner, Plumb, and a Letter from Hemmings.

Parkhurst, in the Hearing of These Persons, Charged Thomas with Many Immoralities.

Stewart, Springer, Baume, and Caldwell Appointed to Examine Parkhurst's Case.

They Find Themselves Compelled to Put Parkhurst in for a Regular Trial.

The Thomas Trial Begun Again, and the Honest Jury Put to Sleep in Short Order.

PARKHURST. HIS DILEMMA. Special Dispatch to The Chicago Tribune.

SYRACUSE, Ill., Oct. 7.—The special committee, consisting of Brothers Stewart, Springer, Clark, Baume, and Caldwell, who were charged with the examination of Dr. Thomas' sermon, met this afternoon, and held a little conference of their own for something over half an hour. However, when they suddenly adjourned after having pledged each other under any circumstances to reveal their action to any one outside the pale of the church, two or three of the presiding elders were informed of what had been done, but they are as mum as a frozen oyster.

Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

the members of the committee an adjournment was had to the conference, so that the challenge might be done in open conference.
Bishop Wiley said a little explanation was in order. The conference wanted nothing but a fair and impartial trial of the charges against Brother Thomas. It was a sad affair, and there was no disposition to persecute anybody. The conference will be glad to know that Dr. Thomas has not violated any of the standards of the Church.

The Bishop said there was no occasion for talking. Did the defense desire to challenge, and if so, what was the charge?
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.
Dr. Miller—The case on trial before the conference?
Dr. Hitecock—No. The conference is now engaged in appointing the committee.

THE BRETHREN'S REPORTS.
The character of the candidates, and, on occasion, of the candidates, were admitted to full connection.
The third question, "Who remain on the list?" was answered by the Moderator, J. W. Hitecock, M. W. Satterfield, N. A. Sanderlin, and M. C. Wilcox were continued on the list.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

THE PARISH TRIAL.
The Bishop also announced as the committee to inquire into the charges against Dr. Thomas, the Revs. W. P. Stewart, J. H. Springer, G. C. Clark, James Baume, and J. M. Caldwell.

church that hold or teach the endless punishment of the wicked.
The course was a bold argument, and the Moderator was obliged to demur in the same language as yesterday. The same exceptions were taken.
The defense again pleaded not guilty.

Dr. Miller stated that the defense submitted to the ruling of the court denying the motion for a continuance. The conference refused to order the right of challenge for cause. The defense could not take an exception to the ruling of the conference. While the defense was for the present satisfied with the committee they wanted their legal rights.

Dr. Miller was willing to accept the sermon text as a basis for the trial. The text was taken at the investigation in Chicago. This was a trial de novo. He objected to the introduction of the testimony read at the conference, unless he could make the same objections here.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Parkhurst concurred, and explained how the mistake occurred in making up the new list. He would stand by everything he published, but not by things which were not published. He would stand by the reading of George Armstrong's testimony identifying a sermon of Dr. Thomas, published in the Chicago Tribune, but not by the subject was the "Final Harmony."

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

THE CHURCH AND THE CONFERENCE WITH CENSURE UPON HIM.
He tried to make the church and the conference understand the nature of the statement. The prosecution made extracts from one sermon. They should have read all the sermons specifically. He read three sermons, which he felt were so full of error as to justify a full trial on the Old and New Testament, and on the use of the Bible.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

Dr. Miller objected to the reading of all testimony on the question of endless punishment, which he believed was not in the Church which teaches that doctrine. The clerk proceeded with the reading, and Dr. Thomas rose to object to another sermon.

WASHINGTON.

Another Department of the Government Full of Thieves.

Discovery of a Ring Which Has Been Plundering the Pension Bureau.

Bentley Suspected It, and the Ring Quickly Smote Off His Head.

Pensions Secured in the Name of Soldiers Who Had Never Applied for Aid.

About Two Hundred Thieves Involved, and Millions Stolen.

The Interesting Situation of Senatorial Affairs Productive of Much Speculation.

A Genuine Case of Fever in the Asaph's Cell, Produced by Newspaper-Reading.

Estimates and Extensive Review of the Condition of the Crops of the Old World.

President Arthur Called Upon by a Party of Olergy-men—The Memorial Hospital.

ANOTHER NEST. THIEVES IN THE PENSION OFFICE. Special Dispatch to The Chicago Tribune.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Oct. 7.—A scandal of huge proportions has just been discovered in the Pension Department. It threatens a pecuniary calamity to the pensioners, and has had existence for several years, the operation of which are being rapidly developed. This is the Pension Bureau. On the 1st of September last, George W. Black, a former clerk in the Pension Office, was arrested and brought before the Police Court on an information charging him with the presentation of a false claim for a pension. Black had had a long time previous denied for the same court as one of the principals of an affair in which he had been severely whipped for being found in a laundrette with a married woman of the city, a scandal which resulted in her separation from her husband. The impression at the time was that if Buckley was guilty in the pension matter he was also involved in the fraud.

THE PLAN OF PROCEEDING was to ascertain what persons in the army had not yet received or applied for pensions. The information was given to the Pension Office, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage. Bentley appears to have never thought of looking for the bond and front of this enormous steel constantly going on among the pensioners, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage. Bentley appears to have never thought of looking for the bond and front of this enormous steel constantly going on among the pensioners, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage.

THE PLAN OF PROCEEDING was to ascertain what persons in the army had not yet received or applied for pensions. The information was given to the Pension Office, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage. Bentley appears to have never thought of looking for the bond and front of this enormous steel constantly going on among the pensioners, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage.

THE PLAN OF PROCEEDING was to ascertain what persons in the army had not yet received or applied for pensions. The information was given to the Pension Office, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage. Bentley appears to have never thought of looking for the bond and front of this enormous steel constantly going on among the pensioners, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage.

THE PLAN OF PROCEEDING was to ascertain what persons in the army had not yet received or applied for pensions. The information was given to the Pension Office, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage. Bentley appears to have never thought of looking for the bond and front of this enormous steel constantly going on among the pensioners, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage.

THE PLAN OF PROCEEDING was to ascertain what persons in the army had not yet received or applied for pensions. The information was given to the Pension Office, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage. Bentley appears to have never thought of looking for the bond and front of this enormous steel constantly going on among the pensioners, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage.

THE PLAN OF PROCEEDING was to ascertain what persons in the army had not yet received or applied for pensions. The information was given to the Pension Office, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage. Bentley appears to have never thought of looking for the bond and front of this enormous steel constantly going on among the pensioners, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage.

THE PLAN OF PROCEEDING was to ascertain what persons in the army had not yet received or applied for pensions. The information was given to the Pension Office, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage. Bentley appears to have never thought of looking for the bond and front of this enormous steel constantly going on among the pensioners, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage.

THE PLAN OF PROCEEDING was to ascertain what persons in the army had not yet received or applied for pensions. The information was given to the Pension Office, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage. Bentley appears to have never thought of looking for the bond and front of this enormous steel constantly going on among the pensioners, and the latter resented it in a way that resulted to Bentley's disadvantage.