

The Mississippi Times

MYRON R. HOLLISTER,

["MENS SIBI CONSCIA RECTI"]

EDITOR AND PUBLISHER.

VOLUME IV.

HOLLY SPRINGS, THURSDAY MORNING, SEPTEMBER 18, 1856.

NUMBER 38.

RATES OF ADVERTISING. Table with columns for rates per square, per line, and per year.

Business Cards

Baker & Farris, Cotton Factors and Commission Merchants...

Park, Simpson & Co., Grocers, Commission Merchants...

Cotton Factors, No. 92 Front Row...

Stratton, McDevitt & Co., Cotton Factors, Grocers & Commission Merchants...

Davidson, Ellis & Blair, Cotton Factors, Grocers...

Walter & Scruggs, Attorneys at Law...

Cotton Factors, Grocers and Commission Merchants...

Tennessee House, Opposite the Catholic Church...

Everson's Patent Pump, Depot on Adams St., East of Third...

H. N. Ballard & Co., Wholesale and Retail Grocers...

John Hull & Co., Grocers and Commission Merchants...

J. C. Walker, Agent for...

Stna Insurance Company, Located at Hartford, Connecticut...

THE TIMES.

THURSDAY MORNING, SEPT. 18.

Rochester Revelations--Henry A. Wise Tampering with the Black Republicans.

At a ratification meeting in Richmond on June last, Mr. Wise made a speech, in which he expressed certain opinions relative to the effect which would have proceeded from the adoption of Mr. Buchanan's proposition to extend the Missouri Restriction line to the Pacific...

Now, as to the present, Mr. Wise and Times have said most delicately, that I spoke as to the influence of the fate of Kansas on the value of slave property...

THE POSITION OF MR. FILLMORE ON THE MISSOURI COMPROMISE.

The Buchanan journals, for the want of a more substantial objection to Mr. Fillmore's position, are content to repeat the charge that he is in favor of the restoration of the Missouri line...

THE BLACK REPUBLICAN STRENGTH.

Did we never occur to those Southern men who are shouting out at the top of their voices that the present Presidential election will be between the Democracy (as called by the Black Republicans) and Mr. Fillmore...

THE RECORD--THE RECORD.

The latest falsehood we have seen published for the purpose of causing odium upon the character of Mr. Fillmore, is the charge that he voted against a bill to abolish imprisonment for debt in the District of Columbia...

is completely as the terms of the Missouri Compromise, but it is not even a practical possibility in theory. The battle here is really between Fillmore and Fremont--and we should think, daily, by directing all our fire upon Fremont, and ignoring even the existence of Buchanan...

But Fillmore has no chance in the North. He is not within the two party system, and he is not popular with his friends. It has not been the favorite of this State. Was he not even selected to be put on a ticket to carry this State?

Now, however, ought not gamblers, as it were in politics, especially when parties become geographical, but without regard to results to fight? Is it right to elect Fillmore over Buchanan? Or, rather, is it not wrong, not that to elect him?

It is a crime too, to make this election sectional, geographical, as the Fremont and Buchanan men are making it. Millard Fillmore alone removes the canvass from this range of sectionalism. Fremont's strength is all North. Buchanan's practical strength is all South. Fillmore is a national candidate.

It is very for us to throw every Northern vote, we think, in every Northern State against Buchanan. We have bid to coalesce with Abolition, and divide the Electoral ticket--and the thing is done. Pennsylvania even, Buchanan's own State, can be carried against Buchanan by 30,000 majority.

Let the people remember, that Mr. Fillmore, in 1853, while on his tour through the Southern and South-western Slave States, declared on the steps of the State house in Montgomery, Ala., that "the anti-slavery principles of his early education had been obliterated by what he had seen in the South of the happy condition of the slaves of the South."

regard to the peace of the country, and the steps to be taken to destroy the far fabric which had been handed down to us by our fathers. What said the people to these feelings of indignation? The politicians had had their say, and it was the time for the people to speak their mind, and to make their own choice of a candidate...

But Fillmore has no chance in the North. He is not within the two party system, and he is not popular with his friends. It has not been the favorite of this State. Was he not even selected to be put on a ticket to carry this State?

Now, however, ought not gamblers, as it were in politics, especially when parties become geographical, but without regard to results to fight? Is it right to elect Fillmore over Buchanan? Or, rather, is it not wrong, not that to elect him?

It is a crime too, to make this election sectional, geographical, as the Fremont and Buchanan men are making it. Millard Fillmore alone removes the canvass from this range of sectionalism. Fremont's strength is all North. Buchanan's practical strength is all South. Fillmore is a national candidate.

It is very for us to throw every Northern vote, we think, in every Northern State against Buchanan. We have bid to coalesce with Abolition, and divide the Electoral ticket--and the thing is done. Pennsylvania even, Buchanan's own State, can be carried against Buchanan by 30,000 majority.

Let the people remember, that Mr. Fillmore, in 1853, while on his tour through the Southern and South-western Slave States, declared on the steps of the State house in Montgomery, Ala., that "the anti-slavery principles of his early education had been obliterated by what he had seen in the South of the happy condition of the slaves of the South."

is not even a practical possibility in theory. The battle here is really between Fillmore and Fremont--and we should think, daily, by directing all our fire upon Fremont, and ignoring even the existence of Buchanan...

But Fillmore has no chance in the North. He is not within the two party system, and he is not popular with his friends. It has not been the favorite of this State. Was he not even selected to be put on a ticket to carry this State?

Now, however, ought not gamblers, as it were in politics, especially when parties become geographical, but without regard to results to fight? Is it right to elect Fillmore over Buchanan? Or, rather, is it not wrong, not that to elect him?

It is a crime too, to make this election sectional, geographical, as the Fremont and Buchanan men are making it. Millard Fillmore alone removes the canvass from this range of sectionalism. Fremont's strength is all North. Buchanan's practical strength is all South. Fillmore is a national candidate.

It is very for us to throw every Northern vote, we think, in every Northern State against Buchanan. We have bid to coalesce with Abolition, and divide the Electoral ticket--and the thing is done. Pennsylvania even, Buchanan's own State, can be carried against Buchanan by 30,000 majority.

Let the people remember, that Mr. Fillmore, in 1853, while on his tour through the Southern and South-western Slave States, declared on the steps of the State house in Montgomery, Ala., that "the anti-slavery principles of his early education had been obliterated by what he had seen in the South of the happy condition of the slaves of the South."

is not even a practical possibility in theory. The battle here is really between Fillmore and Fremont--and we should think, daily, by directing all our fire upon Fremont, and ignoring even the existence of Buchanan...

But Fillmore has no chance in the North. He is not within the two party system, and he is not popular with his friends. It has not been the favorite of this State. Was he not even selected to be put on a ticket to carry this State?

Now, however, ought not gamblers, as it were in politics, especially when parties become geographical, but without regard to results to fight? Is it right to elect Fillmore over Buchanan? Or, rather, is it not wrong, not that to elect him?

It is a crime too, to make this election sectional, geographical, as the Fremont and Buchanan men are making it. Millard Fillmore alone removes the canvass from this range of sectionalism. Fremont's strength is all North. Buchanan's practical strength is all South. Fillmore is a national candidate.

It is very for us to throw every Northern vote, we think, in every Northern State against Buchanan. We have bid to coalesce with Abolition, and divide the Electoral ticket--and the thing is done. Pennsylvania even, Buchanan's own State, can be carried against Buchanan by 30,000 majority.

Let the people remember, that Mr. Fillmore, in 1853, while on his tour through the Southern and South-western Slave States, declared on the steps of the State house in Montgomery, Ala., that "the anti-slavery principles of his early education had been obliterated by what he had seen in the South of the happy condition of the slaves of the South."

is not even a practical possibility in theory. The battle here is really between Fillmore and Fremont--and we should think, daily, by directing all our fire upon Fremont, and ignoring even the existence of Buchanan...

But Fillmore has no chance in the North. He is not within the two party system, and he is not popular with his friends. It has not been the favorite of this State. Was he not even selected to be put on a ticket to carry this State?

Now, however, ought not gamblers, as it were in politics, especially when parties become geographical, but without regard to results to fight? Is it right to elect Fillmore over Buchanan? Or, rather, is it not wrong, not that to elect him?

It is a crime too, to make this election sectional, geographical, as the Fremont and Buchanan men are making it. Millard Fillmore alone removes the canvass from this range of sectionalism. Fremont's strength is all North. Buchanan's practical strength is all South. Fillmore is a national candidate.

It is very for us to throw every Northern vote, we think, in every Northern State against Buchanan. We have bid to coalesce with Abolition, and divide the Electoral ticket--and the thing is done. Pennsylvania even, Buchanan's own State, can be carried against Buchanan by 30,000 majority.

Let the people remember, that Mr. Fillmore, in 1853, while on his tour through the Southern and South-western Slave States, declared on the steps of the State house in Montgomery, Ala., that "the anti-slavery principles of his early education had been obliterated by what he had seen in the South of the happy condition of the slaves of the South."