

Munson & McNamara

123 to 127 N. Main Street.

11TH DAY!

You may be sure we are with the market or below it, no matter what that market is.

Munson & McNamara

COLE & JONES

THE GREAT SLAUGHTER STILL CONTINUES!

Hundreds of Keen Sharp Buyers taking advantage of this great sacrifice sale.

LOOK AT THE INDUCEMENTS!

- \$5 overcoats going at \$3 50. \$12 and \$14 overcoats going at \$8. \$15 overcoats going at \$10. \$18 overcoats going at \$12. \$20 Chinchilla overcoats going at \$11. \$25 overcoats going at \$17. \$30 overcoats going at \$20.

All Children's Overcoats at One-Half Former Price!

The above will give you a slight idea of the immense cut we have made on our overcoats. We have selected out a lot of fine imported worsted suits, ranging in price from \$18 to \$30, and will give you choice of the lot for \$15. Also cassimere suits, worth from \$18 to \$30, choice for \$15.

COLE & JONES, The One Price Clothiers,

208, 210 and 212 DOUGLAS AVENUE, WICHITA, KANSAS.

ARCADE.

Remnant Sale TODAY.

Remnants of Everything.

ARCADE.

CORSET SALE TODAY.

Corsets You Can't Break Down

ARCADE.

Fox & Son

L. B. Fox, of Fox & Son, is now in New York assisting our resident buyer in the purchase of the finest stock of goods ever brought to Wichita.

All the present stock will be closed at about half price. New goods now arriving.

Look out for Special Day for Bengal Gloriosa Silk at 49c Something new.

150 North Main St.

COFFEE POT SAVES COFFEE AND MAKES IT BETTER

TRADE FOR THE WEEK

Good in Most Branches—The Outlook Somewhat More Favorable.

NEW YORK, Feb. 14.—R. G. Dun & Co's weekly review of trade says:

The business outlook is somewhat more favorable. While a large amount of money has gone into the treasury rates here remain moderate and the market easy. All interior markets are also easier, while Kansas City is firm at the usual rates; the demand there is moderate. At St. Louis, Detroit and Pittsburgh the market is easy at 6 to 7 per cent; at Philadelphia rather even for credits, and being four at about 8 per cent, and 5 to 6 per cent, funds having been received from New York, and at all other points the market is comparatively easy. Reports as to collections are satisfactory with a few exceptions. Millwork notes "only moderately good" collections; Kansas City rather slow; Cleveland rather slow and Detroit slow from country towns.

There is still no really adverse change in the iron business. The demand for pig does not yet indicate a probable advance in prices and at Pittsburgh Bessemer is a little weaker, but other iron is rather stronger, because of the increase in percent conceded in the wages of coke workers. Copper and tin are lower abroad, selling here at 1 1/2c for lint and 2 1/2c for struts, and being four at about \$3.50. No relief appears in the coal business and the February tonnage has been reduced by agreement to 2,000,000, the output in January being over 320,000 tons below that of last year.

The textile industries present a more hopeful aspect. Cotton manufacturers have increased their taking of cotton largely. There is a better demand for woolen goods also; manufacturers pursue a conservative course.

The general markets have not trended upward during the past week. In wheat there has been a decline of 1/2c and in corn a decline of 1/4c, the current price of the former being 75c and the latter 27 1/2c. Oats are also 1/2c lower and pork products unchanged, as is oil, with small transactions. The speculation in cotton has been enormous, sales for 100 bales for the week with an advance of 1/4c. Coffee has been steady with moderate sales but sugar is 1-1/2c lower and sales for 100 pounds being 10c. The trust prices and contract market weaken the retail though trust stock has been vigorously advanced.

The export of wheat and flour have fallen much below those of this aerial event, year, while corn exports continue large. For the past week the treasury has taken \$1,000,000 more than it has paid out, and while its disbursements for all purposes are expected to be large further purchases in bonds in large amount are not anticipated. If no further supplies of money return from the interior a close market will naturally result before April 1.

The business failures, number 302 as compared with total of 321 last week. For the corresponding week of last year the figures were 280.

SIBERIAN PRISON OUTRAGES.

PARIS, Feb. 14.—Further particulars of the Siberian horror have been received and show the cruelties were worse than at first reported. It now appears that Mme. Sibirskaya's younger sister, 16 years old, went to Siberia to look after her comfort. Arriving there she had the misfortune to please the eye of the director of the prison. She was detained by him upon a trumped-up charge of carrying a letter to her sister. She was kept in a cell for several days, and then she was taken to a room where she was subjected to the most atrocious treatment as to cause her death. She was kept in a cell for several days, and then she was taken to a room where she was subjected to the most atrocious treatment as to cause her death.

A RAILWAY RECEIVER ASKED.

ST. LOUIS, Mo., Feb. 14.—The Farmers' Loan & Trust company of New York has made application in the United States circuit court for the appointment of a receiver of the Central Missouri Railway company, the main line of which extends from Kansas City to a point opposite Anton, Ill., with a branch road to St. Louis, a distance of twenty-five miles, and another road to Fayette, Mo., which is 12 miles long. The road was bonded to the Cleveland, St. Louis & Kansas City road for \$2,000,000, and by the latter company to the Central Trust company of New York for \$7,000,000. The bonds were issued in 1885, bearing 6 percent interest. The lien against the road amount to some \$2,500,000.

FITTED WITH RULES.

THE NEW CODE ADOPTED BY THE HOUSE.

Each Attempt to Amend the Report Simply a Waste of Breath.

Democratic Schemes to Secure Rights to Block Legislation Count For Naught.

A Strict Party Vote Given for and Against—A Report Submitted by the World's Fair Sub-committee, Voting Congressmen the Chance to Vote for the Four Candidates—Capital Cleanings.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 14.—Not more than two dozen members were present when the house met at 11 o'clock in continuation of Thursday's session.

Messrs. Stewart and Barnes, of Georgia, and Mr. Reilly, of Pennsylvania, entered emphatic protest against the adoption of the proposed code and the action of Speaker Reed was defended and upheld by Mr. Hooper, of New York.

Thursday's session was called, and the session of Friday was opened with the reading of the journal. The journal was approved—yeas 151, nays 1 (the speaker counting a quorum).

Mr. Candler, of Massachusetts, from the committee on the world's fair, submitted a report which was ordered printed and recommitted.

The consideration of the rules was then proceeded with.

Mr. Byrum offered an amendment providing when any bill is submitted for the increase of pensions or for granting pensions not formerly provided for or pending, it shall be in order to offer an amendment providing taxation for payment thereof.

Mr. Grosvener, of Ohio, said the veteran soldiers of the country understood the pending amendment was the most thoroughly antagonistic and hostile proposition ever made on the floor of the house.

The soldiers had understood this proposition as a bill to increase the pensions of soldiers, and they were not prepared to strike out of the treasury the money to pay the pensioners. He did not propose that the river and harbor bill, the French spoliation bill, and the money bill, should be attached to no set of rules more deadly piece of parliamentary mechanism to destroy the rights of soldiers.

Mr. Byrum asked proposed that there should be money in the treasury to pay the pensioner. He did not propose that the river and harbor bill, the French spoliation bill, and the money bill, should be attached to no set of rules more deadly piece of parliamentary mechanism to destroy the rights of soldiers.

Mr. Outwater, of Ohio, offered an amendment striking out the clause concerning the world's fair in a quorum in committee of the whole.

The amendment was lost—yeas 126, nays 153.

Mr. Crisp, of Georgia, moved to strike out the clause concerning upon the speaker the power to count a quorum. The amendment was lost—yeas 126, nays 153.

Mr. Crisp, of Georgia, moved to strike out the clause that no dilatory motions should be entertained by the speaker. The motion was lost—yeas 141, nays 135.

Mr. Springer, of Illinois, inquired whether or not the speaker had any instructions in order, and received a negative reply.

The rules were then adopted by a strict majority of yeas 101, nays 145, and the house adjourned.

HOUSE CLERKS REBUKED.

The Senate Administers a Scorching and Then Commits a Grave Error.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 14.—Mr. Vest offered a concurrent resolution to amend an error in the enrollment of the senate joint resolution for the removal of obstructions to navigation in the Mississippi river. The error had been made by one of the clerks of the house and consisted in the omission of the word "resolved" before the words "by the senate and house representatives of the United States in congress assembled."

Mr. Ingalls commented severely upon the blunder, speaking of it as a case of "legislation being tampered with by inefficient clerks." He moved (instead of Mr. Vest's concurrent resolution) that the joint resolution in question be returned to the house of representatives with the request that the house send to the senate a copy correctly engrossed as it had passed that body. He remarked that that was the way to get rid of the difficulty and to admonish the house and its clerks and employees that there must be more care and more scrutiny and more attention paid to such matters.

Mr. Vest assented to that disposition of the matter and remarked that the house had discharged all its clerical force and now had a lot of new men who knew nothing about their business.

The senate then began the consideration of pension bills, one of the pension bills (for a man named David Peterson having been passed without opposition and with the usual rapidity of procedure.

Mr. Cockrell subsequently inquired what had been done with it and having been informed by the vice president that the bill had been passed he said that it was a remarkable circumstance that the bill appeared on the calendar as being favorably reported, whereas the fact was that the report was an adverse one with the recommendation that the bill be indefinitely postponed.

The report was read and it showed that Mr. Cockrell's motion was agreed to.

Mr. Vest assented to that disposition of the matter and remarked that the house had discharged all its clerical force and now had a lot of new men who knew nothing about their business.

The senate then began the consideration of pension bills, one of the pension bills (for a man named David Peterson having been passed without opposition and with the usual rapidity of procedure.

Mr. Cockrell subsequently inquired what had been done with it and having been informed by the vice president that the bill had been passed he said that it was a remarkable circumstance that the bill appeared on the calendar as being favorably reported, whereas the fact was that the report was an adverse one with the recommendation that the bill be indefinitely postponed.

The report was read and it showed that Mr. Cockrell's motion was agreed to.

Mr. Vest assented to that disposition of the matter and remarked that the house had discharged all its clerical force and now had a lot of new men who knew nothing about their business.

The senate then began the consideration of pension bills, one of the pension bills (for a man named David Peterson having been passed without opposition and with the usual rapidity of procedure.

Mr. Cockrell subsequently inquired what had been done with it and having been informed by the vice president that the bill had been passed he said that it was a remarkable circumstance that the bill appeared on the calendar as being favorably reported, whereas the fact was that the report was an adverse one with the recommendation that the bill be indefinitely postponed.

The report was read and it showed that Mr. Cockrell's motion was agreed to.

Mr. Vest assented to that disposition of the matter and remarked that the house had discharged all its clerical force and now had a lot of new men who knew nothing about their business.

The senate then began the consideration of pension bills, one of the pension bills (for a man named David Peterson having been passed without opposition and with the usual rapidity of procedure.

Mr. Cockrell subsequently inquired what had been done with it and having been informed by the vice president that the bill had been passed he said that it was a remarkable circumstance that the bill appeared on the calendar as being favorably reported, whereas the fact was that the report was an adverse one with the recommendation that the bill be indefinitely postponed.

The report was read and it showed that Mr. Cockrell's motion was agreed to.

Mr. Vest assented to that disposition of the matter and remarked that the house had discharged all its clerical force and now had a lot of new men who knew nothing about their business.

The senate then began the consideration of pension bills, one of the pension bills (for a man named David Peterson having been passed without opposition and with the usual rapidity of procedure.

Mr. Cockrell subsequently inquired what had been done with it and having been informed by the vice president that the bill had been passed he said that it was a remarkable circumstance that the bill appeared on the calendar as being favorably reported, whereas the fact was that the report was an adverse one with the recommendation that the bill be indefinitely postponed.

The report was read and it showed that Mr. Cockrell's motion was agreed to.

THE SWELLING RANKS.

RESUBMISSION ADVOCATES DOING EFFECTIVE WORK.

A Rousing Meeting at Arkansas City Addressed by Able Speakers.

All the Strong Arguments for a New Deal on the Question Brought Forth.

Five Hundred Members of a Republican Resubmission Club Enrolled—Oklahoma Farmers Preparing to Raise Large Tobacco and Cotton Crops—Minor State and Territorial Matters.

Special Dispatch to the Daily Eagle.

ARKANSAS CITY, Kan., Feb. 14.—There was considerable resubmission talk around town today. While resubmission is by no means an unimportant topic here, it is really just a little more today than usual.

The Hon. J. W. Steen, of Kansas, being present, was received with cheers. The crowd soon caught on to his Indiana-irish style and were forced often to leap and with cheers. He gave a careful history of the prohibition movement from Maine to Kansas and made some comparisons between the advancement of prohibition states and others where industry in every department is allowed to be free. It was the opinion of many of the crowd at the end of the speech of three-quarters of an hour that a state handicapped in the race of development was certainly at a disadvantage.

The chair in a few complimentary words introduced the Hon. W. H. Payne, of Wichita, who spoke for an hour and a half. He made the audience think, smile and laugh, and laugh, then smile and think. He took up the moral side of the question and showed that the influence of free whisky to drink was more injurious than when handled by laws that could be enforced.

When Mr. Payne closed he was given an enthusiastic hand. Papers for enrolling names of members for a Republican Resubmission club were passed around the audience and over 500 are on record. Already two sets of 500 had already been organized before the meeting, which, as will be seen, makes a strong club in this city.

STOP-OVER PRIVILEGES.

The Interstate Railway Commission Makes a Ruling on a Case.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 14.—The interstate commerce commission today in an opinion by Chairman Cooley rendered its decision in the case of Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway company against the Chicago & Alton railroad company, dismissing the complaint.

The case is a controversy between the two railroad companies' parties to the suit involving the right of the complainant company to be protected in its methods of shipping cattle from points west of Kansas City billed through to Chicago and allowing them to be held over at Kansas City for an indefinite period of time on the market there and then if reshipped, either the same cattle or others substituted in their place, at the through rate originally quoted at the time of shipment. The company shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to another, then the department in question shall have no right to take the reshipment from Kansas City to Chicago at the proportionate rate of the original through rate, but the commission held as follows: "Where property is not transported by rail by continuous and uninterrupted carriage from one station to