

THE CAMPAIGN.

“THE TICKET, THE WHOLE TICKET, AND NOTHING BUT THE TICKET.”

BLOOMSBURG, FRIDAY, OCTOBER 5, 1866.

NUMBER 3.

VOLUME I.

THE CAMPAIGN,
A Democratic Sheet for the Times,
ISSUED EVERY FRIDAY, AT
NOBLE'S BUILDING,
On Main Street, Bloomsburg, Pa., by
STEPHEN H. MILLER & CO.
E. H. LITTLE, Editor.

PROSPECTUS.

The necessity has arisen for a Democratic Newspaper in Bloomsburg which shall support the candidates of the party, and oppose disorganization and treachery in its ranks. Recognizing this necessity, and desiring to uphold that glorious cause of Democratic Government to which the people of Columbia County have been so long and so faithfully devoted, a number of Democratic citizens have contributed the funds required for establishing such newspaper upon a solid foundation, which shall insure its continuance and usefulness, and have authorized STEPHEN H. MILLER, Esq., of Bloomsburg, to receive subscriptions and subscription-money preparatory to its issue.

The publication of the paper will be weekly, and will be commenced as soon as the arrangements for it can be perfected, (and certainly by the first of November), and in the meantime subscribers whose names are forwarded will be supplied, free of charge, with another Democratic paper of this Representative District, which shall faithfully support the party and all its nominations.

The subscription price for said paper will be \$2 per annum, in all cases where payment is made by the first day of January next, after which all subscriptions paid in advance will be at that rate, and those not paid in advance, at whatever time made, \$3.00 per annum.

COLUMBIA COUNTY SS.—IN THE
Orphan's Court of Columbia County, in the matter of the partition and valuation of the estate of Daniel Ernest, late of Columbia County, deceased, by the heirs of Sarah Garretton, deceased, Mary Gardner, the heirs of Elizabeth Bicketts, John Bicketts, Ruth Huber, Nancy Ruoff, Pleasant Sims, and Elijah G. Bicketts, deceased, an infant will be held at the late dwelling-house of Clement G. Bicketts, deceased, in the Township of Orange, County of Columbia, on Saturday, the 26th day of November, 1866, between the hours of 9 o'clock A. M. and 4 o'clock P. M., said day for the purpose of making partition of the real estate of said deceased to and among the children of said deceased, and that the same can be done without prejudice to or against of the whole, otherwise to value and appraise the same according to law, at which place you are required to attend if you think proper.

Auditor's Notice.—Estate of William K. DENNIS, deceased.—The Auditor appointed by the Orphan's Court of Columbia County, to make the division of the balance in the hands of the Adm. of said estate, will meet the parties interested, for the purpose of their appointment, on Saturday, November 17, 1866, at 10 o'clock A. M., at his office in Bloomsburg, Pa., when and where all persons interested can attend if they see proper, and present their claims, or be debarred from coming in for a share of the fund.

Auditor's Notice.—Estate of Peter Mowrer, deceased.—The legatee and all other parties interested in the estate of Peter Mowrer, deceased, will take notice that the undersigned, appointed by the Orphan's Court of Columbia County, auditor, to audit and make distribution of the balance in the hands of the executor, will meet the parties interested in said estate, at the office of the Auditor in Bloomsburg, on Saturday, October 25, 1866, at 10 o'clock A. M., when and where all parties interested can attend if they see proper, and present their claims, or be debarred from coming in for a share of the fund.

Auditor's Notice.—Estate of Mary P. Mills, late of Madison Township, Columbia County, deceased.—The legatee and all other parties interested in the estate of Mary P. Mills, deceased, will take notice that the undersigned, appointed by the Orphan's Court of said county auditor, to audit and make distribution of the balance in the hands of Daniel Ernest, surviving executor of the said Mary P. Mills, deceased, will meet the parties interested in said estate, at the office of the Auditor in Bloomsburg, on Thursday, the 28th day of November next, when and where all parties interested can attend if they see proper, and present their claims, or be debarred from coming in for a share of the fund.

Auditor's Notice.—In the Orphan's Court for the County of Columbia, estate of Alexander Metzger, deceased.—The auditor appointed by the Court to make distribution of the estate of Alexander Metzger, late of Columbia County, deceased, in the hands of Jacob Garretton, surviving executor of the last will and testament of the said Alexander Metzger, deceased, will meet the parties interested for the purpose of his appointment on Thursday, October 25, at 10 o'clock A. M., at his office on the corner of Main and Market streets in the town of Bloomsburg.

Auditor's Notice.—In the Orphan's Court for the County of Columbia, estate of Alexander Metzger, deceased.—The auditor appointed by the Court to make distribution of the estate of Alexander Metzger, late of Columbia County, deceased, in the hands of Jacob Garretton, surviving executor of the last will and testament of the said Alexander Metzger, deceased, will meet the parties interested for the purpose of his appointment on Thursday, October 25, at 10 o'clock A. M., at his office on the corner of Main and Market streets in the town of Bloomsburg.

The friends of Judge Elwell are making a vigorous fight in Bradford, Sullivan, and in Wyoming. His majority in Montour will probably reach six hundred! Let us do our whole duty in this county and victory will be secured.

CAPTAIN BEEJA J. MILLARD has received the appointment of Postmaster at Orangeville, and has taken charge of the office. Captain Millard served in the army faithfully, and richly deserves this compliment.

What a precious set of fellows these men are who get up false tickets and are planning a system of trading and cheating with the "B" ticket! The exposure of this infamy comes in good time to defeat its objects.

On Monday evening of last week, a Democratic meeting was held at the house of F. L. Shuman, in Beaver. It was well attended. General Ent and E. R. Keller made speeches.

We are authorized by John Mordan, Esq., of Mount Pleasant Township, to say that he never wrote his name nor authorized any one to write his name to Tate's manifesto.

On Tuesday evening of last week, a Democratic meeting was held at Mainville. It was addressed by General Ent, Z. P. Inctor, and others.

Written for the Campaign,
The Bolter's Bolting;
Come, it's all my reputation
In the twinkling of an eye,
And those late politicians
Now have laid me up to dry.
But the bolter's great demise!
In a county such as this:
I'm afraid the bolts have got me
In a very ugly fix.

And this epitaph above me,
Since thus foolishly I ran,
Will be cut in lasting marble
"Level Tate, a used-up man."

Letter from Sheriff Snyder.

DAVID J. SNYDER, Sheriff of the Columbia County Republicans.

Sir.—In your issue of the twentieth instant I find the following inquiry: "Why is it that in publishing his election proclamation Sheriff Snyder has omitted that portion relating to deserters?" For the information of yourself and your readers, I beg to say that I cannot amend my proclamation for the reasons which follow: Since the passage of the Act of Assembly of the eleventh of June last, intended to carry into effect the act of Congress of the third of March, 1865, depriving certain persons, called deserters, of a right to vote, the Supreme Court of this State has pronounced the Act of Congress of no validity. As the opinion of the court has not yet been published in your paper, and may not have come under your notice, I refer you to a portion of it in answer to your inquiry, and in explanation of my omission. Judge Strong, who delivered the opinion of the court, says:

"It [referring to the Act of Congress] means that the forfeiture which it prescribes, like all other penalties for desertion, must be adjudged to the convicted person after trial by a court-martial, and a sentence approved. For the conviction and sentence of such a court there can be no substitute. They alone establish the guilt of the accused, and fasten upon him the legal consequences. Such we think is the true meaning of the act, a conviction by the court-martial, and a sentence approved, being the condition to which it is limited, and to which it is intended to be applied. It does not seem to us that the act would have been intended to apply to a person who had not been convicted and sentenced by a court-martial. If it had, it would have been a penalty for desertion, and would have been in violation of the provisions of the Constitution, and which Congress must be presumed to have kept in view. It gives to the accused a trial before sworn judges, a right to challenge, an opportunity of defence, the privilege of hearing the witnesses against him, and of calling witnesses in his behalf. It prescribes to him the common law presumption of innocence, until he has been adjudged guilty according to the forms of law.

It gives liability to a single trial. If tried by a court-martial and acquitted, his innocence can never again be called in question, and he can be made to suffer no part of the penalties prescribed for guilt. On the other hand, if a record of conviction by a lawful court be not a prerequisite to enforcing the penalty of the law, the act of Congress may work intolerable hardships. The accused will thus be obliged to prove his innocence whenever the regularity of the Provost-Marshal is added against him. No decision of a board of election officers will protect him against the necessity of recurring to a court at every subsequent election, and at each time with increased difficulty arising from the possible death or absence of witnesses. In many cases this may prove a gross wrong. It cannot be doubted that in some instances there were causes that warranted a return to service or a report, by persons registered as deserters by Provost-Marshal, that would have been held justifying reasons by a court-martial, or at least would have prevented an approval of the court's sentence. It is well known also that some who were registered deserters, were at the time actively in the military service as volunteers, and honorably discharging their duties to the Government. To hold that the act of Congress imposes upon such the necessity of proving their innocence without any conviction of guilt, would be an unreasonable construction of the act, and would be attributing to the National Legislature an intention not warranted by the language and context of the enactment.

It follows that the judgment of the court below upon the case stated was right. The plaintiff not having been convicted of desertion and failure to return to the service, or to report to a Provost-Marshal, and not having been sentenced to the penalties and forfeitures of the law, was entitled to vote.

You will observe that the Supreme Court of our State, the tribunal authorized to pronounce the final decision upon the constitutionality of our laws, declares in express terms that an election board is not competent to try and decide upon the question of desertion; in other words, they declare that the personal rights secured by the Constitution gives to the accused a trial before sworn Judges, a right to challenge, an opportunity of defence, the privilege of hearing the witness against him, and of calling witnesses on his behalf.

It cannot be denied that the reasoning of the Court applies with the same force to the act of our Legislature that it does to the act of Congress which the Court had immediately before them.

You will, therefore, I hope, readily perceive why I have declined in the election proclamation to impose upon the Board of Election the duty of trying alleged cases of desertion. The Supreme Court says the Election Board has no such power or authority. And until the Court reverse the decision I will respect it. I have no disposition to do anything by which election officers may become involved in prosecutions. Very respectfully,
SAMUEL SNYDER, Sheriff.

GENERAL ENT and Captain Brockway are in adjoining counties holding in the "B" ticket.

Address
To the voters of the Representative District composed of the Counties of Columbia and Montour.

In a letter published in the *Democrat and Star* of the twelfth instant, addressed to the Democratic Standing Committee of Columbia County, asking said Committee to nominate Colonel Level L. Tate, as a candidate for member of the Legislature, for the District composed of the Counties of Columbia and Montour in opposition to the regular Democratic candidate, Thomas Chalfant, who was duly nominated by the Conferees of said counties in accordance with the usages of the party. It is alleged—

First, that the candidate for State Senate had been conceded by Montour County to Columbia County.

Second, that Thomas Chalfant and his conferees, J. H. Campbell and John McWilliams, and the friends of Mr. Chalfant, who were present at the meeting of the Representative Conferees, had pledged themselves to secure "beyond all possibility of doubt," the nomination of L. L. Tate as the Democratic candidate for State Senator.

Third, that this pledge was given in accordance with the spirit of a certain resolution adopted by the Columbia County Convention, appointing John Snyder and H. C. Pratt Representative Conferees of said counties.

Fourth, that said Conferees of Columbia County were induced by said pledge to give the nomination to Mr. Chalfant.

Fifth, that neither Mr. Chalfant nor any person representing him or his interests was present at the Senatorial Conference during the two days it was in session.

Sixth, that in violation of amended claims of Columbia County, and through gross misrepresentation and political intrigue, the nomination for Senator has been given to the smallest county in the District.

In reply to these charges the undersigned says:

First, that we never conceded to Columbia County the Senatorial candidate as a right—that we thought and still think that the claim of Montour County was as strong, if not stronger than that of Columbia, or any other county in the District. Montour County, one of four counties composing the Senatorial District, having been for fifteen years without a Senatorial Candidate, while Columbia County has had the Senator since 1860 out of that office.

We next deny that either Mr. Chalfant, his conferees or friends, during the Representative Conference, or before or after, "pledged themselves to secure the nomination of Level L. Tate, for State Senator."

Such a pledge on their part, in the face of the action of the Montour County Convention, nominating William A. Dean for that office, and appointing Conferees to use all honorable means to secure his election, would have been ridiculous, because it was well known to the Conferees of Montour as well as those of Columbia County, that the Senatorial nomination was then entirely in the hands of Senatorial Conferees, who might or might not, as they thought proper, vote in the Senatorial Conference, as Mr. Chalfant and his friends desired. The only promise given was that the persons referred to, would, as individuals use their influence with the Senatorial Conferees of Montour, to secure Colonel Tate's nomination, and that they had no doubt that after supporting the nomination of Mr. Dean for a reasonable time, in accordance with their instructions said conferees would vote for the nominee of Columbia County. If this was a pledge, then it was retracted in both letter and spirit, as is set forth hereafter.

We deny that the nomination of Thomas Chalfant was made in accordance with the letter and spirit of the proviso contained in the resolution appointing Messrs. Pratt and Snyder, Representative Conferees. Said proviso was repudiated at the time by the Conferees of Montour County, as Senatorial on the part of Columbia County. The right of Montour County to the candidate for Representative at this time, was placed upon the acknowledged usages of the party, and the promises on the part of Mr. Chalfant, his conferees and friends, to use their influence to secure the Senatorial candidate to Columbia County, after honorable efforts had been made by the Senatorial Conferees of Montour County to secure Mr. Dean's nomination, were made with a view to insure peace and harmony in the Democratic party, and not as a concession of right.

These promises were carried out in good faith. Mr. Chalfant on his part was prevented from attending the Convention by sickness in his family, but as we are assured, others were present at his instance and request. On the first day of the meeting Mr. Ledy and Mr. McCormick were present and used their influence to have the Montour County Conferees go for the Columbia County candidate as soon as an honorable effort had been made to secure Mr. Dean's nomination. We did exert all our influence with said Conferees to bring about this result. They were unsuccessful, however, and

Our honest efforts was all we pledged, all that Mr. Tate or his friends could have relied upon, and these honest efforts we did put forth in good faith. Mr. McCormick, one of the Representative Conferees, also, we are informed, called upon Judge Oaks, Senatorial Conferee from Montour, and urged upon him to vote for Colonel Tate's nomination.

We present this statement to the consideration of the voters of this Representative District, as a denial and refutation of the charges made that the nomination of Mr. Chalfant was obtained by fraud and misrepresentation; and in vindication of ourselves, who are charged with violating or neglecting our pledges.

For the Colonel or his friends to allege that, or any other persons present at the Representative Conference, pledged ourselves "to secure his, Tate's, nomination beyond the possibility of doubt," as alleged by them, is simply to stultify themselves, for they could not but know, that neither one nor all the persons so "pledging" could control the action of the Senatorial Conferees. All they could do was to use their influence. All Colonel Tate and his friends could really do was the promise that that influence should be exerted, which we aver, as hereinafter stated, was exerted in good faith. In conclusion we may be permitted to express our hope and belief that the Democrats of this district will not at this important crisis suffer themselves to be distracted by the private grief of any individual or individuals, whose personal ambition or political aspirations have been thwarted by the action of the Conferees. We make this statement to dispense the minds of voters, who, by the false allegations contained in the document published in the *Democrat and Star*, might be induced to believe that a wrong had been done to Columbia County and also in vindication of our action and the action of other citizens of Montour County. We feel assured that no Democrat who is acquainted with the facts and circumstances connected with this nomination, will for one moment withhold his support from Mr. Chalfant, the regularly nominated candidate, nor give the least encouragement, by word or act, to any disorganizing scheme, concocted for the purpose of gratifying personal feelings resulting from disappointed ambition, which scheme, if carried out, prove disastrous to the Democratic party, and which, if countenanced, furnishes a precedent for repudiating any nominations that may hereafter be made in accordance with the usages of our party.

We invoke the Democrats of this Representative District, therefore, to make themselves fully acquainted with these facts, and, having satisfied themselves that Montour County was entitled to the candidate for Representative at this time, and that Mr. Chalfant was regularly nominated according to the usages of the party, give to Mr. C. their individual support, and thus secure peace and harmony, and also assure the success of the whole ticket, a matter worthy the efforts of every Democrat, every friend of his country, every one who desires a restoration of the Union.

**JOSEPH H. CAMPBELL,
JOHN McWILLIAMS,**
Representative Conferees.
WILLIAM YOERS, JACOB SHELHART,
Witnesses present at the Representative Conference.

Address
To the Democratic Citizens of Columbia County.

We, the undersigned, not wishing the loss of our names to give "aid and comfort" to the Radicals, nor to assist in destroying the time-honored Democratic organization, do withdraw our names from the recommendation of Col. L. L. Tate for Assembly. While sympathizing with him in his defeat, we cannot permit personal relations to influence principles, and cannot see with what show of fairness he attempts to crowd off the regular nominee for Representative, simply because he himself failed to receive a nomination to the Senate. Some of our names were obtained by unfair representations, or upon a misconception of the facts, while others were used without authority.

**Thomas Knorr, G. W. Mauger,
Augustus Mason, R. Stoner,
Henry Gilger, Wm. T. Shanman,
J. H. Connelley, W. T. Wilson,
J. L. Giron, George Hassert,
John Scott, George Stricker,
John Deuge, Michael Beagle,
Samuel Everitt, Henry Eyer,
Edwin Taylor, Matthias Eyer,
John W. Whilliver, Jacob Eyer,
Wm. Eyer, John Eyer,
Wm. Geiger, Anron W. Hess,
Rand. Shoemaker, J. H. Parker,
Joseph C. Parker, Thomas Jones,
Hugh McBride, Samuel Oman,
Blas Greig, George W. Utz,
John McHenry, Sr. Samuel Johnson,
John Mordan, William Appleman,
Michael Lamon, and others.**

MR. EDITOR.—In a letter addressed to the Democratic Standing Committee of Columbia County, published in the *Democrat and Star* September 12, 1866, it is charged that I, with yourself and friends, in attendance at the Representative Conference Meeting, held at Bloomsburg, in order to secure your nomination for Representative, did concede to Columbia County the right to the Senatorial candidate, that I, yourself and friends, had failed in our pledges to secure the nomination of Level L. Tate, as the candidate for State Senator, and that we had failed in our pledges to appear at the Senatorial Conference. Now permit me to say that I never, at any time, conceded as a right to Columbia County, the Senatorial candidate. I did promise the Representative Conferees to be present at the Senatorial Meeting and I was present, and did use my influence in favor of Columbia County—others were present with me—it is simply trying to say I failed in my pledges. More anon. Yours, etc.,
J. H. CAMPBELL.

Under these circumstances I now address you for the purpose of saying that I propose to appear before my fellow-citizens of Columbia County at as many points as can be reached before the election, to confer with them upon the issues of the times, and vindicate my own nomination.

I am indeed tempted to this course from having just learned that in Columbia County a volunteer or irregular candidate has appeared upon the scene, and that an attempt will be made to induce intelligent and ardent Democrats of that county to violate their party usages by voting against the regular nominee of the party.

A statement of reasons for this disorganizing project is presented in a paper sent to designed by a number of the citizens of Columbia County, and addressed to the Chairman of the Standing Committee.

I call your attention, fellow-citizens, to the fact that very few of the persons whose names are subscribed to that paper have any personal knowledge of any one of the matters set forth as facts in that statement, and also that the signatures were obtained by private solicitation, or false and unfair representations, without any opportunity being afforded to me or my friends to be heard upon the questions involved.

This disorganizing project for defeating the regular Democratic nominee of

the district was concocted by a few mischievous spirits in secret, and sprung suddenly upon the people without any opportunity for explanation or defence on my part.

I am informed by several of those whose names appear to this disorganizing document that they permitted the use of their names under a misconception of the facts, and am further informed and believe that the names of others were used without their consent.

I emphatically deny, and am prepared to disprove, the material statements contained in that paper relating to my connection with the Senatorial nomination, which are made the pretext for this opposition to me, and I promise that this shall be done most thoroughly; for although the matters alleged, if true, would not justify objection to a regular nomination, I am determined that no imputation of a single improper act, which is false, shall rest upon me.

I did use my influence in good faith to have the Senatorial nomination go to Columbia County after the Montour Conferees should give a proper support to the candidate of their own county, and at my instance Mr. Ledy and Mr. McCormick attended the first day, and Messrs. Campbell and Annumera on the second day of the Conference, to secure such result. Sickness in my family prevented my own attendance.

I now call upon my Democratic fellow-citizens to stand by the regular nominees of their party, one and all of them, which have been made in accordance with the usages of the party, and to oppose all attempts, come from what quarter they may, to defeat any of the nominees, and to embrace us in our great contest for the complete restoration of the Union, and for placing the Government again under Democratic control.

THOMAS CHALFANT,
DAVID J. SNYDER, September 14, 1866.
To the Democratic Citizens of Columbia County.

We, the undersigned, not wishing the loss of our names to give "aid and comfort" to the Radicals, nor to assist in destroying the time-honored Democratic organization, do withdraw our names from the recommendation of Col. L. L. Tate for Assembly. While sympathizing with him in his defeat, we cannot permit personal relations to influence principles, and cannot see with what show of fairness he attempts to crowd off the regular nominee for Representative, simply because he himself failed to receive a nomination to the Senate. Some of our names were obtained by unfair representations, or upon a misconception of the facts, while others were used without authority.

**Thomas Knorr, G. W. Mauger,
Augustus Mason, R. Stoner,
Henry Gilger, Wm. T. Shanman,
J. H. Connelley, W. T. Wilson,
J. L. Giron, George Hassert,
John Scott, George Stricker,
John Deuge, Michael Beagle,
Samuel Everitt, Henry Eyer,
Edwin Taylor, Matthias Eyer,
John W. Whilliver, Jacob Eyer,
Wm. Eyer, John Eyer,
Wm. Geiger, Anron W. Hess,
Rand. Shoemaker, J. H. Parker,
Joseph C. Parker, Thomas Jones,
Hugh McBride, Samuel Oman,
Blas Greig, George W. Utz,
John McHenry, Sr. Samuel Johnson,
John Mordan, William Appleman,
Michael Lamon, and others.**

MR. EDITOR.—In a letter addressed to the Democratic Standing Committee of Columbia County, published in the *Democrat and Star* September 12, 1866, it is charged that I, with yourself and friends, in attendance at the Representative Conference Meeting, held at Bloomsburg, in order to secure your nomination for Representative, did concede to Columbia County the right to the Senatorial candidate, that I, yourself and friends, had failed in our pledges to secure the nomination of Level L. Tate, as the candidate for State Senator, and that we had failed in our pledges to appear at the Senatorial Conference. Now permit me to say that I never, at any time, conceded as a right to Columbia County, the Senatorial candidate. I did promise the Representative Conferees to be present at the Senatorial Meeting and I was present, and did use my influence in favor of Columbia County—others were present with me—it is simply trying to say I failed in my pledges. More anon. Yours, etc.,
J. H. CAMPBELL.

Under these circumstances I now address you for the purpose of saying that I propose to appear before my fellow-citizens of Columbia County at as many points as can be reached before the election, to confer with them upon the issues of the times, and vindicate my own nomination.

I am indeed tempted to this course from having just learned that in Columbia County a volunteer or irregular candidate has appeared upon the scene, and that an attempt will be made to induce intelligent and ardent Democrats of that county to violate their party usages by voting against the regular nominee of the party.

A statement of reasons for this disorganizing project is presented in a paper sent to designed by a number of the citizens of Columbia County, and addressed to the Chairman of the Standing Committee.

I call your attention, fellow-citizens, to the fact that very few of the persons whose names are subscribed to that paper have any personal knowledge of any one of the matters set forth as facts in that statement, and also that the signatures were obtained by private solicitation, or false and unfair representations, without any opportunity being afforded to me or my friends to be heard upon the questions involved.

This disorganizing project for defeating the regular Democratic nominee of

Written for the Campaign,
Song of a Volunteer.
Ah—The Boy's March.
I am a jolly volunteer,
Says Colonel Level Tate;
And Doctor John has promised me
To rain no candidate.
Of course, it is a secret yet,
What I've engaged to do;
But when I get to Harrisburg
You want to put it through.
The Doctor says that I can be
Elected sure as fate;
That all I want is votes enough,
And he can fix it straight;
And give me all the Radicals
If I can only "do it,"
Enough "back-towship Democrats"
To put the matter through.
And if they were but half as dumb
As P. John says they are,
'T would all be right, because we ran
The *Democrat and Star*;
But I have produced the ticket up
For sunny, many years,
And Doctor, dear, I know the fate
Of jolly volunteers.
Written for the Campaign.

New Paper.
By the prospectus published in another part of this paper, it will be seen that it is the intention of the present publishers to establish, on a secure and permanent footing, a Democratic newspaper that cannot be subsidized or bought. It will be published in the interest of the whole Democratic party, and will be above the reach of any individual, or clique, or faction. When nominations are made they will be sustained and supported; and the paper will not be used to control or in any way influence them. The present crisis warns us that something must be done to prevent its recurrence, and to preserve the strength, purity, and honor of our party.

BRIAR CREEK, October 1, 1866.
E. H. LITTLE, Esq.—Dear Sir,—I am highly elated with your little sheet, vindicating truth and justice against a foul faction, central in its nature, hovering around the county seat, determined to rule if it even end in ruin, which it will as surely as they persist in the course they are pursuing. We have had enough centralism in the past six years to satisfy the Democracy of Columbia. It is now time they should bolt against the Bolters, and crush the central faction who have undertaken to overrule party rules and regulations.

I have carefully examined Colonel Tate's charge against Thomas Chalfant and his friends, and also Mr. Chalfant's defence; and am satisfied Mr. Chalfant and his friends acted honorably and in good faith toward Mr. Tate, and that it is, Mr. Tate, that is acting a most selfish and dishonorable part, which will be as wornwood and gall to him if he does not recant.

I would say to the Democratic voters of Columbia, be careful how you cast your votes against the regular nominee for a disappointed aspirant, who is now trying to disorganize and demoralize the Democratic party. I have always been a political friend of Colonel Tate, but if he persists in the course he is pursuing, I, with many others of his friends, will have to sacrifice him.

Please send me some tickets. I think I can use them to good advantage. Briar Creek will do her duty and render a fair account for Mr. Chalfant. Let the other townships do likewise, and his election will be sure. There is a reaction taking place, partly caused by your sheet and partly by the "sober second thought." Yours, truly,
MOSES DAVIS.

BLOOMSBURG, October 1, 1866.
E. H. LITTLE, Esq.—Dear Sir,—As it is claimed by the friends of Mr. Tate that I countenance and sanction his (Mr. Tate's) course, and urge his (Mr. Tate's) election, I take this occasion of declaring that I am decidedly in favor of Mr. Chalfant, our regularly nominated candidate.

That my name was published in the list recommending the nomination of Mr. Tate I do not deny, but that it was given in the absence of many of the facts connected with Mr. Chalfant's nomination, after repeated appeals to my county pride, is some extenuation, at least, for its having appeared there.

I support Mr. Chalfant because he is the Democratic Candidate, and because the "Bolters" with their allies, the Radicals, favor the election of Mr. Tate. Yours, etc.,
GEORGE W. UTT.

LECTURE DALL, September 2, 1866.
COLONEL L. L. TATE stated yesterday to me that he was sure that the opposition party would not bring out a candidate for assembly. Therefore it looks as if he is their member; and I would advise all Democrats to stand by the full ticket, as it was nominated. Any split will be to our injury, and we had suffer enough from the split in 1860 about the President. Simon Cameron is left in the water again, and some members might get the offer, as Mr. Boyer, to catch the fish. I am and was ever a Democrat.

FR. R. WOHLFOETH.
BENTON, October 1, 1866.
To the Editor of Campaign:
SIR.—Under a mistaken idea I was induced to sign my name to a paper carried through the County by Colonel Tate. After giving the matter my attention, I have determined that I will have nothing to do in supporting a candidate except the regular nominee and the whole ticket throughout.
WM. APPLEMAN.

THE Bloomsburg Democratic Club, was addressed on Saturday night by Colonel Freese, in review of 21 year's experience. He said:

REGULAR DEMOCRATIC TICKET.

STATE:
**Governor,
Hilester Clymer;**

JUDICIARY.

Associate Judges of the several Courts of Columbia County,
**Iram Derr,
Peter K. Herbeln;**

COUNTY:
Congress,
**William Elwell,
State Senator,
George D. Jackson.
Assembly,
Thomas Chalfant,
Prothonotary and Clerk of the several Courts,
Jesse Coleman,
Register and Recorder,
John G. Freese,
Commissioner,
Montgomery Cole;
Auditor,
John Hannan.**

STATE.

**Governor,
Hilester Clymer;**

JUDICIARY.

Associate Judges of the several Courts of Columbia County,
**Iram Derr,
Peter K. Herbeln;**

COUNTY:
Congress,
**William Elwell,
State Senator,
George D. Jackson.
Assembly,
Thomas Chalfant,
Prothonotary and Clerk of the several Courts,
Jesse Coleman,
Register and Recorder,
John G. Freese,
Commissioner,
Montgomery Cole;
Auditor,
John Hannan.**

STATE.

**Governor,
Hilester Clymer;**

JUDICIARY.

Associate Judges of the several Courts of Columbia County,
**Iram Derr,
Peter K. Herbeln;**

COUNTY:
Congress,
**William Elwell,
State Senator,
George D. Jackson.
Assembly,
Thomas Chalfant,
Prothonotary and Clerk of the several Courts,
Jesse Coleman,
Register and Recorder,
John G. Freese,
Commissioner,
Montgomery Cole;
Auditor,
John Hannan.**

STATE.

**Governor,
Hilester Clymer;**

JUDICIARY.

Associate Judges of the several Courts of Columbia County,
**Iram Derr,
Peter K. Herbeln;**

COUNTY:
Congress,
**William Elwell,
State Senator,
George D. Jackson.
Assembly,
Thomas Chalfant,
Prothonotary and Clerk of the several Courts,
Jesse Coleman,
Register and Recorder,
John G. Freese,
Commissioner,
Montgomery Cole;
Auditor,
John Hannan.**

STATE.

**Governor,
Hilester Clymer;**

JUDICIARY.

Associate Judges of the several Courts of Columbia County,
**Iram Derr,
Peter K. Herbeln;**

COUNTY:
Congress,
**William Elwell,
State Senator,
George D. Jackson.
Assembly,
Thomas Chalfant,
Prothonotary and Clerk of the several Courts,
Jesse Coleman,
Register and Recorder,
John G. Freese,
Commissioner,
Montgomery Cole;
Auditor,
John Hannan.**