The Vermont Phœnix.

BRATTLEBORO
FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 1884

THREE PAPERS FOR $2.

By special arrangement with the publisher of HOME CHURCH and HOME UNIVERSITY HOME we are able to offer readers of The Phœnix both of these papers and The Phœnix one year for $2. This arrangement is made strictly for the benefit of our subscribers, to give them the largest possible amount and variety of reading for the least money.

Good CHEER for October was 32 pages in size, and contained five stories, two or three sketches of travel and adventure, a dozen poems long and short, health papers, home papers, etc., besides a variety of miscellaneous matter. All these contents were by leading writers, new, original and of high merit. The November issue will be a special Thanksgiving number, full of the spirit of the old-time family festival.

OUR COUNTRY HOME aims to be a complete agricultural paper. It is made for the country homes of America. It is intended to help make country life better, more strong, country homes more comfortable and beautiful, farms more profitable, village homes happier,—to lead the way, in short, to the best methods in farming, gardening and housekeeping. Its several departments are in charge of well-known specialists.

The Phœnix is sent to new subscribers from now to Jan. 1, 1885, for $1.50; Good Cheer one year, 25 cents; our Country Home, 25 cents—the **three papers for $2.** November is a good month for subscribing to begin. Send subscriptions to us direct, or give names to our local agent of the publishers in any town.

FRENCH & STEDMAN.

THANKSGIVING DAY.

Gov. Pingree's Proclamation.

It becomes the people of a Christian state to make frequent and devout acknowledgment of the goodness and mercies of Almighty God; to render him our sincere and humble thanks as the fountain of life, liberty and happiness, and the author of every blessing. He has stayed the wasting pestilence and kept from our fair state all civil commotions, and has commanded us to tranquility and peace, with all the blessings that go hand in hand with civil and religious liberty. Under the fostering care of a government gentle and just to the citizen, He has shown us how to preserve our liberties, and we know that so long as we devoutly regard and adore Him as the author and preserver of states as well as individuals, we may continue to enjoy the blessings of a happy and free people. I do, therefore, in accordance with the venerated usage of our fathers, and the Proclamation of the President of the United States, appoint Thursday, the 27th day of this month of November, to be a day of public thanksgiving and praise to the Lord our God. And I recommend that all refrain from their usual labor and pursuit of their customary secular callings, and meet with each other and the people of the nation in devout thanksgiving and praise to our Heavenly Father for the manifest blessings of His infinite goodness to us.

Given under my hand and the state seal, in the executive chamber at Montpelier, this eight day of November, A. D. 1884, and of the independence of the United States the one hundred and ninth.

SAMUEL E. PINGREE.

By the Governor:
ALFRED E. WARDS,
Sec'y Civil and Military Affairs.

Gen. George B. McClellan, it is said, is "as good as booked" for Secretary of War.

It isn't a walk-over for the Democratic party by any means. On the contrary it is a "tight squeeze" which will keep them on their good behavior for four years, so far as anything can do it.

Emory Storrs thinks it was Dr. Burchard's three R's which beat Blaine. Bradley Smalley of the Democratic committee also gives the old man the credit of having cooked the Republican goose.

Gold is now flowing into this country from Europe at the rate of $1,000,000 a week, and Uncle Sam can once more take up the pleasant occupation of melting British sovereigns over into American eagles.

Theodore B. Roosevelt of New York is glad that Roscoe Conkling—"that patient pouter pigeon in politics"—is out of the Republican party at last. The alliteration strikes us as a much happier one than Dr. Burchard's.

Blaine's personal friends in Maine are now beginning to say, "If the candidate had been Arthur the Republican party wouldn't have been beaten." The time to have thought about that was before the Chicago convention was held.

Gen. Neal Dow and the Maine prohibitionists, who have worked for Blaine's election, are disgusted with the course of the St. John men, and believe that their action has set the prohibitory movement back by several years. Gen. Dow says he always thought St. John a level-headed man until he went to New York and announced his desire to beat Blaine.

Mr. Roosevelt thinks the majority of the independents were honest, but makes an exception in the case of those Massachusetts malignants who defeated Henry Cabot Lodge for Congress out of pure malice, envy and jealousy. "And for whom did they vote and work?" asks Mr. Roosevelt. "For Lovering, a threenbacker and ex-barkeeper. If that be conscientiousness in politics, I'll none of it."

Reports come from many places in the south that the ignorant colored people are in a state of terror over the news of the Democratic victory, believing that they must now be returned to slavery. In Clinton, Miss., last Friday an old negro cut his throat when he heard that Cleveland and Hendricks were elected, and gave as a reason for the act that he preferred death to slavery.

The Argus has a story this week that Senator Edmunds has declared within a few days that he will not again be a candidate for election to the Senate. This resolution, the Argus says, is caused "partly by the Senator's own delicate health and the ill-health of his wife and daughter, and partly by resentment of Republican criticisms on his conduct during the presidential campaign." We seriously doubt whether Mr. Edmunds has made any such expression, and we certainly hope he has not, as will every other true son of Vermont.

The general truth of Mr. Blaine's speech at Augusta the other evening cannot be denied, but it is also true that there is another side of the southern question, that another set of factors are involved, which must be stated and conceded in making up a fair judgment of the case. We are convinced that there is a real element of national patriotism growing up in the south, but it cannot be built up, and a break in the Solid South cannot be accomplished, by utterances in this spirit. We wish Mr. Blaine hadn't made that kind of a speech.

The prevalence of the cholera epidemic in Paris, and the appearance of sporadic cases of the disease at Buenos Ayres in South America is a sharp warning to the people of this country that the infection is relentlessly pursuing its westward march, and that nothing but uncompromising vigilance will prevent it from gaining a foothold in the United States sooner or later. The national board of health has addressed a circular letter to the governor of every state in the Union, urging the necessity of looking carefully after the sanitary condition of all cities and towns, and secretary McCullough has issued an order prohibiting the unloading of rags from any infected port.

Edward Hudson, the Boston Herald's well-known Washington correspondent, called on Gov. Cleveland in the executive office at Albany last week and tried to get him to tell whether he means to hold by the civil-service rules when he gets to be President, but without marked success. Following is the most significant paragraph from Mr. Hudson's report of his interview

"I remarked to the governor that his election had been followed by something like a panic among the minor employes of the government at Washington, who are supposed to hold their places in accordance with the new civil-service regulations, and I inquired of him whether it might not be worth while for him to in some way indicate, if that were his purpose, that the spirit of the civil-service regulations would be adhered to after the 4th of March next. To this the governor briefly replied: 'I think I have said enough on that subject. It is in my mind; it is to the platform. There is no use trying to beat around into people's heads. Let them attend to their business,' he said, repeating the words in a very decided manner and with a gesture of the head that indicated more than the tone in which he spoke. In conversation the governor speaks with a good deal of animation, and the strongest impression one gets from him is of great firmness and force in adhering to a course once it is adopted. One would say: 'Here is a man, surely, who cannot be driven, but who will do the driving himself wherever it is necessary.' One might see a great deal of such a man, but to demand. I should say, would be a losing business."

A Few Things to Remember.

We have small patience with those Republicans who dolorously consign the country to the dogs, and cry out that the Republican party has forever lost control of public affairs because the Democrats have won the election by a small plurality in New York. A few things are very sure, and one of them is that in the eyes of the people the faithful observance of certain principles of government and of political policy are of more importance than the question as to which party shall control the country.

To begin with, every good citizen, whether Republican or Democrat, sincerely hopes that Grover Cleveland will give the country a clean, strong administration. If he does this—if he refuses to be controlled by pass-hunters and political bosses, if he faithfully conforms to the spirit of the civil service law and tries to extend the field of its operation, if his administration favors a system of national education, if it accomplishes a reduction of taxation and reform of the revenue while holding fast by the protective principle, if it maintains the rights of every American citizen, not merely abroad, but at home and in the Southern states—if all these things are done, then the country will have had good government for four years and we can well afford to remain under Democratic rule.

But do Republicans believe that the Democratic party will accomplish these reforms?—for it is the party as a whole and not Gov. Cleveland and his cabinet alone that must do the work. We do not believe the Democratic party will do it. Everything in its record shows that it will not do it. And if it does not do these things—if, on the contrary, its first act is to put a Democrat in every Federal office, if it refuses to do anything for the elevation of the Southern blacks, if it "reforms" the tariff on a free-trade basis, if it leaves the negroes to be driven from the ballot-box in the Southern states at the muzzle of the shot gun without protest, if it leaves Mormonism to work its own demasing will—if Cleveland's administration and the party behind it does all these things, or a majority of them, or permits them to be done, then in the year of grace 1888 the people of the country will rise up and cast them out of power, and the Republican party will return, purified, chastened and strengthened, to the control of public affairs. To say that the Democratic party will so tie the hands of the people that they cannot do this is to admit that our system of government is a failure and the Republic doomed to ruin.

If the election canvass of 1884 has shown anything it has shown that the people of this country are sound, first of all, to have fidelity and an eye single to the public good in the administration of public affairs. It has shown that the people will not brook that any party shall put forth candidates that do not stand for the best that is in that party. It has shown that there is a large body of independent voters who will not be bound by party ties when a principle which they believe to be vital and essential is at stake. It is a few facts like these which the Republican party needs more than anything else to take seriously to heart at the present time, without foolishly yielding to despondency on the one hand or to vindictive hatred of the men who have helped to defeat it on the other.

With Conscience Void of Offence.

Vermont Republicans have seen the campaign of 1884 run its course and come to an end with a good conscience. For months before the national convention was held, and in the convention itself, they tried earnestly to secure the nomination of candidates who should stand for the very best that was in the party. When the convention was over they believed and said that a mistake had been made, but they saw no way open except to stand by the party through which every reform had been made and every step of progress had been taken. The great mass of the members held loyalty to its standard, and now in the face of temporary defeat they are ready to take up the work once more in calm confidence that the party which has saved the Union, freed the slaves, and knit a nation together anew, has still a mission before it, and the strength and earnestness to accomplish it.

Who Did It!

[COMPILED FROM VARIOUS SOURCES.]

The Independent Republicans did it.
The political Prohibitionists did it.
The unforgiving and revengeful New York Stalwarts did it.
Senator Edmunds did it!
Dr. Burchard did it with his deadly alliteration.
Cyrus Field and the other New York monopolists did it with their Delmonico dinner.
The Solid South did it with its 153 electoral votes. *[Mr. Blaine's reason.]*
"The Republican National Convention did it. It could have made a nomination which would have united instead of dividing the party."
This last reason the Burlington Free Press puts at the head of its list of "Did Its," and in our own estimation we accord it a like place of honor.

A Word of Advice.

We advise both those newspapers, like the Albany Journal and the Boston Journal, and sundry individuals, who are reading all Republicans who refused to support Mr. Blaine out of the party, and doing so in abusive language, to shut their mouths and keep them shut. We hope to see the Republican party on such a basis, and with such nominees in the field, four years hence, that all reputable men who have ever called themselves Republicans will be glad to work inside the party lines. And this much is sure—the newspapers and individuals who are now shouting the loudest that "Party Treachery must be Punished" are the ones who will be the very gladdest to have all the Independent Republicans at work for "our" side when another campaign year comes around.

Not the Party, but the Candidate.

It seems to us that those papers, like the New York Tribune and the Boston Journal, which profess to believe, and attempt to show by figures, that Mr. Blaine was the strongest candidate that could have been nominated by the Republicans, prove altogether too much. If they are right, the Republican party had fallen into such bad estate before ever the campaign began that its success was impossible. For ourselves, we greatly prefer to believe that such was not the case.

When Mr. Blaine was nominated it was upon the claim of his friends that he would sweep the West like a wildfire. In point of fact his majorities fell off largely from those of Garfield in every western state. He lost Indiana entirely, while Michigan's vote was only saved to the Republican ticket by a narrow margin. Mr. Blaine carried no state accounted as doubtful except California. Is it to be reasonably supposed that President Arthur, Judge Gresham, John Sherman or Gen. Hawley, to say nothing of Edmunds and half a dozen others, would not have done as well?

And suppose that with one of these men as the candidate New York had proved the pivotal state, as it did with Mr. Blaine. It is not disputed on either side that the Stalwarts cast at least 4000 votes against Mr. Blaine—most of them in Roscoe Conkling's home county of Oneida. Their votes would have elected the Republican candidate by a handsome plurality. Is there any reason to believe that they would not have been given to any one of the men we have named except Mr. Arthur? The 25,000 St. John votes cast in New York were very largely the votes of protesting Republicans, and nine-tenths of them would have been given to any Republican candidate whose record did not put him on the defensive. How many Independent Republican votes were given to Cleveland in New York it is impossible to tell, but the number was certainly enough to offset the Irish votes which Mr. Blaine drew to himself in the cities of the state outside New York. The only inference, then, on the face of things, is that almost any representative Republican except Mr. Blaine would have carried New York and saved the election.

We are not now discussing Mr. Blaine's candidacy on its merits. We are not undertaking to say whether the Republican opposition to him was well or ill founded. We simply protest against the conclusion that the Republican party has been defeated on its principles or condemned on its record. It is more satisfying to one's pride, to put the matter on no higher ground, to believe that one's candidate has been rejected, than to admit that one's party has been hopelessly beaten.

In Retrospect.

[From The Phœnix, March 21, 1884.

If anybody has been sudden enough to believe that James G. Blaine was "out of politics" he has been mistaken—that is all. A well-defined Blaine boom ought to crop out in various directions and is having to fight up in Pennsylvania just now. Observers in Washington say that Blaine's friends are making every effort to kill off Mr. Edmunds's chances. *Mr. Blaine will never be President of the United States.*

It is with no "I told you so" feeling of exultation that we reproduce the above paragraph from our files of last March. The shot was a random one, but it nevertheless expressed our candid and intuitive conviction upon the political outlook of the time. We believed Mr. Blaine would "never be President of the United States," because we deemed it impossible that the Republican party should be guilty of the folly of nominating him.

But he was nominated, and in our issue following his nomination we frankly said that we believed a mistake had been made and the Republican party had thrown away a great opportunity. We also said that this mistake could not be corrected by deserting the Republican party and going back on the principles of a lifetime. We advised Republicans who threatened to bolt to wait for the second sober thought, and we admonished ourselves, with all other disappointed Vermont Republicans, that our opposition to Mr. Blaine was founded in prejudice rather than in actual knowledge of wrong-doing on his part. We believed Mr. Blaine's nomination was unwise, not because we thought him a corrupt man, but because his candidacy at once put the Republican party on the defensive.

So believing we gave him our cordial support and have earnestly desired his election. That he has made a splendid canvass no candid man can deny. He has borne himself like a man throughout. He has uttered no improper or compromising word. He has stood calm, resolute and undismayed in the face of the cruel and vindictive attacks which have been relentlessly showered upon him under the foul of independence in politics. To-day by virtue of the good fight which he has made he stands far higher in the estem of Vermont Republicans than when the canvass began.

But the experiment of his nomination, which was a more than doubtful one at the start, has proved a losing one in the end and we of Vermont who tried by every honorable means to secure nominations which stood for the best that was in the party, but were refused and refused, and have yet "pulled" true and voted right, have no regrets for our course. We are beaten, but the responsibility of defeat is not with us and we are ready to take hold with courage renewed and work earnestly for the future which we believe the Republican party has yet before it.

Mr. Edmunds.

For a fortnight past stories have been coming from Montpelier that there was "much criticism" of Senator Edmunds because of his failure to take an active part in the late campaign or raise his voice for Mr. Blaine. It has also been said that the feeling against Senator Edmunds among the members of the legislature is so strong that if he was to come before them for re-election the return to the United States senate would be refused—a statement which we believe to be false, but which, if true, brands the majority of the legislature of 1884 as men of such illiberal of mind and narrowness of prejudice as entitle them to be called representatives of the people of Vermont. On Wednesday the Boston Journal demeaned itself by weaving these stories into an editorial condemning Mr. Edmunds and declaring him to be no longer the "favorite son" of Vermont.

We have ourselves thought and said that Mr. Edmunds kept himself unnecessarily frigid during the campaign, but it is also true that those who know Mr. Edmunds best know that he has kept himself silent as a matter of the wisest policy both for himself and for the Republican party and its presidential candidate. It was better that he should not speak at all that that he should speak and have some prominent Democratic friend catch up his words and hurl them back to Mr. Blaine's hurt.

Whatever clamor heated partisans may thoughtlessly raise for a time, Mr. Edmunds will, as always, hold himself immovable and invulnerable. It would be a sad day for Vermont—it would set the staunch little state forth in a sorry light before the country—should the attempt ever be made to elect a legislature on the issue of refusing Mr. Edmunds a return to the Senate because he has refused to take an active part in the campaign—no matter if he has made a mistake in doing so, or if his attitude has displeased his party for the time being. But that thing will never happen. All personal and partisan bitterness will presently die away, and before another two years have run their course any who now clamor against Mr. Edmunds, if any there be, will have returned to a normal sense of his eminent services to his party and the country.

How Mr. Blaine takes It.

Mr. Blaine is reported as accepting the result of the election cheerfully, and as having no personal regrets growing out of his connection with the campaign. Whatever regret he feels, he tells a correspondent, is for his party and the country, not for himself. The causes of the Republican defeat in New York he finds in the action of the Independents and Prohibitionists, in Dr. Burchard's unfortunate use of the three R's, and in the fact that a rainy day kept 5000 to 10,000 Republicans in the country districts at home. He believes the Republican party will prove true to its grand past and will increase in strength with the coming years. He expects that in 1888 it will again be called back into power. Mr. Blaine will take his family to Washington for the winter very soon, and will at once set to work on the second volume of his "Twenty Years in Congress."

A Hint for the Times.

A truer word has not been spoken than that of the New York Tribune when it says in a late issue that in the campaign just ended there have been "too many brass bands and banners, too much noise and excitement, in proportion to the amount of quiet work for the education of the people." The Tribune also reminds its readers that when the Republican party came into power "it gained all its success by patient and earnest appeal to the public intelligence," and the peculiar feature of its work was the careful and thorough measures adopted for the enlightenment of the people, not in crowds and masses alone in times of excitement, but by meetings held and literature distributed in every school district.

It is true beyond dispute that our recent political methods have been entirely too much those of attempting to carry people off their feet by some outside noise and show, by working up a short-lived furore of "enthusiasm" rather than by measures of political education and appeal to sober reason. If we could have our way here in Brattleboro, instead of spending any time in trying to shoulder the blame on to anybody's shoulders because there has been a break in the Republican ranks, because there are so many Independents, or because there has been less of life and fire this year than in previous campaigns, we would have reading clubs of young men (and old ones, too) formed, who should take up political history, the science of government, and economic questions, and pursue a regular course of study and reading in these subjects under competent leadership. A pleasant social evening could be spent each week in such study, and if faithfully kept up in four years from now we should have at least a small company of voters who would know what a political campaign is all about. We wouldn't confine the study or the membership to members of either party, but while the four years' course is through we would cheerfully take our chances on there being 50 per cent. of Republicans among the students.

The time to start such a movement is now while the mind is warm, and the man to do it are those who hold themselves the leaders in our political, social and community affairs.

What Wilmington is Doing.

At the Wilmington town meeting held on Tuesday it was voted almost unanimously to rescind the vote bonding the town in aid of the Brattleboro and Bennington railroad. A committee of three was appointed to confer with Newton Brothers and other parties interested in building a road from Readsboro, and report at a future meeting. C. M. Russell, Chauncey Smith and H. L. Barnard were chosen as such committee. A well-known Wilmington man writes us: "Wilmington is in earnest in the railroad enterprise, and the prospect is the most favorable that it has ever been for the building of a road." We wonder how this prospect strikes the Brattleboro opponents of our own Wilmington railroad enterprise.

Mr. Cleveland Talks.

In a newspaper interview on Tuesday Gov. Cleveland effset Mr. Blaine's Augusta speech by declaring that—

"The title of the elected people to freedom and of the equal of citizenship cannot be surrendered by a state. In the constitution, which it would be absolutely impossible to make, there need be no fear that either the Democratic party or the newly-elected administration proposes to undermine or destroy any part of our population, or to destroy the political power of the minority. We have, on the other hand, in the constitution and in the laws, the strongest safeguards against the encroachments of the majority. We rely on these safeguards to uphold the people."

It seems to us that these words are worthy our efforts in that direction, must be taken at their face value and are sufficiently specific and full to give to an earnest enquirer in matters of public concern a clearer idea for the future

CLEVELAND'S ELECTION

The New York Count Completed and the Success of the Democratic Ticket Corroborated.

By mutual agreement an informal count of the votes cast for electors in New York city was pushed ahead with last Saturday, in advance of the count of votes for other officers, in order to arrive at the result of the national election and set the public mind entirely at rest. This count showed Cleveland's total plurality in the state to be 1047, and the result was so telegraphed over the country at an early hour on Friday evening. The announcement was accepted as final by all parties, and the Republican national committee freely conceded Cleveland's election.

The summary of the vote of New York, as given by the associated press, is as follows:—The statement of Cleveland's plurality varying, although in every case by a small vote from that already given. The vote in New York City is: Cleveland, 130,019; Blaine, 80,904; St. John, 20,374; Butler, 2676; Cleveland's plurality, 49,115. The vote of the state of New York stands: Cleveland, 563,154; Blaine, 471,990; St. John, 25,148; Butler, 12,482; Blaine's plurality, 91,164. The total vote in the state is: Cleveland, 563,154; Blaine, 563,994; St. John, 25,174; Butler, 15,158; Cleveland's plurality, 1047. The official canvass of New York city reduced Cleveland's plurality from 49,276 to 48,115; a loss of 271. The exact statement of the Democratic plurality cannot be had until officially declared by the state board of canvassers.

The State Canvassers at Work.

The state board of canvassers of New York convened Wednesday to ascertain and officially declare the result of the vote. Their work has not yet been completed. An error in the footings in Richmond county, which gave rise to a rumor that the state might yet be counted for Blaine, has been satisfactorily explained.

The Outlook for Civil Service Reform under Cleveland's Administration.

In conversation with a newspaper correspondent in Washington last Saturday Hon. Dorman B. Eaton, chairman of the Civil Service Commission, talked freely about the probable effects of the election of Cleveland and Hendricks upon the maintenance of the reform service law. He also freely expressed his opinion of Gov. Cleveland, giving him a clean record as a public official. We copy the most important portion of Mr. Eaton's remarks, for the reason that all authentic information about the man who is to be our next President, and about the probable line of policy, is of interest. Mr. Eaton said:

"I have taken no part whatever in the late campaign. I have not the least right to assume to speak for Governor Cleveland or his party. Having said this much, I may add that I have no doubt, and I am fairly speaking professional sense, that I know as well as I shall know for some months to come, what Governor Cleveland will do in the matter of the civil service law. He will freely recommend to Congress such amendments as experience has shown to be necessary to perfect the system, and will faithfully and honestly enforce the law as it now stands. He will not use his official influence to secure the repeal of the law, nor will he, I think, in any way embarrass its operation. I have had no conversation with Governor Cleveland since the election, but in my intercourse with him during the campaign I found him entirely familiar with the principles and purposes of the law, and entirely friendly to its enforcement. He is a man of strong convictions and positive character, and will not be likely to change his views of public duty. He will be President of the whole people, and will not be likely to show favor to any class of citizens. He will, I think, be inclined to appoint to office men who have proved their fitness for the public service, and who have shown a disposition to support the law. He will not be likely to remove