

paid. What then must be the conditions in other cities? If \$11.46 a week is a good wage, why are not more people happy and contented?

If the persons responsible for the preparation of the copy in these two ads are the judges of good wages, the writer would not want them to sit on any board of arbitration in which wages was one of the points to be settled, notwithstanding their motto, "Truth."—C. E. Danner, 4843 N. Monticello av.

ANSWERS MISS WHITAKER.—

Jane Whitaker wants to know who is to blame in the case of the 18-year-old boy who turned to petty larceny because he could not find an opportunity to make an honest living.

Our present method of treating land as absolute private property, which had its birth in war and conquest, is directly responsible for this condition. The absurdity of this system is not apparent to the great majority for the same reason that chattel slavery, dueling, piracy, witchcraft, the right divine of kings, and a host of other unnatural and iniquitous institutions seemed perfectly wise and natural to the majority of the people in whose day they existed.

It may not be generally known that one of nature's great wonders, the Mammoth Cave, is private property, belonging to a few superannuated owners. When we read of the days of chattel slavery and other evils we wonder how people could be so blind as to endure such unnatural and unjust system, even when their wrongfulness had been frequently pointed out. However, when we see our present general acquiescence in the idea of absolute private property in the earth, we can understand that phase of the human understanding which accepts all established institutions as just and proper, no matter how destructive and injurious their effects may be on society in general.

Wherever men are out of work, or barely able to make a decent living,

you will find that land is treated as absolute private property. Read Henry George.—J. Weiler, N. Keeler Av., Chicago.

REPLY TO GALLERY.—In reference to Miss Murphy's ousting let me assure M. J. Gallery that he is off the track; that Loebbs and Rosenwalds are far from controlling the board of education or any other such institutions.

If the majority of members found enough reason for ousting Miss Murphy there surely must be more reason attached to it than religion.

No need to be alarmed or call the attention of such persons as Cochran, Washingtons or Lincoln. No doubt those gentlemen keep their eyes open. Yours for justice.—Ardie Drews, 1844 W. Taylor St.

FAVORS CENSORSHIP. — Just got through reading in The Day Book an article concerning Major Funkhouser. You state he is showing a high hand of late in censoring films. I wish to ask you, have you ever seen any cut-outs of some of the films which were to be shown in our theaters where women and children attend?

If not I wish you would visit room 1004, City Hall, and ask to be shown only one reel of cut-outs and you certainly would be convinced that the Chicago censorship board is a necessity. After seeing these cut-outs you will not condemn the Chicago board, but will do all in your power to defend it.—Mrs. L. A.

PRICES AGAIN.—As to an article published in The Day Book on Saturday, June 26, regarding prices, from the year 1855 to 1860, I will say that I have information from my grandmother who is living today that potatoes in those days were 12 to 15 cents a bushel and not 15 cents a peck. Also best butter sold at 8 cents a pound and chickens at \$1 a dozen, weighing from 3 to 5 pounds each.