

TULSA DAILY WORLD

Final Edition

AVERAGE SWORN NET PAID CIRCULATION FEBRUARY 25,242

VOL. XIV, NO. 170.

TULSA, OKLAHOMA, MONDAY, MARCH 15, 1920

16 PAGES.

PRICE 5 CENTS.

TEXAS TOWN DESTROYED

Fire Sweeps Village of Grandview During Afternoon

LOSS NEAR \$2,000,000

Fire Departments From Surrounding Towns Called in to Help.

THREE FIREMEN HURT

Farm House Three Miles From Town Catches Fire From Brands.

WORTH, Texas, March 14.—Loss estimated at more than \$2,000,000 was caused and 1,500 people rendered homeless by fire which swept Grandview, beginning at 2 o'clock this morning and is still raging at 9 o'clock tonight.

Fire departments from surrounding towns were called in to help. Three firemen were injured when a building collapsed.

They were rescued by a living chain of firemen. Fire departments from Cloburne, Itasca and Alverado responded, but the water in the main pipe gave out and since then the firemen have been unable to do anything except watch the town burn. Dynamite could not be obtained.

Homeless Citizens Transferred. Automobiles, trucks, wagons and other vehicles are being moved to a service to carry the homeless people to Alverado and Itasca. Merchandise valued in the hundreds of thousands of dollars is being piled into the streets and incalculable loss is being caused by water and smoke.

Taking the shape of a V, the fire swept through the city, taking more than 200 homes in the best residential section. When the fire reached Main street, hopes were held out for the business section, as the wind was blowing due north, when by some freak the wind veered and the fire swept into the business section in a V-shape engulfing every building in the eight blocks of the business streets. The loss in this section was the greatest, as no time was given for salvage work.

Firemen Fall Into Blaze. While fighting a fire from the roof of an ornate business building on Main street, Assistant Fire Chief Sam Walton of Itasca, a Cloburne fireman, and a fireman from Grandview, fell into a scorching fire when the roof collapsed. They were rescued, all injured seriously, but not fatally.

Mayor Williams of Grandview called a mass meeting of all the citizens in the city park and under his direction a committee of safety was named. This committee patrolled the fire-stricken section and salvaged what could be salvaged and residences wherever possible.

The wind is blowing with such velocity that burning brands were carried for miles. A farmhouse three miles from Grandview caught fire from such a brand and was destroyed. At 9 o'clock tonight indications are that the remaining few buildings in the residential district will be destroyed.

The fire started at the extreme southern end of the city and, fanned by the heavy wind, swept right through the city. The school house and every church in the city was burned. The F. & M. bank was destroyed and the fire was threatening the First National bank.

Grandview is in Harrison county on the M. & T. railroad, near the Hill county line, 35 miles southeast of Fort Worth.

Among the outstanding acts of heroism in that of Bear Smith, a 15-year-old telephone girl. Despite the frantic pleas of her mother, she stayed by her switchboard until the walls of the house were smoking. The telephone building caved in and she was killed, and then only could she be persuaded to make a dash for safety.

Dispatches Say Troops Mattered Behind Dnieper River.

WARSAW, March 14.—Late official dispatches received indicate that the bolshevik army is in disorder behind the line of the Dnieper river after its defeat at the important railway junction of Mozh and Kamenka, southeast of Minsk. The bolsheviks say that several detachments refused to counter-attack when ordered to do so.

A Polish communique issued today contains the red demoralization is complete, many detachments fleeing in panic after having lost contact with their own headquarters. Besides war spoils previously announced, the communique reports the capture of 12 river steamships, six military barges, five heavy guns and a large supply of ammunition.

Big Gasser. SHAWNEE, Okla., March 14.—A 10,000-foot gas well was brought in on the L. C. Elliott farm one and one-half miles south and three miles east of Chandler this morning by the Jennings company. The sand was struck at 2,800 feet.

EX-SERVICE MAN IS CUT TO DEATH

Merle Brown Is Killed At Choc Joint Outside City Limits

ASSAILANT NOT KNOWN

Victim of Drunken Mob in Squall 'Land of Other Half' Dies Sunday.

BODY TAKEN TO ERIE, PA.

Dead Man Employed as Machinist; Companion Escapes During Fight.

WASHINGTON, March 14.—Former United States marine Merle Brown, who was killed in a fight with an assailant today, was shot in the chest by a mob of about 20 men in a squall outside the city limits. The dispatch gives no details.

LONDON, March 14.—There was shooting in some districts of Berlin today, says a dispatch in the London Times from the German capital filed Sunday evening. The dispatch gives no details.

INDIANAPOLIS, Ind., March 14.—The American legion has a membership of over 1,000,000 in 47 states, according to a report from the Indianapolis office of the legion, which was announced at a luncheon here today.

BELLOVE FALLES, Va., March 14.—Eight men were killed and several injured in a collision today between the Boston and Montreal express and a freight train on the Rutland railroad.

NEW YORK, March 14.—A detachment of 40 United States marines, the last to see duty in France, arrived here today on a French liner arriving Niagara from Bordeaux.

PANAMA, March 14.—No ships are to be sighted here in the Panama canal by a landfall at Colon today. Reports during the night indicate that an attack on the canal is being planned.

PARIS, March 14.—(Havas) Marshal Foch will leave for Mayence tonight to participate in a conference with allied generals. The marshal will be accompanied by the French high command staff.

PANAMA, March 14.—The shipping board steamer Okeanos, from Philadelphia for Valparaiso, was today, with 2,000,000 gallons of gasoline, in fire, off Cape Mala, on the northern side of the Gulf of Panama, and her crew has been taken off the stricken vessel.

PANAMA, March 14.—Rear Admiral Mahony, commander of the 14th United States naval district, who while fishing with three companions, was struck by a motor launch today, was disabled. He reached Balboa safely.

COPENHAGEN, March 14.—Unofficial figures of the plebiscite in the second Schleswig zone up to midnight show an overwhelming majority for Denmark. Danish majority has thus far been recorded in any district. The terms mentioned are three or four to one.

WIEHLINGEN, Holland, March 14.—For some time past, the German government has been hearing of the counter-revolution in Germany, because very much excited. He reached several times in the village, an endeavor to learn if Burgomaster Fereboom had late news from Berlin.

WARSAW, March 14.—The conversations between the Polish foreign office representatives and British delegates came to an end last night, a full agreement has been reached on mutual support in all matters relating to frontiers, commerce and industry.

WASHINGTON, March 14.—President Wilson took his fourth automobile ride today. He was accompanied by Mrs. Wilson and a party of five. The ride was made in an open machine. Accompanied by Mrs. Wilson and Rear Admiral Mahony, he rode to the White House.

MAGDEBURG, Saxony, March 14.—A dispatch from Weimar says an attack last night on the city of Weimar, with rifle and hand grenades on the general and his staff. The city was captured and the police headquarters were destroyed, but the post office remained in the hands of the security guards.

SAN ANTONIO, Texas, March 14.—12 made in Mexico, Ambassador to the United States, here today on his way to Mexico, said he had been offered the position of ambassador to the United States. He was offered the position of ambassador to the United States.

NEW YORK, March 14.—A new movement to enforce the federal prohibition amendment through a campaign in the congressional and state legislatures, under the leadership of the world prohibition federation was announced today by Rev. Dr. Charles Deane of Pittsburgh, general secretary of the Presbyterian board of temperance and moral reform.

NEW YORK, March 14.—The annual meeting of the National Education association will be held at the Waldorf-Astoria hotel and will be featured by extended discussions on the shortage of teachers and the necessity for the profession to unite for legislation to obtain wage increases, according to an announcement tonight.

NEW YORK, March 14.—The annual meeting of the National Education association will be held at the Waldorf-Astoria hotel and will be featured by extended discussions on the shortage of teachers and the necessity for the profession to unite for legislation to obtain wage increases, according to an announcement tonight.

NEW YORK, March 14.—The annual meeting of the National Education association will be held at the Waldorf-Astoria hotel and will be featured by extended discussions on the shortage of teachers and the necessity for the profession to unite for legislation to obtain wage increases, according to an announcement tonight.

NEW YORK, March 14.—The annual meeting of the National Education association will be held at the Waldorf-Astoria hotel and will be featured by extended discussions on the shortage of teachers and the necessity for the profession to unite for legislation to obtain wage increases, according to an announcement tonight.

NEW YORK, March 14.—The annual meeting of the National Education association will be held at the Waldorf-Astoria hotel and will be featured by extended discussions on the shortage of teachers and the necessity for the profession to unite for legislation to obtain wage increases, according to an announcement tonight.

NEW YORK, March 14.—The annual meeting of the National Education association will be held at the Waldorf-Astoria hotel and will be featured by extended discussions on the shortage of teachers and the necessity for the profession to unite for legislation to obtain wage increases, according to an announcement tonight.

NEW YORK, March 14.—The annual meeting of the National Education association will be held at the Waldorf-Astoria hotel and will be featured by extended discussions on the shortage of teachers and the necessity for the profession to unite for legislation to obtain wage increases, according to an announcement tonight.

Americans to Allow No German Outbreak To Occur At Coblenz

High Officials Refute Report—Output Is Private Property.

NAVAL RESERVE OPEN

U. S. May Secure Four Thousand Acres Set Aside by Tribal Council.

OIL MEN ARE ALL AROUSED

Publication of False Reports Results in Many Inquiries at Capital.

Special to the World. WASHINGTON, March 14.—High government officials today denied that the government has decided to take over the entire oil production of the Osage nation in order to insure a supply of fuel oil for the navy, shipping and war department.

Publication of a news story that such was the government's intention in acquiring newspapers throughout the country today caused a furore in oil circles. New York and Chicago men interested in the oil industry, congressmen and representatives to learn the purpose of the alleged plan.

Government officials said that obviously the government would not take over such production, which is private property. It was admitted, however, that something like two years ago the Osage tribal council set aside approximately 4,000 acres of land in the western part of the reservation, which is yet undeveloped, but doubtless is oil land, with the intention of disposing of it as a naval reserve, and that is probable that the government will at once enter into negotiations with the Osage council to take over this land and develop it.

Before the denial was made that the government intended to take over the Osage oil production, senators and representatives were besieged with telegrams of protest against any such action.

Senator Gore of Oklahoma said that he wanted to look into the situation before making any comment. "If any such action is contemplated, it is in my opinion, revealing to the public a situation which is already known to the public," he said.

It is understood that Senator Gore may offer a joint resolution asking the intention of the government in the oil situation.

If the 4,000 acres of undeveloped oil land in the Osage nation is obtained from the Osage council, the government will itself develop the land, or will back some private oil company, willing to produce crude oil from the land in the form of a lease, it is understood.

John Horton Jane, who is soon to take office as secretary of the interior, is understood to have decided to announce immediately upon assuming the office that the government will take all the royalties from oil and gas lands in the form of crude oil.

Democratic and republican advocates of a compromise, although discouraged almost to the point of hopelessness, still were working desperately tonight to obtain adoption of a reservation which would command support enough to insure ratification. Some of them hoped they might reach that end by alterations in the republican substitute presented Friday. Others hoped the substitute as it stands would be accepted by the requisite number of democrats. But all leaders agreed that either of these possibilities was very remote.

With the support of the reconcilables, who swung back into the republican ranks yesterday after they had secured a modification in the substitute, republican leaders count on a solid party lineup, constituting a majority of the senate, in favor of the substitute and against any proposal to change it. Scattering democratic votes also are expected to help reject proposed amendments and finally to secure adoption of the resolution in place of the one adopted last November.

Both the administration forces and the democrats who favor acceptance of the republican reservation in substance, are expected to make a fight tomorrow to get in amendments that will gain democratic support, while several senators have substitutes of their own on which they will ask roll call. In the main, however, these moves are expected by the leaders to be largely perfunctory unless some unexpected element is projected into the debate.

What are the issues involved? Simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this: The government should be controlled and city policies dictated by the crowd that is no longer for the present administration? And are we to intrust the spending of public money to the people's choice to those who have already in many ways proven themselves unsafe with public money? If you would know who the enthusiastic supporters are, simply this