

TOURNAMENT AID FOR MARJORIE Military and Naval Show Plans to Support the Battleship Fund.

ALVA CLUB TO BRING EDISON MEN INTO LINE Arranges Elaborate Benefit in West Orange—Dreadnought Officers to Go.

When the doors of the great Military and Naval Tournament at the Sheepshead Bay Speedway are thrown open for the week beginning May 20 Marjorie's Battleship Fund will be fittingly represented.

A "cyclorama" providing many booths in a circle with apertures for the spectators will show ships in motion from the earliest model of warship to the present day dreadnought.

Marjorie's Battleship Fund will have a booth of its own in the midst of the "still exhibit," and the girl herself will be there at various times during the week of May 20-27, so that all interested in the Navy can give their dimes to the girl who is raising money for a battleship.

In addition to the booth, Marjorie is to take part in the great manoeuvres which are planned, such as carrying a dispatch by automobile to the Naval Militia boys in the sham battle with the National Guard.

Secretary Daniels has written the United States Association, in charge of the Department, giving the Navy Department's approval to the plan outlined by the association for bringing the Panama exhibit to Sheepshead Bay.

Those who have accepted invitations to see the Aero Club; Lieutenant Commander E. W. Van C. Lucas, Colonel Robert McLean, Governor McDonald, of New Mexico; Bradley King, Governor Miller, of Indiana; Alan R. Howman, Chief Justice of the State of New York; and other distinguished guests.

Officers from the dreadnoughts Arkansas, New York, Texas and Nevada, and the 4th Division of the submarine fleet, now at the New York Navy Yard, have reserved the boxes for the Alva Club, composed of employees of the Edison works in West Orange.

The proceeds of the affair will be turned over to the battleship fund. Several well known artists will give their services. Officers from the dreadnoughts Arkansas, New York, Texas and Nevada, and the 4th Division of the submarine fleet, now at the New York Navy Yard, have reserved the boxes for the Alva Club, composed of employees of the Edison works in West Orange.

Officers from the dreadnoughts Arkansas, New York, Texas and Nevada, and the 4th Division of the submarine fleet, now at the New York Navy Yard, have reserved the boxes for the Alva Club, composed of employees of the Edison works in West Orange.

Officers from the dreadnoughts Arkansas, New York, Texas and Nevada, and the 4th Division of the submarine fleet, now at the New York Navy Yard, have reserved the boxes for the Alva Club, composed of employees of the Edison works in West Orange.

Officers from the dreadnoughts Arkansas, New York, Texas and Nevada, and the 4th Division of the submarine fleet, now at the New York Navy Yard, have reserved the boxes for the Alva Club, composed of employees of the Edison works in West Orange.

Officers from the dreadnoughts Arkansas, New York, Texas and Nevada, and the 4th Division of the submarine fleet, now at the New York Navy Yard, have reserved the boxes for the Alva Club, composed of employees of the Edison works in West Orange.

MRS. WARREN SUED IN SMUGGLING CASE United States Demands Forfeiture of 153 Articles.

The government's suit over \$11,607.55 worth of furs belonging to Mrs. Charlotte A. Warren, wife of Whitney Warren, indicted recently for alleged smuggling, was brought yesterday by Frank C. Garphien, Assistant United States Attorney.

In the complaint the government mentions five separate causes for forfeiture and condemnation of 153 articles. It is charged in the first complaint that Mrs. Warren, in making her baggage declaration on arrival from Havre on the French liner Epiphone, failed to mention certain property, failed to mention violated Section 2802 of the Revised Statutes.

The second cause of forfeiture alleged, acting in behalf of Evelyn Boyd Butler, she falsely and knowingly imported merchandise contrary to the tariff act of October 3, 1915.

Mrs. Warren is charged in the third cause with having knowingly and fraudulently written statement to a customs inspector as to the value of all the articles in her baggage.

She is alleged in the fourth cause to have given a false verbal statement to a customs examiner.

In the fifth allegation the government asserts that Mrs. Warren falsely declared that the actual foreign value of her goods and other wearing apparel was \$1,500, whereas in truth and fact she well knew that the actual cost was largely in excess of that amount.

Mrs. Bertha G. Loeffler will spend Good Friday at her home at 305 East Eighty-fourth Street, instead of in Ludlow Street jail, as she had feared yesterday when she asked her four-year-old child Broadway and accompanied Deputy Sheriff Broome to the Sheriff's office.

Twice in two days Mrs. Loeffler was placed in custody because she was unable to pay \$44.41 on a judgment which William C. Abercrombie, a lawyer at 51 Nassau Street, obtained against her, and \$25 fine for failing to appear for examination in supplementary proceedings to testify as to whether she had

financial condition of the woman or her husband, who lost his savings of \$800 in an unfortunate speculation. Ex-Assemblyman Jacob E. Salomon, a lawyer of 56 Pine Street, who attended an interest in the plight of Mrs. Loeffler. He will make an effort to have the contempt charge dismissed, that the defendant may have opportunity to testify as to her "property."

Abercrombie explained that the \$150 he received from Mrs. Loeffler was in another case.

The indebtedness to Abercrombie grows out of a suit for separation which Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

HASTINGS READY FOR NEW ROW Trouble Expected To-day, When Strikers Receive Last Wages.

When the trouble broke out the strikers congregated in Dock Street and entered the iron fence surrounding the National Conduit and Cable Company's plant.

They were obliged to stay here, unable to protect American girls being attacked by a gang of foreigners, said Captain Smith yesterday. "We would have dispersed that gang of rascals in three minutes. And just because we're not doing it, they're taking the responsibility of issuing the order."

So indifferent were the strikers to a law that one Russian walked down the main street nonchalantly twirling a revolver on his finger.

"Two deputy sheriffs," said Judge W. A. Cullen, "angry because they were forced to stand idle by and see the day going on, walked up to Sheriff Weisendanger and handed them their badges. 'I'm a man, not an ornament,' said one."

The first detachment of strikers numbered 500, but they have intimidated 1,500 more into quitting and forced the upper Mississippi Valley to close its doors to 3,500, including 400 girls.

"Passing the buck," meaning the getting rid of the responsibility, is the phrase heard on many lips. Everywhere it is spoken the Sheriff's name is connected with it. A desire to be a political leader is named as the reason for passing the responsibility of the situation to Colonel J. P. Klein and his national guard.

"Calling out four companies of troops is a huge joke," said Captain Smith, "and only inviting bloodshed and casting a slur on the village. The troops were never needed in Hastings."

Striker Cut by Bayonet. There was a flurry of excitement this morning when one of the strikers attempted to wrest a rifle from a private in Company H. The private cut the man on the head with his bayonet.

Small gathering threatening violence was dispersed on the Spring Street bridge when guardsmen prepared for a charge.

To-day trouble is expected when the employees of the plant will be paid off for the striking fund requirements. Work, however, will not be resumed until next week. Officials say they may attempt to open the factory on Monday. War orders amounting to \$100,000 a day are said to constitute the company's loss after the strike.

At Glenwood, Yonkers, this afternoon 200 foreigners in the Habishaw Wire Company's plant declared a sympathy strike. Immediately William Marquette, superintendent, shut down the plant, working 1,300 men and women out of work.

United Gas Improvement. Earnings report of the United Gas Improvement Company for the year ended December 31, 1915, shows a total income from regular sources of \$7,841,390, an increase of \$29,659, and \$1,239,000 from extra dividends, making a gross income for the year of \$9,071,390, a decrease of \$110,674 in expenses and taxes, and after deducting \$4,440,276 for dividend disbursements, a net profit of \$3,631,114. There was a surplus on hand totalled \$2,759,185, or \$104,821 more than was shown at the close of 1914.

On the way to your office Start the day right By carefully reading The Journal of Commerce

Five Cents All News Stands Or at Your Home

HER DEBT IS \$44; MENACED BY JAIL Washerwoman, Husband Out of Work, Supports Home and Child.

Twice in two days Mrs. Loeffler was placed in custody because she was unable to pay \$44.41 on a judgment which William C. Abercrombie, a lawyer at 51 Nassau Street, obtained against her, and \$25 fine for failing to appear for examination in supplementary proceedings to testify as to whether she had

financial condition of the woman or her husband, who lost his savings of \$800 in an unfortunate speculation. Ex-Assemblyman Jacob E. Salomon, a lawyer of 56 Pine Street, who attended an interest in the plight of Mrs. Loeffler. He will make an effort to have the contempt charge dismissed, that the defendant may have opportunity to testify as to her "property."

Abercrombie explained that the \$150 he received from Mrs. Loeffler was in another case.

The indebtedness to Abercrombie grows out of a suit for separation which Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

HER DEBT IS \$44; MENACED BY JAIL Washerwoman, Husband Out of Work, Supports Home and Child.

Twice in two days Mrs. Loeffler was placed in custody because she was unable to pay \$44.41 on a judgment which William C. Abercrombie, a lawyer at 51 Nassau Street, obtained against her, and \$25 fine for failing to appear for examination in supplementary proceedings to testify as to whether she had

financial condition of the woman or her husband, who lost his savings of \$800 in an unfortunate speculation. Ex-Assemblyman Jacob E. Salomon, a lawyer of 56 Pine Street, who attended an interest in the plight of Mrs. Loeffler. He will make an effort to have the contempt charge dismissed, that the defendant may have opportunity to testify as to her "property."

Abercrombie explained that the \$150 he received from Mrs. Loeffler was in another case.

The indebtedness to Abercrombie grows out of a suit for separation which Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

HER DEBT IS \$44; MENACED BY JAIL Washerwoman, Husband Out of Work, Supports Home and Child.

Twice in two days Mrs. Loeffler was placed in custody because she was unable to pay \$44.41 on a judgment which William C. Abercrombie, a lawyer at 51 Nassau Street, obtained against her, and \$25 fine for failing to appear for examination in supplementary proceedings to testify as to whether she had

financial condition of the woman or her husband, who lost his savings of \$800 in an unfortunate speculation. Ex-Assemblyman Jacob E. Salomon, a lawyer of 56 Pine Street, who attended an interest in the plight of Mrs. Loeffler. He will make an effort to have the contempt charge dismissed, that the defendant may have opportunity to testify as to her "property."

Abercrombie explained that the \$150 he received from Mrs. Loeffler was in another case.

The indebtedness to Abercrombie grows out of a suit for separation which Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

HER DEBT IS \$44; MENACED BY JAIL Washerwoman, Husband Out of Work, Supports Home and Child.

Twice in two days Mrs. Loeffler was placed in custody because she was unable to pay \$44.41 on a judgment which William C. Abercrombie, a lawyer at 51 Nassau Street, obtained against her, and \$25 fine for failing to appear for examination in supplementary proceedings to testify as to whether she had

financial condition of the woman or her husband, who lost his savings of \$800 in an unfortunate speculation. Ex-Assemblyman Jacob E. Salomon, a lawyer of 56 Pine Street, who attended an interest in the plight of Mrs. Loeffler. He will make an effort to have the contempt charge dismissed, that the defendant may have opportunity to testify as to her "property."

Abercrombie explained that the \$150 he received from Mrs. Loeffler was in another case.

The indebtedness to Abercrombie grows out of a suit for separation which Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

Her indebtedness to the washerwoman, Mrs. Loeffler brought against her husband. But before the case had proceeded far there was a reconciliation. The lawyer charged \$40 for the proceedings, which the client was unable to pay, whereupon Abercrombie obtained judgment. This brought the total claim up to \$44.41.

BOTH PRISON BILLS PASS LEGISLATURE Governor Must Choose—Said to Favor Sage Measure.

Albany, April 20.—After fifteen years of tribulation, the building of an up-to-date prison to replace Sing Sing became a reality to-day with the passage by the Assembly of both the Sage and the Turner bills. Both measures, each of which provides for a new prison, have the same effect: to give the State a new penitentiary, and they will now go to Governor Whitman, who within the next thirty days will have to choose the one that will receive his signature.

A commission of five, three of whom shall be the Prison Superintendent, the State Architect and the Superintendent of Public Works, is provided in the Sage bill. This commission will have complete control over the selection of the site and the plans for the new institution, the cost of which is fixed at \$1,250,000.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

The commission will allow 66 extra hours with pay to any employee registered at the camps. A resolution to that effect was passed by the commission yesterday, and all employees desiring to attend the camps are asked to file their applications with Secretary Walker, indicating the month they desire to attend.

OLD MEN'S TOY SHOP WARES TO BE SHOWN Lord & Taylor Store Gives Charity Space for Exhibit.

Toys—tigers, bears, ducks, chickens and rabbits—that have been made during the last winter at the Old Men's Toy Shop, at 416 Lafayette Street, are now being displayed for sale at the Lord & Taylor store.

"We were obliged to stay here, unable to protect American girls being attacked by a gang of foreigners," said Captain Smith yesterday. "We would have dispersed that gang of rascals in three minutes. And just because we're not doing it, they're taking the responsibility of issuing the order."

So indifferent were the strikers to a law that one Russian walked down the main street nonchalantly twirling a revolver on his finger.

"Two deputy sheriffs," said Judge W. A. Cullen, "angry because they were forced to stand idle by and see the day going on, walked up to Sheriff Weisendanger and handed them their badges. 'I'm a man, not an ornament,' said one."

The first detachment of strikers numbered 500, but they have intimidated 1,500 more into quitting and forced the upper Mississippi Valley to close its doors to 3,500, including 400 girls.

"Passing the buck," meaning the getting rid of the responsibility, is the phrase heard on many lips. Everywhere it is spoken the Sheriff's name is connected with it. A desire to be a political leader is named as the reason for passing the responsibility of the situation to Colonel J. P. Klein and his national guard.

"Calling out four companies of troops is a huge joke," said Captain Smith, "and only inviting bloodshed and casting a slur on the village. The troops were never needed in Hastings."

Striker Cut by Bayonet. There was a flurry of excitement this morning when one of the strikers attempted to wrest a rifle from a private in Company H. The private cut the man on the head with his bayonet.

Small gathering threatening violence was dispersed on the Spring Street bridge when guardsmen prepared for a charge.

To-day trouble is expected when the employees of the plant will be paid off for the striking fund requirements. Work, however, will not be resumed until next week. Officials say they may attempt to open the factory on Monday. War orders amounting to \$100,000 a day are said to constitute the company's loss after the strike.

HER DEBT IS \$44; MENACED BY JAIL Washerwoman, Husband Out of Work, Supports Home and Child.

Twice in two days Mrs. Loeffler was placed in custody because she was unable to pay \$44.41 on a judgment which William C. Abercrombie, a lawyer at 51 Nassau Street, obtained against her, and \$25 fine for failing to appear for examination in supplementary proceedings to testify as to whether she had

financial condition of the woman or her husband, who lost his savings of \$800 in an unfortunate speculation. Ex-Assemblyman Jacob E. Salomon, a lawyer of 56 Pine Street, who attended an interest in the plight of Mrs. Loeffler. He will make an effort to have the contempt charge dismissed, that the defendant may have opportunity to testify as to her "property."

Abercrombie explained that the \$150 he received from Mrs